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ABSTRACT

Purpose
This multicenter, open-label, phase II study evaluated the combination of bendamustine, gemcitabine, and vinorelbine (BeGEV) as induction therapy before autologous stem-cell transplantation (ASCT) in patients with relapsed or refractory Hodgkin lymphoma (HL).

Patients and Methods
Patients with HL who were refractory to or had relapsed after one previous chemotherapy line were eligible. The primary end point was complete response (CR) rate after four cycles of therapy. Secondary end points were: overall response rate, stem-cell mobilization activity, and toxicity. Progression-free and overall survival were also evaluated.

Results
In total, 59 patients were enrolled. After four cycles of therapy, 43 patients (73%) achieved CR, and six (10%) achieved partial response, for an overall response rate of 83%. The most common grade 3 to 4 nonhematologic toxicities included febrile neutropenia (n = 7) and infection (n = 4). Regarding hematologic toxicities, grade 3 to 4 thrombocytopenia and neutropenia were each experienced by eight patients (13.5%). CD34+ cells were successfully harvested in 55 of 57 evaluable patients, and 43 of 49 responding patients underwent ASCT. With a median follow-up of 29 months, the 2-year progression-free and overall survival rates for the total population were 62.2% and 77.6%, respectively. The same figures for patients undergoing autograft were 80.8% and 89.3%, respectively.

Conclusion
This phase II study demonstrates that BeGEV is an effective salvage regimen able to induce CR in a high proportion of patients with relapsed or refractory HL before ASCT. These data provide a strong rationale for further development of the BeGEV regimen.

INTRODUCTION

First-line chemoradiotherapy yields cure rates approaching 80% in patients with advanced-stage Hodgkin lymphoma (HL).1,2 Patients who are refractory to or relapse after initial therapy usually have a worse prognosis, and second-line salvage treatment programs are required as early as possible to reduce the risk of treatment failure, avoid unnecessary toxicity, and prolong survival.3 Two randomized, phase III studies conducted more than 10 years ago showed an improved failure-free survival rate with high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem-cell transplantation (ASCT) compared with conventional-dose chemotherapy.4,5 However, the efficacy of this approach has been challenged by a recent meta-analysis.3 Therefore, further investigation of the optimal therapeutic strategy in patients with relapsed or refractory HL seems warranted.6,7 Achieving complete response (CR) after induction chemotherapy administered before ASCT represents the strongest prognostic factor in
patients receiving second-line salvage chemotherapy. Thus, increasing the rate of CR achieved with induction chemotherapy represents a primary goal in patients with refractory or relapsed HL.

Our group developed the IGEV regimen, consisting of ifosfamide, gemcitabine, and vinorelbine, as pretransplantation salvage chemotherapy. In a study of 91 patients, the overall response rate (ORR) was 81%, with a 54% CR rate and no toxicity concerns. Furthermore, the IGEV regimen demonstrated excellent mobilizing potential of peripheral-blood stem cells. Bendamustine hydrochloride comprises a 2-chloroethylamine alkylating group and a benzimidazole ring similar to cladribine. Despite its structural similarities to both alkylating agents and purine analogs, the exact mechanism of action of bendamustine is unknown. Retrospective and prospective studies have shown promising activity of bendamustine monotherapy in the treatment of patients with multirelapsed HL who were ineligible ASCT or for whom ASCT had failed, with a remarkable incidence of CR (range, 25% to 35%). The use of bendamustine in combination regimens as second-line salvage chemotherapy in patients with relapsed or refractory HL has been proposed to increase the CR rate. Therefore, in this multicenter phase II study, we replaced ifosfamide in the IGEV regimen with bendamustine to evaluate this combination (BeGEV) as induction therapy before ASCT in patients with relapsed or refractory HL.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Patient Eligibility**

Consecutive patients with HL age 18 years or older who were refractory to or had relapsed after receiving one previous chemotherapy line were eligible. Refractory disease was defined as disease progression during or within 3 months of doxorubicin-based chemotherapy, and relapsed disease was defined as reappearance of disease after CR lasting 3 months or longer. Other inclusion criteria were as follows: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status $\leq 2$ or lower, at least one site of measurable nodal disease at baseline of 1.5 cm or larger, absolute neutrophil count of $1.5 \times 10^{9}/L$ or greater, platelet count of $75 \times 10^{9}/L$ or greater, and negative pregnancy test and agreement on using a method of contraception for women. Patients were excluded if they had received radiation therapy 3 weeks or less before study entry, had evidence of other malignancies or history of malignancy within the 3 years before study entry, had abnormal biochemical tests (creatinine $\geq 1.5 \times ULN$, bilirubin $\geq 1.5 \times ULN$, or AST/ALT $\geq 2.5 \times ULN$ or $\geq 5.0 \times ULN$ if transaminase elevation was due to disease involvement), or had ongoing HIV, hepatitis B virus, or hepatitis C virus infection.

**Setting and Study Design**

This was a prospective, open-label, multicenter phase II study. Ten centers from the Fondazione Italiana Linfomi (Italian Lymphoma Foundation), located all over the Italian territory, participated in this study, which started in September 2011 and ended in March 2014. The study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration; the ethical committees of the participating centers approved the study protocol. All patients signed an informed consent before inclusion.

**Study Treatment**

The BeGEV regimen consisted of gemcitabine 800 mg/m² on days 1 and 4, vinorelbine 20 mg/m² on day 1, and bendamustine 90 mg/m² on days 2 and 3. Prednisolone 100 mg per day was administered on days 1 to 4. Patients received four cycles of the BeGEV regimen administered every 21 days. Growth factor support with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) was administered at each cycle. Patients received *Pneumocystis pneumonia prophylaxis* and antimiectemias in accordance with institutional guidelines. Treatment was interrupted in case of disease progression, unacceptable toxicity, or withdrawal of consent. Patients who achieved CR or partial response (PR) after completion of the planned four cycles received myeloablative therapy with BEAM (carmustine, etoposide, cytarabine, and melphalan; $n = 20$) or FEAM (flotetumestine, etoposide, cytarabine, and melphalan; $n = 23$) followed by reinfusion of mobilized CD34+ circulating stem cells. BEAM consisted of carmustine 300 mg/m² on day 1, etoposide 200 mg/m² on days 4 to 5, cytarabine 400 mg/m² intravenously (IV) on days 5 to 7, and melphalan 140 mg/m² IV on day 1. FEAM consisted of flotetumestine 150 mg/m² IV on days 2, 5, and 7, etoposide 200 mg/m² on days 5 to 7, cytarabine 400 mg/m² IV on days 5 to 7, and melphalan 140 mg/m² IV on day 1. Both myeloablative regimens were followed by the reinfusion of at least 2 $\times 10^6$ per kilogram of body weight of CD34+ cells on day 0 and G-CSF 5 μg/kg subcutaneously per day from day 5 to day 15 or until achievement of WBC of 3,000/μL or greater for 3 days. Patients with residual lymphoma (> 1.5 cm on computed tomography [CT] scan) at 100 days after ASCT received 30-Gy involved-field radiotherapy.

**Response Criteria**

Responses were assessed according to the International Working Group response criteria. CT and [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose–positron emission tomography scans were performed before and after the fourth BeGEV cycle. According to the 2007 criteria of Cheson et al., a metabolic response was scored as CR when positron emission tomography scan results were negative on the basis of visual analysis, independent from the presence of residual masses on CT scan.

**CD34+ Cell Mobilization and Collection**

To elicit CD34+ cell mobilization, G-CSF (10 μg/kg body weight) was administered once per day beginning on day 7 and continued until completion of the target cell harvesting (3 $\times 10^6$ CD34+ cells/kg). Collection of CD34+ cells was usually performed after the first or second cycle, when circulating CD34+ cells were 10/μL or greater using a COBE Spectra separator (COBE, Lakewood, CO).

**Data Analysis**

The primary end point was CR proportion after four cycles of therapy. Secondary end points were: ORR (ie, CR plus PR), stem-cell mobilization activity, and toxicity (graded according to Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events [version 4.0]). Progression-free (PFS; calculated from first BeGEV administration to disease progression, relapse, or death, whichever occurred first, or until last disease assessment for patient alive and without progressive disease) and overall survival (OS; calculated from first BeGEV administration to death or last contact) were also evaluated. The sample size was estimated using a Fleming’s single-stage phase II design. A CR proportion of 50% or lower was considered to be clinically unworthy, whereas a proportion of 65% or higher would be assumed to be of potential interest. The drug would be recommended for further study, with a 10% rejection error (one sided) and a power of 85%, if 35 or more of the 59 total patients were to achieve CR. Data were analyzed by descriptive statistics. Differences between groups were estimated with the χ² or Fisher’s exact test as appropriate. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were estimated, and the log-rank test was used to assess survival differences. The univariable Cox proportional hazards regression model was used to calculate hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% CIs. Statistical significance was set at $P \leq 0.05$ (two sided) for all secondary evaluations. Statistical analysis was performed using STATA software (version 13; STATA, College Station, TX).
RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

Between September 2011 and March 2014, 59 patients were enrolled (Table 1; Fig 1). The median age was 33 years (range, 18 to 68 years), and 31 patients (53%) were men. Fifty-six patients (95%) had received ABVD (doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine) as first-line therapy, and three (5%) had received BEACOPP (bleomycin, etoposide, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine, and prednisolone). Overall, 27 patients (46%) were refractory to and 32 (54%) had experienced relapse after first-line treatment (22 within and 10 after 12 months). Of the 59 patients, one was not evaluable for response. This patient discontinued the study after two cycles of BeGEV because of psychiatric and behavioral problems while he was in clinical response; he was subsequently monitored and died as a result of disease progression.

Treatment Response and ASCT

By intention to treat, after four cycles of therapy, 43 patients (73%) achieved CR, and six (10%) achieved PR, for an ORR of 83% (49 of 59; Fig 1). One patient (2%) had stable disease, eight patients (14%) experienced disease progression, and one patient (2%) was not evaluable for response (Table 2). In univariable analysis, the only factor associated with a different probability of achieving CR was disease status at study entry, with CR being achieved by 84% of patients with relapsed disease and 59% of those with refractory disease (P = .031; Table 2). Of the 49 responding patients, 43 (73% by intention to treat) proceeded to ASCT (38 of 43 achieving CR and five of six achieving PR); the remaining six patients did not proceed to ASCT because of mobilization failure (n = 2), physician decision (n = 1), early relapse (n = 1), and patient refusal (n = 1).

Survival Analysis

PFS and OS Kaplan-Meier curves for all patients are shown in Figs 2A and 2B. With a median follow-up of 29.1 months (range, 3.4 to 49.1 months), the 2-year PFS and OS rates were 62.2% and 77.6%, respectively. No differences were observed when main prognostic factors were analyzed. In particular, response to first-line chemotherapy did not significantly influence prognosis, with a 2-year PFS of 62.5% versus 62.3% (P = .769) and 2-year OS of 79.7% versus 75.9% (P = .645) for patients with relapsed and refractory disease, respectively (Figs 2C and 2D). The 2-year PFS and OS rates for patients receiving an autograft during CR or PR were 80.8% and 89.3%, respectively (Figs 2E and 2F).

CD34+ Cell Mobilization and Harvesting

Fifty-seven of 59 patients were evaluable for CD34+ cell mobilization. Two patients were excluded: one because of medical decision and one for stem-cell collection before study inclusion. After BeGEV, the median peak value of CD34+ cells (89 cells/µL; range, 1 to 763 cells/µL) was recorded on day 12. Mobilization failure was detected in two (3.5%) of 57 patients; CD34+ cells were successfully harvested in 55 (96.5%) of 57 evaluable patients. Forty-two patients (76%) required one leukapheresis to harvest the planned target CD34+ cell yield (3 × 10^6 CD34+ cells/kg body weight).
our knowledge been observed using a variety of second-line salvage regimens (eg, ICE [ifosfamide, carboplatin, and etoposide],\textsuperscript{18} DHAP [dexamethasone, cisplatin, and cytarabine],\textsuperscript{19} or GDP [gemcitabine, dexamethasone, and cisplatin]\textsuperscript{20}) before ASCT. Additionally, 88% of the patients who responded to the BeGEV regimen were able to proceed to ASCT, showing 2-year PFS and OS rates of 81% and 89%, respectively.

Despite the sample size of our study, which did not allow performance of a multivariable analysis, it seems that completion of the salvage program (BeGEV plus ASCT) overcame the negative prognostic impact of disease status before BeGEV, as shown by lack of significant differences in terms of 2-year PFS and OS in patients with relapsed or refractory disease. However, future studies with larger series will be required to address this issue definitively.

The BeGEV regimen had excellent stem-cell mobilization activity, with only two mobilization failures detected in more than 57 mobilized patients. All patients experienced full hematopoietic engraftment, strongly supporting that BeGEV-mobilized CD34+ cells are fully functional. Thus, these results not only clearly demonstrate that the BeGEV regimen has potent stem-cell mobilizing activity, but also demonstrate that bendamustine does not have any detrimental effect on stem-cell mobilization or stem-cell engraftment.

BeGEV showed a favorable toxicity profile, characterized by limited occurrence of grade 3 to 4 nonhematologic and hematologic toxicities, similar to that observed with the IGEV regimen, without hemorrhagic cystitis. Even more interestingly, BeGEV was administered as an outpatient regimen, further supporting an advantage over other regimens, including IGEV,\textsuperscript{8} DHAP,\textsuperscript{14} and ICE\textsuperscript{18} regimens, which require hydration and hospitalization.

Recently, a variety of novel agents have become available for transplantation-eligible patients with HL as well as patients with relapsed or refractory HL,\textsuperscript{4,6} thus raising the question of whether to incorporate novel agents into conventional chemotherapy regimens or compare these two treatment modalities to optimize treatment strategies for relapsed and refractory HL. Unprecedented efficacy data have been reported for novel agents such as brentuximab vedotin,\textsuperscript{22-26} nivolumab,\textsuperscript{27-29} and pembrolizumab,\textsuperscript{30,31} which target tumor or microenvironmental cells through distinct mechanisms of action. Novel agents have mainly been explored in the setting of ASCT failure, and their use has resulted in CR rates that are far below those observed with the BeGEV regimen in the pretransplantation setting; in contrast, limited data are available on the use of new agents in the pretransplantation setting.
Fig 2. Kaplan-Meier curves for (A) progression-free survival (PFS) and (B) overall survival (OS) of the overall population, (C) PFS and (D) OS of patients with relapsed or refractory disease before BeGEV (bendamustine, gemcitabine, and vinorelbine), and (E) PFS and (F) OS of patients who achieved complete or partial response and underwent autologous stem-cell transplantation.
setting. Nonetheless, such cross-trial comparisons do not permit firm conclusions to be made regarding the relative efficacy of brentuximab vedotin or nivolumab as compared with the BeGEV regimen.

In conclusion, the results of this multicenter phase II study demonstrate that BeGEV is an effective salvage regimen able to induce CR in a high proportion of patients with relapsed or refractory HL before ASCT. These findings provide a strong rationale for further development of the BeGEV regimen. Because the number of novel agents that may be added in the pretransplantation therapy setting is growing, direct comparisons of combinations incorporating novel agents with BeGEV and other regimens will be necessary to identify the best salvage strategy for relapsed and refractory HL.

Table 3. Adverse Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Toxicity</th>
<th>Grade 1 and 2</th>
<th>Grade 3 and 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>8 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>8 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febrile neutropenia</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
<td>7 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>9 (15)</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>10 (17)</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin rash</td>
<td>6 (10)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST/ALT increase</td>
<td>7 (12)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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