Prevalence of olfactory and other developmental anomalies in patients with central hypogonadotropic hypogonadism
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INTRODUCTION
Hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (HH) is a heterogeneous disease caused by mutations in several genes. Based on the presence of hyposmia/anosmia it is distinguished into Kallmann syndrome (KS) and isolated HH. The prevalence of other developmental anomalies is not well established.

METHODS: We studied 36 patients with HH (31 males, 5 females, mean age 41.5), 9 with familial and 27 with sporadic HH (33 congenital, 3 adult-onset), by physical examination, smell test (BSIT Sensonics), audiometry, renal ultrasound, and magnetic resonance imaging of the olfactory structures.

RESULTS: Based on the smell test, patients were classified as normosmic (n = 21, 58.3%) and hypo/anosmic (n = 15, 41.6%). Hyposplasia/agenesis of olfactory bulbs was found in 40% of patients (10/25; 75% hypo/anosmic, 7.6% normosmic, p < 0.01, Fisher’s test). Remarkably, olfactory structures were normal in two anosmic patients, while one normosmic patient presented a unilateral hypoplastic bulb. Fourteen of 33 patients (42.4%) presented neurosensory hearing loss of various degrees (28.5% hypo/anosmic, 52.6% normosmic, p = NS). Renal ultrasound revealed 27.7% of cases with renal anomalies (26.6% hypo/anosmic, 28.5% normosmic, p = NS). At least one midline defect was found in 50% of the patients (53.3% hypo/anosmic, 47.6% normosmic, p = NS), including abnormal palate, dental anomalies, pectus excavatum, bimanual synkinesis, iris coloboma, and absent nasal cartilage. Anamnestically 4/31 patients reported cryptorchidism (25% hypo/anosmic, 5.2% normosmic, p = NS).

CONCLUSION: Hypo/anosmia is significantly related to anatomical anomalies of the olfactory bulbs/tracts but the prevalence of other developmental anomalies, especially midline defects and neurosensory hearing loss, is high both in HH and KS and independent of the presence of anosmia/hyposmia. From the clinical standpoint KS and normosmic HH should be considered as the same complex, developmental disease.
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reason (Lewkowitz-Shpuntoff et al., 2012). Previously, mutations in genes such as FGFR1, FGFR8, PROK2, and PROKR2 have been shown both in KS and in nHH, reinforcing the hypothesis of a pathophysiological overlap between these diseases. The majority of cases of HH are sporadic, but gene mutations or deletions can be detected in about 20% of cases of KS and in over 50% of cases of nHH, so that the old denomination “idiopathic” HH appears now obsolete (Simoni and Nieschlag, 2007). HH may be classified according to the severity of the pubertal defect as “complete,” if there is no evidence of puberty, or “incomplete,” if there is any evidence of sexual maturation (Burris et al., 1988). HH may also occur in adult age, commonly in the setting of infertility, in patients with completed puberty by the age of 18 years, so we can differentiate “congenital HH” and “adult-onset HH” (Nachtigall et al., 1997). HH generally requires lifelong therapy, however cases of spontaneous reversal later in life have been reported in about 10% of patients with either absent or partial puberty (Raivio et al., 2007). HH is a heterogeneous disease characterized not only by alteration of hypothalamo-pituitary-gonadal axis and/or olfactory structures but also by many developmental anomalies such as midline defects, hearing loss, renal anomalies, cryptorchidism. Only few studies reported the prevalence of these clinical features in KS patients, showing a large variability, and only rarely a comparison between KS and nHH was made (Quinton et al., 2001; Kaplan et al., 2010; Bonomi et al., 2012). In this study we assessed the phenotypical aspects of a normosmic and hypo/anosmic population of HH patients, demonstrating that, except for anatomical anomalies of the olfactory structures expectedly more frequent in hypo/anosmic patients, the other developmental defects are very common and are similarly found both in hypo/anosmic and normosmic patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

SUBJECTS

The study, partially prospective and partially retrospective, was approved by the local ethics committee and all subjects gave their written authorization to participation. From February 2009 to April 2012 we enrolled 36 patients, 31 males and 5 females (mean age 41.5), 9 with familial and 27 sporadic HH, 33 congenital, and 3 adult-onset, followed up at the Unit and Chair of Endocrinology and Metabolism of the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Italy. They fulfilled the following criteria: (1) absent or incomplete pubertal development by the age of 18 years, (2) low serum sex steroid levels with inappropriately low or normal gonadotropin levels (serum testosterone <100 ng/dl in men, primary amenorrhea in women), (3) absence of other functional pituitary deficiencies or pituitary anomalies, (4) absence of causes of secondary hypogonadism. At the time of inclusion, 24 male patients were treated with androgenic replacement therapy, five male patients with gonadotropins, two men had withdrawn the therapy since many years (one because of spontaneous reversal HH and one because of poor compliance), three female patients were treated with estrogenic drugs, one woman was never treated, and one woman had stopped transdermic estrogens since many months.

METHODS

The patients were interviewed for medical, pharmacological and familial history, cryptorchidism, and pubertal development. Each patient underwent general physical examination, including searching middle line defects such as pectus excavatum, iris coloboma, absent nasal cartilage. Ogival palate, defined as high and narrow hard palate arch, cleft/lip palate, and absence of one or more teeth were assessed by clinical oral examination and anamnestically. Patients were asked to perform movements of one hand to assess the presence of involuntary synchronous mirror image movements in the opposite hand (bimanual synkinesis). In addition the following instrumental procedures were performed: audiometry in 33 patients, renal ultrasound in 36 patients (Esaote, MyLab ™70 XVision, Bio-Tek Instruments Inc.), nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) of the olfactory structures in 25 patients (Philips Intera 3T Magnetic Resonance Imaging System). Sense of smell was tested by the Brief Smell Identification Test (BSIT Sensonics, NJ, USA), a microencapsulated odor “scratch and sniff” test with 12 items. Olfactory score of each subject was then interpreted using the age- and sex-related normative classification system described in the specific manual.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

For statistical analysis we used the software SigmaPlot (version 11.00 for Windows; Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). Fisher’s exact test was used to determine statistical significance comparing prevalence of the anomalies between the hypo/anosmic group and normosmic group. All p-values are two-sided and p < 0.01 were considered significant.

RESULTS

SMELL AND OLFACTORY STRUCTURES

Based on the smell test, patients were classified as normosmic (n = 21, 58.3%) and hypo/anosmic (n = 15, 41.6%). Hypoplasia/agenesis of olfactory bulbs was found in 10/25 patients (75% hypo/anosmic, 7.6% normosmic, p < 0.01) (Table 1): five bilateral and one unilateral hypoplasia, four bilateral agenesis. Remarkably, olfactory structures were normal in three anosmic patients, while one normosmic patient presented a unilateral hypoplastic bulb.

HEARING

Fourteen of 33 patients presented neurosensorial hearing loss of various degrees (28.5% hypo/anosmic, 52.6% normosmic, p = NS) (Table 1), three cases unilateral, more frequently of medium-mild entity and in all cases for high frequencies. Of particular interest, we found bilateral absence of acoustic stapedius reflex in two hypo/anosmic patients and unilateral absence of acoustic stapedius reflex in one normosmic patient, not associated with hearing loss.

KIDNEYS

Renal ultrasound revealed renal anomalies in 10/36 patients (26.6% hypo/anosmic, 28.57% normosmic, p = NS) (Table 1). In the normosmic group we found four cases of bilateral cysts, one case of unilateral hypoplasia, and one patient with hypertrophied column of Bertin (not considered a pathological feature but an anatomic variant); in the hypo/anosmic group we found two cases of unilateral agenesis, one case of bilateral cysts, and one case of unilateral nephrectomy because of renal cell carcinoma.
Table 1 | Percentages of developmental anomalies in HH patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Developmental anomalies</th>
<th>Total patients</th>
<th>Hypo/anosmic HH</th>
<th>Normosmic HH</th>
<th>P (Fisher’s test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypoplasia/agenesis of olfactory bulbs</td>
<td>40% (10/25)</td>
<td>75% (9/12)</td>
<td>76% (1/13)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurosensorial hearing loss</td>
<td>42.4% (14/33)</td>
<td>28.5% (4/14)</td>
<td>52.6% (10/19)</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal anomalies</td>
<td>27.7% (10/36)</td>
<td>26.6% (4/15)</td>
<td>28.5% (6/21)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midline defects (total)</td>
<td>50% (18/36)</td>
<td>53.3% (8/15)</td>
<td>47.6% (10/21)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal palate</td>
<td>44.4% (16/36)</td>
<td>40% (6/15)</td>
<td>47.6% (10/21)</td>
<td>0.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teeth agenesis</td>
<td>16.6% (6/36)</td>
<td>20% (3/15)</td>
<td>14.2% (3/21)</td>
<td>0.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supernumerary teeth</td>
<td>5.5% (2/36)</td>
<td>6.6% (1/15)</td>
<td>4.7% (1/21)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pectus excavatum</td>
<td>5.5% (2/36)</td>
<td>6.6% (1/15)</td>
<td>4.7% (1/21)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bimanual synkinesis</td>
<td>5.5% (2/36)</td>
<td>6.6% (1/15)</td>
<td>4.7% (1/21)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris coloboma</td>
<td>5.5% (2/36)</td>
<td>13.3% (2/15)</td>
<td>(0/21)</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent nasal cartilage</td>
<td>5.5% (2/36)</td>
<td>13.3% (2/15)</td>
<td>(0/21)</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptorchidism</td>
<td>12.9% (4/31)</td>
<td>25% (3/12)</td>
<td>5.2% (1/19)</td>
<td>0.272</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MIDLINE DEVELOPMENT
At least one midline defect was found in 18/36 patients (53.3% hypo/anosmic, 47.6% normosomic, p = NS): 16/36 abnormal palate, specifically 15 cases of ogival palate and 1 case of cleft-lip palate (40% hypo/anosmic, 47.6% normosomic, p = NS), 6/36 agenesis of one or more teeth (20% hypo/anosmic, 14.2% normosomic, p = NS) and 2/36 supernumerary incisors (6.6% hypo/anosmic, 4.7% normosomic, p = NS), 2/36 pectus excavatum (6.6% hypo/anosmic, 4.7% normosomic, p = NS), 2/36 bimanual synkinesis (6.6% hypo/anosmic, 4.7% normosomic, p = NS), 2/36 iris coloboma, and absent nasal cartilage (13.3% hypo/anosmic, 0% normosomic, p = NS). The latter anomalies were present together in two hypo/anosmic patient (one unilateral and one bilateral coloboma) and the patient with bilateral coloboma presented also other particular features: blepharophimosis, dystopia canthorum, oblique eye, microphthalmia, flat occipite, prognathism, bilateral clinodactyly of the fifth finger, bilateral elbow valgus.

CRYPTORCHIDISM
None of the patients was currently cryptorchid. Anamnestically 4/31 patients reported having been treated for cryptorchidism (25% hypo/anosmic, 5.2% normosomic, p = NS), bilateral in three cases and treated with surgery, and unilateral in one case treated pharmacologically. Concerning the female gonads, we found a unilateral ovarian agenesis in one normosomic patient.

DISCUSSION
Before the discovery, in the last years, of the many genes implicated in the pathogenesis of HH, some studies, designed to compare the overall severity of HH between KS and nHH patients, suggested a more severe reproductive phenotype in anosmic patients, without taking into account the clinical heterogeneity of the disease. Recent studies compared the genotype-phenotype relationships (Salehaye et al., 2008; Brioude et al., 2010; Sarfati et al., 2010), but to date the prevalence of clinical features of HH, especially of non-reproductive and non-olfactory anomalies, has not been reported in detail. Although in our study the relative small number of patients precludes really meaningful comparison between the two subgroups of patients, some comparison with the available scientific literature is possible. At odds with our results, Quinton et al. (2001) conducted the clinical evaluation of a large patient sample (170 males and 45 females) finding a phenotypic separation between KS and nHH, even if this study lacked statistical analysis. In this study, mirror movements and neurosensory deafness were observed only in KS patients, with a percentage of 31 and 8% respectively. Conversely, in our population, these anomalies were present in both groups and hearing defects were even more frequent in normosomic than in hypo/anosmic patients although without significant difference. Quinton et al. (2001) also described a prevalence of cryptorchidism nearly three times greater in KS than in nHH, despite comparable testicular volumes. As regards this anomaly in our patients, we found no significant difference between the two groups. Noteworthy, the prevalence of cryptorchidism in normosomic patients was similar to that reported in a study of a large sample of 315 HH patient in both KS and nHH patients (Bhagavath et al., 2006), and the prevalence in hypo/anosmic patients was similar to that reported in a study of Kaplan et al. (2010) in five KS patients. In a recent study, Bonomi et al. (2012) found a prevalence of cryptorchidism of 35% in KS patients and 10% in nHH patients. Pittcloud et al. (2002) reported an higher prevalence of cryptorchidism (40%) in HH patients with absent pubertal development compared with patients with some evidence of prior pubertal development (5%). We cannot compare our results to this study because our subjects were not classified according to complete or incomplete HH, since at the time of evaluation, many patients had been treated with testosterone or gonadotropins for many years.

The prevalence of at least one midline defect in our study group was high (50%) and nearly equal between hypo/anosmic and normosomic patients, while in the study of Bonomi et al. (2012) this was only 6.6% and higher in KS than in nHH patients. Abnormal palate was very frequent in both groups of our study, mainly ogival palate, however cleft-lip palate, iris coloboma, and absent nasal cartilage were found only in hypo/anosmic HH. The rarity of cleft-lip palate was in line with previous studies (Kaplan et al., 2010). We found absence of at least one tooth (lower molars and
neys tend to involute prenatally or in early childhood, therefore individuals with normal formations are reported in members of families with KS. However, cases of renal malformations, it is supposed that the KAL1 gene mutations can generate renal dysplasia as well as agenesis. In other cases, cases of renal agenesis detected in older patients may originate as multicystic dysplastic kidneys which subsequently regress. These malformed organs are often surgically removed because of the risk of hypertension and renal tumor development. In our hyp/anosmic population, we found one case of nephrectomy for renal carcinoma in a patient with several features of the Charge Syndrome (cleft-lip palate, pectus excavatum, unilateral iris coloboma, absent nasal cartilage, medium-severe neurosensory hypoacusia, unilateral cryptorchidism); according to our knowledge this is the first case of a renal cell carcinoma in a HH patient.

Koenigkam-Santos et al. (2011) recently showed that olfactory bulb and sulcus aplasia were the most common findings in KS patients and demonstrated agreement between MRI findings and the smell test, especially the presence of bulb aplasia and anosmia were consistent. Therefore, MRI of olfactory structures is important to confirm the results of smell test, even if normal olfactory bulb images have been reported in a few KS patients (Vogl et al., 1994; Quinton et al., 1996) and, conversely, one normosmic subject showed a hypoplastic left olfactory bulb (Lewkowitz-Shpuntoff et al., 2012). This disagreement between the MRI findings and the smell test was present also in our study. In fact we described three hypo/anosmic patients with normal olfactory structures and without evidence of an upper airway acquired anomaly so that other possible causes of reduced sense of smell exist. Also we assume that the normosmic subject with unilateral hypoplastic olfactory bulb may have a mild hyposmia not revealed with the smell test, or that the contralateral bulb compensates the hypoplastic bulb.

CONCLUSION

Olfactory defects are significantly related to anatomical anomalies of the olfactory bulbs/tracts but the prevalence of other developmental anomalies, especially midline defects and neurosensory hearing loss, is high both in nHH and KS independently of the presence of anosmia/hyposmia. From the clinical standpoint KS and nHH should be considered as the same complex disease. As a matter of fact, recently hyp/anosmic patients with mutations in genes previously associated only with nHH are reported in literature (Lewkowitz-Shpuntoff et al., 2012), confirming that KS and nHH are two different expression of the same pathology. Anosmia/hyposmia in the presence of apparently normal olfactory bulbs is intriguing and suggests that obvious other causes for this symptom must exist. In this respect the recent description of previously unrecognized, specific anomalies of the ethmoid bone is interesting (Maione et al., 2013). Further studies with a larger sample of patients need to confirm our results and to find other anomalies associated with this syndrome. Finally, detailed genetic analysis will allow precise genotype-phenotype characterization.
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