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Abstract
The difference in the descriptions of motion phenomena made by pupils in the first grades of secondary school and physicists is quite evident. Conceptual metaphors hidden in language suggest that there is continuity between the conceptual structure involved in the description and the interpretation of motion of experts and laypersons. In this paper the presence of such a continuity is shown through a metaphor analysis of linguistic expressions from both kind of people.
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1. Introduction
We know from literature (DiSessa, 1993; McCloskey, Caramazza, & Green, 1980) that students face difficulties in studying motion and its causes. We argue that if we want to address this problems, we have to investigate the conceptualization of motion.

We are going to investigate the conceptual structure involved in the description of motion of laypersons, i.e. the students, and of experts, i.e. teachers, scientists, physicists. We argue that some kind of continuity should be present between these two kinds of conceptualization and that physics education should be built on it.

In the first part of this article we are going to illustrate how it is possible to understand how the concept of motion is constructed in human mind. A theory that relates mind and language is presented starting from the works of Lakoff, Johnson, Turner and Fauconnier.

In the second part we will show the analyses carried on two different sources of language, the first representing the scientific conceptualization, the second the lay one.

In the last part the evidences and the results of the analyses are presented and discussed.

2. A theory of mind
In cognitive linguistic, conceptual metaphor is defined as understanding one conceptual domain (target domain) in terms of another conceptual domain (source domain). Lakoff, Johnson and Turner underline the deep and strong connection between language and mind. According to these authors, the nature of the conceptual structure that we use to think, speak and act is figurative. As a consequence of this, conceptual metaphors play an important role in structuring knowledge. They are systematic in that there is a fixed correspondence between the structure of the domain to be understood (e.g., death) and the structure of the domain in terms of which we are understanding it (e.g., departure). We usually understand them in terms of common experiences. They are largely unconscious, though attention may be drawn to them. Their operation in cognition is almost automatic. And they are widely conventionalized in language, that is, there are a great number of words and idiomatic expressions in our language whose meanings depend upon those conceptual metaphors (Lakoff & Turner, 1989).

Metaphor is no longer seen as a mere linguistic and aesthetic feature: the cognitive role of metaphor emerges in the process of structuring and acquiring new knowledge. In synthesis, a concept is constituted by the metaphor (Lakoff & Johnson, 1980).

Moreover, according to Fauconnier and Turner, in human mind there are entire network of projections between conceptual spaces leading to what have been known as conceptual integration networks (Fauconnier & Turner, 1998, 2002; Fauconnier, 1994, 1997).

As a consequence of this, we can understand the way we think, our conceptualization of motion, looking at the way we speak, in particular at the conceptual metaphors implied in the language we use to talk and to describe motion.
We have to make a distinction between metaphor and metaphoric linguistic expressions: the latter is what we hear or read when somebody uses a metaphor, the former is a figure of the mind, we might say the actual concept. We will show an example in order to evidence the difference.

*Heat flows through the walls of the building*

is the metaphorical expression of the metaphor:

Heat IS A FLUID SUBSTANCE

We will use this convention in order to differentiate the conceptual metaphors from the metaphorical expressions.

3. Language analyses

In order to compare the two forms of conceptualization of motion we selected two sources of sentences about motion: the first volume of “The Feynman lectures on Physics” (Feynman, 1965) as a source of scientific language and recordings of college students enrolled in physics courses collected in the paper “Common sense concepts about motion” by Halloun and Hestenes as a source of lay language (Halloun & Hestenes, 1985).

We looked for the sentences containing the word “force” and we tried to see the underlying conceptual metaphor. We constructed the categories of conceptual metaphors in a recursive way in order to have the more general and encompassing ones. We developed the conceptual metaphors categorization starting from the Force Dynamic Gestalt theory (Fuchs, 2007), image schemas (Johnson, 1990) and event structures (Lakoff & Johnson, 1999).

Here we present the list of metaphors involved in the description of the word “force”.

5. FORCE IS A SUBSTANCE-LIKE QUANTITY
   1. FORCE IS A PRODUCT
   2. FORCE IS A QUANTITY
   3. FORCE IS A POSSESSION

6. FORCE IS AN AGENT
   1. FORCE IS A COMPULSION
   2. FORCE IS A RESISTANCE

7. FORCE IS A MEDIUM
8. FORCE IS A PATH
   1. FORCE IS A LINE
   2. FORCE IS A CONNECTION

9. FORCE IS A SCALE
10. FORCE IS BALANCE

The complete list of categorized sentences is presented in the following tables (1-6). The first observation is that sentences coming from both expert and lay language are metaphorical expressions contained in all these categories.

Besides that, we also found some differences in the metaphorical expressions coming from the two sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conceptual metaphor sub-category</th>
<th>Feynman expressions</th>
<th>Students expressions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FORCE IS A PRODUCT</td>
<td>This potentiality for producing a force is called an electric field.</td>
<td>The speed creates a force.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>A source</em> of the force.</td>
<td>The force behind it... coming from the throw.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FORCE IS A QUANTITY

How much force would there be?  
More or less force is required.  
There is very little force at any appreciable distance.

As it goes down, the force of gravity increases...and that's why the speed increases until [gravity] equals this amount of force.  
It provides the ball with more and more force as it goes down.

FORCE IS A POSSESSION

A spinning top has the same weight as a still one.  
The weight of the atom.  
These forces are within the nuclei of atoms.

If the mass of block X is greater than the force [of pull] of Y, block X stays in place...it could not be moved.  
[The moving body] has still got some force inside.

FORCE IS A PRODUCT

Conceptual metaphor (Table 1) tells us that force could be “produced”. The possible “producers” in Feynman expressions are the basic interactions between objects, i.e. electrical and gravitational, while in students expressions the “producers” are speed and aspects of motion.

In FORCE IS A QUANTITY metaphorical expressions (Table 1), Feynman only speaks about the intensity of force, while in students' language we find expressions that are related to the concept of momentum or energy of a moving object.

Finally, the metaphorical expressions of FORCE IS A POSSESSION (Table 1) in Feynman are only about weight, while in laypersons we have expressions involving moving objects, devices that produce movement (i.e. a cannon), and more abstract concepts as power, inertia and velocity.

Table 2. FORCE IS AN AGENT expressions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conceptual metaphor sub-category</th>
<th>Feynman expressions</th>
<th>Students expressions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(unsorted)</td>
<td>The first charge will feel a certain reaction force.</td>
<td>There is not a force [acting on] on the ball.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gravity means the same force pulls on different objects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FORCE IS A COMPULSION</td>
<td>Because of the action of a force, the velocity changes.</td>
<td>A force only starts the motion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The force which controls, let us say, Jupiter in going around the sun.</td>
<td>A force is just changing the direction of motion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FORCE IS A RESISTANCE</td>
<td>It is a question of electrical forces against which we are working.</td>
<td>A force has nothing to do with the speed, it only has to keep the ball moving.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No tangential force is needed to keep a planet in its orbit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. FORCE IS MEDIUM expressions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feynman expressions</th>
<th>Students expressions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We shall have to hold the piston down by a certain force.</td>
<td>That maximum speed is always equal to the force you apply.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The gas exerts a jittery force.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. FORCE IS A PATH expressions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conceptual metaphor sub-category</th>
<th>Feynman expressions</th>
<th>Students expressions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
The force in the vertical direction due to gravity.

The force is directed along the line joining the planet to the sun.

The true nature of the forces between the atoms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FORCE IS A LINE</th>
<th>Feynman expressions</th>
<th>Students expressions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The force goes out in the direction of the resultant of the forces.</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FORCE IS A CONNECTION</th>
<th>Feynman expressions</th>
<th>Students expressions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Table 5. FORCE IS A SCALE expressions</td>
<td></td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The force weakens as we go higher.</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The more massive a thing is, the stronger the force required to produce a given acceleration.</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Force IS BALANCE expressions</th>
<th>Feynman expressions</th>
<th>Students expressions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If the force between them were not balanced.</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talk only about excess forces.</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All the internal forces will balance out.</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some metaphorical expressions found in Feynman are not present in students expressions, but we think this could be due to the set of data chosen for this purpose. We are almost sure that similar sentences could be found in students expressions if only we could have a larger collection.

The metaphorical sentences in lay language often involved the terms “speed” or “velocity”. In order to deepen our investigations we repeated the same analysis for the sentences containing these two words. The conceptual metaphors we found are listed below.

- **SPEED IS A SUBSTANCE**
  - SPEED IS A POSSESSION
  - SPEED IS A QUANTITY
- **SPEED IS A LOCATION**
  - SPEED IS A LEVEL
  - SPEED IS A SCALE
- **SPEED IS AN AGENT**
  - SPEED IS A FORCE
  - SPEED IS A MAKER

Table 7 collects the categorization of the metaphorical sentences found in both set of data.
Table 7. Speed and Velocity metaphors and metaphorical expressions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conceptual metaphor category</th>
<th>Conceptual metaphor sub-category</th>
<th>Feynman expressions</th>
<th>Students expressions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPEED IS A SUBSTANCE</td>
<td>POSSESSION</td>
<td>Motion of a body.</td>
<td>Its speed remains constant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If she kept going with the same speed.</td>
<td>Their speed gets greater and greater.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The velocity of the falling ball.</td>
<td>Both should have the same speed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEED IS A QUANTITY</td>
<td></td>
<td>[...] if we increase the speed of the atoms.</td>
<td>Its velocity keeps increasing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The speed is smaller.</td>
<td>The speed is smaller.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEED IS A LOCATION</td>
<td>LEVEL</td>
<td>At what speed is the radius increasing?</td>
<td>A new speed bigger than the one it had before.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>She is going at that speed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Some car can get from rest to 60 miles an hour.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEED IS A SCALE</td>
<td></td>
<td>It speeds up.</td>
<td>It speeds up for a short while.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The car was slowing down.</td>
<td>It slows down.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEED IS AN AGENT</td>
<td>FORCE</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>The force due to the air overcomes the initial velocity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The force of velocity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEED IS A MAKER</td>
<td></td>
<td>none</td>
<td>The speed creates a force.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the expressions belonging from the two sources fitted all these categories with only one exception. Metaphorical expressions belonging to SPEED IS AN AGENT can only be found in students language.

4. Results and conclusions

This metaphor analysis is a powerful and sensible tool that allows us to investigate the conceptual structure that both scientists and students use to understand and to explain phenomena. The first important result is that the metaphorical expressions coming from both lay and expert language share the majority of the metaphors. This allows us to claim that there is continuity between the two kind of language.

We also revealed a metaphorical and conceptual mismatch involving velocity and speed. In the analysis we discovered that SPEED IS AN AGENT is a conceptual metaphor only present in lay language (students). Therefore we could say that speed (and velocity) is perceived and conceptualized as an agent only by laypersons (students), while this is not true for scientists and experts (Feynman).

Another important result is that some aspects coming from the Force Dynamic Gestalt theory, such as quantity, quality, intensity (Fuchs, 2007) are present in the metaphorical expressions. Moreover they are not completely differentiated in lay language.

The presence of continuity tells us that it is possible to teach starting from the knowledge pupils have already developed during their previous experiences: we could use conceptual metaphor as a basis for developing a physics curriculum.

Physics teachers should be aware of the conceptual metaphors and how they relates and overlap in order to create comprehension (i.e. conceptual integration networks). In this sense we could say that an education based on conceptual metaphors could help students to be aware of them in order to understand and relate the aspects involved in the interpretation of motion and its causes.

In order to do so further analysis should be done in order to reveal the logical connections and the dependencies between concepts involved in the description of motion (momentum, energy). A refined analysis should be done taking different language sources, both oral and written.
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Preface

The GIREP-MPTL International conference on Teaching/Learning Physics: Integrating Research into Practice [GIREP-MPTL 2014] was held from 7 to 12 July 2014, at the University of Palermo, Italy.

The conference has been organised by the Groupe International de Recherche sur l’Enseignement de la Physique [GIREP] and the Multimedia in Physics Teaching and Learning [MPTL] group and it has been sponsored by the International Commission on Physics Education [ICPE] – Commission 14 of the International Union for Pure and Applied Physics [IUPAP], the European Physical Society – Physics Education Division [EPS-PED], the Latin American Physics Education Network [LAPEN] and the Società Italiana di Fisica [SIF].

The theme of the conference, Teaching/Learning Physics: Integrating Research into Practice, underlines aspects of great relevance in contemporary science education. In fact, during the last few years, evidence based Physics Education Research provided results concerning the ways and strategies to improve student conceptual understanding, interest in Physics, epistemological awareness and insights for the construction of a scientific citizenship. However, Physics teaching practice seems resistant to adopting adapting these findings to their own situation and new research based curricula find difficulty in affirming and spread, both at school and university levels. The conference offered an opportunity for in-depth discussions of this apparently wide-spread tension in order to find ways to do better.

The purpose of the GIREP-MPTL 2014 was to bring together people working in physics education research and in physics education at schools from all over the world to allow them to share research results and exchange their experience.

About 300 teachers, educators, and researchers, from all continents and 45 countries have attended the Conference contributing with 177 oral presentations, 15 workshops, 11 symposia, and around 60 poster presentations, together with 11 keynote addresses (general talks).

After the conference, 147 papers have been submitted for the GIREP-MPTL 2014 International Conference proceedings. Each paper has been reviewed by at least two reviewers, from countries that are different to those of the authors and on the basis of criteria described on the Conference web site. Papers were subsequently revised by authors according to reviewers’ comments and the accepted papers are reported in this book, divided in 8 Sections on the basis of the keywords suggested by authors. The other book section (actually, the first one) contains the papers that six of the keynote talkers sent for publication in this Proceedings Book.

We would like to thank all the authors that contributed with their papers to the realization of this book and all the referees that with their criticism helped authors to improve the quality of the papers.

Palermo, 30th June 2015

Rosa Maria Sperandeo Mineo and Claudio Fazio