Short Communication

Effect of acute and repeated administration of paracetamol on opioidergic and serotonergic systems in rats

M. Sandrini¹, G. Vitale¹, V. Ruggieri¹ and L. A. Pini²

¹ Dept. of Biomedical Sciences, Sec. of Pharmacology, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Via G. Campi, 287-41100 Modena, Italia, Fax: ++39 59 2055376, e-mail: Sandrini.Maurizio@unimo.it

² Dept. of Laboratories, Sec. of Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Italy

Received 10 May 2006; returned for revision 14 September 2006; accepted by G. Geisslinger 30 October 2006

Abstract. *Objective and design:* We investigated the antinociceptive effect of paracetamol or morphine after repeated administration and the changes in the characteristics of central μ -, κ - and 5-HT₂ receptors.

Treatment: Male rats were injected twice a day for seven days with paracetamol (400 mg/kg, i. p.) or morphine (5 mg/kg, s. c.).

Methods: The antinociceptive effect was evaluated 30 min after single and multiple doses of paracetamol and morphine through the hot-plate test. Binding techniques were used to evaluate the receptor characteristics in the frontal cortex.

Results: Both paracetamol and morphine induced an antinociceptive effect on day 1 but only paracetamol maintained this effect for seven days while morphine did not.

The number of μ -opioid receptors decreased on days 1, 3, and 7 by a similar percentage after paracetamol administration (by 29, 31 and 34 %, respectively), while morphine produced a progressive decrease in comparison with controls (by 37, 49 and 60 %, respectively) and κ -opioid receptors were unaffected. Both drugs similarly decreased the 5-HT₂ receptor number on all days of treatment (by about 30 %).

Conclusions: The opioidergic and serotonergic systems are involved in different ways in the induction and maintenance of antinociception after paracetamol or morphine treatment.

Keywords: Antinociception – Paracetamol – Morphine – Brain – μ -, κ - and 5-HT₂ receptors.

Introduction

The central mechanism of action of paracetamol is supported by many data both in humans and animals in different pain tests and after different routes of administration. The concentration in the central nervous system is dose dependent and comparable to that of its antinociceptive effects. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that paracetamol is able to cross the blood-brain barrier with a homogenous distribution in all brain areas [1].

The involvement of prostaglandins (PGs) in the mechanism of action of paracetamol has been proposed, taking into account the inhibition of the central cyclo-oxygenases (COX-1, COX-2 and COX-3) exerted by this drug [2–6], although the results are controversial in this regard. Moreover, interaction with many neurotransmitter systems, in particular with the serotonergic system, has been proposed to explain the effect of paracetamol: opioidergic, noradrenergic, serotonergic, cholinergic and NO-synthase systems have been studied in this regard [7, 8]. Indeed, paracetamol-induced antinociception seems to derive from the synergy between peripheral, spinal and supraspinal sites [9]. The supraspinal components identified in the mechanism of paracetamol are opioid-like and serotonergic [10, 11], the former contributing less than the latter [7], although the involvement of μ -opioid receptors in the antinociceptive action of paracetamol is still a matter of debate [12, 13]. We had previously detected an increase in serotonin concentration in the cerebral cortex and in the pons after acute paracetamol treatment. This effect is accompanied by a decrease in the central number of 5-HT₂ receptors [10].

It has been shown that the therapeutic activity of some non-opioid analgesic drugs can lead to problems of tolerance to their antinociceptive effects and it is well known that long-term administration of morphine produces tolerance to some behavioural effects, including analgesia in animals and hu-

Fig. 1. A) The antinociceptive action of paracetamol (para: 400 mg/kg, i.p.) or morphine (morp: 5 mg/kg, s.c.) in the hot-plate test. Values are expressed as means $\pm$ SEM for 8 rats per group. B) [3 H]DAMGO and C) [3 H]ketanserin binding evaluations in the frontal cortex of the rat brain: effect of treatment with paracetamol or morphine thereon. Each value represents the mean $\pm$ SEM of 8 separate experiments. $B_{\max}$ = maximum binding capacity.

* $p < 0.05$ vs. control. † $p < 0.05$ vs. para, same day. ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls test.

mans [14, 15]. The dose of paracetamol used in our present and previous works is high, when compared to its therapeutic use in humans [6], but its concentration remains below toxic levels in rats and mice [16, 17]. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that these high doses are essential in order to induce antinociceptive effects in rodents [18, 19].

A single treatment with paracetamol caused a rapid down-regulation of the 5-HT₂ receptors, while little or no evidence is present in the literature about the down-regulation of μ -receptors. Thus, the aims of the present work were to evaluate whether repeated administrations of paracetamol or morphine caused the phenomenon of tolerance to their antinociceptive effects in the hot-plate test and to assess possible changes in the characteristics of μ -, κ - and 5-HT₂ receptors in the frontal cortex of rats.

Materials and methods

Animals

Male Wistar rats, weighing 190–210 g at the beginning of the experiments, were housed in groups of two-three in controlled conditions. The ethical guidelines for the investigation of experimental pain in conscious animals were followed, and the procedures were carried out according to EC ethical regulations for animal research (EC Council 86/609; D.L. 27/01/1982, No. 116).

Drug treatment

The rats were randomly divided into groups of eight animals. They were injected either with paracetamol (400 mg/kg i.p., dissolved in vehicle, which consisted of 12.5% of 1,2-propanediol in sterile saline) or vehicle, or with morphine (5 mg/kg s.c., dissolved in saline) or saline for

seven consecutive days. The drugs were administered twice a day, at 8:00 and 18:00. The doses of paracetamol and morphine were chosen on the basis of previous dose-response experiments carried out under identical experimental conditions [10, 20]. The animals were subjected to the hot-plate test 30 min after the first drug administration on days 1, 3 and 7, each animal only being tested once. On days 1, 3 and 7, different groups of rats ($N = 8$ per group) were anaesthetised, decapitated and their brain removed immediately after pain threshold measurement. The frontal cortex was dissected and stored at -80°C until required for analysis. Additional groups of rats were tested for motor activity 30 min after the same drug treatment on days 1, 3, and 7 ($N = 8$ per group).

Motor activity

Motor activity was measured in an activity cage by means of ultrasound apparatus (Cibertec, S.A., Barcelona, Spain). The number of movements was recorded continuously between 8:00 and 11:00 a.m. in a soundproof room for 10 min, 30 min after drug injection on days 1, 3 and 7.

Hot-plate test

The hot-plate consisted in an electrically-heated surface (Socrel DS-35, Ugo Basile, Comerio, VA, Italy) kept at a constant temperature of $54 \pm 0.4^\circ\text{C}$. The latencies for paw licking or jumping were recorded for each animal. The analgesic efficacy of the drug was evaluated as a percentage of the maximum possible effect (% MPE), according to the formula $(TL - BL)/(45 - BL) \times 100$, where TL = Test Latency, BL = Baseline Latency, 45 = cut-off time, in seconds.

Binding assays

The characteristics of μ -receptors were evaluated according to the method of Hamon and co-workers (1987) [21] with minor modifications. Aliquots of membrane suspension were mixed with six concentrations

of [^3H]-D-Ala (2)-Me-Phe (4)-Gly-ol (5)-enkephalin ([^3H]DAMGO: 0.5–10 nM; specific activity, 57.5 Ci/mmol) and 1 μM naloxone for the determination of non-specific binding in a final volume of 0.5 ml.

The characteristics of κ -receptors were evaluated according to the method of Delvin and Shoemaker (1990) [22] with modifications. In saturation experiments, DAMGO (1 μM) and DSLET (5 μM) were added to each test tube to block μ - and δ -opioid sites. Membrane homogenates were added together with 0.25–8 nM [^3H]bremazocine (specific activity, 28.0 Ci/mmol) in a final volume of 0.5 ml and incubated at 37°C for 45 min. Specific binding was determined with bremazocine (10 μM). Competition experiments used eight concentrations between 0.1 nM and 100 μM unlabelled paracetamol to displace 2 nM [^3H]bremazocine.

The characteristics of 5-HT₂ binding sites were evaluated according to the method of Leysen and co-workers (1982) [23] with minor modifications, as previously described by our group [10].

Statistical analysis

The results of binding experiments were analysed according to the method of Rosenthal. The data were expressed as means $\pm$ SEM and correlated using analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Student-Newman-Keuls test. $p < 0.05$ was considered to be significant.

Drugs

Paracetamol, morphine, methysergide, naloxone and bremazocine were purchased from Sigma Chemicals Co., Milan, Italy. [^3H]ketanserin, [^3H]DAMGO and [^3H]bremazocine were obtained from Du Pont NEN, Co. Ltd, Milan, Italy.

Results

Acute treatment with either 400 mg/kg paracetamol or 5 mg/kg morphine provoked a significant and similar increase in the %MPE values. Both drugs maintained the effect also on day 3. On day 7, paracetamol had maintained its antinociceptive effect unchanged, while morphine had completely lost its effect [total ANOVA: $F(8-63) = 19.85$; $p < 0.001$] (Fig. 1A).

The motor activity of rats treated with paracetamol or morphine was compared with that of control rats on days 1, 3 and 7. No statistical difference in the total number of movements was observed between experimental and control groups [control values: 1382 ± 63 , 1369 ± 70 and 1348 ± 78 on days 1, 3 and 7, respectively; total ANOVA $F(8-63) = 0.80$, $p = 0.608$].

In the frontal cortex, on day 1, paracetamol and morphine produced a significant and similar decrease in the μ -receptor number (B_{max}). After morphine treatment, the number of μ -receptors progressively decreased from day 3 to day 7. Paracetamol administration significantly decreased the number of μ -receptors at all times: the decrease was approximately the same on all study days [ANOVA: $F(8-63) = 22.23$, $p < 0.001$] (Fig. 1B). The affinity constants (K_d) remained unchanged after any treatment [control values: 0.92 ± 0.09 , 1.07 ± 0.11 and 1.11 ± 0.14 on days 1, 3 and 7, respectively; ANOVA: $F(8-63) = 1.03$, $p = 0.476$].

The characteristics of κ -receptors were not affected by paracetamol or morphine at any time of evaluation. Moreover, paracetamol did not compete with [^3H]bremazocine binding sites (data not shown). The affinity constants did not

Table 1. Effect of single or repeated administrations of paracetamol or morphine on [^3H] bremazocine binding sites in the cerebral cortex of the rat.

Treatment	Day 1	Day 3	Day 7
Controls	B_{max} 86.8 ± 7.7 K_d 1.69 ± 0.51	B_{max} 71.9 ± 5.6 K_d 1.72 ± 0.33	B_{max} 84.7 ± 10.1 K_d 1.78 ± 0.21
Paracetamol	B_{max} 82.4 ± 14.3 K_d 1.87 ± 0.21	B_{max} 77.8 ± 10.4 K_d 1.92 ± 0.31	B_{max} 73.9 ± 19.4 K_d 1.80 ± 0.21
400 mg/kg			
Morphine	B_{max} 78.4 ± 7.2 K_d 2.01 ± 0.12	B_{max} 69.4 ± 5.7 K_d 1.64 ± 0.32	B_{max} 77.3 ± 17.3 K_d 2.03 ± 0.13
5 mg/kg			

Values are means $\pm$ SEM of 8 separate experiments. B_{max} (fmol/mg prot.) = maximum binding capacity K_d (nM) = equilibrium dissociation constant. ANOVA did not reach significance for either parameter.

change in any group [total ANOVA: B_{max} , $F(8-63) = 0.24$; $p = 0.982$; K_d , $F(8-63) = 0.23$, $p = 0.983$] (Table 1).

As shown in Figure 1C, after acute or repeated administrations paracetamol and morphine similarly decreased the number of 5-HT₂ binding sites, the effect being of the same order of magnitude on days 1, 3, and 7 [ANOVA: $F(8-63) = 15.04$; $p < 0.001$]. The affinity constants (K_d) remained unchanged after any treatment [control values: 1.34 ± 0.18 , 1.48 ± 0.07 and 1.28 ± 0.16 on days 1, 3 and 7, respectively; ANOVA: $F(8-63) = 0.66$, $p = 0.728$].

Discussion

Our data indicate a difference in the effect of paracetamol and morphine on non-inflammatory pain after repeated administrations, since morphine lost its analgesic effect while paracetamol maintained it, as also described by other authors [24].

In this experimental model both morphine and paracetamol exerted their analgesic effect without affecting motor activity.

Paracetamol decreased the number of μ -receptors in a similar way at all evaluation times, while morphine produced a time-dependent decrease: this difference may occur as a consequence of the low paracetamol affinity for μ -receptors [11] and may partially account for the lack of tolerance in the antinociceptive or biochemical effects exerted by this drug after 7 days of treatment.

Neither paracetamol nor morphine modified the characteristics of κ -receptors, suggesting that tolerance to the analgesic effect of morphine does not depend on these receptors.

Moreover, the 5-HT pathways seem to have little bearing on the phenomenon of tolerance, since the 5-HT₂ receptor change follows the same pattern after repeated administrations with both drugs, while it may be involved in their antinociceptive activity. Indeed, several studies demonstrate that systemic morphine exerts its analgesic effect, at least in part, through the serotonergic system, increasing the release of serotonin in some brain areas [25] and in synaptosomes of the rat cerebral cortex, as do also other μ -opioid agonists [26].

The opioidergic and serotonergic pathways are closely interconnected and can interact to modulate and produce

many behavioral changes, including nociception (see Mil-lan, 2002, for a review) [27].

The similar efficacy found in the acute antinociceptive effect of these two compounds at the chosen doses under our experimental conditions, despite belonging to different classes of drugs, may be explained by the fact that both can affect either the serotonergic or the opioidergic systems, although at various degrees. On the other hand, the differential influence of morphine and paracetamol on other transmitters/modulators involved in nociception could explain the diverse development of tolerance displayed by these two drugs. The involvement of supraspinal neurotransmitter systems, accompanying this phenomenon, does not exclude other mechanisms, including the interaction between PG metabolism and the serotonergic system [4], or the implication of the central cannabinoid system in the antinociceptive effect of paracetamol [28].

References

- [1] Courade J-P, Besse D, Delchambre C, Hannoun N, Hamon M, Eschali-er A et al. Acetaminophen distribution in the rat central nervous system. *Life Sci* 2001; 69: 1445–64.
- [2] Flower RJ, Vane JR. Inhibition of prostaglandin synthetase in brain explains the anti-pyretic activity of paracetamol (4-acetaminophen-ol). *Nature* 1972; 240: 410–1.
- [3] Chandrasekharan NV, Dai H, Roos KL, Evanson NK, Tomsik J, El-ton TS et al. COX-3, a cyclooxygenase-1 variant inhibited by acetaminophen and other analgesic/antipyretic drugs: cloning, structure and expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002; 99(21): 13926–31.
- [4] Graham GG, Scott KF. Mechanism of action of paracetamol. *Am J Ther* 2005; 12: 46–55.
- [5] Kis B, Snipes JA, Busija DW. Acetaminophen and cyclooxygenase-3 puzzle: sorting out facts, fictions, and uncertainties. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2005; 315(1): 1–7.
- [6] Pickering G, Lorient MA, Libert F, Eschali-er A, Beaune P, Dubray C. Analgesic effect of acetaminophen in humans: First evidence of a central serotonergic mechanism. *Clin Pharmacol & Ther* 2006; 79: 371–8.
- [7] Bjorkman R. Central antinociceptive effects of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and paracetamol. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1995; 39 suppl.103: 7–43.
- [8] Bonnefont J, Courade JP, Alloui A, Eschali-er A. Mécanisme de l'action antinociceptive du paracetamol. *Drugs* 2003; 63 SI 2: 1–4.
- [9] Raffa SB, Stone DJ, Tallarida RJ. Discovery of "self-synergistic" spinal/supraspinal antinociception produced by acetaminophen (paracetamol). *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2000; 295: 291–4.
- [10] Pini LA, Sandrini M, Vitale G. The antinociceptive action of paracetamol is associated with changes in the serotonergic system in the rat brain. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1996; 308, 31–40.
- [11] Pini LA, Vitale G, Ottani A, Sandrini M. Naloxone-reversible antinociception by paracetamol in the rat. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1997; 280: 934–40.
- [12] Pelissier T, Alloui A, Caussade F, Eschali-er A. Paracetamol exerts a spinal antinociceptive effect involving an indirect interaction with 5-hydroxytryptamine₃ receptors: *in vivo* and *in vitro* evidence. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1996; 287: 8–14.
- [13] Carlsson KH, Monzel W, Jurna I. Depression by morphine and the non-opioid analgesic agents, metamizol (dipyrone), lysine acetyl-salicylate and paracetamol, of activity in rat thalamus neurones evoked by electrical stimulation of nociceptive afferents. *Pain* 1988; 32(3): 313–26.
- [14] Honoré P, Catheline G, Le Guen S, Besson JM. Chronic treatment with systemic morphine induced tolerance to the systemic and peripheral antinociceptive effects of morphine on both carrageenin induced mechanical hyperalgesia and spinal c-Fos expression in awake rats. *Pain* 1997; 71: 99–108.
- [15] Kest B, Hopkins E. Morphine tolerance after chronic intracerebrov-entricular injection in male and female mice. *Brain Res* 2001; 892: 208–10.
- [16] Granados-Soto V, Lopez-Munoz FJ, Castaneda-Hernandez LA, Salazar JE, Villareal JE, Flores-Murrieta FL. Characterization of the analgesic effects of paracetamol and caffeine combinations in the pain-induced functional impairment model in the rat. *J Pharm Pharmacol* 1993; 45: 627–31.
- [17] Hunskaar S, Hole K. The formalin test in mice: dissociation between inflammatory and non-inflammatory pain. *Pain* 1987; 30: 103–14.
- [18] Hunskaar S, Berge OG, Hole K. A modified hot-plate test sensitive to mild analgesics. *Behav Brain Res* 1986; 21: 101–8.
- [19] Pelissier T, Alloui C, Paeile C, Eschali-er A. Evidence of a central antinociceptive effect of paracetamol involving spinal 5-HT₃ receptors. *Neuroreport* 1995; 6: 1546–58.
- [20] Sandrini M, Vitale G, Ottani A, Pini LA. The potentiation of anal-gesic activity of paracetamol plus morphine involves the seroton-ergic system in rat brain. *Inflamm Res* 1999; 48: 120–7.
- [21] Hamon M, Gozlan H, Bourgoin S, Benoliel JJ, Mauborgne A, Taquet H et al. Opioid receptors and neuropeptides in CNS in rats treated chronically with amoxapine or amitriptyline. *Neurophar-macology* 1987; 26(6): 531–9.
- [22] Delvin T, Shoemaker WJ. Characterization of κ opioid bind-ing using dynorphin_{A1–13} and U69,593 in the rat brain. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1990; 253: 749–59.
- [23] Leysen EJ, Niemegeers CJE, Van Neuten JM, Laduronm PM. [³H]ketanserine (R 41468) a selective [³H]ligand for serotonin bind-ing sites. *Mol Pharmacol* 1982; 21: 301–10.
- [24] Srikiatkachorn A, Tarabus N, Govitrapong P. Acetaminophen-in-induced antinociception via central 5-HT_{2A} receptors. *Neurochemis-try International* 1999; 34: 491–8.
- [25] Taylor BK, Basbaum AI. Systemic morphine-induced release of se-ronotonin in the rostroventral medulla is not mimicked by morphine microinjection into the periaqueductal gray. *J Neurochem* 2003; 86 (5): 1129–41.
- [26] Sbrenna S, Marti M, Morari M, Calò G, Guerrini R, Beani L et al. Modulation of 5-hydroxytryptamine efflux from rat cortical syn-aptosomes by opioids and nociceptin. *Br J Pharmacol* 2000; 130: 425–33.
- [27] Millan MJ. Descending control of pain. *Trends in Neurobiology* 2002; 66: 355–474.
- [28] Ottani A, Leone S, Sandrini M, Ferrari A, Bertolini A. The anal-gesic activity of paracetamol is prevented by the blockade of can-nabinoid CB₁ receptors. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2006; 531: 280–1.