Anhedonia in schizophrenia: The role of subjective experiences
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Abstract

Background: High levels of anhedonia have been found in patients with schizophrenia; specifically they report higher levels of social anhedonia rather than physical anhedonia, and further, in the anticipatory rather than consummatory facets of pleasure. Nonetheless, contrasting results emerged regarding the underlying mechanisms of this deficit. Basic Symptoms (BS) disturb subjective experiences present for most of the illness’ course; this impacts patients’ daily lives leading to a loss of the ability to organize the experience of the self and the world in a fluid and automatic way. Considering the role played by negative emotions in the subjective evaluation of anhedonia, the aim of the study is to clarify the role of BS in the assessment of anhedonia in a sample of patients with schizophrenia (n = 53) compared with healthy controls (n = 46).

Methods: Participants completed a self-administered trait questionnaire evaluating social anhedonia (Revised-Social Anhedonia Scale), physical anhedonia (Physical Anhedonia Scale), and the consummatory and anticipatory pleasure experiences (Temporal Experience of Pleasure Scale). BS were evaluated with the Frankfurter Beschwerde-Frageboden (FBF) whereas psychopathology was assessed with the Positive and Negative Syndromes Scale.

Results: Patients scored higher than healthy controls in social, physical and anticipatory anhedonia, but not in consummatory anhedonia and these relationships were mediated by the FBF. Basic Symptoms of Memory, Overstimulation and Lack of Automatism were related to some facets of anhedonia, independently from depressive symptoms.

Conclusions: We hypothesize that a subjective cognitive deficit and a reduced ability in information processing, could prevent patients from retaining a positive experience from past pleasant activities. Therefore the lack of pleasure would be, at least in part, related to an avoidance of potentially stressful new scenarios.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The first descriptions of the “failure in the ability to experience pleasure” date back to the XIX century [1–3], but it was the French psychologist Ribot [4] that, in 1896, coined the term anhedonia, in contrast to analgesia, to describe the inability to experience pleasure. The multiple meanings of anhedonia in literature reflect the ambiguous definition of pleasure and the fact that pleasure has always been associated with seeking behaviors [5]. The evaluation of hedonic ability in psychiatry considered both the nature of the stimulus (i.e. physical or social) and the reward-related mechanisms (i.e. wanting or liking) [6–9]. Moreover, pleasure associated with future events could be divided into anticipated (i.e. pleasure expected to be experienced in the future) and anticipatory (i.e. pleasure experienced in the immediate present, imagining future events) [10]. Cognitive mechanism may be involved in anticipated pleasure whereas the anticipatory one relies more on emotional features [11,12].

The first time that the word anhedonia appeared was within a description of Schizophrenia by Pascal [13], although an impairment in the ability to experience pleasure has always been associated with Kraepelin [14] and Bleuler’s [15] description of schizophrenia and specifically with the affective flattening. Its role as a distinctive characteristic of schizophrenia was initially hypothesized...
by Myerson [16], but it is only with Rado [17] and Meehl [18] that it acquires a central position in the pathogenesis of the disorder.

Most of the studies observed higher levels of anhedonia in patients with schizophrenia, specifically for social rather than physical experiences [19,20], compared to healthy subjects [21–27]. Furthermore, literature agrees that ‘wanting’, more than ‘liking’, is impaired in Schizophrenia [28–31] even in the early phases of the disorder [32]. A limitation of these studies evaluating anhedonia in patients with schizophrenia is the method of its assessment. On the one hand, some of the scales used (i.e. the Anhedonia–Asociality subscale of the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms, SANS and the Passive/apathetic social withdrawal score at Positive and Negative Syndromes Scale, PANSS) [33,34] chiefly evaluate the behavioral facets of anhedonia, relying on the assumption that the reduction of involvement in pleasant stimuli reflects only an emotional disturbance [35], although anergia, abulia, social anxiety and intentional avoidance of stressful situations could reduce engagement in pleasant situations [36–39].

On the other hand, self-report trait questionnaires (e.g. the Revised-Social Anhedonia Scale, R-SAS; the Physical Anhedonia Scale, PAS; the Temporal Experience of Pleasure Scale, TEPS; and the Snith Hamilton Pleasure Scale, SHAPS) [40–43] aimed at measuring a more subjective anhedonia, do not capture how some negative emotions affect the patients’ score [44,45].

Moreover, in patients with schizophrenia, a discrepancy has been observed between the ability to experience pleasure as assessed by questionnaires (i.e. expressive anhedonia) and that reported in laboratory studies and via experience sampling method (i.e. experiential anhedonia). In fact, levels of positive emotion were similar to those of healthy subjects when providing reports of current feelings, but lower when reporting their noncurrent feelings through representative or retrospective interviews [12,45,46]. Remarkably, in the evaluation of current feelings, patients with schizophrenia showed higher levels of negative emotions [35,47] anyway. A peculiar dimension of schizophrenic psychopathology that, by definition, is associated with negative emotions, is the subtle experienced disturbances in drive, affect, thinking, speech, (body) perception, motor action, central vegetative functions and stress tolerance [48]. Patients with schizophrenia experience these disturbing subjective symptoms for most of the illness’ course [48].

The first detailed investigations of patient subjective experience in schizophrenia began in the 50s with the studies by Chapman [49] and Huber [50], the latter on the basis of Stillwold’s observations on motor disorders [51].

Among these experiences, the most studied are Basic Symptoms: uncharacteristic elementary experiences, confined to the subjective sphere, that are “not behavioural, but experiential in kind” [52] and are the manifestation of disorders in information processing [53] and considered the direct consequence of the neuropathological defect [49,54]. They are present in the pre-, intra- and post-psychotic phases of the disorder.

Schneiderian’s first rank symptoms and Negative symptoms hail from these pre-existing disorders along a continuum of psychopathological evolution as an interaction between the personological matrix and coping mechanism [55].

A way in which patients could cope with basic symptoms is the avoidance of new scenarios, which in turn, could evoke them. This is reflected by an impairment in the subject’s global functioning [53].

Basic Symptoms may therefore affect a patient’s ability to anticipate pleasant events, recalling them and maintaining a hedonic tone. This could result in ambivalent experiences [56,47] that could in part justify the patients’ higher scores in questionnaires assessing trait anhedonia.

The aim of the study is to evaluate whether patients with schizophrenia are more anhedonic than healthy controls in self-report trait questionnaires and if this relationship is mediated by Basic Symptoms.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample recruitment and procedure

The study sample was comprised of 53 patients with schizophrenia recruited among the outpatients service in a Community Mental Health Service and 46 healthy controls (HCs). Exclusion criteria for study participation were: 1) being younger than 18 or older than 65 years old; 2) cognitive impairment (MMSE <25) [57] or language barriers interfering with the capacity to reliably answer diagnostic interviews or questionnaires; 3) evidence of substance use disorder; 4) and in the HC group the presence of an axis I or II diagnosis according to DSM-IV-TR diagnostic criteria.

After giving informed consent all patients were interviewed by an expert psychiatrist (R.F.). The study protocol has been approved by the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and according the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Axis I and II pathology

Current psychiatric disorders were assessed with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I disorders Research Version (SCID-I/P-RV) [58].

Psychopathology severity was assessed by means of the Positive and Negative Syndromes Scale (PANSS) [34] and, in the patient group, depressive symptoms were evaluated with the Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia (CDSS) [59]. PANSS consists of three different subscales: positive, negative and general psychopathology. All participants were clinically stable, being defined as no inpatient hospitalization within three months of study participation; in the same way, none of them underwent changes in therapy in the aforementioned time interval. We adopted the CDSS, rather than other instruments to assess depression because it
showed the best divergent validity (i.e. most accurately differentiated between depressive symptoms from other symptoms of schizophrenia) [60].

In the HC group current depressive symptoms and personality disorders were excluded with the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAD score < 8) [61] and with the Structured Interview for DSM-IV Personality (SIDP-IV) [62], respectively. HCs had no history of psychiatric disorder (i.e. medication prescription, psychotherapy or previous hospitalization); moreover they underwent a psychiatric interview that excluded the presence of current and past psychiatric disorders.

2.2.2. Anhedonia

All subjects completed the Physical Anhedonia Scale (PAS) [40], Revised Social Anhedonia Scale (R-SAS) [41] and the Snaith–Hamilton Pleasure Scale (SHAPS) [42]. Moreover, they filled out the Temporary Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS) [43] that assesses the ability of experiencing pleasure on two subscales, anticipatory and consummatory, with lower scores reflecting higher levels of anhedonia.

2.2.3. Subjective experiences

Subjective experiences have been evaluated with the Italian version of the Frankfurt Complaint Questionnaire. Several rating scales for measuring subjective experiences have been published [63] and among these the Frankfurter Beschwerde- Frageboden (FBF) [64], is one of the most frequently used. It covers a great variety of cognitive complaints involving attention, perception, memory, thinking, language, movement and emotions. FBF is a self-administered 98-item true/false questionnaire that evaluates the presence of Basic Symptoms and has been developed from verbal statements originally made by patients with schizophrenia. The latest version (the third) contains 98 items concerning a wide range of dysfunctions clustered in 10 subscales, these being: Loss of Control (KO), which includes both volitional and cognitive aspects; Simple Perception (WAS), which deals with possible sensorial disturbances; Complex Perception (WAK), which involves polysensory perception (e.g. synesthesias); Language Disorder (SP), which involves difficulties in both the receptive and expressive facets of language; Thought Disorder (DE), which refers to interference, barrages and other impairment in the flow of thoughts; Memory (GED), which refers to both short-term and more structured long-term memory disturbances; Motility (MO), which evaluates subtle disturbances in motor control or kinesthesias; Lack of Automatization (AU), which explores the loss of some automatic functions and the consequent need to reflect even on some usually natural activities; Anhedonia–Anxiety (AN), which includes several dynamic deficits in drive, affect and emotional resonance; and finally, Overstimulation (REI), which reflects the lower threshold of dealing with stressful events, so that even daily activities could lead to disturbing experiences.

Typical FBF items are as follows: “I’m not able to protect myself sufficiently, everything has too much impact on me” (item 89); “Doing simple daily tasks, I have to think carefully about what comes first and what comes next” (item 38); “I often notice that I cannot remember what I just said or just did” (item 73); “I have many gaps in my memory; many things I knew have disappeared” (item 8).

Subjective Experiences measured with the FBF have shown to constitute a dimension that is independent and distinct from other psychopathological dimensions [65] with unidimensional psychometric properties [66] and high internal consistency [67,68].

2.2.4. Global functioning

Global functioning in the whole sample has been assessed with the Personal and Social Performance Scale (PSP) [69], a clinician-administered measure, containing the four main areas: socially useful activities, personal and social relationships, self-care, as well as disturbing and aggressive behaviour.

2.3. Statistical analyses

Internal consistency of TEPS has been calculated and a partial confirmatory factor analysis (PCFA) [70] has been performed on the Italian Version of TEPS [71], since to our knowledge it has never been administered to a clinical sample.

We first assessed the normal distribution of variables through the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. CDSS (D = 0.193, p = <.001), SHAPS (D = 0.262, p = <.001), TEPS consummatory subscale (D = 0.174, p = .001), R-SAS (D = 0.150, p = <.001) and PSP (D = 0.129, p = .033) were non-normally distributed.

The internal consistency of the TEPS was acceptable in the whole sample (Cronbach’s alpha = .802) and in the two groups (Cronbach’s alpha in Healthy Controls = .806; Cronbach’s alpha in Patient Group = .784) as much as its subscales (Cronbach’s alpha for the Anticipatory subscale = .700; Cronbach’s alpha for the Consummatory subscale = .711). A lower alpha has been detected in the patient group for the Anticipatory subscale (Cronbach’s alpha = .646). The results of the partial confirmatory factor analysis (PCFA) confirmed a two factor structure (KMO = .705; Bartlett’s test of sphericity: p < .001; Good-fit-test: Chi-squared = 165.14; df = 118; p = .003; CFI = 0.90; RMSEA = 0.06).

The differences among groups were evaluated using Student’s t test for continuous variables with a normal distribution (i.e. age, scores at TEPS anticipatory subscale, PAS) and with Mann–Whitney U for non-normally distributed values (i.e. CDSS, SHAPS, TEPS consummatory subscale, R-SAS, PANSS and PSP) and χ2 for categorical variables (i.e. gender, education, employment and living status).

Similarly Pearson’s and Spearman’s correlations have been calculated, where appropriate, between the anhedonia scales (PAS, R-SAS, TEPS Anticipatory and Consummatory
subscales, SHAPS), subjective experiences (FBF) and global functioning (PSP). The correlations between anhedonia scores and general psychopathology (PANSS subscales, CDSS) have been performed in the patient group only. We next evaluated in the whole sample (n = 97) whether subjective experiences (supposed mediator) mediate the relationship between a diagnosis of Schizophrenia (independent variable) and all the anhedonia scales (outcome variable) using Hayes’ bootstrapping procedure for conditional effects (SPSS PROCESS macro, Model #4) [72]. This procedure makes no assumption about the normality of the data and is more tolerant of a small sample size by utilizing 5000 bootstrap resamples to estimate a 95% confidence interval for a particular effect. We excluded from the mediation analysis the score at the negative subscale of the PANSS, although significantly different between the two groups, because its scores in the HC were nil (mean = 7.00; SD = .00) and its items include to some extent anhedonia. Furthermore, we did not consider ‘global functioning’ and ‘years of education’ as covariates as they are almost implied in the diagnosis of Schizophrenia.

Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA with Bonferroni correction), within the patient group, was used to evaluate whether the difference in FBF subscales between anhedonics and non-anhedonics at SHAPS, PAS and R-SAS remained after controlling for the effect of state measures (i.e. CDSS). Since a clear cut-off has not been established for the Anticipatory subscale of TEPS, we performed a linear regression (enter-method) to evaluate which FBF subscale predicted anticipatory anhedonia (TEPS Anticipatory subscale as dependent variable) controlling for depressive symptoms (CDSS entered in the first block).

We carried out all the analysis using SPSS software (version 20.0, IBM SPSS Statistics).

3. Results

3.1. Sample characteristic

Fifty-three patients with schizophrenia and 46 HCs participated in the study. All the socio-demographic clinical variables of the two groups are shown in Table 1.

In patients with schizophrenia the mean age at onset was 23.56 (sd = 5.77) and the mean duration of illness was 16.39 (sd = 9.84). They received a diagnosis of Paranoid (n = 40; 75.5%), Disorganized (n = 5; 9.4%) or Undifferentiated (n = 8; 15.1%) Schizophrenia. Twenty-five patients were taking an FGA (47.2%), 26 an SGA (49%) and two (3.8%) a combination of an FGA and a SGA.

In the HC group the mean score at HAM-D was 0.57 (sd = 1.51) whereas in the patient group the mean CDSS score was 2.68 (sd = 3.16).

3.2. Anhedonia

The only clinical variable in which patients with schizophrenia did not score differently from HCs was the consummatory subscale of the TEPS, confirming higher anhedonia levels in the patient group and specifically as lower anticipatory pleasure.

TEPS subscales strongly correlated with the other anhedonia scales, global functioning and, within the patient group only, with the negative symptoms at PANSS (Table 2).

Differences between male and female have been found for TEPS consummatory subscale (male = 4.36 ± .87; female = 4.82 ± .87; U = 815; z = −2.67; p = .008), specifically in the HC group (male = 4.34 ± .84; female = 5.06 ± .62; U = 133; z = −2.89; p = .003), and for SHAPS (male = 1.5 ± 2.42; female = .84 ± 1.85; U = 892.5; z = −2.36; p = .018). Age correlated significantly with TEPS anticipatory subscale only (ρ = −.218; p = .031).

3.3. Predictors of anhedonia

In the whole sample the Mediation Analysis (model #4) showed a significant indirect effect of Schizophrenia on Anhedonia (i.e. SHAPS, TEPS Anticipatory Subscale, R-SAS and PAS) through subjective experiences as measured at FBF total score with a relatively strong effect across different scales (18%–27%) (Fig. 1).

Spearman correlations between the FBF subscales and the four aforementioned anhedonia scales were always significant; nonetheless, the highest values have been found between ‘PAS’ and ‘R-SAS’ with ‘FBF Overstimulation’ (PAS: ρ = .506; p < .001; R-SAS: ρ = .595; p < .001) and then between ‘SHAPS’ and ‘TEPS anticipatory subscale’ with ‘FBF Memory’ (SHAPS: ρ = .461; p < .001; TEPS Anticipatory Subscale ρ = −.366; p < .001).

We then divided the patient group into anhedonics and non-anhedonics, according to each scale cut-off (SHAPS: cut off = 3; R-SAS: cut off = 12; PAS: cut off = 18) [40–42,73]. The mean score at the negative subscale of PANSS in the two groups was not significantly different, confirming previous results [26] and supporting the bimodal distribution of anhedonia in schizophrenia [74].

Differences in FBF subscales have then been computed with the abovementioned dichotomization co-varying for the CDSS (Table 3). The differences between the two groups (anhedonics vs non-anhedonics) remained significant for FBF Memory according to SHAPS cut-off and for FBF Overstimulation, Lack of Automatism and Anhedonia–Anxiety subscales according R-SAS cut-off, suggesting a more stable (trait) effect of these dimensions on Anhedonia scales.

At the linear regression no FBF subscale predicted the TEPS anticipatory score after controlling for CDSS.

4. Discussion

In this study, we evaluated the anhedonic features in a sample of patients with schizophrenia matched with a group of healthy controls.
Patients resulted more anhedonic than healthy controls in physical, social and anticipatory anhedonia, confirming previous results [19–32]. The higher anhedonia levels in the schizophrenic group were mediated by subjective experiences (FBF total score) suggesting a role of Basic Symptoms in the hedonic process. The fact that the relationship between a diagnosis of schizophrenia and anhedonia was explained by Basic Symptoms could mean that, although healthy subjects could manifest a lack of pleasure, only in the Schizophrenic sample was this deficiency partly due to Basic Symptoms.

We found an inverse correlation between age and only one of the pleasure scales (i.e. TEPS-anticipatory). We are
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Fig. 1. Mediation of subjective experiences on anticipatory anhedonia in patients with schizophrenia. c = indirect effect. c' = direct effect. Note: Level of Subjective Experiences, as Basic Symptoms at Frankfurter Beschwerde-Frageboden (FBF) mediating the relationship between a diagnosis of Schizophrenia and all the Scales evaluating Anhedonia (lower scores at TEPS Anticipatory correspond to higher anhedonia levels). Path values correspond to standardized coefficients from the causal steps procedure. *TEPS Anticipatory: a = 23.62, p = .001; b = .61, p = .004; c = −2.29, p = .02; κ² = .26, 95% BCa CI [.06, .34]. Gender as a covariate. **R-SAS: a = 23.62, p < .001; b = 0.17, p < .001; c = 4.00, CI [2.07, 6.32]; c' = 1.36, p = .34; κ² = .27, 95% BCa CI [.14, .39]. "Age as a covariate. *R-SAS: a = 23.62, p < .001; b = 0.17, p < .001; c = 4.00, CI [2.07, 6.32]; c' = 1.36, p = .34; κ² = .27, 95% BCa CI [.14, .39]. **R-SAS: a = 23.62, p < .001; b = 0.17, p < .001; c = 4.00, CI [2.07, 6.32]; c' = 1.36, p = .34; κ² = .27, 95% BCa CI [.14, .39]. **R-SAS: a = 23.62, p < .001; b = 0.17, p < .001; c = 4.00, CI [2.07, 6.32]; c' = 1.36, p = .34; κ² = .27, 95% BCa CI [.14, .39]. **R-SAS: a = 23.62, p < .001; b = 0.17, p < .001; c = 4.00, CI [2.07, 6.32]; c' = 1.36, p = .34; κ² = .27, 95% BCa CI [.14, .39].

Table 1
Sociodemographic and clinical characteristic of patients and healthy controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Healthy Controls</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n = 53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M)</td>
<td>32 (60.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>40.1 ± 10.5</td>
<td>t = .8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education</td>
<td>12.3 ± 3.7</td>
<td>t = 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>30 (56.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>4 (7.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDSS</td>
<td>2.68 ± 3.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAM-D</td>
<td>0.57 ± 1.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Correlations between scales.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAS</th>
<th>R-SAS</th>
<th>SHAPS</th>
<th>TEPS-A</th>
<th>TEPS-C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-SAS</td>
<td>.62**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHAPS</td>
<td>.62**</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEPS-A</td>
<td>−.65**</td>
<td>−.41**</td>
<td>−.51**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEPS-C</td>
<td>−.58**</td>
<td>−.29**</td>
<td>−.41**</td>
<td>.552**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSP</td>
<td>−.42**</td>
<td>−.44**</td>
<td>−.28**</td>
<td>.417**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBF</td>
<td>.48**</td>
<td>.60**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>−.41**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-Tot*</td>
<td>.504**</td>
<td>.393**</td>
<td>.292*</td>
<td>−.353*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-Neg*</td>
<td>.52**</td>
<td>.380**</td>
<td>.274*</td>
<td>−.372*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-Pos*</td>
<td>.318*</td>
<td>.294*</td>
<td>.141</td>
<td>.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-Gen*</td>
<td>.403**</td>
<td>.299*</td>
<td>.305*</td>
<td>−.312*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDSS a</td>
<td>.116</td>
<td>.241</td>
<td>.233</td>
<td>−.175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PAS = Physical Anhedonia Scale; R-SAS = Revised-Social Anhedonia Scale; SHAPS = Snaith–Hamilton Pleasure Scale; TEPS-A = Anticipatory subscale of the Temporal Experience of Pleasure Scale; TEPS-C = Consummatory subscale of the Temporal Experience of Pleasure Scale; PSP = Personal and Social Performance Scale. FBF = Frankfurter Beschwerde-Frageboden total score. PANSS tot = total score at Positive and Negative Syndromes Scale; PANSS Neg = Negative subscale at Positive and Negative Syndromes Scale.

* Spearman’s correlation calculated in the patient group only.

** Pearson’s correlation.

a p < .05.

** * p < .001.
not aware of previous results in literature confirming or disconfirming our finding, therefore we can only suppose that there is an effect of a long lasting illness, since we found a high correlation even between duration of illness and TEPS anticipatory \((r = -0.378; p < .001)\). At present, our small sample size does not allow us to infer a grounded-conclusion regarding this result.

Moreover, we found males being more anhedonic than females and specifically in the consummatory subscale of TEPS, in which patients and control did not differ. This result confirms the gender differences in anhedonia levels found in healthy subjects.\[75\]

Despite the correlation between negative symptoms and scores in the anhedonia scales, we found a similar amount of negative symptoms in the schizophrenic patients, split into anhedonic and non-anhedonic. This finding confirms previous results in the literature that found anhedonia to be independent from negative symptoms \([26,74,76–79]\) and could reflect the ability of these scales (i.e. SHAPS, TEPS, SAS and PAS) to measure a more subjective anhedonia, which does not necessarily lead to behavioral disorders, as measured by the PANSS negative subscale.\[80–82,24]\]

The correlation between global functioning and anhedonia confirms previous results in literature.\[80–82,24]\]

To our knowledge, this is the first study to observe that individuals with significant levels of anhedonia, not related to depressive symptoms, show specifically higher basic symptoms concerning Memory, Overstimulation, and Lack of Automatism.\[80–82,24]\]

No correlation has been found between the anhedonia scores and depressive symptoms; nonetheless, in order to exclude a depressive component we compared Basic Symptoms between Anhedonics and non-anhedonics adjusting for depressive symptoms. Interestingly differences in all the subscales of Basic Symptoms disappear for anticipatory and physical pleasure, but remain a significant effect of some subscales (i.e. Memory, Overstimulation, and Lack of Automatism) on SHAPS and Social anhedonia. According to our results the relationship between Basic Symptoms and physical or anticipatory anhedonia was attributable to a state depressive component (i.e. CDSS). Nonetheless, conclusions based on a state facet should be drawn with precaution, in fact CDSS mean score in the patient group was 2.68 ± 3.16, well under the suggested threshold (CDSS = 6) for a depressive episode \[83\] and, despite the cross-sectional design, the sample showed a relative clinical stability (≥3 months). In addition, the item #2 of CDSS (“How do you see the future for yourself? Can you see any future?”) could overlap at least partially with some items of the TEPS Anticipatory Subscale anhedonia. Whereas SHAPS gauges a more general inability to experience pleasure, it is possible that social anhedonia (R-SAS) describes an anhedonia more characteristic of schizophrenia \[84\] and related to disturbing subjective experiences.

Basic Symptoms could in fact “contaminate” the experience of positive emotions, affecting the responses in self-report questionnaires. Interestingly in support of this hypothesis, FBF-Overstimulation was significantly related with Social Anhedonia. In fact, according to the Basic Symptoms Model, an excessive stimulation, which overwhelms the reduced patients’ abilities in the information processing, increases the emotional arousal turning potentially pleasant social activities into negative and disturbing ones.

Moreover, FBF-Memory includes both short-term disturbances and more structured long-term disturbances (inability to actualize past experiences in a way finalized and adequate to daily situations). We can hypothesize that the short-term deficits would lead patients to renounce those pleasures that request a more preserved working memory (e.g. intellectual pleasures such as reading a book, watching a movie), whereas more structured disturbances in memory could involve a loss of social and professional competence due to the constant need of reconsidering and recollecting what was implicit and acquired. This, along with the finding that social anhedonic patients score higher on the loss of automatism subscale of FBF, is conceptually close to the hyper-reflexivity described by Sass and Parnas \[85\]. Patients, therefore, have to deal with all activities, including those previously experienced as pleasant \[86\], with considerable effort due to concentration and subsequent stress \[87\].

This study has some limitations: 1) the small amount of patients in the sample could limit the strength of the clinical results; 2) the validity of self-report questionnaires on anhedonia has been doubted by some authors, because responding to hypothetical items presupposes a certain ability in abstraction/mental representation and a preserved retrieval memory that could be impaired in schizophrenia \[46\]; 3) recently, the ability of TEPS in dissecting anticipatory and consummatory pleasure has been questioned \[88,89\] and other factor solutions have been proposed \[75\]. This being said, further longitudinal studies, with larger sample sizes and the consideration of other measurement techniques (i.e. neuropsychological and reward-related) are needed to overcome the abovementioned limitations.
5. Conclusions

Altogether the results of this study suggest that Basic Symptoms, and their emotional impact, could contribute to the failure in the ability of reporting experienced pleasure observed in patients with schizophrenia. Specifically, Anhedonia measured with SHAPS, and Social Anhedonia, which has been proposed as a trait-like feature in patients with schizophrenia [90,84], were related to Basic Symptoms independently from depressive symptoms. Our results are close to those of Buck and colleagues [91] which, instead evaluated the role of social cognition and metacognition in anhedonia in Schizophrenia, according to which “anhedonia may be linked with greater levels of reality distortion and withdrawal.” These authors suggest that metacognition and social cognition are necessary in order to make sense of and regulate social interactions, where an “impairment in the ability to ascribe mental states to others has been suggested to lead to a sense of lack of attunement with others which may then lead to social withdrawal and a dearth of rewarding social experiences” [92]. Interestingly, in the Basic Symptoms model, cognitive deficit of memory, perception and social experiences may then lead to social withdrawal and a dearth of rewarding social experiences.

Uncertainties and contrast arisen regarding the role of anhedonia in Schizophrenia, are mirrored by its ambiguous definition and marginal position within Schizophrenia description: in fact, whereas the term anhedonia occurred only as an associated feature in both DSM-III-R [93] and DSM-IV [94] or DSM-IV-TR [95], it disappeared in DSM-5 [96]. This is partly confirmed by our results: considering the primary nature of BS, anhedonia in patients with schizophrenia could be seen as a secondary phenomenon reflecting an ambivalence that has been central in early descriptions of schizophrenia [15,18].
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