Caroline M. Moore (D)

An alternative to the preoperative nomogram approach is the use of techniques such as neurovascular structure-adjacent frozen-section examination (NeuroSAFE) [6]. This allows an intraoperative decision on the extent of excision, based on frozen-section examination, and it has been shown to increase the ability to nerve-spare, with associated improved functional outcomes, whilst reducing positive surgical margins. However, it does have significant cost implications and is not widely available.

It makes sense to use preoperative MRI, currently widely recommended for staging, in combination with clinical parameters, to maximise the use of nerve-sparing to favour functional outcomes, whilst minimising positive surgical margins. Martini et al. [1] present a nomogram based on readily available parameters, which could be readily adopted in the routine setting. The move towards MRI before first biopsy is likely to give us more accurate imaging data, which should help us to further refine the decision to nerve-spare for men undergoing radical prostatectomy.

### Conflict of interest

Caroline M. Moore has research funded by Movember, Prostate Cancer UK, the Medical Research Council, National Institute for Health Research, and the European Association of Urology Research Foundation.

Reader in Urology, University College London, London, UK

#### References

- 1 Martini A, Gupta A, Lewis S et al. Development and internal validation of a side-specific, multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging-based nomogram for the prediction of extracapsular extension of prostate cancer. BJU Int 2018; 122: 1025-33
- 2 McEvoy SH, Raeside MC, Chaim J, Ehdaie B, Akin O. Preoperative prostate MRI: a road map for surgery. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2018; Jun 12: W1-9. https://doi.org/10.2214/ajr.17.18757
- 3 Dev HS, Wiklund P, Patel V et al. Surgical margin length and location affect recurrence rates after robotic prostatectomy. Urol Oncol 2015; 33:
- 4 Gaunay GS, Patel V, Shah P et al. Multi-parametric MRI of the prostate: factors predicting extracapsular extension at the time of radical prostatectomy. Asian J Urol 2017; 4: 31-6
- 5 Latifoltojar A, Dikaios N, Ridout A et al. Evolution of multi-parametric MRI quantitative parameters following transrectal ultrasound-guided biopsy of the prostate. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis 2015; 18: 343-51
- 6 Mirmilstein G, Rai BP, Gbolahan O et al. The neurovascular structureadjacent frozen-section examination (NeuroSAFE) approach to nerve sparing in robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy in a British setting - a prospective observational comparative study. BJU Int 2018; 121: 854-62

# A novel nomogram for predicting ECE of prostate cancer

We read with great interest the publication on the sidespecific multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging (mpMRI)-based nomogram from Martini et al. [1].

The prediction of extracapsular extension (ECE) of prostate cancer is of utmost importance to inform accurate surgical planning before radical prostatectomy (RP).

Today, surgical strategy is tailored to the patient's characteristics, and the need for a correct prediction of ECE is of paramount importance to guarantee oncological safety, as well as optimal functional outcome. The most up-to-date guidelines suggest referring to nomograms to decide whether or not to perform nerve-sparing (NS) surgery. Since the first version of the Partin Tables in 1993, several models have been developed based on PSA, Gleason score at prostate biopsy, and clinical staging, as the most used covariates.

Furthermore, mpMRI is increasingly used in the diagnostic pathway of prostate cancer to aid prostate biopsy targeting and to attain a more accurate diagnosis of clinically significant prostate cancer. Despite its recognised role in the detection of cancer, the accuracy for local staging is poor, providing a low and heterogeneous sensitivity for the detection of ECE [2].

Given this limitation, the addition of MRI to clinicallyderived nomograms might result in an improved assessment of preoperative local staging. In a retrospective analysis of 501 patients who underwent RP, MRI + clinical models outperformed clinical-based models alone for all staging outcomes, with better discrimination in predicting ECE with MRI + Partin Tables and MRI + Cancer of the Prostate Risk Assessment (CAPRA) score than nomograms alone [3].

In the current article, Martini et al. [1] suggest a novel nomogram for predicting ECE that includes the presence of a 'documented definite ECE at mpMRI' as an additional variable beyond PSA, Gleason score, and maximum percentage of tumour in the biopsy core with the highest Gleason score. Readers should recognise that this is the first model integrating side-specific MRI findings together with side-specific biopsy data to provide a 'MRI-based side-specific prediction of ECE', in an effort to support the surgical decision for a uni- or bilateral NS approach.

However, given the frail generalisability of nomograms in different datasets even after external validation [4], a predictive tool has to be built on a rigorous methodology with clear reproducibility of all steps the covariates derive from.

In this respect, the current model raises some concerns.

The schedule of preoperative MRI assessment is arbitrary, with imaging being performed either before (23.9%) or after systematic biopsy (76.1%), and amongst patients with a MRI prior to biopsy, only 94 of 134 patients underwent additional targeted sampling. As a result, MRI is applied by chance in three different ways: before prostate biopsy without targeted sampling, before prostate biopsy with targeted sampling, and after prostate biopsy.

Based upon this heterogeneous MRI timing, the performance of such a model in a novel population may be biased depending on the diagnostic pathway applied at each institution.

The choice of the variables included represents another point of concern. The output of two out of four covariates, ECE depiction at mpMRI and the percentage of tumour in the biopsy core, have been deliberately dichotomised, without taking into account the continuous trend intrinsic to both variables.

Actually, local staging in the European Society of Urogenital Radiology (ESUR) guidelines has been scored on a 1-5 point scale to grade the likelihood of an ECE event. The authors deliberately dichotomised mpMRI findings, considering 'the loss of prostate capsule and its irregularity' as suggestive of ECE and 'broad capsular contact, abutment or bulge without gross ECE' evocative of organ-confined disease. As a result, the included MRI covariate may account for a gross prediction of ECE, maintaining the inaccurate and interreader subjective interpretation of local staging intrinsic to MRI.

Beyond those methodological concerns and the moderate sample size that may limit the reproducibility of the model, we wonder if such a prediction really assists the surgeon's capability to perform a tailored surgery.

The 'all or none' era of NS surgery is over, and we are currently able to grade NS according to different approaches reported in the literature. Particularly, Tewari et al. [5] proposed a NS approach based on four grades of dissection, with the veins on the lateral aspect as vascular landmarks to gain the correct dissection planes. Patel et al. [6] described a five-grade scale of dissection, using the arterial periprostatic vasculature as a landmark to the same purpose.

If we are able to grade a NS surgery, the prediction of ECE should be graded as well and should answer the prerequisite of knowing the amount of prostate cancer extent outside the capsule. How does a surgeon make the decision to follow a more or less conservative dissection otherwise?

We tried to address this issue by using a tool aimed at predicting the amount of ECE [the Predicting ExtraCapsular Extension in Prostate cancer tool] [6] and supporting the choice of the correct plane of dissection with a suggested decision rule. In our study, developed on a large sample of nearly 12 000 prostatic lobes and several combined clinicopathological variables, the absence of imaging characterization was the major point of weakness.

To date, the ideal predictive tool has yet to be described. However, in the modern era of precision surgery, we think that a model should encompass the surgical knowledge and techniques currently available.

Future developments will probably include three-dimensional surgical navigation models displayed on the TilePro<sup>TM</sup> function of the robotic console (Intuitive Surgical Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, USA), based on the integration of MRI (for the number, size and location of disease) and predictive tools (to define the amount of ECE).

### Conflict of Interest

None declared.

Bernardo Rocco,\*† Maria Chiara Sighinolfi,† Marco Sandri, Stefano Puliatti, and Giampaolo Bianchi

\*Ospedale Policlinico e Nuovo Ospedale Civile S.Agostino Estense, †Department of Urology, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Italy and <sup>‡</sup>Data Methods and Systems Statistical Laboratory, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

#### References

- 1 Martini A, Gupta A, Lewis SC et al. Development and internal validation of a side-specific, multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging-based nomogram for the prediction of extracapsular extension of prostate cancer. BJU Int 2018; 122: 1025-33
- 2 de Rooij M, Hamoen EH, Witjes JA, Barentsz JO, Rovers MM. Accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging for local staging of prostate cancer: a diagnostic meta-analysis. Eur Urol 2016; 70: 233-45

- 3 Morlacco A, Sharma V, Viers BR et al. The incremental role of magnetic resonance imaging for prostate cancer staging before radical prostatectomy. Eur Urol 2017; 71: 701-4
- 4 Bleeker SE, Moll HA, Steverberg EW et al. External validation is necessary in prediction research: a clinical example. J Clin Epidemiol 2003; 56:
- 5 Tewari AK, Srivastava A, Huang MW et al. Anatomical grades of nerve sparing: a risk-stratified approach to neural-hammock sparing
- during robot-assisted radical prostatectomy (RARP). BJU Int 2011; 108:
- 6 Patel VR, Sandri M, Grasso AA et al. A novel tool for predicting extracapsular extension during graded partial nerve sparing in radical prostatectomy. BJU Int 2018; 121: 373-82

## Urinary incontinence and the causality dilemma

Fundamentally, the aetiology of most female urinary incontinence (UI) remains an enigma. Although we gain comfort in our conceptualisations of anatomical defects and neurological compromise as contributing factors, most of our therapies for UI are directed at symptomatic control instead of a disease prevention or modification. Thus, the principal drivers of female UI symptoms remain elusive. The premise of the series published in this issue by Markland et al. [1], to identify patterns of comorbid conditions in patients with various types of UI, is a valid and intriguing question, and this effort provides an important component of emerging concepts of the pathophysiology of UI development in women. The authors describe the analysis of cross-sectional data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES) and report on 3800 women with UI. Exploration of associations between UI with patient demographics and medical conditions revealed fascinating relationships and not surprisingly, a high prevalence of comorbid conditions in patients with self-reported UI. Thus, despite the known limitations of such a cross-sectional analysis, this study by Markland et al. [1] provides provocative information to achieve actionable mandates.

The novel approach described in the article of developing cluster analysis revealed four distinct patterns between UI and multiple chronic conditions. One of the most dominant relationships that merits intense exploration is the relationship between common conditions of hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, and increased cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk. Indeed, CVD remains a leading cause of death in women in the USA [2]. Population-based analysis has hinted at possible connections between CVD and UI, although determinative causality has not been established [3,4]. UI in women may reflect a similar vascular pathology to erectile dysfunction (ED) in men, potentially resultant from a gradual compromise of the delicate neurovascular anatomy required for normal sphincter and detrusor activity. In women, no such prodromal syndrome or symptom such as ED in men has been acknowledged to prompt CVD screening in otherwise asymptomatic patients.

Alternately, one might interpret this cluster data to indicate that multimorbid chronic conditions and increasing age are sufficient in the development of UI, although assigning such risk silos is disposed to misconceptions. The contribution of polypharmacy in these clusters is a decidedly substantial component for careful consideration. However, data extracted from such survey sampling have inherent complexities that limit defining causality, so how do we retrospectively discern understanding viewing the insults of a lifetime resulting in UI? The short answer is, we do not.

We must extract ourselves from the realm of symptom suppression for women with UI and direct resources to a broader view of the life course of the condition. In addition to the expansive phenotyping efforts ongoing from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive Kidney Disease (NIDDK) through the Symptoms of Lower Urinary Tract Dysfunction Research Network (LURN), no initiative speaks to the endeavor to principally change paradigms about bladder health in women more than the pioneering concept of The Prevention of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms (PLUS) Research Consortium [5]. The PLUS consortium is dedicated to promoting prevention of LUTS across the woman's life spectrum, which roots in the appreciation of progression of factors contributing to disease. Without this critical transdisciplinary approach, comprehension of the base aetiology of UI, and our continued attempts to mask symptoms, may propagate further deterioration of systemic manifestations of primary high-risk diseases in our patients.

#### Conflict of Interest

Cook Myosite Inc., Global Principal Investigator Boston Scientific Inc., Advisory Board Valencia Technologies, Data Safety Monitoring Board Journal of Urology - Assistant Editor.

Melissa R. Kaufman (D)



Department of Urology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA