The efficacy of interprofessional simulation in improving collaborative attitude between nursing students and residents in medicine. A study protocol for a randomised controlled trial
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Abstract. Background: Effective collaboration among health professionals is an essential component to ensure quality of care. Many adverse events experienced by patients are attributed to misunderstanding or poor communication among members of the interprofessional team. Interprofessional simulation is a learning strategy used to improve collaboration and facilitate communication between medical and nursing students. Aim of the work: To determine the efficacy of educational program based on high-fidelity interprofessional simulation aimed at improving collaborative attitude. Method: For this purpose, a protocol for a planned single-center, non-blinded and Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT) was chosen. The present has been approved by the Ethics Committee of Area Vasta Emilia Nord (Italy) (n° 479/2018). All students attending the second and third year of nursing and all resident physicians in anesthesia, reanimation, intensive care and pain management of University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, will be recruited and randomly assigned to two groups. The Experimental Group (EG) will receive an educational intervention based on high-fidelity simulation and the Control Group (CG) will attend a traditional classroom lesson. Jefferson Scale of Attitudes toward Physician-Nurse Collaboration (JSAPNC) and Readiness for Interprofessional Learning Scale (RIPLS) will be administered before and after the educational program in both the EG and CG. Conclusion: Expected outcomes is that, at the end of the study, nursing students and resident physicians who participated in the interprofessional simulation show significantly higher levels of interprofessional collaboration compared to the CG, evaluated through the JSAPNC.
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Introduction

Effective collaboration among health professionals is an essential component to guarantee safety and quality in health care (1-5). According to several authors, many errors and adverse events are frequently correlated to poor quality of communication between the members of the interprofessional team and to poor
teamwork skills (6-13). In contrast, the Interprofessional Collaborative Practice (ICP) promotes the reduction of care-associated infections, hospital readmissions and patient mortality (14-17). Recent studies highlight that highly efficient teamwork among health care professionals is associated with increased patient satisfaction, with reduced costs for the healthcare system and with a lower turnover of health staff members (13, 18-21). The ICP can be defined as a decision-making process between two cooperating roles, such as physician and nurse, characterized by interdependence, trust, respect, open communication and shared responsibilities in order to promote and improve patient care (22-26). In the healthcare settings, where patient outcomes are influenced by effective communication and interprofessional collaboration, there is a need for better interdisciplinary teamwork preparation of future professionals (3, 27, 28). Despite the fact that physicians and nurses work together, their academic courses are separate and the training in effective strategies of communication and care participation is often postponed to the future professional practice (29, 30). On the contrary, Interprofessional Education (IPE) is considered by important international organizations [Institute of Medicine (US), World Health Organization, Joint Commission (US), Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US)] as an essential educational requirement in the health professional curricula (31-34). IPE has been defined as occasions “where students from two or more professions in health and social care learn together during all or part of their professional training with the object of cultivating collaborative practice for providing improved quality of care” (35, 36). According to the literature, students trained to use the IPE approach more likely become members of collaborative teams where the autonomy and the role of each profession are recognized (2, 37). In addition, IPE programs prevent the development of negative attitudes and prejudices and increase the importance of teamwork both in physicians and nurses (4, 5, 37-43). Medical students scored lower on readiness for IPE than nursing students, but they presented higher need for collaboration (44).

The use of simulation or standardized patients in IPE had a positive effect on readiness for IPE (45).

Clinical simulation is an interdisciplinary teaching strategy that can be used to facilitate the ICP and to improve communication skills between medical students and nurses in a skill lab context (13, 46-48). Simulation is an activity that allows replication of clinical care in a safe environment for students, without the pressure and the complexity of a real care environment, improving the integration of theory and practice (49-53). Traineeship and competency development are essential parts of clinical teaching (54) and realistic simulation can be an effective training method, especially if it is supported by the presence of trained instructors (55, 56). The simulation environment is therefore a controlled and low-risk pedagogical context, where the students, supported by a tutor, can feel free to learn without putting patients at risk (48). The necessity to guarantee reliable and safe treatment to the assisted people means that the simulation has acquired an increasingly important role in university education (57, 58). Being actively involved in the simulation process can provide a learning integration, which combines the theoretical foundations with clinical practice, favoring critical and thoughtful thinking in the students (59-63). In the simulation laboratory, students can develop technical and communication, problem solving and decision making skills (53, 61, 64-68). The benefits of the simulation procedure were analyzed by a meta-analysis and a systematic review, which showed that, compared to traditional learning methods, simulation improves students’ knowledge, skills and behavior and, consequently, patients’ treatment and care (66, 69). The scenarios planned for the simulated health activities create the conditions favoring teamwork and integration among professions in order to improve care programs and paths (70). Although some authors report cases of efficient interprofessional simulations, many questions are still open about the feasibility, acceptability, efficacy and changes in attitudes of the students who participate in simulations (71). Moreover, in many cases the effectiveness of these educational interventions has been evaluated without the necessary psychometric assessment (72). Since the interprofessional simulation requires a significant use of economic and human resources, its adoption can be justified only if trainers implement valid and reliable outcome measures (73). An integrative recent review (2018) on the use of interprofessional learning and simulation in undergraduate nursing programs concluded
that the IPE and simulation, used together, show a positive impact on the development of communication skills and collaboration of nursing students (74). The authors concluded that, although among the eight included research studies none were randomized and controlled, meticulous methodology of studies could have minimized biases, predominantly due to the use of convenience sampling. Also the systematic review of Rutherford-Hemming & Lioce (2018) suggested that more studies with rigorous designs are needed to compare outcomes of IPE (75). Finally, Labrague et colleagues conclude their review by observing that an important aspect that warrants attention is the lack of RCT studies, 96% of the studies were not RCTs, therefore, one may question whether the interprofessional simulation caused significant improvement in interprofessional competencies or such changes were caused by other factors (76).

In the 2016–2017 Academic Year (A.Y.), a sample composed of three student groups of the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia 108, nursing students, 18 students of obstetrics and 20 residents in the School of Specialization in Pediatrics, participated in an interprofessional training program aimed at developing collaborative attitudes (77). The training intervention consisted of a preparatory meeting, a high-fidelity simulation “Reanimation of a new-born infant in a neonatal island” and a debriefing. The effects of the simulation were measured through the Jefferson Scale of Attitudes towards Physician-Nurse Collaboration (JSAPNC) (78), which showed a significant increase in the attitude of interprofessional collaboration only among nursing students but not in the other two groups of participants. The results of this study, which reports one of the first Italian experiences of simulated interprofessional didactics, suggest the value of simulation in improving interprofessional cooperative attitude, even though the low number of obstetric students and resident physicians may have influenced the lack of significant results (77).

In line with the literature, which promotes the research of strong experimental evidence of the effect of IPE combined with simulation activities (79), the aim of the present study is to evaluate the attitude of collaboration between nursing students and resident physicians in anesthesia, reanimation, intensive care and pain management, through an interprofessional simulation. The assumption is that, at the end of the study, nursing students and resident physicians in specialist training who participated in the interprofessional laboratory based on a high-fidelity simulation, show significantly higher levels of collaborative attitudes than the group of nursing students and resident physicians who participated in the standard education.

Method

Study design

This study protocol describes the design of a single-center, non-blinded, parallel-group RCT (1:1 ratio). A randomized, controlled, monocentric study will be carried out, involving two parallel groups of students, the Experimental Group (EG) and the Control Group (CG): in both groups, the interprofessional collaboration will be measured before (T0) and after (T1) their education session based on high-fidelity simulation for EG and traditional classroom lesson for CG. The study will be conducted at the Advanced Training and Medical Simulation Center of the Faculty of Medicine and Surgery of the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia and will last 12 months, starting from 1 October 2018.

The study protocol is consistent with the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials (SPIRIT) and conforms with the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) statement for reporting RCTs (80, 81).

Study population

The students attending the 2nd and 3rd year of the nursing course and the resident physicians of the school of specialization in anesthesia, reanimation, intensive care and pain management, of the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, during the 2018–2019 A.Y., will be considered eligible and invited to participate in the study. All members will be informed of objectives and methods of the study. Their participation will be voluntary. All participants will be asked to sign an informed consent.
Inclusion criteria

- Enrollment in the second or third year of the nursing course for nursing students in the 2018-2019 A.Y.,
- Enrollment in one of 5 years of the specialization school in anesthesia, reanimation, intensive care and pain management in the 2018-2019 A.Y.

Exclusion criteria

- Irregularity in the payment of university fees for both nursing students and residents,
- Refusal of written informed consent.

After having agreed to participate in the study, nursing students and residents will be allocated to one of the two groups (EG and CG) through a randomization list generated by software (Figure 1). The study will be conducted in the 2018-2019 A.Y. (from 1-10-2018 to 30-9-2019).

Randomization and allocation concealment

The randomization list, stratified by gender and study course attended, will be generated by software (R v3.5.1), in order to randomly assign the participants to the intervention group and the control group, in a ratio of 1:1. Randomization will be performed by an external statistician, not involved in training or data collection. Student recruitment will be completed before randomization. The allocation will be sealed on an envelope and held by a study assistant who is blinded to the subsequent allotment.

Intervention

The EG will participate in an experimental intervention based on high-fidelity simulation at the Advanced Training and Medical Simulation Center, realized by Faculty facilitators who are experts in this methodology. The members of the EG will be 6 nursing students and 2 residents. Before the simulation, the topic of interprofessional collaboration will be introduced and, afterwards, this group will be divided into two further subgroups, the first consisting of second year nursing students and residents attending the first three years of specialization, the second one consisting of third year nursing students and residents attending the last two years of specialization. All EG participants will receive an interprofessional training session in the laboratory using high-fidelity simulation, which provides two different scenarios, one after the other, in which the two sub groups will be involved. The first scenario, addressed to the first subgroup, will simulate the conditions of a patient admitted to a surgery unit who, after a surgical operation, presents a modification in his mental state, showing symptoms of acute cognitive deterioration. General clinical conditions, vital parameters and laboratory tests will suggest the evolution of a septic state. Nursing students should be able to recognize changes in mental status of the patient and in his vital signs and alert the medical doctor and, on his indication, take a blood sample. In the same simulation, the residents must be able to formulate a first diagnostic suspicion. After having formulated the diagnosis, the residents will prescribe a therapy and transfer the patient to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU). Following this first scenario, a Faculty facilitator will implement a debriefing session in both subgroups in order to deal with the issues highlighted by this first simulation.
The second subgroup, after having observed the first simulation described above through a video link, will participate in the debriefing session and, afterwards, accept the patient in ICU and provide him with intensive monitoring and supportive therapies for vital functions, after having considered the necessity of mechanical ventilator support. Nursing students and residents will take into consideration the aforementioned procedures and treatments in accordance with their professional competency. The handover between the two subgroups will have to take place in a standardized way, including a “patient” evaluation through the Confusion Assessment Method in ICU (CAM-ICU) and a passage of information through the Situation, Background, Assessment and Recommendation (SBAR) instrument.

At the end of the second simulation, a new debriefing session will be conducted by the same Faculty facilitator of the first session with all the participants in the two scenarios of simulation.

Control

The CG will receive a standard training intervention, consisting in a traditional classroom using lectures and powerpoint presentation lesson on the topic of interprofessional collaboration.

Questionnaires

To measure the attitude in interprofessional collaboration, the following scales will be administered to the participants of both groups before and after the training:

1. Jefferson Scale of Attitudes toward Physician-Nurse Collaboration (JSAPNC) (78, 82), a self-report questionnaire already validated in a sample of Italian medical doctors and nurses with a Cronbach alpha of 0.70 (83). The most recent version of JSAPNC consists of 15 items with answers concerning participants’ degree of agreement/disagreement on a 4-point Likert scale (from 1 = strongly disagree to 4 = strongly agree). The score ranges from a minimum of 15 to a maximum of 60 points; the higher the score, the greater the attitude of collaboration. Items in each of the four factors are as follow:

   a) Shared education and collaboration (1, 3, 6, 9, 12, 14, 15);
   b) Caring versus curing (2, 4, 7);
   c) Nurse’s autonomy (5, 11, 13);
   d) Physician’s authority (8, 10).

2. Readiness for Interprofessional Learning Scale (RIPLS) (84), validated and adapted to the Italian educational context by Sollami and Colleagues, with a Cronbach alpha of 0.92 (85). This questionnaire has been widely used in literature to measure the readiness and attitudes of students in health professions towards the IPE. This scale consists of 10 items with a Likert 5-point scale (from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree).

3. A short anonymous questionnaire to investigate few socio-demographic variables (age, gender, high school diploma, year of university course).

At the end of interprofessional simulation, the Educational Practices Questionnaire (EPQ) will be administered only to the participants in the EG in order to evaluate perceptions of educational best practices’ presence and importance in simulation (86). The Italian version of this scale presented a Cronbach alpha of 0.95 (56). It consists of 16 items to which the participants respond through a Likert 5-point scale (from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree). The questionnaire is divided into the following sub-scales: “Active learning” (10 items) measuring opportunities for active learning and participation in simulation; “Collaboration” (2 items) measuring opportunities for working together during simulation; “Learning diversity” (2 items) measuring opportunities for learning material in simulation and “High Expectation” (2 items) measuring objectives and expectations presented during simulation (86). Higher scores represent greater recognition of best educational practice in simulation.

Data collection procedure

To guarantee anonymity in completing the questionnaires and to allow a comparative analysis of the data, the students will be invited to put on the questionnaires a code known only to themselves. All participants will be asked to anonymously complete the investigative questionnaires, before and after the sim-
ulation. Anonymity regarding the pre- and post-test will be guaranteed by assigning a code. The operator who inserts the data of the questionnaires in the file for analysis will not be aware of the groups to which the nursing student and resident physician belongs.

**Expected outcomes**

The expected primary outcome is that, at the end of the study, nursing students and residents who participated in the interprofessional simulation show significantly higher levels of interprofessional collaboration compared to the CG, evaluated through the JSAPNC.

The secondary outcomes are represented by an improved readiness for interprofessional education, evaluated by RIPLS, in the EG compared to the CG and, only for EG, the effectiveness of simulation training, evaluated by EPQ.

**Sample size and statistical study power**

In accordance with the data collected in a pilot study focused on pre-post intervention, without a control group, performed in the same training context (Nursing students and resident physicians of the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia in the 2016-2017 A.Y.), with an identical primary outcome (77), we expected to detect a difference of 2 points in the mean JSAPNC scale scores between the EG and CG. Assuming a minimum difference between the EG and CG of 2 points in the mean JSAPNC scale scores, on the average total value of the JSAPNC scale, and a variance of 35, with an alpha error of 0.05 and a power of at least 0.80, the minimum sample to be enrolled in the present study is 153 students per group.

**Data collection, management and analysis**

The analysis of JSAPNC and RIPLS score variation will be conducted within the EG and CG at T0 and T1; from this comparison, it is expected that the difference between EG and CG at T0 is not present or is not statistically significant, while at T1 it is statistically significant. Descriptive statistics such as frequency, mean and standard deviations will be used to summarize the socio-demographic characteristics of the participants and the JSAPNC, RIPLS and EPQ scores. Statistical comparisons between T0 and T1 of both JSAPNC and RIPLS mean scores will be applied using the Student’s t-test or the ANOVA, when appropriate. The comparison of categorical variables between groups will be performed through the chi-square test or Fisher test, when appropriate. Results will be calculated by software R v3.5.1 (87). A p<0.05 value is defined statistically significant. All randomised participants will be included in the intention to treat analysis.

**Ethical considerations**

This study has been approved by the Ethics Committee of Area Vasta Emilia Nord (Italy) (n° 479/2018) and will be conducted in agreement with the Helsinki declaration. Informed consent will be obtained before the students’ and residents’ participation in the study. All eligible participants will be informed of the purpose and characteristics of the study and of the proposed teaching interventions and will receive a clear informative written document, explaining the design, aims and procedure of the study. The investigator will present the study to the students and answer any questions. Each student will be given the opportunity to discuss the study with other people and will have at least one day to reflect before giving informed consent. The students and residents who sign the consent will be informed that participation in the study is voluntary and that they can withdraw their consent to participate at any time they wish and this will not affect training. The data collected will be reserved and used in compliance with the current legislation on the protection of sensitive data and privacy regulations. The investigator is the data processor, pursuant to EU Regulation 2016/679. The investigator will guarantee, at every stage of the study, monitoring, verification, review by the Ethics Committee and regulatory authorities, providing direct access to both the data and the original documents.

**Strengths and limitations of this study**

- This study addresses an important gap regarding utilizing of robust methods of research and reliable assessment methods.
- Randomised controlled trial design minimizes risk of selection bias.
- The power of the study was calculated in order to reach a potential statistical significance.
- Single-center study design may limit the generalizability of the study.
- Owing to the type of intervention,blinding of the nursing students and residents physicians is not possible.
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