Relation between maternal thrombophilia and stillbirth according to causes/associated conditions of death
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Abstract

Objective: To investigate maternal thrombophilia in cases of Stillbirth (SB), also an uncertain topic because most case series were not characterised for cause/associated conditions of death.

Study design: In a consecutive, prospective, multicentre design, maternal DNA was obtained in 171 cases of antenatal SB and 326 controls (uneventful pregnancy at term, 1:2 ratio). Diagnostic work-up of SB included obstetric history, neonatologist inspection, placenta histology, autopsy, microbiology/chromosome evaluations. Results audited in each centre were classified by two of us by using CoDAC. Cases were subdivided into explained SB where a cause of death was identified and although no defined cause was detected in the remnants, 64 cases found conditions associated with placenta-vascular disorders (including preeclampsia, growth restriction and placenta abruption — PVD). In the remnant 79 cases, no cause of death or associated condition was found.

Antithrombin activity, Factor V Leiden, G20210A Prothrombin mutation (FII mutation) and acquired thrombophilia were analysed.

Results: Overall, the presence of a thrombophilic defect was significantly more prevalent in mothers with SBs compared to controls. In particular, SB mothers showed an increased risk of carrying Factor II mutation (OR=3.2, 95% CI: 1.3–8.3, p=0.01), namely in unexplained cases. Such mutation was significantly associated also with previous SB (OR=8.9, 95%CI 1.2–70.5). At multiple logistic regression, Factor II mutation was the only significantly associated variable with SB (adj OR=3.8, 95% CI: 1.3–13.5).

Conclusion: These data suggest that Factor II mutation is the only condition specifically associated with unexplained SB and could represents a risk of recurrence. PVD-associated condition is unrelated to thrombophilia.

© 2011 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Thrombophilia (i.e., congenital mutation of coagulation factors V and II, reduction of anticoagulatory protein or the presence of lupus anticoagulant/phospholipid antibodies) has been proposed to be related to adverse pregnancy outcomes. Considering that inherited thrombophilia is a predisposition to a hypercoagulation state with formation of thrombi, researchers have focussed their attention on pregnancy complications related to circulatory disorders. Studies performed in women having preeclampsia (PE), foetal growth restriction (FGR), placenta abruption and foetal demise gave conflicting data, mainly because of differences in population features and clinical definitions [1–3]. However, the combination of adverse obstetric outcomes with the presence of antiphospholipid antibodies has been recognised as a separate syndrome, termed antiphospholipid syndrome, and it represents the most common acquired thrombophilia [4].

The issue of foetal demise or stillbirth (SB) is further complicated by poor knowledge and low interest in the subject, which has been addressed only in recent years [5]. The main problem in comparing available data has been the absence of a uniform definition amongst different countries [6].
Although a multiplicity of risk factors for SB has been described in several studies [7–9], a definite cause of foetal death in utero could be ascertained in less than one third of the cases, thus complicating communication with parents and management of the next pregnancy [10]. Accordingly, it has not been determined whether thrombophilia should be considered a risk factor for SB [11,12].

In a comprehensive view, SB should be interpreted as an interaction of foetal conditions, maternal environment and circumstances. Maternal thrombophilia could be one of many negative maternal predisposing factors. Unfortunately, in the clinical series published thus far, the described confounding factors have seldom been taken into account.

Therefore, this case–control study evaluated the association between thrombophilia and SB according to maternal and foetal conditions known to be involved in foetal death.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Subjects

This population-based study was part of a comprehensive national programme to investigate the epidemiology, risk factors, causes and management of SB and was sponsored by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research. Recruitment occurred from June 2005 to June 2007 in the maternal–foetal medicine units of 9 university hospitals in northern and central Italy. The Ethics Committee approved the study, including collection and storage of blood for extracting DNA. Sensitive information was treated according to the Italian “Privacy Act”.

Cases were categorised as stillborn when neonates at ≥22 weeks of gestation or >500 g (if gestational ultrasound age was not available) had Apgar scores of 0 for the 1st and 5th minutes and were not actively resuscitated. Consecutive antepartum SB cases were included, and intrapartum cases were excluded. Ninety-one percent of the pregnancies were dated by a first trimester ultrasound; the foetal weight criterion was utilised in only 2 cases.

Controls, defined as women having an uneventful pregnancy at term, were randomly enrolled amongst women delivering at the same hospitals. The women were recruited in a 2:1 ratio for each case and matched for age (±2 years) and ethnicity.

Socio-demographic variables, maternal and obstetric history, pregnancy course, circumstances of SB and parturition were already on file. Data were collected through an ad hoc electronic form.

To classify the causes of death, the same work-up protocol was adopted by participant centres, which included careful obstetric history and circumstances of SB diagnosis collected in an ad hoc form attached to maternal diary, placenta histology, stillborn autopsy, microbiology evaluation (cultures of neonatal blood and placenta swab; superficial swabs from neonate), chromosome analysis (from either amniotic fluid or blood, or fascia lata) and neonate inspection. As expected, cytogenetic cultures failed in 40% of cases; autopsy and placenta histology was available in >95% of subjects. Causes of death and/or significant associated circumstances were recorded and audited at each participating centre. Then, the data were reviewed by an obstetrician (FF) and neonatologist (GG), which used up-to-date CoDAC classification [13]. Discrepancies were discussed and solved by consensus.

Thus, SBs have been subdivided into three groups. Cases where a cause of death was recognised (excluding those with placenta involvement) were grouped as EXPLAINED, (Table 1). Clinical disorders belonging to abnormal vascular/placenta function were grouped as PVD and include small for gestational age newborns (birthweight <10th percentile according to gestational age and sex), preeclampsia and severe hypertension according to the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists [14], and placenta abruption. Finally, cases without a defined cause of death were grouped as UNEXPLAINED.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification of stillborn according to CoDAC.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explained Cases</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause of death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malformations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Trisomies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Aneuploidies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Viral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune hydrops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Methods

Antithrombin activity (AT) was routinely evaluated in all patients at admission. Eight to 12 weeks after delivery, blood was drawn from the women for DNA analysis to look at factor V Leiden and factor II G20210A mutations and assess anticardiolipin antibodies and lupus anticoagulants. The assessment for acquired thrombophilia was repeated 6–12 weeks later. The molecular diagnosis of factor V Leiden was performed according to Bertina et al. [15]. The prothrombin gene mutation was detected using a slight modification of the Poort et al. method [16]. The concentrations of IgG/IgM anticardiolipin antibodies were determined by specific ELISA (cut-off values, IgG _21 GPL/mL and IgM _21 MPL/mL). The presence of lupus anticoagulant was determined as previously described [17]. The presence of anticardiolipin antibodies and/or lupus anticoagulant was grouped as acquired thrombophilia.

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 17 software using the Chi-square test, analysis of variance, and logistic regression. To calculate the study power, we used thrombophilia prevalence data reported in Italian women with uneventful pregnancies [18,19]. According to Martinelli et al. [19], we hypothesised a three-fold prevalence in cases with SB. Hence, with a power of 0.80, 150 cases and 300 controls would be sufficient to detect a significant difference (p < 0.05) between groups.

3. Results

Out of 239 eligible women, maternal thrombophilia was investigated in 171 consecutive cases. Although thrombophilia was advice amongst exams pertinent to SB evaluation, one centre disagree and some of the remnants started to collect data later.

Cause of death and associated condition are detailed in Table 1. Cases and controls were homogeneous with respect to age, parity, education, and ethnicity. BMI >25 was found significantly more represented in cases respect with controls (Table 2). Additional clinical features of the 3 subgroups of SB are reported in Table 3. Age, BMI and ethnicity were equally distributed amongst groups. Stillbirth at term was significantly more prevalent in the UNEXPLAINED subgroup (OR = 5.04, 95% CI: 2.24–11.50), whereas the presence of a previous SB was reported slightly more in the PVD subgroup.

Overall, the presence of a thrombophilic defect was significantly more prevalent in mothers with SBs compared to mothers with uneventful full-term pregnancies (Table 4). Factor V Leiden frequencies and acquired thrombophilia were similar in cases and controls whilst SB mothers showed an increased risk of carrying Factor II mutation (OR = 3.2, 95% CI: 1.3–8.3, p = 0.01). In both cases and controls, Factor V Leiden and Factor II mutation were heterozygous. No cases of homozygous mutations were detected.
were not significant in inherited thrombophilia defect.

SB subgroup had a risk of 3.2 (95% CI: 1.3–7.4; p = 0.005) for carrying an inherited thrombophilia defect. AT was normal in every subject, and no AT deficiency was found.

Table 5 reports the thrombophilia data for the 3 subgroups of SB. Factor II mutation was the only significant variable associated with unexplained SB (p = 0.007). As a whole, inherited thrombophilia (Factor II + Factor V Leiden) was represented equally in EXPLAINED (14.3%), PVD (11.7%) and UNEXPLAINED (15.2%) subgroups. The UNEXPLAINED SB subgroup had a risk of 3.2 (95% CI: 1.3–7.4; p = 0.005) for carrying an inherited thrombophilia defect.

AT was normal in every subject, and no AT deficiencies were found. The presence of thrombophilia has been correlated with several confounding factors, including ethnic group, previous SB, BMI, gestational age at death, preeclampsia, and HELLP Syndrome and FGR. The remnant variables were not significantly associated with either inherited or acquired thrombophilia.

In light of our previous data, whether Factor V Leiden prevalence in SB is increased with respect to uneventful pregnancies has remained questionable. It seems likely that increasing sample size also Factor V Leiden would reach statistical significance in our cases series. In any case, the reported odd risks have been quite low, ranging 1.5 to 4.5 [19–24].

Table 4 Prevalence of maternal thrombophilic factors in stillborn and controls. Number of cases with % reported in brackets.

Table 5 Prevalence of thrombophilia in the 3 subgroups of SB vs controls. Data are reported as N with % in brackets. OR is reported with 95% Confidence interval.

4. Discussion

As a whole, the data demonstrated that mothers presenting with SBs have an increased risk of carrying thrombophilic defects. More specifically, Factor II mutation is the single defect associated with the occurrence of SB. The findings were derived primarily from the figures representing the UNEXPLAINED subgroup.

Despite two meta-analyses [11,12], conclusions have been difficult to draw due to the heterogeneity of clinical samples, study design and confounding factors. The most troubling issue has been the definition of “unexplained SB”, which largely depends on the diagnostic work-up performed in each one of the primary studies [13]. A strength of this study is that enrolled cases have been thoroughly investigated and audited, allowing classification of causes and conditions associated with death, whereas this was reported only in a minority of previously published studies [19–23]. Recently, one study reported data after categorisation of causes of death, including also maternal thrombophilia [24].

In light of our previous data, whether Factor V Leiden prevalence in SB is increased with respect to uneventful pregnancies has remained questionable. It seems likely that increasing sample size also Factor V Leiden would reach statistical significance in our cases series. In any case, the reported odd risks have been quite low, ranging 1.5 to 4.5 [19–24].

A multiple logistic regression was build-up. Dependent variable was cases with SB. Independent confounding variables included in the model were ethnicity, parity, SB, Factor V Leiden, Factor II mutation and acquired thrombophilia. Factor II mutation was the only significantly associated variable (F = 12.3, p = 0.001), explaining 3.9% of the variance. Factor II adjusted OR = 3.8, 95% CI: 1.3–13.5.

Table 2 Clinical features of the mothers in cases and controls. Data are reported as N with % in brackets.

Table 3 Clinical features of the mothers in 3 subgroups of SB. Data are reported as N with % in brackets. Gestational age and the rate of previous stillbirth are significantly different among subgroups.

Table 4 Prevalence of maternal thrombophilic factors in stillborn and controls. Number of cases with % reported in brackets.
According to our data, prothrombin gene mutation appeared to represent a risk factor for SB. A prospective study by Said et al. [25] has confirmed this association despite few cases occurring in the cohort under study; this was expected from the SB prevalence, which ranges 4–7/1000 in developed countries. Interestingly enough, we also found that Factor II mutation is the only thrombophilic defect presenting an association with a positive history of SB, perhaps indicating an increased risk of recurrence.

These conclusions have been challenged by a study performed in low-risk populations, which did not find an association between factor II mutation and pregnancy loss [23]. However, although the sample size and appropriate prospective design measures were sufficient, the study included pregnancy loss as both first trimester abortions and foetal deaths occurring below the 20th week of gestation. Thus, conclusions cannot directly apply to SB occurrence, which is defined as foetal death in utero with at least 20 weeks of gestational development. The same limitation apply to the recent meta-analysis of Rodger et al. [26].

In addition to SB, maternal thrombophilia has been associated with several adverse pregnancy outcomes including severe pre-eclampsia [18] and placenta abruption [27]; it is still debated whether foetal growth restriction has to be linked to thrombophilia [28]. Also, all of the above conditions were included amongst risk factors for SB thereby complicating the picture [5–10]. Nevertheless, whilst clinical evidence has suggested that placental dysfunction is correlated with utero demise, no histological evidence has suggested that placental dysfunction is correlated with thrombophilia as the linking pathogenetic mechanism. Indeed, composite placenta-mediated pregnancy complications seem not associated with Factor V Leiden [28]. Prothrombin gene mutation figures however show a trend to be linked to the above described adverse pregnancy outcomes.

A limitation of this study is that we used only term live-birth pregnancy as controls. This would have missed an association between thrombophilias and adverse pregnancy outcomes resulting in preterm live-births. However, in most of the cases, case–control studies were composed by uneventful pregnancies.

In conclusion, when SBs were deeply investigated and classified, the association of foetal demise and maternal thrombophilia appeared doubtful. Although this study suggested that prothrombin gene mutation is a condition specifically associated with unexplained intrauterine death, its impact seems low considering it explain a very small proportion of the variance. However, prothrombin gene mutation seems to represent a risk factor for recurrence [31]. In light of multifactorial approaches and considering the relatively low association strength, we should consider thrombophilia to be one amongst other risk factors participating to SB occurrence.
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