



Editorial

Phosphorylation, Signaling, and Cancer: Targets and Targeting

Sandra Marmioli,¹ Dorian Fabbro,² Yoshihiko Miyata,³ Mariaelena Pierobon,⁴ and Maria Ruzzene⁵

¹Cellular Signaling Unit, Department of Surgery, Medicine, Dentistry and Morphology, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Largo del Pozzo, 71-41100 Modena, Italy

²Piqur Therapeutics, Hochbergerstrasse 60C, 4057 Basel, Switzerland

³Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa, Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

⁴Center for Applied Proteomics and Molecular Medicine, George Mason University, 10920 George Mason Circle, Manassas, VA 20110, USA

⁵Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Padova, Via U. Bassi 58/b, 35131 Padova, Italy

Correspondence should be addressed to Sandra Marmioli; sandra.marmioli@unimore.it

Received 8 October 2015; Accepted 8 October 2015

Copyright © Sandra Marmioli et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

After 60 years from the first report of an enzymatic phosphorylation of proteins, protein kinases are well-established key signaling molecules that impact all major biological processes (reviewed in [1, 2]). Protein and lipid kinases fulfill essential roles in many signaling pathways that regulate normal cell functions [1–5]. Deregulation of kinase activities leads to a variety of pathologies ranging from cancer to inflammatory diseases, diabetes, infectious diseases, cardiovascular disorders, and cell growth and survival [1, 2, 5–11]. A much larger proportion of additional kinases are present in parasites and bacterial, fungal, and viral genomes that are susceptible to exploitation as drug targets [12]. Since many human diseases result from overactivation of protein and lipid kinases due to mutations and/or overexpression, this enzyme class represents an important target for the pharmaceutical industry [6]. Approximately one-third of all protein targets under investigation in the pharmaceutical industry are protein or lipid kinases and to date 33 small molecular weight kinase inhibitors (SMWKIs) and a handful of therapeutic antibodies have been approved for various indications mainly in oncology and many more in various stages of clinical and preclinical development [5]. Kinase inhibitor drugs, which are in clinical trials, target all stages of signal transduction from the receptor protein tyrosine kinases that initiate intracellular signaling, through second-messenger dependent lipid and protein kinases and protein

kinases that regulate the cell cycle [10, 13]. While treating chronic phase CML (an almost monogenic disease) with imatinib has been very successful, the treatment of more advanced cancers with kinase inhibitors has proven more difficult due to the heterogeneity of these cancer types as well as due to kinase inhibitor resistance resulting from selection for mutant alleles and/or upregulation of alternative signaling pathways [5, 10].

In addition, powerful broad-scale protein pathway activation mapping and phosphoproteomics of protein kinases and of their specific substrates are contributing to driving the new concept of precision medicine [14–16]. Notable advances have been made in the rational design of small-molecule inhibitors that target unique kinase conformational forms and binding sites and have specific kinase selectivity profiles [5, 17–19].

This special issue provides a selection of original articles focused on kinase targets and therapeutic approaches based on kinase targeting in different cell systems and pathological conditions.

Resistance is a major drawback of conventional chemotherapy. The understanding of the mechanisms by which some cancer cells are resistant to ATRA (all-trans-retinoic acid) is crucial in anticancer drug development and requires detailed knowledge of the signaling pathways affected by ATRA. In the paper by R. S. Q. Barceinas et al., the authors show that, in lung cancer cells, ERK pathway

activation is involved in the transcription-independent effects of ATRA. They also found that ERK targeting restores the beneficial effects of ATRA, suggesting that combined treatments with ATRA and ERK inhibitors could represent a promising strategy in lung cancer therapy.

Targeting of pathways aberrantly activated in cancer is a very powerful approach that has provided promising results, with several drugs already in clinical trials for a number of diseases. The work by M. Civallero et al. provides an excellent example of preclinical testing and comparison of the cytotoxicity of two different kinase inhibitors, namely, the pan-PI3K inhibitor BKM120 and the dual PI3K/mTOR inhibitor BEZ235, on mantle, follicular, and T-cell lymphomas, in which the PI3K pathway is known to be hyperactive. They demonstrated that BKM120 and BEZ235 show high effectiveness against lymphoma cells *in vitro* both as monotherapy and in combination. Because the outcome of lymphoma patients is still very poor, in spite of the great advances following the introduction of monoclonal antibodies based therapy of lymphoma, the results of this work open a new therapeutic window for these malignancies.

Along the same lines, the original work by C. Frasson et al. shows the pivotal role played by the PI3K/Akt pathway in promoting survival of primary medulloblastoma cells, the most frequent primitive neuroectodermal tumor in children, with a dismal prognosis in more than one-third of patients. In particular, the authors demonstrate that specific targeting PI3K impairs medulloblastoma primary cells growth and survival through the activation of the mitochondrial cell death program. Remarkably, PI3K signaling suppression seems to selectively hit the cancer stem cell population, sparing the most differentiated cellular counterpart. Since cancer stem cells have been reported to be one of the driving forces of tumor progression and resistance to therapy, these results may guide the development of new combinatorial therapeutic strategies aimed at impairing the survival machinery of both cancer stem cells and tumor bulk cells.

The acidophilic kinase CK2 has been shown to play prominent roles in carcinogenesis. In the paper by C. Girardi et al., the authors analyze two inhibitors of CK2, namely, TDB and CX-4945. In particular, they compare their efficacy in terms of persistence of inhibitory effects after their removal. They find a superiority of TDB compared to CX-4945, and they conclude that this property is an added value to be considered when planning new therapies based on CK2 targeting.

Inhibition of CK2 is also the focus of the paper by G. Cozza et al., where the authors analyze the properties of quinalizarin, extending its selectivity profile to 140 protein kinases. Consistent with *in silico* and *in vitro* analyses, they conclude that quinalizarin not only is one of the most selective inhibitors of CK2 but is also able to discriminate between the isolated CK2 catalytic subunit and CK2 holoenzyme.

A different aspect of the Akt kinase functions has been explored by G. Vallejo-Flores and coauthors. Based on the observation that *H. pylori* infection is the most important environmental risk to developing gastric cancer, mainly, through its virulence factor CagA, they evaluated the capacity of *H. pylori* CagA positive and negative strains to alter

nontransformed glandular acini formation. They found that the Akt and BIM signaling pathway is activated in CagA positive strains and might thus contribute to its oncogenic activity through evasion of anoikis.

Overall, this special issue highlights the contribution of targeting signaling pathways in tumorigenesis and describes which compounds are more promising in the future for chemoprevention and anticancer therapy on the basis of their ability to inhibit specific signaling targets.

Sandra Marmioli
Doriano Fabbro
Yoshihiko Miyata
Mariaelena Pierobon
Maria Ruzzene

References

- [1] P. Cohen, "The origins of protein phosphorylation," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. E127–E130, 2002.
- [2] P. Cohen, "Protein kinases—the major drug targets of the twenty-first century?" *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 309–315, 2002.
- [3] P. Blume-Jensen and T. Hunter, "Oncogenic kinase signalling," *Nature*, vol. 411, no. 6835, pp. 355–365, 2001.
- [4] J. A. Ubersax and J. E. Ferrell Jr., "Mechanisms of specificity in protein phosphorylation," *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 530–541, 2007.
- [5] D. Fabbro, S. W. Cowan-Jacob, and H. Moebitz, "Ten things you should know about protein kinases: IUPHAR review 14," *British Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 172, no. 11, pp. 2675–2700, 2015.
- [6] J. A. Engelman, "Targeting PI3K signalling in cancer: opportunities, challenges and limitations," *Nature Reviews Cancer*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 550–562, 2009.
- [7] T. H. Page, M. Smolinska, J. Gillespie, A. M. Urbaniak, and B. M. J. Foxwell, "Tyrosine kinases and inflammatory signalling," *Current Molecular Medicine*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 69–85, 2009.
- [8] D. Fabbro, S. W. Cowan-Jacob, H. Mobitz, and G. Martiny-Baron, "Targeting cancer with small-molecular-weight kinase inhibitors," *Methods in Molecular Biology*, vol. 795, pp. 1–34, 2011.
- [9] N. J. Horwood, A. M. Urbaniak, and L. Danks, "Tec family kinases in inflammation and disease," *International Reviews of Immunology*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 87–103, 2012.
- [10] S. J. Klempner, A. P. Myers, and L. C. Cantley, "What a tangled web we weave: emerging resistance mechanisms to inhibition of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway," *Cancer Discovery*, vol. 3, no. 12, pp. 1345–1354, 2013.
- [11] M. Rask-Andersen, J. Zhang, D. Fabbro, and H. B. Schiöth, "Advances in kinase targeting: current clinical use and clinical trials," *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences*, vol. 35, no. 11, pp. 604–620, 2014.
- [12] N. Kannan, S. S. Taylor, Y. Zhai, J. C. Venter, and G. Manning, "Structural and functional diversity of the microbial kinome," *PLoS Biology*, vol. 5, no. 3, article e17, 2007.
- [13] P. Cohen and D. R. Alessi, "Kinase drug discovery—what's next in the field?" *ACS Chemical Biology*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 96–104, 2013.
- [14] V. Espina, L. A. Liotta, and E. F. Petricoin III, "Reverse-phase protein microarrays for theranostics and patient tailored

- therapy,” *Methods in Molecular Biology*, vol. 520, pp. 89–105, 2009.
- [15] T. Maraldi, J. Bertacchini, M. Benincasa et al., “Reverse-phase protein microarrays (RPPA) as a diagnostic and therapeutic guide in multidrug resistant leukemia,” *International Journal of Oncology*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 427–435, 2011.
- [16] J. Bertacchini, M. Guida, B. Accordi et al., “Feedbacks and adaptive capabilities of the PI3K/Akt/mTOR axis in acute myeloid leukemia revealed by pathway selective inhibition and phosphoproteome analysis,” *Leukemia*, vol. 28, no. 11, pp. 2197–2205, 2014.
- [17] S. Müller and S. Knapp, “Targeting kinases for the treatment of inflammatory diseases,” *Expert Opinion on Drug Discovery*, vol. 5, no. 9, pp. 867–881, 2010.
- [18] S. W. Cowan-Jacob, W. Jahnke, and S. Knapp, “Novel approaches for targeting kinases: allosteric inhibition, allosteric activation and pseudokinases,” *Future Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 541–561, 2014.
- [19] G. Cozza, S. Zanin, S. Sarno et al., “Design, validation and efficacy of bi-substrate inhibitors specifically affecting ecto-CK2 kinase activity,” *Biochemical Journal*, 2015.