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\begin{abstract}
Background: In the last few decades, there has been a growing interest in anxiety disorders (AnxD) in the perinatal period. Although AnxD are diagnosed in 4–39% of pregnant women and in up to 16% of women after delivery, evidence on their clinical management is limited.

Methods: A systematic review was conducted on pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment of AnxD in the perinatal period. Relevant papers published from January 1st 2015 were identified searching the electronic databases MEDLINE, Embase, PsychINFO and the Cochrane Library.

Results: 18 articles met inclusion criteria. Selected studies supported the use of cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) for obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), panic disorder (PD) and specific phobia both in pregnancy and postpartum. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) led to significant OCD and PD improvement both in pregnancy and postpartum with no side effects for the babies. In the largest clinical sample to date, 65% of postpartum patients who entered the open-label trial of fluvoxamine (up to 300 mg/day) experienced a 30% or greater decrease in the total score of the Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS). During pregnancy, SSRIs and tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) led to remission of panic symptoms and healthy outcomes for the babies.

Limitations: Study design, mostly case reports, and enrolment of subjects mainly from outpatient specialty units might have limited community-wide generalisability.

Conclusions: Keeping in mind the scantiness and heterogeneity of the available literature, the best interpretation of the available evidence appears to be that CBT should be the first treatment offered to pregnant and breastfeeding women with AnxD. However SSRIs can represent a first line treatment strategy, and not exclusively in cases where AnxD is refractory to CBT.

\end{abstract}
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1. Introduction

Even though pregnancy is a period of emotional well-being for most women, one fourth of pregnant women are affected by a mental disorder, with one-twelfth experiencing one of these disorders for the first time (Vesga-Lopez et al., 2008). Over the last few decades, more attention has been focused on anxiety disorders (AnxD), which were more extensively investigated in antenatal period (Goodman and Chenausky, 2014) than in postpartum.

AnxD are diagnosed in 4–39% of pregnant women (Goodman and Chenausky, 2014) and prevalence rates are even higher if comorbid disorders are also considered (Marchesi et al., 2014).

Although prenatal AnxD increase the risk of post-partum depression (Goodman and Chenausky, 2014), their effects on obstetric outcomes are debated. Regarding neonatal/infant outcomes, a low brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) level in the blood cord; no heart rate response to the mother anxiety; increase cortisol reactivity to stress (not replicated in other two studies) and early attention dysfunction, were found in infants of mothers with prenatal AnxD (Goodman and Chenausky, 2014).

With regard to the post-partum period, AnxD are diagnosed in 16% of women (Vesga-Lopez et al., 2008; Austin et al., 2010; Wenzel et al., 2005; Reck et al., 2008) and up to 50% if comorbid major depression is also taken into account (Austin et al., 2010; Wenzel et al., 2005).

Untreated AnxD increase the risk of postpartum depression (Prenoveau et al., 2013) and have been associated with maternal low self-confidence (Zietlow et al., 2014); early complications in the offspring (e.g. behavioural inhibition, mother–infant interaction problems, insecure attachment), and later adverse child development (Glasheen et al., 2010).

Recent reviews have mostly focused on prevalence rates and clinical presentation of AnxD in pregnant and postpartum women (Goodman and Chenausky, 2014; Ross and McLean, 2006). This is the first systematic review on pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment approaches of perinatal AnxD.

1.1. Aim of the study

We systematically reviewed the available literature on the treatment of perinatal AnxD and we provide recommendations for clinical management and future research.
2.2.2. Outcome measures

Either pharmacologic, psychotherapeutic and other alternative approaches were considered.

2.2.3. Study selection and data extraction

Identified studies were independently reviewed for eligibility by two authors (AA, PO) in a two-step based process: a first screening was performed based on title and abstract while full texts were retrieved for the second screening. At both stages disagreements by reviewers were resolved by consensus. Data were extracted by two authors (AA, PO) and supervised by a third author (CM) using an ad-hoc developed data extraction spreadsheet. The data extraction spreadsheet was piloted on 10 randomly selected papers and modified accordingly.

2.2.4. Quality assessment

The same authors who performed data extraction (AA, PO) independently assessed the quality of selected studies using the checklist developed by Downs and Black for randomized and non-randomized studies (Downs and Black, 1998). Disagreements by reviewers were resolved by consensus. Table 1 shows the quality assessment total score assigned to each study.

3. Results

One thousand two hundred potential studies were identified from searching the selected databases and listing references of relevant articles. After removing duplicates, 756 articles were retrieved. Studies were screened and selected on the basis of pre-specified inclusion and exclusion criteria (Fig. 1). The search identified 18 articles that were included in the systematic review.

Fifteen of the 18 studies (83%) were case reports/case series, and three (17%) open label trials. The study population was more than 25 subjects in only 11% (n = 2) of the included studies. The majority of the studies were conducted in North America (n = 7, 39%) and only 3 studies (17%) were conducted in Europe. In all the considered studies diagnosis of anxiety disorders were based on the DSM (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders) criteria and were established using validated assessment scales (Table 1).

No study on the treatment of generalised anxiety disorder (GAD), social phobia, post-traumatic stress disorder, and other anxiety disorders in perinatal period fulfilled our inclusion criteria.

3.1. Treatment of anxiety disorders during pregnancy

3.1.1. Non-pharmacological treatment

3.1.1.1. Obsessive–compulsive disorder. One case report supported the use of cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) for obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) during pregnancy (Chelmow and Halfin, 1997) (Table 2). The patient was successfully managed with CBT (“thought-stopping” technique) in combination with frequent visits (every 1–2 weeks) to both her obstetrician and a psychiatrist with special interest in psychiatric disorders in the perinatal period.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Diagnosis assessment</th>
<th>Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chelmow and Halfin (1997)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1 pregnant woman (age 28)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DSM-III-R</td>
<td>18/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalra et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>1 pregnant woman (age 30)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DSM</td>
<td>18/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorder</td>
<td>Gentile (2008)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>1 pregnant woman (age 27)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DSM-IV-TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nascimento et al. (2004)</td>
<td>Case reports</td>
<td>Brasil</td>
<td>2 pregnant women (age 29)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>DSM-IV</td>
<td>19/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robinson et al. (1992)</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>3 pregnant woman (age &gt; 18)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>DSM</td>
<td>20/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uguz et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>1 pregnant woman (age 26)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SCID-I, CGI-I; HAM-D; DSM-IV</td>
<td>18/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uguz et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>16 pregnant women (age range 19–37)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>SCID-I, CGI, DSM-IV</td>
<td>19/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ware and DeVeane (1990)</td>
<td>Case reports</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2 pregnant women (age &gt; 18)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>DSM</td>
<td>18/31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specific phobia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Diagnosis assessment</th>
<th>Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liliecreutz et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Open trial</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>30 pregnant women (mean age 28.5 ± 5.03)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>IPSA; IPSAV; EPDS; BAI; DSM-IV</td>
<td>24/31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Postpartum Obsessive–compulsive disorder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Diagnosis assessment</th>
<th>Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arnold (1999)</td>
<td>Open-label trial</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>3 women (mean age 31.7 ± 6.9)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SCID-I, Y-BOCS; DSM-IV</td>
<td>22/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challacombe and Salkovskis (2011)</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>6 women (age range 25–44)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>SCID-I, Y-BOCS; DSM-IV</td>
<td>19/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian and Storch (2009)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1 woman (age 26)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ADIS, Y-BOCS, OCI-R; DSM-IV</td>
<td>18/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hertzberg et al. (1997)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1 woman (age 25)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DSM</td>
<td>18/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudak and Wisner (2012)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>1 PPOCD woman (age 32)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DSM-IV-TR</td>
<td>18/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misri and Milis (2004)</td>
<td>Open-label trial</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>14 treatment-resistant OCD women mean age (32.9 ± 4.11)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Y-BOCS, CGI, DSM-IV</td>
<td>23/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sichel et al. (1993)</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>15 women (age ≥ 18)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>DSM-III-R</td>
<td>19/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uguz et al. (2008)</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>2 treated PPOCD women + 9 controls (mean age 25.38 ± 5.40)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>SCID-I, Y-BOCS; DSM-IV</td>
<td>19/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisner et al. (1995)</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>4 clomipramine-treated breastfeeding women (age ≥ 18)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>DSM</td>
<td>19/31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Checklist for measuring study quality developed by Downs and Black.
3.1.1.2. Panic disorder. One study in the literature supports the use of CBT for panic disorder (PD) during pregnancy and lactation, with good control of anxiety symptoms and clinical remission of PD (Robinson et al., 1992) (Table 2).

3.1.1.3. Specific phobia. An open trial with two group CBT sessions for blood- and injection phobia was conducted on 30 pregnant women that were clinically assessed before and after each group therapy session, as well as three months after delivery (Table 2). The scores on the “Injection Phobia Scale-Anxiety” decreased after each treatment session, and remained stable up to at least 3 months postpartum. CBT seemed also to reduce anxiety and depressive symptoms during pregnancy (Lilliecreutz et al., 2010).

3.1.2. Pharmacological treatment

3.1.2.1. Obsessive–compulsive disorder. One case report supported the use of fluoxetine for OCD during pregnancy (Table 2). Contaminating obsessions and washing compulsions started at the beginning of the pregnancy and the patient took fluoxetine (up to 60 mg/day) until the last month of gestation with no side effects for the baby (e.g. birth weight, gestational age, Apgar scores, cyanosis, respiratory distress, and tachypnea). Complete remission of obsessive–compulsive symptoms was reported in the following year (Kalra et al., 2005).

3.1.2.2. Panic disorder. With regard to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), few case reports support the use of citalopram (Uguz, 2013) and escitalopram (Gentile, 2008) for PD during pregnancy (Table 2). Two pregnant women with PD, who underwent citalopram (20 mg/day) and escitalopram (40 mg/day) treatments, respectively, presented good control of anxiety symptoms and healthy outcomes for the child. Furthermore, adding mirtazapine to the SSRIs treatment, the patient reported a dramatic decrease in nausea, insomnia and appetite loss without any neonatal complication in the baby (Uguz, 2013). With regard to tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs), PD patients treated with nortryptiline (Nascimento et al., 2004) and imipramine (Uguz et al., 2014; Ware and DeVane, 1990) benefited from pharmacotherapy, reporting remission of panic symptoms. In the largest clinical sample to date, based on the Clinical Global Impression-Improvement Scale (CGI-I), 75% of pregnant women responded to low doses of imipramine (10–40 mg/day) with a statistically significant reduction of the mean number of panic attacks per week from 12.9 to 2.7 before and after the treatment, respectively (Uguz et al., 2014) (Table 2).

3.2. Treatment of anxiety disorders during postpartum

3.2.1. Non-pharmacological treatment

3.2.1.1. Obsessive–compulsive disorder. Two case reports supported the use of CBT, alone or in combination of pharmacotherapy (SSRIs),
with significant benefits in terms of obsessive–compulsive symptoms and parenting in general (Challacombe and Falkhoviks, 2011; Christian and Storch, 2009) (Table 2). In particular, for one woman, eight sessions of CBT induced the clinical remission of postpartum OCD (Christian and Storch, 2009).

### Pharmacological treatment

**2.2.2.1. Obsessive–compulsive disorder.** Studies included in the review supported the use of SSRIs (citalopram, sertraline, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine) (Arnold, 1999; Hudak and Wisner, 2012; Sichel et al., 1993; Uguz et al., 2008) and TCAs (clomipramine, desipramine) (Sichel et al., 1993; Wisner et al., 1995; Hertzberg et al., 1997) with statistically significant symptom improvement (Table 2). In particular, in the largest clinical sample to date, 65% of the patients who entered the open-label trial of fluvoxamine (up to 300 mg/day) experienced a 30% or greater decrease in the total score on the Yale–Brown Obsessive–Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS) (Arnold, 1999).

With regard to alternative therapeutic approaches, Misri and colleagues conducted an open-label trial to investigate the efficacy of twelve-week quetiapine augmentation of SSRIs or serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) in resistant OCD in the postpartum period (Misri and Milis, 2004). They reported a statistically significant reduction in obsessive–compulsive symptoms between baseline and end point (Table 2).

### 4. Discussion

Studies included in this review supported the use of CBT for OCD, PD and specific phobia both in pregnancy and postpartum. SSRIs led to significant OCD and PD improvement both in pregnancy and postpartum with no side effects for the babies. In the largest clinical sample to date, 65% of postpartum patients who entered the open-label trial of fluvoxamine (up to 300 mg/day) experienced a 30% or greater decrease in the total score of the Y-BOCS. During pregnancy, SSRIs and TCAs led to remission of panic symptoms and healthy outcomes for the babies.

During pregnancy, guidelines for the treatment of OCD, PD, GAD, agoraphobia, and social phobia suggest the consideration of psychosocial and psychotherapeutic interventions, particularly CBT, in lieu of pharmacotherapy (American Psychiatric Association, 2007, 2009). Pharmacotherapy may be indicated for pregnant women with refractory anxiety disorders.

As confirmed by this review, scant and heterogeneous data are available on treatment strategies of AnxD in perinatal period, but, given the current guideline recommendations and available scientific evidence, some observations can be made.

#### 4.1. Clinical practice suggestions for the treatment of pregnant women

Psychotherapy, particularly CBT, should be the first line treatment of AnxD in pregnant women due to its efficacy and safety. Limitations for the use of CBT are the availability of experienced therapists, the severity of the disorder and the need to perform “homework” or to confront feared situations.

Although, according to the guidelines, pharmacotherapy may
be indicated in pregnant women with refractory AnxD, in the last decade, the use of a SSRI compound in pregnancy is widespread in clinical practice. In fact, as demonstrated by data collected by the Swedish and Danish Medical Birth Registers, and by The USA Medicaid database (Reis and Kallen, 2010; Huybrechts et al., 2014; Jimenez-Solem et al., 2013), nearly all the available SSRI compounds are largely prescribed in pregnant women (14,979 women in Sweden, 35,414 in Denmark and 46,144 in USA), even within the first trimester of pregnancy (5123 women in Sweden, 15,403 in Denmark and 46,144 in USA), at a time when the drugs may exert their possibly teratogenic effect.

In these populations, which did not involve only depressed women, the risk of any major malformation (a malformation that severely impaired function or that needed a surgical correction of the affected organ) and particularly of any cardiac malformation did not increase in women treated with SSRIs (OR 0.87; CI 95% 0.76–1.01 and 1.06; CI 95% 0.93–1.22, respectively) (Reis and Kallen, 2010; Huybrechts et al., 2014). Similarly, no substantial increase was found in the overall prevalence of cardiac birth defects among infants exposed to SSRIs or venlafaxine in a large cohort study (Furu et al., 2015) and a recent bayesian analysis of previous reports found an increased risk of birth defects only for paroxetine or fluoxetine early in pregnancy.

Nevertheless, in Swedish Register (Reis and Kallen, 2010) an increased risk of cardiac defects (mainly atrial or ventricular septum defects) (OR=1.66; CI 95% 1.09–2.53) was observed in infants of women exposed to paroxetine (but not to other SSRI compounds) during the first trimester of pregnancy. This finding was not confirmed (OR=0.94; CI 95% 0.73–1.21) in a recent study involving 11,126 women who were treated with paroxetine in the first trimester (Huybrechts et al., 2014). Finally, the risk of cardiac and other malformations did not increase with the use of high doses of SSRIs (Jimenez-Solem et al., 2013).

A recent meta-analysis suggests that SSRIs exposure during pregnancy increased the risk of low birth weight (<2500 gr) (RR=1.48; 95%CI 1.22–1.79) and preterm birth (<37 weeks gestation) (RR=1.74; 95% CI 1.52–2.00). These effects were more pronounced in depressed women than in anxious women (Huang et al., 2014). These findings confirm the data of a previous meta-analysis, which found an increased risk for low birth weight (RR=1.49; 95% CI, 1.25–1.77) and for preterm birth (RR=1.39; 95% CI, 1.19–1.61) in the new-borns of mothers with untreated depressive disorders during pregnancy (Grote et al., 2010). Finally, a case-control study, involving women with depressive or anxiety disorders, found an increased risk for preterm birth (OR=5.07, 95% CI=1.34–19.23), but not for low birth weight, in new-borns exposed to high doses of SSRIs (Roca et al., 2011).

In late pregnancy, the administration of SSRIs exposes the new-borns to the following two risks:

1. increased risk of poor neonatal adaptation syndrome (OR 5.07; CI 95% 3.25–7.90). This affects up to 30% of new-borns and is generally transient, being only very occasionally severe enough to require treatment (Grigoriadis et al., 2013). This risk is prevented if the mother maintained the SSRIs after delivery (see breastfeeding);
2. increased risk of persistent pulmonary hypertension (OR 2.5; CI 95% 1.32–4.73) (Grigoriadis et al., 2014). Although statistically significant, clinically, the absolute risk of persistent pulmonary hypertension in the new-born remained low, as 2.9–3.5 per 1000 infants exposed to SSRIs in late pregnancy developed this condition (Grigoriadis et al., 2014). As such, the Food and Drug Administration “ does not find sufficient evidence to conclude that SSRIs use in pregnancy causes persistent pulmonary hypertension in the new-born” (Drug Safety Communication, 12.14.2011).

Concerning the cognitive, psychomotor and behavioural effects of the in-utero exposure to SSRIs on new-borns, a recent study demonstrated in depressed mothers no impact on cognitive and behavioural development, while a minimal effect (within the normal range) was found on psychomotor functioning in the first year (Santucci et al., 2014). A recent review found that in utero exposure to SSRIs has an effect on later cognitive and behavioural development but no effect on early development (Hermansen and Melinder, 2014). However, it remains to be determined whether the effects on child development found after SSRIs treatment in pregnant women are only due to the SSRIs exposure or if the underlying depression is also involved (Olivier et al., 2014).

The use of benzodiazepines (BDZ) is not recommended during pregnancy (Iqbal et al., 2002), particularly in the first trimester due to the risk of cleft lip/palate, even though the absolute risk is low (Bellantuono et al., 2013), and in late pregnancy for the occurrence of a withdrawal syndrome or a “floppy baby syndrome” in BDZ dependent new-borns.

In conclusion, concerning the pharmacological treatment of pregnant women, the safety profile of the SSRIs used during pregnancy, even in the first trimester, based on the findings of the recent large meta-analyses, is reassuring. However, we suggest prescribing sertraline and citalopram particularly, which are the safer and better studied SSRIs for use during pregnancy.

4.2. Clinical practice suggestions for the treatment of breastfeeding women

Some authors suggested that in the postpartum, there is no reason that the treatment of AnxD should differ from AnxD outside the perinatal period (Abramowitcz et al., 2003). This suggestion is safely applicable for both psychotherapy, which should be the first line treatment of AnxD in breastfeeding women, and also for pharmacotherapy. In fact, the available data concerning the effects of exposure of breastfeeding infants to a SSRI drug are reassuring, and breastfeeding should not be generally discouraged in women using SSRIs.

A recent review (Rowe et al., 2015) demonstrated that in breastfeeding mothers the transfer of sertraline, fluvoxamine, and paroxetine into human milk is low and uptake by the infant is even lower. Thus far, no or minimal untoward effects have been reported following the use of these three compounds in breastfeeding mothers. Sertraline is overwhelmingly favoured, as more than 50 mother–infant pairs have been evaluated, and milk and infant plasma levels are low to undetectable. In breastfeeding, fluvoxamine and citalopram should not be the drugs of first choice, because the long plasma half-life of the former and the detectable plasma level in new-borns for the latter may cause adverse effects in infants (Rowe et al., 2015).

Concerning BDZ, in a prospective study only 2 (1.6%) infants (2–24 months old) of 124 women taking BDZ (52% lorazepam, 18% clonazepam and 15% midazolam) were found to have central nervous system depression (Kelly et al., 2012). If these agents are used, choose a product with a short half-life and use the lowest effective dose for the shortest duration to minimise exposure.

5. Limitations

The main limitation of included studies is sample size, as documented by the quality assessment scores. Only four studies (22%) were assigned a score equal or greater than 20/31 on the Downs and Black quality scale (Downs and Black, 1998) (Table 1). The majority of the selected studies are case reports (83%, 15/18) with only three clinical trials (17%). Study design and enrolment of subjects mainly from outpatient specialty units might have limited
community-wide generalisability.

Another limitation is the inclusion of papers published only in English. The four papers included in the Other Sources section, were retrieved from the grey literature (i.e. through the internet and among the references of the potentially relevant studies), nonetheless this search has not been systematic and could results in a further bias of a review (Mahood et al., 2014). Considering the limited number of studies; the broad scope of diagnosis; types of treatment included and the diversity of study designs (including both case studies and clinical trials), the conclusions extracted cannot be easily generalised.

The main strength of this study is that it is the first systematic review of this topic, and thus includes the entire available body of scientific evidence. Further, in all included original studies the diagnosis of AnxD were consistently based on the DSM criteria and were established by trained investigators using validated assessment scales mainly with interrater reliability.
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Appendix A. MEDLINE search strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SET</th>
<th>MEDLINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pregnanc*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Perinatal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Breastfeeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sets 1–3 were combined with “OR”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Anxiety disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sets 5–6 were combined with “OR”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Therap*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Pharmacotherap*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Sets 8–10 were combined with “OR”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Sets 4, 7 and 11 were combined with “AND”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Set 12 was limited to January 2015, Humans, English language, Adolescent: 13–18 years, Adult: 19+ years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Words written in italic were used as MeSH headings, the others were used as free text.
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