Background

Weight gain (WG) is a well-described phenomenon in PWH starting or switching to ART. Machine learning (ML) methods are suitable tools to assess P4 medicine (Predictive, Preventive, Personalized & Participatory) and can generate models able to identify patients at risk of WG.

The objective of the study was to develop a ML algorithm that predicts percentage weight gain (WG%) in a given interval of time in PWH switching antiretroviral therapy (ART) including integrase inhibitors (INSTI) with/without TAF.

Methods

This was an observational study that comprised ART-experienced PWH attending Modena HIV metabolic clinic (HMMC) from 2001 to 2021. Data were routinely assessed at each patient visit (Figure 1).

Data were partitioned in an 80/20 training/test set to generate predictive models. The study outcome was the prediction of weight change % at any given follow-up. A clinically meaningful WG cut-off was set at 5%, at the following annual visit. A robust implementation of linear regressor algorithms was able to predict weight gain/loss while tolerating missing data. Intelligible explanations were obtained through Shapley Additive exPlanations values (SHAP), which quantified the positive or negative impact of each variable included in the model on the predicted outcome.

Results and discussion

A total of 3516 patients generated 18874 observations and three predictive models with different sets of variables were trained (models A, B and C; Figure 1; Table 2). At last observation, median age was 50 years; 70% were male. Median nadir CD4 was 194 cells/µL; current CD4 was 645 cells/µL; 93% had undetectable HIV RNA and time since HIV diagnosis was 19.7 years. Median BMI was 23.5 and 7.5% had obesity.

Machine learning (ML) algorithm built from a rich universe of variables had an excellent performance (>90%) in predicting WG in terms of accuracy, sensitivity, specificity and ROC AUC (models A and B, Figure 1, Table 1).

ML algorithm restricted to routinely collected variables in HIV clinics, although highly specific, is insufficient to reliably predict WG (model C, Figure 1, Table 1).

The contribution of INSTI with/without TAF had limited impact on WG prediction in comparison to metabolic, anthropometric and lifestyles variables (Figure 2).

The following ART switch observations were registered in the dataset: from TDF to TAF - 304; from non-INSTITI to INSTITI - 3656; from non INSTI to INSTI with TAF - 293; from EFV to INSTI – 3128.

Table 1 depicts performance metrics of the ML model with regards to the 5% WG threshold. Out of 3776 observations in the test set (16.7% with WG≥5%), 596 correctly predicted with WG≥5% (true positive – TP), 35 underestimated WG≥5% (false positive – FP), 35 underestimated WG<5% (false negative – FN); and 3110 correctly predicted with WG<5% (true negative – TN).

Conclusions

• ML models A and B had a remarkable performance in WG prediction, thanks to the inclusion of body composition metabolic and endocrinological variables. These models should be deployed in electronic patient charts at metabolic clinic referral centers.

• The parsimonious model (model C) with restricted subset of anthropometric HIV and ART variables, available in standard clinical evaluation, is insufficient to obtain reliable prediction.

• These models stress the multifactorial nature of WG in which the impact of INSTI or/TAF switch/exposure is diluted in the universe of variables which contribute to WG. The major drivers of WG are modifiable risk factors related to lifestyles.