

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Impact of the economic crisis on health-related behaviors in Italy / Mattei, Giorgio; DE VOGLI, Roberto; Ferrari, Silvia; Pingani, Luca; Rigatelli, Marco; Galeazzi, Gian Maria. - In: INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SOCIAL PSYCHIATRY. - ISSN 0020-7640. - 63:7(2017), pp. 649-656. [10.1177/0020764017726097]

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

23/05/2024 05:21

(Article begins on next page)

Impact of the economic crisis on health-related behaviors in Italy

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Social Psychiatry</i>
Manuscript ID	ISP-17-0109.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Mattei, Giorgio; Dipartimento di Medicina diagnostica, clinica e di Sanità pubblica - Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia; Associazione per la Ricerca in Psichiatria, De Vogli, Roberto; University of California Davis, Department of Public Health Sciences; University of Padua Ferrari, Silvia; University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Clinical, Diagnostic and Public Health Medicine Pingani, Luca; Azienda Unita Sanitaria Locale di Reggio Emilia, Human Resources Rigatelli, Marco; University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Clinical, Diagnostic and Public Health Medicine Galeazzi, Gian; University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Clinical, Diagnostic and Public Health Medicine
Keywords:	Economic crisis, Alcohol, Smoking, Physical activity
Abstract:	<p>BACKGROUND: Evidence exists supporting the impact of the Great Recession on health-related behaviors internationally, though few studies are available concerning the Italian population.</p> <p>AIM: To assess the impact of the late 2000s economic crisis on health-related behaviors linked to population mental health in Italy.</p> <p>METHODS: Descriptive study. Health indicators came from the Italian Institute of Statistics database (years 2000-2015). Statistics performed by means of linear regression models.</p> <p>RESULTS: Increased smokers (Beta = 1.68, p = .13), heavy smokers, i.e. people smoking 11-20 cigarettes per day (Beta = 2.18, p = .18) or more than 20 cigarettes per day (Beta = 1.04, p <.01) and mean number of smoked cigarettes per day (Beta = 0.56, p = .02) was noticeable. Also, prevalence of overweight increased (Beta = 0.91, p = .04), while the Italian families' expenditure for alcoholic beverages decreased (Beta = - 812.80, p = .01). Alcohol consumption decreased (Beta = -.60, p <.01), especially in men (Beta = -.95, p <.01); binge drinking increased in years 2009-2010. No change was noticeable in the diet indicators collected.</p> <p>CONCLUSIONS The economic crisis may have increased smoking, overweight and binge drinking in Italy (though data on the latter phenomenon are not conclusive), and reduced overall alcohol consumption.</p>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

Impact of the economic crisis on health-related behaviors in Italy

Giorgio Mattei^{1,5}, Roberto De Vogli^{2,3}, Silvia Ferrari¹, Luca Pingani³, Marco Rigatelli¹, Gian Maria Galeazzi¹

¹ Department of Diagnostic, Clinical and Public Health Medicine, Section of Psychiatry, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

² Department of Public Health Sciences, School of Medicine, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, USA

³ Department of Developmental Psychology and Socialization, University of Padua, Padua, Italy

⁴ Human Resources, AUSL Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy

⁵ Association for Research in Psychiatry

Keywords: Economic Crisis; Alcohol; Smoking; Diet; Physical activity;

Original article

Corresponding author: Giorgio Mattei, Clinica Psichiatrica, Policlinico di Modena

Via del Pozzo, 71 – 41124 Modena, tel. +39-059-4224305; fax +39-059-4224439; e-mail giorgiomattei@alice.it

orcid.org/0000-0001-5326-303X

Table 1 – Results of linear regressions (2000-2007 vs. 2008-2015).

Figure 1 – Smoking behavior among people aged > 14 years old (Nr. of smokers per 100 persons with same features)

Impact of the economic crisis on health-related behaviors in Italy**ABSTRACT**

BACKGROUND: Evidence exists supporting the impact of the Great Recession on health-related behaviors internationally, though few studies are available concerning the Italian population.

AIM: To assess the impact of the late 2000s economic crisis on health-related behaviors linked to population mental health in Italy.

METHODS: Descriptive study. Health indicators came from the Italian Institute of Statistics database (years 2000-2015). Statistics performed by means of linear regression models.

RESULTS: Increased smokers (Beta = 1.68, $p = .13$), heavy smokers, i.e. people smoking 11-20 cigarettes per day (Beta = 2.18, $p = .18$) or more than 20 cigarettes per day (Beta = 1.04, $p < .01$) and mean number of smoked cigarettes per day (Beta = 0.56, $p = .02$) was noticeable. Also, prevalence of overweight increased (Beta = 0.91, $p = .04$), while the Italian families' expenditure for alcoholic beverages decreased (Beta = -812.80, $p = .01$). Alcohol consumption decreased (Beta = -.60, $p < .01$), especially in men (Beta = -.95, $p < .01$); binge drinking increased in years 2009-2010. No change was noticeable in the diet indicators collected.

CONCLUSIONS The economic crisis may have increased smoking, overweight and binge drinking in Italy (though data on the latter phenomenon are not conclusive), and reduced overall alcohol consumption.

REVISED SUBMISSION**Impact of the economic crisis on health-related behaviors in Italy**

G. Mattei^{1,5}, R. De Vogli^{2,3}, S. Ferrari¹, L. Pingani³, M. Rigatelli¹, G. M. Galeazzi¹

¹ Department of Diagnostic, Clinical and Public Health Medicine, Section of Psychiatry, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

² Department of Public Health Sciences, School of Medicine, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, USA

³ Department of Developmental Psychology and Socialization, University of Padua, Padua, Italy

⁴ Human Resources, AUSL Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy

⁵ Association for Research in Psychiatry

Keywords: Economic Crisis; Alcohol; Smoking; Physical activity;

Original article

Corresponding author: Giorgio Mattei, Clinica Psichiatrica, Policlinico di Modena

Via del Pozzo, 71 – 41124 Modena, tel. +39-059-4224305; fax +39-059-4224439; e-mail

giorgiomattei@alice.it

orcid.org/0000-0001-5326-303X

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1 – Results of linear regressions (2000-2007 vs. 2008-2015).

Figure 1 – Smoking behavior among people aged > 14 years old in years 2001-2015.

Declaration of Interest: Nothing to declare

Word count: 3178

For Peer Review

Impact of the economic crisis on health-related behaviors in Italy

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Evidence exists supporting the impact of the Great Recession on health-related behaviors internationally, though few studies are available concerning the Italian population.

AIM: To assess the impact of the late 2000s economic crisis on health-related behaviors linked to population mental health in Italy.

METHODS: Descriptive study. Health indicators came from the Italian Institute of Statistics database (years 2000-2015). Statistics performed by means of linear regression models.

RESULTS: Increased smokers (Beta = 1.68, $p = .13$), heavy smokers, i.e. people smoking 11-20 cigarettes per day (Beta = 2.18, $p = .18$) or more than 20 cigarettes per day (Beta = 1.04, $p < .01$) and mean number of smoked cigarettes per day (Beta = 0.56, $p = .02$) was noticeable. Also, prevalence of overweight increased (Beta = 0.91, $p = .04$), while the Italian families' expenditure for alcoholic beverages decreased (Beta = -812.80, $p = .01$). Alcohol consumption decreased (Beta = -.60, $p < .01$), especially in men (Beta = -.95, $p < .01$); binge drinking increased in years 2009-2010. No change was noticeable in the diet indicators collected.

CONCLUSIONS The economic crisis may have increased smoking, overweight and binge drinking in Italy (though data on the latter phenomenon are not conclusive), and reduced overall alcohol consumption.

INTRODUCTION

After the onset of the late 2000s Great Recession (GR), many concerns arose regarding the possible impact of the economic downturn on the health of the populations (World Health Organization, 2011). A large amount of evidence produced in the last years pointed out a general detrimental effect of economic crises on health when austerity measures are implemented, namely when the budget destined to welfare and social protection is cut (Stuckler & Basu, 2013; Frasquilho et al., 2016; Margerison-Zilko et al., 2016; Martin-Carrasco et al., 2016). Possible moderators of this were recognized in the amount of investments in active labor market programs, and, more generally, in social protection (Stuckler et al., 2009; Stuckler & Basu, 2013). With respect to the GR, its outcome on health seems to have been largely negative worldwide (Margerison-Zilko et al., 2016). Only a few studies, however, have investigated the impact of the crisis on health-related behaviors, though this topic is particularly relevant, considering the change occurred in the last twenty years in the ranking of major risk factors for the global burden of disease, namely the shift from risks for communicable diseases (peculiarly among children) towards risks for non-communicable diseases (peculiarly among adults) (Lim et al., 2013). For example, tobacco smoking and secondhand exposure (still the second leading risk factor for global disease burden) are responsible for about 6.3 million annual deaths worldwide and 6.3% of the global burden of disease, mostly in low- and middle-income countries (Lim et al., 2013; Ezzatti & Riboli, 2013). In western Europe and north America smoking (active or second hand) is the leading risk factor, while in eastern Europe, Andean Latin America, and southern sub-Saharan Africa in 2010 was alcohol use. As far as diet and physical inactivity are concerned, in 2010 they accounted for 10% of global DALYs (Lim et al., 2013).

Another reason why health-related behaviors are worth studying is represented by their link with psychological conditions and mental health. With respect to alcohol and tobacco consumption, it is

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 widely recognized the frequent co-occurrence of such behaviors in groups of people affected by mental
10 disorders, along a spectrum that spreads from the construct of dual diagnosis to the coping mechanisms
11 an individual can activate to regulate negative emotions and face a stressful situation, as financial strain
12 and unemployment (Cooper et al., 1995; Kessler, 2004; De Vogli & Santinello, 2005; Graham et al., 2007;
13 Sinha, 2008). The link between the latter and harmful substance use was recently addressed by de Goeij
14 et al. (2015), who proposed two behavioral mechanisms that may impact on alcohol-related behaviors
15 and tobacco use in times of financial hardship. The first one posits that during economic crisis alcohol
16 consumption decreases since less money is spent on alcoholic beverages, due to tighter budget
17 constraints. This mechanism seems cross-sectional to all population subgroups, across the majority of
18 countries. The second behavioral mechanism is based on psychological distress and leads to increase
19 alcohol consumption.

20
21 Placed within the framework of the stress-vulnerability model, alcohol consumption and tobacco
22 smoking may represent “mechanisms of coping”, though ultimately dysfunctional, to obtain short-term
23 relief in times of heavy distress, such as in periods when work is threaten (Jarvis & Wardle, 1999).
24 Similarly, food may represent an easy-to-obtain “anxiolytic”, considering the well-known relation
25 between stress and diet (Yau & Potenza, 2013). Therefore, changes in the individuals’ diet may be due
26 not only to decreased availability of money, with subsequent access to low quality food; as for tobacco
27 and alcoholics, food choices may represent a way of coping with a difficult and stressful moment of life.
28 Another similarity concerning tobacco and alcohol on the one hand, and diet and obesity on the other, is
29 that stress represent a common risk factor for both obesity and addiction (Sinha & Jastreboff, 2013).

30
31 Steptoe and Marmot (2003) studied the associations between biobehavioral risk factors and quality of
32 life. Even if no association stemmed out between health behaviors and the psychosocial adversity and
33 vulnerability index studied, significant associations emerged with psychological distress, depression,
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

hopelessness, sleep problems, hostility, low self-esteem and loneliness, independently of age, sex, socioeconomic status, and marital status. Also, higher psychosocial adversity or vulnerability was associated with levels of glycohemoglobin, plasma fibrinogen, plasma viscosity, and body mass (the latter among women). Altogether, these data encourage to further study the relation between socioeconomic environment, individuals' distress, behavioral responses and mental health outcome.

The aim of the present study is to assess the impact of the economic crisis on health-related behaviors linked to population mental health in Italy. Differently than other Eurozone members, since 2008 Italy has suffered a triple-dip recession, with the first begun in 2008, the second in 2011, and the third in 2014 (O'Brien, 2014). In the same period, austerity measures were implemented by the Italian Governments, and negative short-term effects on the health of the Italian population were reported. In the first years of economic crisis (i.e. 2008-2010), an increase in suicides and attempted suicides specifically due to financial problems was reported in Italy (Mattei et al., 2011; De Vogli et al., 2012; Mattei et al., 2014). Also, a noticeable effect was pointed out in terms of increased ischemic heart disease and cardiovascular mortality (Mattei et al., 2014; Torbica et al., 2015), consumption of nicotine (Gallus et al., 2011) decreased consumption of expensive illicit drugs and increased consumption of cheap illicit drugs (Zuccato et al., 2011), and an increase in the "occasional" consumption of alcoholic beverages (Mattei et al., 2014). Yet, the majority of studies published on the impact of the economic crisis on the health of the Italian population concerned the first years of economic crisis and there is little information on how the crisis changed health related behaviors such as smoking, diet and physical activity, that may be linked to the change in the socio-economic environment by means of psychological mechanisms and levels of perceived distress. Starting from available evidence on this topic, our hypothesis was that the economic downturn had caused an increase in alcohol and tobacco consumption (Hammarström & Janlert, 2003), as well as an increase in physical activity (since in times of

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 financial hardship people travel less, especially by car, with a noticeable reduction in traffic fatalities;
10 Uutela, 2010). With respect to overweight and obesity, we had actually no conclusive hypothesis, given
11 that both increased and decreased overweight may have been expected: the first due to cheap, junk
12 food consumption, the latter due to increased physical activity.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 METHODS

21 *Study design and data collection*

22
23
24
25
26
27
28 This is a descriptive study. The following health indicators were collected: alcohol consumption (data
29 refer to people aged over 11 years old, consuming alcohol in the year, everyday, occasionally or out of
30 meal; also, data referring to males and females aged over 15 years old, consuming alcoholics, namely
31 wine and beer, in the week or everyday were collected), smoking behavior (number of current smokers,
32 number of former smokers, number of non-smokers, number of smoked cigarettes: 1-5 per day, 6-10
33 per day, 11-20 per day, >20 per day, mean number of smoked cigarettes per day), physical activity
34 (number of people practicing regularly, unregularly, only sometimes or never) obese people aged >18,
35 obese males aged >18, obese females aged >18, overweight people (males and females) aged >18,
36 overweight males aged >18, overweight females aged >18; people, males and females, eating beef and
37 fish every week, and cheese and vegetables everyday. All indicators represented the number of people
38 having that condition or behavior per 100 people with the same features. Also, following economic
39 indicators were collected: Italian families' expenditure for alcohol beverages, tobacco and narcotics,
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 Italian families' expenditure for alcohol beverages only, tobacco and narcotics, Italian families'
10 expenditure for narcotics only (all expressed in Euro per year), rate of unemployment, GDP per capita.

11
12 All data were obtained from the website of the Italian National Institute of Statistics, ISTAT
13 (www.istat.it), in particular from the ICT system "Health for All Italia", a territorial informatics system
14 about health that may be freely downloaded at the following site: <http://www.istat.it/it/archivio/14562>
15 and from I.Stat, a wide database provided by ISTAT at the following site: <http://dati.istat.it>.
16
17
18
19

20
21
22 The period of time considered was 2000-2015, but please note that for some indicators data were not
23 available for the entire period.
24
25

26 27 28 *Dating the Great Recession* 29

30
31
32 As far as the recession dating is concerned, it is important to notice that it did not start simultaneously
33 worldwide. In the present study, as far as Italy is concerned, 2008 was considered the first year of
34 recession, given that the third and fourth trimester of that year recorded a consecutive negative
35 variation of GDP (source: ISTAT). Also, in 2008 the Italian rate of unemployment increased for the first
36 time after ten years, starting from the fourth trimester. It can be assumed that the second half of 2008
37 corresponds to the time when the Italian population began *experiencing* the crisis (Mattei et al., 2014).
38
39 Further details about the GR and the main causes that led to the worst economic crisis since the 1929
40 Great Depression may be found elsewhere (De Vogli & Owusu, 2014).
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 *Statistical analysis* 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 The analysis was carried on by means of linear regression models, with the dependent variable
10 represented by all collected health indicators while independent variables were time and crisis years.
11 Time was referred to years from 2000 to 2015; crisis was a dummy variable having the following values:
12 0 for years 2000-2007, 1 for years 2008-2015, to test the possible effect of the economic crisis on the
13 collected indicators (absence of economic crisis vs. presence of economic crisis). As OLS regression
14 analysis suits better when the assumption of homoscedasticity is valid, which is usually the exception in
15 psychiatric epidemiology and econometrics, 95% confidence intervals were estimated for all coefficients
16 on the basis of heteroscedasticity-robust standard errors. STATA 13.0 (Stata Corporation, College
17 Station, TX, USA) was used for all analyses. Since data about alcohol consumption in the year, everyday,
18 occasionally or out of meal in years 2000-2003 refer to the percentage of people aged over 14 years old,
19 while in years 2005-2014 refer to people aged over 11 years old (data recording was changed by ISTAT),
20 the regression analysis for such variables included observations only for years 2005-2014.
21
22 As data were anonymous and aggregated at the origin, Ethics Committee approval was not sought.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 RESULTS

37
38
39 Table 1 shows the results of the regression analysis, that compares the years of economic crisis (2008-
40 2015) with the previous ones (2000-2007).
41
42 With respect to alcohol consumption in the year and out of meal among people aged 11 or more, a
43 significant reduction was noticeable in the period of time considered, with no apparent effect due to the
44 crisis. Differently, considering the overall rate of people aged 15 or more who consume alcoholics more
45 than once per week, a significant decrease was noticeable (Beta = -0.60, 95% CI = -0.96; -0.24), peculiarly
46 among men (Beta = -0.95, 95% CI = -1.50; -0.41). The consumption of beer and wine showed a steady
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 and significant decline, without association with the economic situation; similarly, the percentage of
9 people who never drank showed a steady increase, not influenced by the crisis. Binge drinking behaviors
10 among people aged >11 years old were not associated with the years of economic crisis (2008-2015),
11 though an increase was noticeable in the years of the GR (2008-2010), from a descriptive standpoint,
12 both among men and women.
13
14
15
16
17

18 (Display Table 1 about here)
19

20
21
22 With respect to smoking (Fig. 1), the analysis pointed out an increase in the number of smokers (Beta =
23 1.68, 95% CI = 0.17; 3.20), heavy smokers, i.e. people smoking 11-20 cigarettes per day (Beta = 2.18,
24 95% CI = 0.12; 4.26) or more than 20 cigarettes per day (Beta = 1.04, 95% CI = 0.45; 1.62) and mean
25 number of smoked cigarettes per day (Beta = 0.56, 95% CI 0.13; 0.99). Also, prevalence of overweight
26 increased during the economic downturn (Beta = 0.91, 95% CI 0.03; 1.79). Italian families' expenditure
27 for alcoholic beverages (expressed in Euro per year) were negatively associated with the economic crisis
28 (Beta = -812.80, p = .01).
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 All variables concerning physical activity and diet were not influenced by the economic crisis.
37
38
39

40 (Display Figure 1 about here)
41
42
43

44 DISCUSSION

45
46 The main results of the present study concern smoking behavior, overweight and alcohol consumption.
47
48 With respect to the latter, Italian data seem consistent with other studies (Bor et al. 2013; Asgeirsdottir
49 et al., 2014; Toffolutti & Suhrcke, 2014), pointing out decreased prevalence of any alcohol use during
50 the economic recession, and increased prevalence in binge-drinking. Based on existing literature, such
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 findings were expected; yet, our previous observations pointed out a short-term increase in alcohol
10 consumption in 2009, the year featured by the worst real GDP decrease, in Italy (-5.1%, Mattei et al.,
11 2014). Such discrepancies may be explained by a two-speed process, i.e. an acute phase (the very first
12 years of crisis) in which both overall consumption and binge drinking increased, and a second, longer
13 phase in which income reduction led to decreased alcoholics consumption. Notably, the present study
14 covers a period of time longer than the GR, since the latter represented the beginning of a longer phase
15 of economic crisis (and repeated recession), which prolonged until 2015. Also, it is worth noticing that
16 the GR in Italy, rather than causing new, negative socio-economic conditions, speeded up already
17 existing negative processes affecting the economy, in stagnation at least from year 2000 (Mattei et al.,
18 2015). The decreased overall alcohol consumption among men may be due to the fact that in the first
19 years of economic crisis the Italian male population was mainly hit by unemployment or fear of
20 unemployment (Giovannini, 2009). Reduced income in such group may have determined less money to
21 spend in alcoholic beverages (de Goeij et al., 2015).
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 Besides confirming previous observations referring to the very first years of recession (Gallus et al.,
35 2011), our study adds that the effect on the economic downturn on tobacco smoking may have had a
36 longer duration, as Figure 1 shows; we believe that this may be relevant for public health given the well-
37 known effects of smoking (both active or second hand) on health, (either in the short, medium and long
38 period). Also, our data does not support the hypothesis that increased smoking behavior was largely
39 attributable to former smokers relapse, as other authors pointed out (Gallus et al., 2011). Other causes
40 may have acted; for example, tobacco may be considered a cheap drug, easy to be obtained, and
41 socially accepted, to turn to irrespectively from previous smoking habits. In the years of the economic
42 crisis a decreased consumption of expensive illicit drugs was noticeable, while consumption of cheap
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 illicit drugs increased (Zuccato et al., 2011). Smoking may be considered, at least in part, a “cheap licit
10 drug”, to turn to easily in times of hardship, both economic or not.

11
12 Finally, our data support the hypothesis that, beside a steady increase in the prevalence of overweight,
13 the crisis itself may have played an independent, additional role, acting via different mechanisms. On
14 the one hand, the financial strain may have acted as chronic stressor, with noticeable and well-known
15 effects on metabolism (Sinha & Jastreboff, 2013). On the other hand, it is possible that people who are
16 still working, though are at risk of unemployment or experience work instabilities, have less time to
17 dedicate to themselves and to their lifestyle (including food choice and physical activity), in the same
18 way as less sick leave was documented due to fear of losing job (Mattei et al., 2015).

19
20 This study has several limitations. First, due to the nature of data, no causal relation can be drawn. Yet,
21 relevant findings emerged concerning Italians’ health-related behaviors, possibly due prolonged
22 exposure to psychosocial stress, and consistently with other published literature. Second, we found
23 many missing values (e.g., for alcohol indicators), and the period of time considered was relatively short.
24 This may have led to spurious associations or lack of associations. Yet, the methods adopted made the
25 study feasible, helping at gaining knowledge on a topic particularly controversial, as the effects of socio-
26 economic changes on health. Third, it was pointed out that rates may be non-stationary unit root
27 processes, making them unfit for the regression analysis with ordinary least square methods, for the risk
28 of type I error (Ceccherini-Nelli & Priebe, 2011). Yet, the majority of studies in this field adopted
29 regression analysis, with results similar to those that used other more sophisticated statistical
30 approaches; also, we used heteroscedasticity-robust standard errors, to strengthen our analysis. Fourth,
31 the present study did not include data on suicide and attempted suicide behaviors, though representing
32 an important issue, frequently debated, in the last decade, especially with respect to the possible link
33 with work and unemployment. Yet, this is one of the most studied topic, even in Italy, where a general
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 shortage of research concerning the effect of the economic crisis on health is noticeable. Other papers
10 have already addressed this topic (e.g., De Vogli, 2013; De Vogli et al., 2013). Also, recent data about
11 suicide behaviors from other countries such as Greece (Economu et al., 2016) are consistent with the
12 hypothesis that suicide and attempted suicide may represent an acute response to economic downturns
13 (Hong et al., 2011; De Vogli et al., 2013). In the present study a wider temporal frame was considered,
14 unable to detect such rapid, acute increase, as well as aggregated data were used, while Italian studies
15 on suicide using disaggregated data already exist. Also, it was our intention to point out other less
16 studied and debated issues, that may be particularly relevant for public health and mental health
17 services. Fifth, our analysis did not include data concerning cardiovascular mortality, though evidence in
18 literature suggests a possible link with the socio-economic environment. Yet, this topic was already
19 addressed by previous Italian studies published in recent years (e.g. Torbica et al., 2015), therefore we
20 included in our paper less studied indexes, such as food consumption, overweight and obesity. Sixth and
21 final, given the study design adopted, we focused on population as unit of analysis instead of people,
22 therefore aggregated data were used; this may have partly limited our analysis, given that, as above
23 mentioned, spurious associations (or lack of associations) may happen when data are excessively
24 aggregated. Also, for the same reason we were not able to detect high-risk, vulnerable groups, which is
25 still a crucial topic in epidemiology. Yet, this limitation of our study highlights the need for further
26 research in this field, especially as far as the Italian population is concerned, based on disaggregated
27 data.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 CONCLUSIONS

49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 The economic crisis seems to have determined an increase in smoking and overweight prevalence, and a
10 decrease in overall alcohol consumption. An increase in binge drinking may have taken place in the
11 years of the GR, though data are not conclusive. Future research is needed to assess the possible long-
12 term consequences of such behavioral changes in terms of cardio-metabolic and oncological outcomes,
13 especially because life expectancy in Italy started to decline after decades of steady increase for the first
14 time in 2015 (ISTAT, 2016). This is crucial for the design of policies and interventions that can tackle the
15 negative impact of the crisis on public health.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 **DISCLOSURES**

25
26 The authors report no competing interests.
27
28
29

30 **FUNDING SOURCE**

31
32 None
33
34
35

36 **AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS**

37
38 All data used for the present study are available upon request addressed to the corresponding author.
39
40
41

42 **REFERENCES**

43
44
45
46 Asgeirsdottir, T.L, Corman, H., Noonan, K., et al. (2014). Was the economic crisis of 2008 good
47 for Icelanders? Impact on health behaviors. *Economic & Human Biology*, 13, 1–19.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Bor, J., Basu, S., Coutts, A., McKee, M., Stuckler, D. (2013). Alcohol use during the great recession of 2008-2009. *Alcohol and Alcoholism* 48(3), 343-8. doi: 10.1093/alcalc/agt002. Epub 2013 Jan 29.

Ceccherini-Nelli, A., Piebe, S. (2011). Economic factors and suicide rates: associations over time in four countries. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 46(10), 975-982.

Cooper, M. L., Frone, M. R., Russell, M., & Mudar, P. (1995). Drinking to regulate positive and negative emotions: A motivational model of alcohol use. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 69, 990-1005. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.69.5.990>

de Goeij, M.C., Suhrcke, M., Toffolutti, V., van de Mheen, D., Schoenmakers, T.M., Kunst, A.E. (2015). How economic crises affect alcohol consumption and alcohol-related health problems: a realist systematic review. *Social Science & Medicine*, 131, 131-46. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.02.025. Epub 2015 Feb 18.

De Vogli, R., Santinello, M. (2005). Unemployment and smoking: does psychosocial stress matter? *Tobacco Control*, 14, 389-395. doi: 10.1136/tc.2004.010611

De Vogli, R., Marmot, M., Stuckler, D. (2013). Excess suicides and attempted suicides in Italy attributable to the great recession. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*, 67(4), 378-9. doi: 10.1136/jech-2012-201607. Epub 2012 Aug 2.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 De Vogli, R. (2013). Unemployment and suicides during the recession in Italy.
9
10 *The British Medical Journal*, 347, f4908.
11

12
13
14 De Vogli, R., Owusu, J.T. (2014). The causes and health effects of the Great Recession: from
15
16 neoliberalism to 'healthy de-growth'. *Critical Public Health*, DOI:
17
18 10.1080/09581596.2014.957164
19

20
21
22 Dom, G., Samochowiec, J., Evans-Lacko, S., Wahlbeck, K., Van Hal, G., McDaid, D. (2016). The
23
24 Impact of the 2008 Economic Crisis on Substance Use Patterns in the Countries of the European
25
26 Union. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 13, 122;
27
28 DOI:10.3390/ijerph13010122
29

30
31
32 Economou, M., Angelopoulos, E., Peppou, L.E., Souliotis, K., Stefanis, C. (2016). Suicidal ideation
33
34 and suicide attempts in Greece during the economic crisis: an update. *World Psychiatry* 15:1,
35
36 83-83. DOI:10.1002/wps.20296
37

38
39
40 Ezzatti, M., Riboli, E. (2013). Behavioral and Dietary Risk Factors for Noncommunicable
41
42 Diseases. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 369, 10:954-964.
43

44
45
46 Frasilho, D., Gaspar Matos, M., Salonna, F., Guerreiro, D., Storti, C.C., Gaspar, T., Caldas-de-
47
48 Almeida, J.M. (2016). Mental health outcomes in times of economic recession: a systematic
49
50 literature review. *BMC Public Health* 16, 115. DOI 10.1186/s12889-016-2720-y
51

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Gallus, S., Tramacere, I., Pacifici, R., Zuccaro, P., Colombo, P., Ghislandi, S., La Vecchia, C. (2011). Smoking in Italy 2008–2009: A rise in prevalence related to the economic crisis? *Preventive Medicine*, 52, 182–183

Giovannini, E. (2009). Document on the economic-financial planning concerning the 2010–2012 measure for public finance (Documento di Programmazione Economico-Finanziaria relativo alla manovra di finanza pubblica per gli anni 2010–2012). *Audition of the President of the National Institute of Statistics*. Istituto Nazionale di Statistica, Rome (in Italian).

Graham, N.A., Frost-Pineda, K., Gold, M.S. (2007). Tobacco and psychiatric dual disorders. *Journal of Addictive Diseases*, 26 (Suppl. 1), 5-12. DOI: 10.1300/J069v26S01_02.

Hammarstrom, A., Janlert, U. (2003). Unemployment: an important predictor for future smoking: a 14-year follow up study of school leavers. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*, 31, 229–32.

Hong, J., Knapp, M., McGuire, A. (2011). Income-related inequalities in the prevalence of depression and suicidal behaviour: a 10-year trend following economic crisis. *World Psychiatry*, 10, 40-4.

Istituto Nazionale di Statistica (2016). Demographic indicators. Estimates for year 2015. (Indicatori demografici. Stime per l'anno 2015). *Istituto Nazionale di Statistica*, Rome (in Italian).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Jarvis, M., Wardle, J. (1999). Social patterning of individual health behaviours: the case of cigarette smoking. In: Marmot, M., Wilkinson, R., eds. *Social determinants of health*. Oxford University Press: London.

Kessler, R.C. (2004). The epidemiology of dual diagnosis. *Biological Psychiatry*, 56(10), 730-7.

Lim, S.S., Vos, T., Flaxman, A.D. et. (2013). A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*, 380, 2224-2260.

Margerison-Zilko, C., Goldman-Mellor, S., Falconi, A., Downing, J. (2016). Health Impacts of the Great Recession: a Critical Review. *Current Epidemiology Reports*, 3(1),81-91. DOI 10.1007/s40471-016-0068-6

Martin-Carrasco, M., Evans-Lacko, S., Dom, G., Christodoulou, N.G., Samochowiec, J., González-Fraile, E., Bienkowski, P., Gómez-Beneyto, M., Dos Santos, M.J.H., Wasserman, D. (2016). EPA guidance on mental health and economic crises in Europe. *European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 266, 89–124 DOI 10.1007/s00406-016-0681-x

Mattei, G., Ferrari, S., Rigatelli, M. (2011). Economic recession in Italy: a review of short-term effects on health. *The Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 70, 606.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Mattei, G., Ferrari, S., Pingani, L., Rigatelli, M. (2014). Short-term effects of the 2008 Great Recession on the health of the Italian population: an ecological study. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 49, 851-858.

Mattei, G., Ferrari, S., Giubbarelli, G., Pingani, L., Urraci, G.M., Rigatelli, M., Galeazzi, G.M. (2015). Occupational health physicians and the impact of the Great Recession on the health of workers: a qualitative study. *La Medicina del Lavoro*, 106, 412-423.

O'Brien, M. (2014). Italy's triple-dip recession has wiped out all its growth since 2000. *The Washington Post*, August 7. Accessed online: February 20, 2016.
<https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2014/08/07/italys-triple-dip-recession-has-wiped-out-all-its-growth-since-2000/>

Sinha, R. (2008). Chronic Stress, Drug Use, and Vulnerability to Addiction. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1141, 105–130. DOI:10.1196/annals.1441.030.

Sinha, R., Jastreboff, A.M. (2013). Stress as a common risk factor for obesity and addiction. *Biological Psychiatry*, 73(9), 827-35. DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.01.032.

Stephens, A., Marmot, M. (2003). Burden of psychosocial adversity and vulnerability in middle age: associations with biobehavioral risk factors and quality of life. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 65(6), 1029-37.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 Stuckler, D., Basu, S. (2013). *The body economic*. Allen Lane: London.

10
11
12 Stuckler, D., Basu, S., Suhrcke, M., Coutts, A., McKee, M. (2009). The public health effect of
13 economic crises and alternative policy responses in Europe: an empirical analysis. *The Lancet*,
14
15 374, 315-323
16
17

18
19
20 Toffolutti, V., Suhrcke, M. (2014). Assessing the short term health impact of the Great
21 Recession in the European Union: A cross-country panel analysis. *Preventive Medicine*, 64, 54–
22
23 62.
24
25

26 Torbica, A., Maggioni, A.P., Ghislandi, S. (2015) The Economic Crisis and Acute Myocardial
27 Infarction: New Evidence Using Hospital-Level Data. *PLoS ONE* 10(11): e0142810.
28
29 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0142810
30
31

32
33
34 Uutela, A. (2010). Economic crises and mental health. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 23(2), 127–
35
36 130
37

38
39
40 World Health Organization, (2011). Impact of economic crises on mental health.
41 [http://www.euro.who.int/en/what-we-do/healthtopics/noncommunicable-diseases/mental-](http://www.euro.who.int/en/what-we-do/healthtopics/noncommunicable-diseases/mental-health/publications/2011/impact-of-economic-crises-on-mental-health)
42
43 [health/publications/2011/](http://www.euro.who.int/en/what-we-do/healthtopics/noncommunicable-diseases/mental-health/publications/2011/impact-of-economic-crises-on-mental-health) impact-of-economic-crises-on-mental-health The financial crisis and
44
45 global health: background paper for WHO high level consultation. Geneva: 2011.
46
47

48
49
50 Yau, Y.H., Potenza, M.N. (2013). Stress and eating behaviors. *Minerva Endocrinologica*, 38(3),
51
52 255-67.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 Zuccato, E., Castiglioni, S., Tettamanti, M., Olandese, R., Bagnati, R., Melis, M., Fanelli, R.
11
12 (2011). Changes in illicit drug consumption patterns in 2009 detected by wastewater analysis.
13
14 *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 118, 464–469.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **REVISED SUBMISSION WITH HIGHLIGHTED CHANGES**

29
30 **Impact of the economic crisis on health-related behaviors in Italy**
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 G. Mattei^{1,5}, R. De Vogli^{2,3}, S. Ferrari¹, L. Pingani³, M. Rigatelli¹, G. M. Galeazzi¹
39
40
41
42
43

44 ¹ Department of Diagnostic, Clinical and Public Health Medicine, Section of Psychiatry, University of
45
46 Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy
47

48 ² Department of Public Health Sciences, School of Medicine, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, USA
49

50 ³ Department of Developmental Psychology and Socialization, University of Padua, Padua, Italy
51

52 ⁴ Human Resources, AUSL Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

⁵ Association for Research in Psychiatry

Keywords: Economic Crisis; Alcohol; Smoking; Physical activity;

Original article

Corresponding author: Giorgio Mattei, Clinica Psichiatrica, Policlinico di Modena

Via del Pozzo, 71 – 41124 Modena, tel. +39-059-4224305; fax +39-059-4224439; e-mail

giorgiomattei@alice.it

orcid.org/0000-0001-5326-303X

~~Table 1 – Values of considered variables from 2000 till 2015.~~

~~Table 2 1 – Results of univariate linear regressions (2000-2007 vs. 2008-2015).~~

~~Figure 1 – Binge drinking behavior among people aged > 11 years old in years 2003-2015.~~

~~Figure 1 – Smoking behavior among people aged > 14 years old in years 2001-2015.~~

Declaration of Interest: Nothing to declare

Word count: ~~3442~~ 3178

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Impact of the economic crisis on health-related behaviors in Italy

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Evidence exists supporting the impact of the Great Recession on health-related behaviors internationally, though few studies are available concerning the Italian population.

AIM: To assess the impact of the late 2000s economic crisis on health-related behaviors [linked to population mental health in Italy](#).

METHODS: Descriptive study. Health indicators came from the Italian Institute of Statistics database [iH](#) (years 2000-2015). Statistics performed by means of linear regression models.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 **RESULTS:** ~~During the economic crisis, an increase in the~~ Increased number of smokers (Beta = 1.68, p =
10 .13), heavy smokers, i.e. people smoking 11-20 cigarettes per day (Beta = 2.18, p = .18) or more than 20
11 cigarettes per day (Beta = 1.04, p <.01) and mean number of smoked cigarettes per day (Beta = 0.56, p =
12 .02) was noticeable. Also, prevalence of overweight increased (Beta = 0.91, p = .04), while the Italian
13 families' expenditure for alcoholic beverages decreased (Beta = -812.80, p = .01). Alcohol consumption
14 decreased (Beta = -.60, p <.01), especially in men (Beta = -.95, p <.01); ~~while~~ binge drinking increased in
15 years 2009-2010. No change was noticeable in the diet indicators collected.

16
17
18
19
20
21
22 **CONCLUSIONS** The economic crisis may have increased smoking, overweight and binge drinking in Italy
23 (though data on the latter phenomenon are not conclusive), and reduced overall alcohol consumption.

24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 INTRODUCTION

32 After the onset of the late 2000s Great Recession (GR), many concerns arose regarding the possible
33 impact of the economic downturn on the health of the populations (World Health Organization, 2011). A
34 large amount of evidence produced in the last years pointed out a general detrimental effect of
35 economic crises on health when austerity measures are implemented, namely when the budget
36 destined to welfare and social protection is cut (Stuckler & Basu, 2013; Frasilho et al., 2016;
37 Margerison-Zilko et al., 2016; Martin-Carrasco et al., 2016). Possible moderators of this were recognized
38 in the amount of investments in active labor market programs, and, more generally, in social protection
39 (Stuckler et al., 2009; Stuckler & Basu, 2013). With respect to the GR, its outcome on health seems to
40 have been largely negative worldwide (Margerison-Zilko et al., 2016). Only a few studies, however, have
41 investigated the impact of the crisis on health-related behaviors, though this topic is particularly
42 relevant, considering ~~also~~ the change occurred in the last twenty years in the ranking of major risk
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 factors for the global burden of disease, namely the shift from risks for communicable diseases
10 (peculiarly among children) towards risks for non-communicable diseases (peculiarly among adults) (Lim
11 et al., 2013). For example, tobacco smoking and secondhand exposure (still the second leading risk
12 factor for global disease burden) are responsible for about 6.3 million annual deaths worldwide and
13 6.3% of the global burden of disease, mostly in low- and middle-income countries (Lim et al., 2013;
14 Ezzatti & Riboli, 2013). In western Europe and north America smoking (active or second hand) is the
15 leading risk factor, while in Eastern Europe, Andean Latin America, and southern sub-Saharan Africa in
16 2010 was alcohol use. As far as diet and physical inactivity are concerned, in 2010 they accounted for
17 10% of global DALYs (Lim et al., 2013).

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 Another reason why health-related behaviors are worth studying is represented by their link with
27 psychological conditions and mental health. With respect to alcohol and tobacco consumption, it is
28 widely recognized the frequent co-occurrence of such behaviors in groups of people affected by mental
29 disorders, along a spectrum that spreads from the construct of dual diagnosis to the coping mechanisms
30 an individual can activate to regulate negative emotions and face a stressful situation, as financial strain
31 and unemployment (Cooper et al., 1995; Kessler, 2004; De Vogli & Santinello, 2005; Graham et al., 2007;
32 Sinha, 2008). The link between the latter and harmful substance use was recently addressed by de Goeij
33 et al. (2015), who proposed two behavioral mechanisms that may impact on alcohol-related behaviors
34 and tobacco use in times of financial hardship. The first one posits that during economic crisis alcohol
35 consumption decreases since less money is spent on alcoholic beverages, due to tighter budget
36 constraints. This mechanism seems cross-sectional to all population subgroups, across the majority of
37 countries. The second behavioral mechanism is based on psychological distress and leads to increase
38 alcohol consumption.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 Placed within the framework of the stress-vulnerability model, alcohol consumption and tobacco
10 smoking may represent “mechanisms of coping”, though ultimately dysfunctional, to obtain short-term
11 relief in times of heavy distress, such as in periods when work is threaten (Jarvis & Wardle, 1999).
12
13 Similarly, food may represent an easy-to-obtain “anxiolytic”, considering the well-known relation
14 between stress and diet (Yau & Potenza, 2013). Therefore, changes in the individuals’ diet may be due
15 not only to decreased availability of money, with subsequent access to low quality food; as for tobacco
16 and alcoholics, food choices may represent a way of coping with a difficult and stressful moment of life.
17
18 Another similarity concerning tobacco and alcohol on the one hand, and diet and obesity on the other, is
19 that stress represent a common risk factor for both obesity and addiction (Sinha & Jastreboff, 2013).
20
21 Steptoe and Marmot (2003) studied the associations between biobehavioral risk factors and quality of
22 life. Even if no association stemmed out between health behaviors and the psychosocial adversity and
23 vulnerability index studied, significant associations emerged with psychological distress, depression,
24 hopelessness, sleep problems, hostility, low self-esteem and loneliness, independently of age, sex,
25 socioeconomic status, and marital status. Also, higher psychosocial adversity or vulnerability was
26 associated with levels of glycohemoglobin, plasma fibrinogen, plasma viscosity, and body mass (the
27 latter among women). Altogether, these data encourage to further study the relation between
28 socioeconomic environment, individuals’ distress, behavioral responses and mental health outcome.
29
30 The aim of the present study is to assess the impact of the economic crisis on health-related behaviors
31 linked to population mental health in Italy. Differently than other Eurozone members, since 2008 Italy
32 has suffered a triple-dip recession, with the first begun in 2008, the second in 2011, and the third in
33 2014 (O’Brien, 2014). In the same period, austerity measures were implemented by the Italian
34 Governments, and negative short-term effects on the health of the Italian population were reported. In
35 the first years of economic crisis (i.e. 2008-2010), an increase in suicides and attempted suicides
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 specifically due to financial problems was reported in Italy (Mattei et al., 2011; De Vogli et al., 2012;
9 Mattei et al., 2014). Also, a noticeable effect was pointed out in terms of increased ischemic heart
10 disease and cardiovascular mortality (Mattei et al., 2014; Torbica et al., 2015), consumption of nicotine
11 (Gallus et al., 2011) decreased consumption of expensive illicit drugs and increased consumption of
12 cheap illicit drugs (Zuccato et al., 2011), and an increase in the “occasional” consumption of alcoholic
13 beverages (Mattei et al., 2014). Yet, the majority of studies published on the impact of the economic
14 crisis on the health of the Italian population concerned the first years of economic crisis and there is
15 little information on how the crisis changed health related behaviors such as smoking, diet and physical
16 activity, that may be linked to the change in the socio-economic environment by means of psychological
17 mechanisms and levels of perceived distress. Starting from available evidence on this topic, our
18 hypothesis was that the economic downturn had caused an increase in alcohol and tobacco
19 consumption (Hammarström & Janlert, 2003), as well as an increase in physical activity (since in times of
20 financial hardship people travel less, especially by car, with a noticeable reduction in traffic fatalities;
21 Uutela, 2010). With respect to overweight and obesity, we had actually no conclusive hypothesis, given
22 that both increased and decreased overweight may have been expected: the first due to cheap, junk
23 food consumption, the latter due to increased physical activity.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 METHODS

45
46
47
48 *Study design and data collection*
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 This is a descriptive study. The following health indicators were collected: alcohol consumption (data
10 refer to people aged over 11 years old, consuming alcohol in the year, everyday, occasionally or out of
11 meal; also, data referring to males and females aged over 15 years old, consuming alcoholics, namely
12 wine and beer, in the week or everyday were collected), smoking behavior (number of current smokers,
13 number of former smokers, number of non-smokers, number of smoked cigarettes: 1-5 per day, 6-10
14 per day, 11-20 per day, >20 per day, mean number of smoked cigarettes per day), physical activity
15 (number of people practicing regularly, unregularly, only sometimes or never) obese people aged >18,
16 obese males aged >18, obese females aged >18, overweight people (males and females) aged >18,
17 overweight males aged >18, overweight females aged >18; people, males and females, eating beef and
18 fish every week, and cheese and vegetables everyday. All indicators represented the number of people
19 having that condition or behavior per 100 people with the same features. Also, following economic
20 indicators were collected: Italian families' expenditure for alcohol beverages, tobacco and narcotics,
21 Italian families' expenditure for alcohol beverages only, tobacco and narcotics, Italian families'
22 expenditure for narcotics only (all expressed in Euro per year), rate of unemployment, GDP per capita.
23
24 All data were obtained from the website of the Italian National Institute of Statistics, ISTAT
25 (www.istat.it), in particular from the ICT system "Health for All Italia", a territorial informatics system
26 about health that may be freely downloaded at the following site: <http://www.istat.it/it/archivio/14562>
27 and from I.Stat, a wide database provided by ISTAT at the following site: <http://dati.istat.it>.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 The period of time considered was 2000-2015, but please note that for some indicators data were not
47 available (~~see Table 1 for details~~). [for the entire period](#).
48
49

50
51
52 *Dating the Great Recession*
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

As far as the recession dating is concerned, it is important to notice that it did not start simultaneously worldwide. In the present study, as far as Italy is concerned, 2008 was considered the first year of recession, given that the third and fourth trimester of that year recorded a consecutive negative variation of GDP (source: ISTAT). Also, in 2008 the Italian rate of unemployment increased for the first time after ten years, starting from the fourth trimester. It can be assumed that the second half of 2008 corresponds to the time when the Italian population began *experiencing* the crisis (Mattei et al., 2014). Further details about the GR and the main causes that led to the worst economic crisis since the 1929 Great Depression may be found elsewhere (De Vogli & Owusu, 2014).

Statistical analysis

The analysis was carried on by means of *univariate* linear regression models, with the dependent variable represented by all collected health indicators while independent variables were time and crisis years. Time was referred to years from 2000 to 2015; crisis was a dummy variable having the following values: 0 for years 2000-2007, 1 for years 2008-2015, to test the possible effect of the economic crisis on the collected indicators (absence of economic crisis vs. presence of economic crisis). As OLS regression analysis suits better when the assumption of homoscedasticity is valid, which is usually the exception in psychiatric epidemiology and econometrics, 95% confidence intervals were estimated for all coefficients on the basis of heteroscedasticity-robust standard errors. STATA 13.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA) was used for all analyses. ~~Please note that as far as the~~ *Since data about* alcohol consumption in the year, everyday, occasionally or out of meal ~~is concerned,~~ in years 2000-2003 ~~figures~~ refers to the percentage of people aged over 14 years old, while in years 2005-2014 *refer* to people

aged over 11 years old (data recording was changed by ISTAT).~~Therefore~~, the regression analysis for such variables included observations only for years 2005-2014.

As data were anonymous and aggregated at the origin, Ethics Committee approval was not sought.

RESULTS

~~The dataset used for the analysis is reported in Table 1.~~

~~(Display Table 1 about here)~~

Table ~~2~~ 1 shows the results of the regression analysis, that compares the years of economic crisis (2008-2015) with the previous ones (2000-2007). ~~Note that there are missing values for some variables.~~

With respect to alcohol consumption in the year and out of meal among people aged 11 or more, a significant reduction was noticeable in the period of time considered, with no apparent effect due to the crisis. Differently, considering the overall rate of people aged 15 or more who consume alcoholics more than once per week, a significant decrease was noticeable (Beta = -0.60, 95% CI = -0.96; -0.24), peculiarly among men (Beta = -0.95, 95% CI = -1.50; -0.41). The consumption of beer and wine showed a steady and significant decline, without association with the economic situation; similarly, the percentage of people who never drank showed a steady increase, not influenced by the crisis. Binge drinking behaviors among people aged >11 years old were not associated with the years of economic crisis (2008-2015), though an increase was noticeable in the years of the GR (2008-2010), from a descriptive standpoint, both among men and women. (Fig. 1).

(Display Table 1 about here)

(Display Figure 1 about here)

With respect to smoking (Fig. 1), the analysis pointed out an increase in the number of smokers (Beta = 1.68, 95% CI = 0.17; 3.20), heavy smokers, i.e. people smoking 11-20 cigarettes per day (Beta = 2.18, 95% CI = 0.12; 4.26) or more than 20 cigarettes per day (Beta = 1.04, 95% CI = 0.45; 1.62) and mean number of smoked cigarettes per day (Beta = 0.56, 95% CI 0.13; 0.99). Also, prevalence of overweight increased during the economic downturn (Beta = 0.91, 95% CI 0.03; 1.79). Italian families' expenditure for alcoholic beverages (expressed in Euro per year) were negatively associated with the economic crisis (Beta = -812.80, $p = .01$).

All variables concerning physical activity and diet were not influenced by the economic crisis.

(Display Table Figure 1 about here)

DISCUSSION

The main results of the present study concern smoking behavior, overweight and alcohol consumption. With respect to the latter, Italian data seem consistent with other studies (Bor et al. 2013; Asgeirsdottir et al., 2014; Toffolutti & Suhrcke, 2014), pointing out decreased prevalence of any alcohol use during the economic recession, and increased prevalence in binge-drinking. Based on existing literature, such findings were expected; yet, our previous observations pointed out a short-term increase in alcohol consumption in 2009, the year featured by the worst real GDP decrease, in Italy (-5.1%, Mattei et al., 2014). Such discrepancies may be explained by a two-speed process, i.e. an acute phase (the very first years of crisis) in which both overall consumption and binge drinking increased, and a second, longer phase in which income reduction led to decreased alcoholics consumption. Notably, the present study

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 covers a period of time longer than the GR, since the latter represented the beginning of a longer phase
10 of economic crisis (and repeated recession), which prolonged until 2015. Also, it is worth noticing that
11 the GR in Italy, rather than causing new, negative socio-economic conditions, speeded up already
12 existing negative processes affecting the economy, in stagnation at least from year 2000 (Mattei et al.,
13 2015). The decreased overall alcohol consumption among men may be due to the fact that in the first
14 years of economic crisis the Italian male population was mainly hit by unemployment or fear of
15 unemployment (Giovannini, 2009). Reduced income in such group may have determined less money to
16 spend in alcoholic beverages (de Goeij et al., 2015).

17
18 Besides confirming previous observations referring to the very first years of recession (Gallus et al.,
19 2011), our study adds that the effect on the economic downturn on tobacco smoking may have had a
20 longer duration, as Figure 1 shows; we believe that this may be relevant for public health given the well-
21 known effects of smoking (both active or second hand) on health, (either in the short, medium and long
22 period). Also, our data does not support the hypothesis that increased smoking behavior was largely
23 attributable to former smokers relapse, as other authors pointed out (Gallus et al., 2011). Other causes
24 may have acted; for example, tobacco may be considered a cheap drug, easy to be obtained, and
25 socially accepted, to turn to irrespectively from previous smoking habits. In the years of the economic
26 crisis a decreased consumption of expensive illicit drugs was noticeable, while consumption of cheap
27 illicit drugs increased (Zuccato et al., 2011). Smoking may be considered, at least in part, a “cheap licit
28 drug”, to turn to easily in times of hardship, both economic or not.

29
30 Finally, our data support the hypothesis that, beside a steady increase in the prevalence of overweight,
31 the crisis itself may have played an independent, additional role, acting via different mechanisms. On
32 the one hand, the financial strain may have acted as chronic stressor, with noticeable and well-known
33 effects on metabolism (Sinha & Jastreboff, 2013). On the other hand, it is possible that people who are
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 still working, though are at risk of unemployment or experience work instabilities, have less time to
10 dedicate to themselves and to their lifestyle (including food choice and physical activity), in the same
11 way as less sick leave was documented due to fear of losing job (Mattei et al., 2015).
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 ~~Aim of the present study was to evaluate and report on the impact of the economic crisis on Italians'~~
19 ~~health-related behaviors. The main results concern smoking behavior, overweight and alcohol~~
20 ~~consumption, and are discussed in detail in the next paragraphs.~~
21
22
23
24

25 *Alcohol consumption*

26
27
28 As far as the latter are concerned, Martin-Carrasco et al. (2016) pointed out that during economic crisis,
29 namely during the recent "Great Recession", a decrease in overall alcohol use was noticeable, and an
30 increase in binge drinking behaviors.
31
32
33
34

35
36 Overall alcohol consumption among people aged > 15 years old decreased in the years of economic
37 crisis, especially among men. This may be due to the fact that in the first years of economic crisis, the
38 Italian male population was mainly hit by unemployment or fear of unemployment (Giovannini, 2009).
39
40 With respect to binge drinking, data were too few to permit a robust statistical analysis (before the
41 recession onset, data were available only for years 2003, 2005-2007), this may explain the results of the
42 linear regressions as shown in Table 2. Yet, from a descriptive standpoint, an increase in binge drinking
43 behaviors is noticeable soon after the Great Recession onset, both in males and females, as shown in
44 Figure 1. Considered altogether, data concerning alcohol consumption seem consistent with other
45 studies, reporting a decrease in the prevalence of any alcohol use during the economic recession in USA,
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 and an increased prevalence in binge drinking, especially among at risk populations, namely non-Black,
10 unmarried men under 30 years, recently become unemployed (Bor et al., 2013). With respect to this,
11 our study leaves an open question, since data regarding binge drinking are not conclusive, though consistent
12 with a previous study of our research group (Mattei et al., 2014), where we pointed out an increase in
13 "occasionally" and "in the year" consumption of alcoholics noticeable in 2009 (the year affected by the
14 worst decrease in real GDP), from a descriptive standpoint. In 2009 and 2010 binge drinking behaviors
15 increased, as well. Such datum rises several questions. On the one hand, it could be considered simply
16 an outlier, showing only a temporal correlation with the onset of the economic crisis, actually not
17 influenced by it. On the other hand, as we previously hypothesized, it could point out a short term
18 increase in alcohol consumption, peculiarly due to increased binge drinking.

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 De Goeij and coll. (2015) proposed two behavioural mechanism that may impact on alcohol-related
29 behaviours in times of financial strain. The first one posits that in times of economic crisis alcohol
30 consumption decreases since less money is spent on alcoholic beverages, due to tighter budget
31 constraints. This mechanism seems cross-sectional to all population subgroups, across the majority of
32 countries. The second behaviour mechanism is based on psychological distress and leads to increase
33 alcohol consumption. Our findings may be underpinned by both mechanisms, that may explain the
34 reported decrease in expenditure for alcoholics among Italians and overall alcohol consumption, and the
35 possible increase in binge drinking.

36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44 To sum up, data concerning alcohol consumption among Italians in the years of economic crisis seem
45 consistent with current literature (Bor et al. 2013; Asgeirsdottir et al., 2014; Toffolutti & Suhrcke, 2014),
46 though more research is needed especially concerning binge drinking behaviours, with a particular
47 attention to possibly at-risk populations.

48
49
50
51
52 *Smoking*
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11 Our data are partly consistent with those by Gallus and coll. (2011), who reported an increase in
12 smoking prevalence in years 2008-2009, overall and among men and women, after a steady decline in
13 the previous decades. They also suggested that this increase was largely attributable to a relapse of
14 former smokers, possible via increase in psycho-social stress. We also found a significant increase
15 peculiarly among heavy smokers. Differently, we found an increase in the mean number of smoked
16 cigarettes per day, but not in the number of former smokers, that may support the hypothesis that the
17 increased smoking behavior in the crisis years was largely due to relapses.

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 These findings point out an increase in smoking behavior that may be imputed to the economic crisis,
27 and in our opinion may be relevant for public health given the well-known effects of smoking on health.
28 If, as we argue, this increase is only partly attributable to former smokers' relapse, other causes or
29 possible explanations should be taken into account. Nicotine, and tobacco in general, may be
30 considered "cheap drugs", easy to be obtained. It is true that, on the one hand, the price of cigarettes
31 steadily increased in the last years, partly as public health measure aiming at reducing long term
32 consequences of smoking; yet, on the other hand, tobacco may be considered a cheap drug, easy to be
33 obtained, and socially accepted. It is known that in the years of the economic crisis a decreased
34 consumption of expensive illicit drugs was noticeable, while consumption of cheap illicit drugs increased
35 [14]. Smoking may be considered, at least in part, a "cheap licit drug", to turn to easily in times of
36 hardship, both economic or not.

37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50 It is also possible that specific groups of people be more vulnerable to the effects of the economic crisis
51 in terms of health behaviors. In a more recent paper, Gallus and coll. (2016) identified specific
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

vulnerable subgroups of smokers, namely the young and subjects with low socio-economic status, that seem to have changed their smoking behavior due to the economic crisis. Interestingly, their findings pointed out that the large majority of current smokers did not change their smoking habit following the economic crisis. Once again, the contribution of former smokers and vulnerable groups may have played a central role in determining an increase in smoking prevalence, though our data are only partly consistent with those produced by the colleagues. The discrepancies may be explained by different methods adopted, with different samples and types of data.

Finally, even if the present study did not include data concerning cardiovascular mortality, it should be remembered the link existing between the latter and smoking; in this sense, it is worth noticing that other studies reported an increased in cardiovascular mortality, especially in the very first years of recession (Torbica et al., 2015).

Diet, Overweight and Physical Activity

The economic crisis may have increased the prevalence of overweight adults. As the increase in the number of smokers in the same period already discussed, this phenomenon may be due to increased psycho-social stress, as well. In other words, food may have acted as a sort of "cheap, easy to be obtained drug", in the same way as smoking, that may have long term consequences as well, e.g. as far as cardio-metabolic and oncological diseases are concerned. It should be taken into account that, with respect to the other indicators considered, only aggregated data were available for the present study. Yet, it is known that in Italy there exist differences regarding nutrition behaviors, based on social position and geographical area: this may have partly affected our analysis. On the other hand, it was

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 pointed out that the economic crisis may have partly reduced the above mentioned differences in
10 nutrition behaviors (Marra et al., 2015), therefore permitting us to take at least a snapshot of the
11 phenomenon. Marra and coll. (2015) report that even if the economic crisis has been frequently pointed
12 out as able to worsen nutrition behaviors, its real impact on Italians' nutrition was different, and not
13 necessary negative, having reduced nutrition inequalities. Our data support the hypothesis that, beside
14 a steady increase in the prevalence of overweight, the crisis itself may have played an independent,
15 additional role, acting via different mechanisms. For example, people may have increased the
16 consumption of cheap, low-quality or fast-food. Since it was not possible to get data concerning the
17 latter, we cannot draw conclusion regarding fast food eating in Italy in the last years. Differently, with
18 respect to some major classes of food (namely beef, fish, cheese and vegetables), our analysis pointed
19 out no association with the economic crisis. Yet, it is noticeable that in the period of time considered
20 (2000-2015), a significant and negative time trend was noticeable with respect to cheese consumption,
21 and a positive time trend was noticeable regarding vegetable consumption; this may be due to healthier
22 food choices, irrespectively from the crisis.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 If on the one hand it is difficult to find a link between diet, food choices and increased overweight
37 people aged > 18 years old, on the other it seems licit to look for a possible explanation elsewhere, for
38 example concerning physical activity. Actually, we would expect an increase in it, since it is known that
39 in times of economic strain people reduce the use of motor vehicles (with a noticeable reduction in
40 traffic fatalities) (Uutela, 2010). Yet, our data support no association between economic crisis and
41 physical activity. An independent time trend is noticeable, suggesting a higher number of people
42 practicing physical activity regularly in the last years, irrespectively from the beginning of the recession.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 ~~Once again, some features of our study (e.g. having used aggregated data, missing data availability of~~
10 ~~data) may have failed to get further knowledge concerning the reasons and mechanisms that may~~
11 ~~explain such increase in overweight; we believe that further research should focus on this peculiar topic.~~

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Our study pointed out some changes in health behaviors, namely smoking and alcohol consumption; it also pointed out changes in outcome of health behaviors (namely, overweight). Yet, it leaves an open question concerning the reason(s) why behaviour changes in times of financial strain. As we have seen, some authors proposed mechanism that may be targeted by specific interventions (de Goeij et al., 2015), though more knowledge has to be achieved in this field, and it is possible that other research methods (e.g. qualitative studies) may be more helpful, for further studies.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, due to the nature of data, no causal relation can be drawn. Yet, relevant findings emerged concerning Italians' health-related behaviors, possibly due prolonged exposure to psychosocial stress, and consistently with other published literature. Second, we found many missing values (e.g., for alcohol indicators), and the period of time considered was relatively short. This may have led to spurious associations or lack of associations. ~~;-in other words, may have weakened our analysis.~~ Yet, the methods adopted made the study feasible, helping at gaining knowledge on a topic particularly controversial, as the effects of socio-economic changes on health. Third, it was pointed out that rates may be non-stationary unit root processes, making them unfit for the regression analysis with ordinary least square methods, for the risk of type I error (Ceccherini-Nelli & Priebe, 2011). Yet, the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 majority of studies in this field adopted regression analysis, with results similar to those that used other
10 more sophisticated statistical approaches; also, we used heteroscedasticity-robust standard errors, to
11 strengthen our analysis. Fourth, the present study did not include data on suicide and attempted suicide
12 behaviors, though representing an important issue, frequently debated, in the last decade, especially
13 with respect to the possible link with work and unemployment. Yet, this is one of the most studied topic,
14 even in Italy, where a general shortage of research concerning the effect of the economic crisis on
15 health is noticeable. Other papers have already addressed this topic (e.g., De Vogli, 2013; De Vogli et al.,
16 2013). Also, recent data about suicide behaviors from other countries such as Greece (Economu et al.,
17 2016) are consistent with the hypothesis that suicide and attempted suicide may represent an acute
18 response to economic downturns (Hong et al., 2011; De Vogli et al., 2013). In the present study a wider
19 temporal frame was considered, unable to detect such rapid, acute increase, as well as aggregated data
20 were used, while Italian studies on suicide using disaggregated data already exist. Also, it was our
21 intention to point out other less studied and debated issues, that may be particularly relevant for public
22 health and mental health services. Fifth, our analysis did not include data concerning cardiovascular
23 mortality, though evidence in literature suggests a possible link with the socio-economic environment.
24 Yet, this topic was already addressed by previous Italian studies published in recent years (e.g. Torbica
25 et al., 2015), therefore we included in our paper less studied indexes, such as food consumption,
26 overweight and obesity. ~~Fourth-Sixth~~ and final, given the study design adopted, we focused on
27 population as unit of analysis instead of people ~~or patients~~, therefore aggregated data were used; this
28 may have partly limited our analysis, given that, as above mentioned, spurious associations (or lack of
29 associations) may happen when data are excessively aggregated. Also, for the same reason we were not
30 able to detect high-risk, vulnerable groups, which is still a crucial topic in epidemiology. Yet, this
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

limitation of our study highlights the need for further research in this field, especially as far as the Italian population is concerned, based on disaggregated data.

CONCLUSIONS

The economic crisis seems to have determined an increase in smoking and overweight prevalence, and a decrease in overall alcohol consumption. An increase in binge drinking may have taken place in the years of the GR, though data are not conclusive. Future research is needed to assess the possible long-term consequences of such behavioral changes in terms of cardio-metabolic and oncological outcomes, especially because life expectancy in Italy started to decline after decades of steady increase for the first time in 2015 (ISTAT, 2016). This is crucial for the design of policies and interventions that can tackle the negative impact of the crisis on public health.

DISCLOSURES

The authors report no competing interests.

FUNDING SOURCE

None

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

All data used for the present study are available upon request addressed to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 Asgeirsdottir, T.L, Corman, H., Noonan, K., et al. (2014). Was the economic crisis of 2008 good
11 for Icelanders? Impact on health behaviors. *Economic & Human Biology*, 13, 1–19.
12

13
14
15
16 Bor, J., Basu, S., Coutts, A., McKee, M., Stuckler, D. (2013). Alcohol use during the great
17 recession of 2008–2009. *Alcohol and Alcoholism* 48(3), 343–8. doi: 10.1093/alcalc/agt002. Epub
18 2013 Jan 29.
19
20
21

22
23
24 Ceccherini-Nelli, A., Piebe, S. (2011). Economic factors and suicide rates: associations over time
25 in four countries. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 46(10), 975–982.
26
27

28
29
30 Cooper, M. L., Frone, M. R., Russell, M., & Mudar, P. (1995). Drinking to regulate positive and
31 negative emotions: A motivational model of alcohol use. *Journal of Personality and Social*
32 *Psychology*, 69, 990–1005. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.69.5.990>
33
34
35

36
37
38 de Goeij, M.C., Suhrcke, M., Toffolutti, V., van de Mheen, D., Schoenmakers, T.M., Kunst, A.E.
39 (2015). How economic crises affect alcohol consumption and alcohol-related health problems:
40 a realist systematic review. *Social Science & Medicine*, 131, 131–46. doi:
41 10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.02.025. Epub 2015 Feb 18.
42
43
44

45
46
47 De Vogli, R., Santinello, M. (2005). Unemployment and smoking: does psychosocial stress
48 matter? *Tobacco Control*, 14, 389–395. doi: 10.1136/tc.2004.010611
49
50
51

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 De Vogli, R., Marmot, M., Stuckler, D. (2013). Excess suicides and attempted suicides in Italy
10 attributable to the great recession. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*, 67(4), 378-9.
11
12 doi: 10.1136/jech-2012-201607. Epub 2012 Aug 2.
13
14

15
16 De Vogli, R. (2013). Unemployment and suicides during the recession in Italy.
17
18 *The British Medical Journal*, 347, f4908.
19

20
21
22 De Vogli, R., Owusu, J.T. (2014). The causes and health effects of the Great Recession: from
23
24 neoliberalism to 'healthy de-growth'. *Critical Public Health*, DOI:
25
26 10.1080/09581596.2014.957164
27
28

29
30 Dom, G., Samochowiec, J., Evans-Lacko, S., Wahlbeck, K., Van Hal, G., McDaid, D. (2016). The
31
32 Impact of the 2008 Economic Crisis on Substance Use Patterns in the Countries of the European
33
34 Union. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 13, 122;
35
36 DOI:10.3390/ijerph13010122
37
38

39
40 Economou, M., Angelopoulos, E., Peppou, L.E., Souliotis, K., Stefanis, C. (2016). Suicidal ideation
41
42 and suicide attempts in Greece during the economic crisis: an update. *World Psychiatry* 15:1,
43
44 83-83. DOI:10.1002/wps.20296
45

46
47 Ezzatti, M., Riboli, E. (2013). Behavioral and Dietary Risk Factors for Noncommunicable
48
49 Diseases. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 369, 10:954-964.
50
51

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Frasquilho, D., Gaspar Matos, M., Salonna, F., Guerreiro, D., Storti, C.C., Gaspar, T., Caldas-de-Almeida, J.M. (2016). Mental health outcomes in times of economic recession: a systematic literature review. *BMC Public Health* 16, 115. DOI 10.1186/s12889-016-2720-y

Gallus, S., Tramacere, I., Pacifici, R., Zuccaro, P., Colombo, P., Ghislandi, S., La Vecchia, C. (2011). Smoking in Italy 2008–2009: A rise in prevalence related to the economic crisis? *Preventive Medicine*, 52, 182–183

~~Gallus, S., Ascietto, R., Muttarak, R., Pacifici, R., La Vecchia, C., Lugo, A. (2016). Which group of smokers is more vulnerable to the economic crisis? *Public Health*, 134, 34–38.~~

Giovannini, E. (2009). Document on the economic-financial planning concerning the 2010–2012 measure for public finance (Documento di Programmazione Economico-Finanziaria relativo alla manovra di finanza pubblica per gli anni 2010–2012). *Audition of the President of the National Institute of Statistics*. Istituto Nazionale di Statistica, Rome (in Italian).

Graham, N.A., Frost-Pineda, K., Gold, M.S. (2007). Tobacco and psychiatric dual disorders. *Journal of Addictive Diseases*, 26 (Suppl. 1), 5-12. DOI: 10.1300/J069v26S01_02.

Hammarstrom, A., Janlert, U. (2003). Unemployment: an important predictor for future smoking: a 14-year follow up study of school leavers. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*, 31, 229–32.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 Hong, J., Knapp, M., McGuire, A. (2011). Income-related inequalities in the prevalence of
10 depression and suicidal behaviour: a 10-year trend following economic crisis. *World Psychiatry*,
11 *10*, 40-4.
12
13

14
15
16 Istituto Nazionale di Statistica (2016). Demographic indicators. Estimates for year 2015.
17 (Indicatori demografici. Stime per l'anno 2015). *Istituto Nazionale di Statistica*, Rome (in
18 Italian).
19
20
21

22
23
24 Jarvis, M., Wardle, J. (1999). Social patterning of individual health behaviours: the case of
25 cigarette smoking. In: Marmot, M., Wilkinson, R., eds. *Social determinants of health*. Oxford
26 University Press: London.
27
28
29

30
31
32 Kessler, R.C. (2004). The epidemiology of dual diagnosis. *Biological Psychiatry*, *56*(10), 730-7.
33
34
35

36
37 Lim, S.S., Vos, T., Flaxman, A.D. et. (2013). A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease
38 and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a
39 systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*, *380*, 2224-2260.
40
41
42

43
44 Margerison-Zilko, C., Goldman-Mellor, S., Falconi, A., Downing, J. (2016). Health Impacts of the
45 Great Recession: a Critical Review. *Current Epidemiology Reports*, *3*(1),81-91. DOI
46 10.1007/s40471-016-0068-6
47
48
49

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 ~~Marra, M., Migliardi, A., Costa, G. (2015) Health inequalities and nutrition in Italy during the~~
10 ~~crisis times. *Epidemiologia e Prevenzione*, 39(5-6), 322-331 (in Italian).~~

11
12
13
14 Martin-Carrasco, M., Evans-Lacko, S., Dom, G., Christodoulou, N.G., Samochowiec, J.,
15 González-Fraile, E., Bienkowski, P., Gómez-Beneyto, M., Dos Santos, M.J.H., Wasserman, D.
16 (2016). EPA guidance on mental health and economic crises in Europe. *European Archives of*
17 *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 266, 89–124 DOI 10.1007/s00406-016-0681-x

18
19
20
21
22
23
24 Mattei, G., Ferrari, S., Rigatelli, M. (2011). Economic recession in Italy: a review of short-term
25 effects on health. *The Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 70, 606.

26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Mattei, G., Ferrari, S., Pingani, L., Rigatelli, M. (2014). Short-term effects of the 2008 Great
Recession on the health of the Italian population: an ecological study. *Social Psychiatry and*
Psychiatric Epidemiology, 49, 851-858.

Mattei, G., Ferrari, S., Giubbarelli, G., Pingani, L., Urraci, G.M., Rigatelli, M., Galeazzi, G.M.
(2015). Occupational health physicians and the impact of the Great Recession on the health of
workers: a qualitative study. *La Medicina del Lavoro*, 106, 412-423.

O'Brien, M. (2014). Italy's triple-dip recession has wiped out all its growth since 2000. *The*
Washington Post, August 7. Accessed online: February 20, 2016.
<https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2014/08/07/italys-triple-dip-recession-has-wiped-out-all-its-growth-since-2000/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 Sinha, R. (2008). Chronic Stress, Drug Use, and Vulnerability to Addiction. *Annals of the New*
11 *York Academy of Sciences*, 1141, 105–130. DOI:10.1196/annals.1441.030.

12
13
14
15
16 Sinha, R., Jastreboff, A.M. (2013). Stress as a common risk factor for obesity and addiction.
17 *Biological Psychiatry*, 73(9), 827-35. DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.01.032.

18
19
20
21
22 Steptoe, A., Marmot, M. (2003). Burden of psychosocial adversity and vulnerability in middle
23 age: associations with biobehavioral risk factors and quality of life. *Psychosomatic Medicine*,
24 *65(6)*, 1029-37.

25
26
27
28
29
30 Stuckler, D., Basu, S. (2013). *The body economic*. Allen Lane: London.

31
32
33
34 Stuckler, D., Basu, S., Suhrcke, M., Coutts, A., McKee, M. (2009). The public health effect of
35 economic crises and alternative policy responses in Europe: an empirical analysis. *The Lancet*,
36 *374*, 315-323

37
38
39
40
41
42 Toffolutti, V., Suhrcke, M. (2014). Assessing the short term health impact of the Great
43 Recession in the European Union: A cross-country panel analysis. *Preventive Medicine*, 64, 54–
44 62.

45
46
47
48 Torbica, A., Maggioni, A.P., Ghislandi, S. (2015) The Economic Crisis and Acute Myocardial
49 Infarction: New Evidence Using Hospital-Level Data. *PLoS ONE* 10(11): e0142810.
50 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0142810
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 Uutela, A. (2010). Economic crises and mental health. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 23(2), 127–
11 130

12
13
14
15
16 World Health Organization, (2011). Impact of economic crises on mental health.
17 <http://www.euro.who.int/en/what-we-do/healthtopics/noncommunicable-diseases/mental->
18 [health/publications/2011/impact-of-economic-crises-on-mental-health](http://www.euro.who.int/en/what-we-do/healthtopics/noncommunicable-diseases/mental-health/publications/2011/impact-of-economic-crises-on-mental-health) The financial crisis and
19 health/publications/2011/impact-of-economic-crises-on-mental-health The financial crisis and
20 global health: background paper for WHO high level consultation. Geneva: 2011.
21
22
23

24
25
26 Yau, Y.H., Potenza, M.N. (2013). Stress and eating behaviors. *Minerva Endocrinologica*, 38(3),
27 255-67.
28
29

30
31
32 Zuccato, E., Castiglioni, S., Tettamanti, M., Olandese, R., Bagnati, R., Melis, M., Fanelli, R.
33 (2011). Changes in illicit drug consumption patterns in 2009 detected by wastewater analysis.
34 *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 118, 464–469.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ORIGINAL SUBMISSION

Impact of the economic crisis on health-related behaviors in Italy

G. Mattei^{1,5}, R. De Vogli^{2,3}, S. Ferrari¹, L. Pingani³, M. Rigatelli¹, G. M. Galeazzi¹

¹ Department of Diagnostic, Clinical and Public Health Medicine, Section of Psychiatry, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

² Department of Public Health Sciences, School of Medicine, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, USA

³ Department of Developmental Psychology and Socialization, University of Padua, Padua, Italy

⁴ Human Resources, AUSL Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy

⁵ Association for Research in Psychiatry

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11 Keywords: Economic Crisis; Alcohol; Smoking; Physical activity;
12
13

14 Original article

15
16 Corresponding author: Giorgio Mattei, Clinica Psichiatrica, Policlinico di Modena

17
18 Via del Pozzo, 71 – 41124 Modena, tel. +39-059-4224305; fax +39-059-4224439; e-mail

19
20 giorgiomattei@alice.it

21
22 orcid.org/0000-0001-5326-303X
23
24
25
26
27

28 **Table 1** – Values of considered variables from 2000 till 2015.
29
30

31
32 **Table 2** – Results of univariate linear regression (2000-2007 vs. 2008-2015).
33
34
35

36 **Figure 1** – Figure 1 – Binge drinking behaviors among people aged > 11 years old.
37
38
39

40 **Declaration of Interest:** Nothing to declare
41
42
43

44 Word count: 3442
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Impact of the economic crisis on health-related behaviors in Italy

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Evidence exists supporting the impact of the Great Recession on health-related behaviors internationally, though few studies are available concerning the Italian population.

AIM: To assess the impact of the late 2000s economic crisis on health-related behaviors in Italy.

METHODS: Descriptive study. Health indicators came from the Italian Institute of Statistics database in years 2000-2015. Statistics performed by means of linear regression models.

RESULTS: During the economic crisis, an increase in the number of smokers (Beta = 1.68, $p = .13$), heavy smokers, i.e. people smoking 11-20 cigarettes per day (Beta = 2.18, $p = .18$) or more than 20 cigarettes per day (Beta = 1.04, $p < .01$) and mean number of smoked cigarettes per day (Beta = 0.56, $p = .02$) was

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 noticeable. Also, prevalence of overweight increased (Beta = 0.91, p = .04), while the Italian families'
10 expenditure for alcoholic beverages decreased (Beta = -812.80, p = .01). Alcohol consumption decreased
11 (Beta = -.60, p <.01), especially in men (Beta = -.95, p <.01), while binge drinking increased in years 2009-
12 2010. No change was noticeable in the diet indicators collected.
13
14
15

16 **CONCLUSIONS** The economic crisis may have increased smoking, overweight and binge drinking in Italy,
17 and reduced alcohol consumption.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

INTRODUCTION

After the onset of the late 2000s Great Recession (GR), many concerns arose regarding the possible impact of the economic downturn on the health of the populations (World Health Organization, 2011). A large amount of evidence produced in the last years pointed out a general detrimental effect of economic crises on health when austerity measures are implemented, namely when the budget destined to welfare and social protection is cut (Stuckler & Basu, 2013; Frasquilho et al., 2016; Margerison-Zilko et al., 2016). Possible moderators of this were recognized in the amount of investments in active labor market programs, and, more generally, in social protection (Stuckler & Basu, 2013; Stuckler et al., 2009). With respect to the GR, its outcome on health seems to have been largely negative worldwide (Margerison-Zilko et al., 2016). Only a few studies, however, have investigated the impact of the crisis on health-related behaviors, though this topic is particularly relevant, considering also the change occurred in the last twenty years in the ranking of major risk factors for the global burden of disease, namely the shift from risks for communicable diseases (peculiarly among children) towards risks for non-communicable diseases (peculiarly among adults) (Lim et al., 2013). For example, tobacco smoking and secondhand exposure (still the second leading risk factor for global disease burden) are responsible for about 6.3 million annual deaths worldwide and 6.3% of the global burden of disease, mostly in low- and middle-income countries (Lim et al., 2013; Ezzatti & Riboli, 2013). In western Europe and north America smoking (active or second hand) is the leading risk factor, while in Eastern Europe, Andean Latin America, and southern sub-Saharan Africa in 2010 was alcohol use. As far as diet and physical inactivity are concerned, in 2010 they accounted for 10% of global DALYs (Lim et al., 2013).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 The aim of the present study is to assess the impact of the economic crisis on health-related behaviors
10 in Italy. Differently than other Eurozone members, since 2008 Italy has suffered a triple-dip recession,
11 with the first begun in 2008, the second in 2011, and the third in 2014 (O'Brien, 2014). In the same
12 period, austerity measures were implemented by the Italian Governments, and negative short-term
13 effects on the health of the Italian population were reported. In the first years of economic crisis (i.e.
14 2008-2010), an increase in suicides and attempted suicides specifically due to financial problems was
15 reported in Italy (Mattei et al., 2011; De Vogli et al., 2012; Mattei et al., 2014). Also, a noticeable effect
16 was pointed out in terms of increased ischemic heart disease and cardiovascular mortality (Mattei et al.,
17 2014; Torbica et al., 2015), consumption of nicotine (Gallus et al., 2011) decreased consumption of
18 expensive illicit drugs and increased consumption of cheap illicit drugs (Zuccato et al., 2011), and an
19 increase in the "occasional" consumption of alcoholic beverages (Mattei et al., 2014). Yet, the majority
20 of studies published on the impact of the economic crisis on the health of the Italian population
21 concerned the first years of economic crisis and there is little information on how the crisis changed
22 health related behaviors such as smoking, diet and physical activity.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 METHODS

39 *Study design and data collection*

40
41
42
43
44
45
46 This is a descriptive study. The following health indicators were collected: alcohol consumption (data
47 refer to people aged over 11 years old, consuming alcohol in the year, everyday, occasionally or out of
48 meal; also, data referring to males and females aged over 15 years old, consuming alcoholics, namely
49 wine and beer, in the week or everyday were collected), smoking behavior (number of current smokers,
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 number of former smokers, number of non-smokers, number of smoked cigarettes: 1-5 per day, 6-10
10 per day, 11-20 per day, >20 per day, mean number of smoked cigarettes per day), physical activity
11 (number of people practicing regularly, unregularly, only sometimes or never) obese people aged >18,
12 obese males aged >18, obese females aged >18, overweight people (males and females) aged >18,
13 overweight males aged >18, overweight females aged >18; people, males and females, eating beef and
14 fish every week, and cheese and vegetables everyday. All indicators represented the number of people
15 having that condition or behavior per 100 people with the same features. Also, following economic
16 indicators were collected: Italian families' expenditure for alcohol beverages, tobacco and narcotics,
17 Italian families' expenditure for alcohol beverages only, tobacco and narcotics, Italian families'
18 expenditure for narcotics only (all expressed in Euro per year), rate of unemployment, GDP per capita.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 All data were obtained from the website of the Italian National Institute of Statistics, ISTAT
31 (www.istat.it), in particular from the ICT system "Health for All Italia", a territorial informatics system
32 about health that may be freely downloaded at the following site: <http://www.istat.it/it/archivio/14562>
33 and from I.Stat, a wide database provided by ISTAT at the following site: <http://dati.istat.it>.
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 The period of time considered was 2000-2015, but please note that for some indicators data were not
41 available (see Table 1 for details).
42
43
44

45 *Dating the Great Recession* 46 47 48

49 As far as the recession dating is concerned, it is important to notice that it did not start simultaneously
50 worldwide. In the present study, as far as Italy is concerned, 2008 was considered the first year of
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 recession, given that the third and fourth trimester of that year recorded a consecutive negative
10 variation of GDP (source: ISTAT). Also, in 2008 the Italian rate of unemployment increased for the first
11 time after ten years, starting from the fourth trimester. It can be assumed that the second half of 2008
12 corresponds to the time when the Italian population began *experiencing* the crisis (Mattei et al., 2014).
13
14 Further details about the Great Recession and the main causes that led to the worst economic crisis
15 since the 1929 Great Depression may be found elsewhere (De Vogli & Owusu, 2014).
16
17
18
19

20 21 22 *Statistical analysis* 23

24
25
26 The analysis was carried on by means of univariate linear regression models, with the dependent
27 variable represented by all collected health indicators while independent variables were time and crisis
28 years. Time was referred to years from 2000 to 2015; crisis was a dummy variable having the following
29 values: 0 for years 2000-2007, 1 for years 2008-2015, to test the possible effect of the economic crisis on
30 the collected indicators (absence of economic crisis vs. presence of economic crisis). As OLS regression
31 analysis suits better when the assumption of homoscedasticity is valid, which is usually the exception in
32 psychiatric epidemiology and econometrics, 95% confidence intervals were estimated for all coefficients
33 on the basis of heteroscedasticity-robust standard errors. STATA 13.0 (Stata Corporation, College
34 Station, TX, USA) was used for all analyses. Please note that as far as the alcohol consumption in the
35 year, everyday, occasionally or out of meal is concerned, in years 2000-2003 figures refers to the
36 percentage of people aged over 14 years old, while in years 2005-2014 to people aged over 11 years old
37 (data recording was changed by ISTAT). Therefore, the regression analysis for such variables included
38 observations only for years 2005-2014.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 As data were anonymous and aggregated at the origin, Ethics Committee approval was not sought.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

RESULTS

The dataset used for the analysis is reported in Table 1.

(Display Table 1 about here)

Table 2 shows the results of the regression analysis, that compares the years of economic crisis (2008-2015) with the previous ones (2000-2007). Note that there are missing values for some variables.

With respect to alcohol consumption in the year and out of meal among people aged 11 or more, a significant reduction was noticeable in the period of time considered, with no apparent effect due to the crisis. Differently, considering the overall rate of people aged 15 or more who consume alcoholics more than once per week, a significant decrease was noticeable (Beta = -0.60, 95% CI = -0.96; 0.24), peculiarly among men (Beta = -0.95, 95% CI = -1.50; -0.41). The consumption of beer and wine showed a steady and significant decline, without association with the economic situation; similarly, the percentage of people who never drank showed a steady increase, not influenced by the crisis. Binge drinking behaviors among people aged >11 years old were not associated with the years of economic crisis (2008-2015), though an increase was noticeable in the years of the Great Recession (2008-2010), from a descriptive standpoint (Fig. 1).

(Display Figure 1 about here)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 With respect to smoking, the analysis pointed out an increase in the number of smokers (Beta = 1.68,
10 95% CI = 0.17; 3.20), heavy smokers, i.e. people smoking 11-20 cigarettes per day (Beta = 2.18, 95% CI =
11 0.12; 4.26) or more than 20 cigarettes per day (Beta = 1.04, 95% CI = 0.45; 1.62) and mean number of
12 smoked cigarettes per day (Beta = 0.56, 95% CI 0.13; 0.99). Also, prevalence of overweight increased
13 during the economic downturn (Beta = 0.91, 95% CI 0.03; 1.79). Italian families' expenditure for
14 alcoholic beverages (expressed in Euro per year) were negatively associated with the economic crisis
15 (Beta = -812.80, $p = .01$).

16
17 All variables concerning physical activity and diet were not influenced by the economic crisis.

18
19
20
21
22 (Display Table 2 about here)

23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 **DISCUSSION**

35
36
37 Aim of the present study was to evaluate and report on the impact of the economic crisis on Italians'
38 health-related behaviors. The main results concern smoking behavior, overweight and alcohol
39 consumption, and are discussed in detail in the next paragraphs.

40 41 42 43 44 45 46 *Alcohol consumption*

47
48
49 Overall alcohol consumption among people aged > 15 years old decreased in the years of economic
50 crisis, especially among men. This may be due to the fact that in the first years of economic crisis, the
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 Italian male population was mainly hit by unemployment or fear of unemployment (Giovannini, 2009).
10
11 With respect to binge drinking, data were too few to permit a robust statistical analysis (before the
12 recession onset, data were available only for years 2003, 2005-2007), this may explain the results of the
13 linear regressions as shown in Table 2. Yet, from a descriptive standpoint, an increase in binge drinking
14 behaviors is noticeable soon after the Great Recession onset, both in males and females, as shown in
15 Figure 1. Considered altogether, data concerning alcohol consumption seem consistent with other
16 studies, reporting a decrease in the prevalence of any alcohol use during the economic recession in USA,
17 and an increased prevalence in binge-drinking, especially among at risk populations, namely non-Black,
18 unmarried men under 30 years, recently become unemployed (Bor et al., 2013). With respect to this,
19 our study leaves an open question, since data regarding bingeing are not conclusive, though consistent
20 with a previous study of our research group (Mattei et al., 2014), where we pointed out an increase in
21 “occasionally” and “in the year” consumption of alcoholics noticeable in 2009 (the year affected by the
22 worst decrease in real GDP), from a descriptive standpoint. In 2009 and 2010 binge drinking behaviors
23 increased, as well. Such datum rises several questions. On the one hand, it could be considered simply
24 an outlier, showing only a temporal correlation with the onset of the economic crisis, actually not
25 influenced by it. On the other hand, as we previously hypothesized, it could point out a short-term
26 increase in alcohol consumption, peculiarly due to increased bingeing.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 De Goeij and coll. (2015) proposed two behavioural mechanism that may impact on alcohol-related
43 behaviours in times of financial strain. The first one posits that in times of economic crisis alcohol
44 consumption decreases since less money is spent on alcoholic beverages, due to tighter budget
45 constraints. This mechanism seems cross-sectional to all population subgroups, across the majority of
46 countries. The second behaviour mechanism is based on psychological distress and leads to increase
47 alcohol consumption. Our findings may be underpinned by both mechanisms, that may explain the
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 reported decrease in expenditure for alcoholics among Italians and overall alcohol consumption, and the
9 possible increase in binge drinking.

10
11 To sum up, data concerning alcohol consumption among Italians in the years of economic crisis seem
12 consistent with current literature (Bor et al. 2013; Asgeirsdottir et al., 2014; Toffolutti & Suhrcke, 2014),
13 though more research is needed especially concerning binge drinking behaviours, with a particular
14 attention to possibly at-risk populations.
15
16
17
18
19

20 21 *Smoking*

22
23
24
25
26 Our data are partly consistent with those by Gallus and coll. (2011), who reported an increase in
27 smoking prevalence in years 2008-2009, overall and among men and women, after a steady decline in
28 the previous decades. They also suggested that this increase was largely attributable to a relapse of
29 former smokers, possible via increase in psycho-social stress. We also found a significant increase
30 peculiarly among heavy smokers. Differently, we found an increase in the mean number of smoked
31 cigarettes per day, but not in the number of former-smokers, that may support the hypothesis that the
32 increased smoking behavior in the crisis years was largely due to relapses.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 These findings point out an increase in smoking behavior that may be imputed to the economic crisis,
43 and in our opinion may be relevant for public health given the well-known effects of smoking on health.
44 If, as we argue, this increase is only partly attributable to former-smokers' relapse, other causes or
45 possible explanations should be taken into account. Nicotine, and tobacco in general, may be
46 considered "cheap drugs", easy to be obtained. It is true that, on the one hand, the price of cigarettes
47 steadily increased in the last years, partly as public health measure aiming at reducing long-term
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 consequences of smoking; yet, on the other hand, tobacco may be considered a cheap drug, easy to be
10 obtained, and socially accepted. It is known that in the years of the economic crisis a decreased
11 consumption of expensive illicit drugs was noticeable, while consumption of cheap illicit drugs increased
12 [14]. Smoking may be considered, at least in part, a “cheap licit drug”, to turn to easily in times of
13 hardship, both economic or not.
14
15
16
17

18
19
20 It is also possible that specific groups of people be more vulnerable to the effects of the economic crisis
21 in terms of health behaviors. In a more recent paper, Gallus and coll. (2016) identified specific
22 vulnerable subgroups of smokers, namely the young and subjects with low socio-economic status, that
23 seem to have changed their smoking behavior due to the economic crisis. Interestingly, their findings
24 pointed out that the large majority of current smokers did not change their smoking habit following the
25 economic crisis. Once again, the contribution of former-smokers and vulnerable groups may have played
26 a central role in determining an increase in smoking prevalence, though our data are only partly
27 consistent with those produced by the colleagues. The discrepancies may be explained by different
28 methods adopted, with different samples and types of data.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 Finally, even if the present study did not include data concerning cardiovascular mortality, it should be
41 remembered the link existing between the latter and smoking; in this sense, it is worth noticing that
42 other studies reported an increased in cardiovascular mortality, especially in the very first years of
43 recession (Torbica et al., 2015).
44
45
46
47

48
49 *Diet, Overweight and Physical Activity*
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 The economic crisis may have increased the prevalence of overweight adults. As the increase in the
10 number of smokers in the same period already discussed, this phenomenon may be due to increased
11 psycho-social stress, as well. In other words, food may have acted as a sort of “cheap, easy to be
12 obtained drug”, in the same way as smoking, that may have long-term consequences as well, e.g. as far
13 as cardio-metabolic and oncological diseases are concerned. It should be taken into account that, with
14 respect to the other indicators considered, only aggregated data were available for the present study.
15
16 Yet, it is known that in Italy there exist differences regarding nutrition behaviors, based on social
17 position and geographical area: this may have partly affected our analysis. On the other hand, it was
18 pointed out that the economic crisis may have partly reduced the above mentioned differences in
19 nutrition behaviors (Marra et al., 2015), therefore permitting us to take at least a snapshot of the
20 phenomenon. Marra and coll. (2015) report that even if the economic crisis has been frequently pointed
21 out as able to worsen nutrition behaviors, its real impact on Italians’ nutrition was different, and not
22 necessary negative, having reduced nutrition inequalities. Our data support the hypothesis that, beside
23 a steady increase in the prevalence of overweight, the crisis itself may have played an independent,
24 additional role, acting via different mechanisms. For example, people may have increased the
25 consumption of cheap, low-quality or fast-food. Since it was not possible to get data concerning the
26 latter, we cannot draw conclusion regarding fast-food eating in Italy in the last years. Differently, with
27 respect to some major classes of food (namely beef, fish, cheese and vegetables), our analysis pointed
28 out no association with the economic crisis. Yet, it is noticeable that in the period of time considered
29 (2000-2015), a significant and negative time-trend was noticeable with respect to cheese consumption,
30 and a positive time-trend was noticeable regarding vegetable consumption; this may be due to healthier
31 food choices, irrespectively from the crisis.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 If on the one hand it is difficult to find a link between diet, food choices and increased overweight
10 people aged > 18 years old, on the other it seems licit to look for a possible explanation elsewhere, for
11 example concerning physical activity. Actually, we would expect an increase in it, since it is known that
12 in times of economic strain people reduce the use of motor vehicles (with a noticeable reduction in
13 traffic fatalities) (Uutela, 2010). Yet, our data support no association between economic crisis and
14 physical activity. An independent time trend is noticeable, suggesting a higher number of people
15 practicing physical activity regularly in the last years, irrespectively from the beginning of the recession.
16
17 Once again, some features of our study (e.g. having used aggregated data, missing data availability of
18 data) may have failed to get further knowledge concerning the reasons and mechanisms that may
19 explain such increase in overweight; we believe that further research should focus on this peculiar topic.
20
21

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30 Our study pointed out some changes in health behaviors, namely smoking and alcohol consumption; it
31 also pointed out changes in outcome of health behaviors (namely, overweight). Yet, it leaves an open
32 question concerning the reason(s) why behaviour changes in times of financial strain. As we have seen,
33 some authors proposed mechanism that may be targeted by specific interventions (de Goeij et al.,
34 2015), though more knowledge has to be achieved in this field, and it is possible that other research
35 methods (e.g. qualitative studies) may be more helpful, for further studies.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 46 *Limitations*

47
48
49 This study has several limitations. First, due to the nature of data, no causal relation can be drawn. Yet,
50 relevant findings emerged concerning Italians' health-related behaviors, consistent with other published
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 literature. Second, we found many missing values (e.g., for alcohol indicators), and the period of time
9 considered was relatively short. This may have led to spurious associations or lack of associations; in
10 other words, may have weakened our analysis. Yet, the methods adopted made the study feasible, helping
11 at gaining knowledge on a topic particularly controversial, as the effects of socio-economic changes on
12 health. Third, it was pointed out that rates may be non-stationary unit root processes, making them
13 unfit for the regression analysis with ordinary least square methods, for the risk of type I error
14 (Ceccherini-Nelli & Priebe, 2011). Yet, the majority of studies in this field adopted regression analysis,
15 with results similar to those that used other more sophisticated statistical approaches; also, we used
16 heteroscedasticity-robust standard errors, to strengthen our analysis. Fourth and final, given the study
17 design adopted, we focused on population as unit of analysis instead of people or patients, therefore
18 aggregated data were used; this may have partly limited our analysis, given that, as above mentioned,
19 spurious associations (or lack of associations) may happen when data are excessively aggregated. Also,
20 for the same reason we were not able to detect high-risk, vulnerable groups, which is still a crucial topic
21 in epidemiology. Yet, this limitation of our study highlights the need for further research in this field,
22 especially as far as the Italian population is concerned, based on disaggregated data.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 CONCLUSIONS

41
42
43
44 The economic crisis seems to have determined an increase in smoking and overweight prevalence, and a
45 decrease in overall alcohol consumption. An increase in binge drinking may have taken place in the
46 years of the Great Recession, though data are not conclusive. Future research is needed to assess the
47 possible long-term consequences of such behavioral changes in terms of cardio-metabolic and
48 oncological outcomes, especially because life expectancy in Italy started to decline after decades of
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 steady increase for the first time in 2015 (ISTAT, 2015). This is crucial for the design of policies and
9 interventions that can tackle the negative impact of the crisis on public health.
10
11

12 **DISCLOSURES**

13
14 The authors report no competing interests.
15
16

17 **FUNDING SOURCE**

18
19 None
20
21

22 **AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS**

23
24 All data used for the present study are available upon request addressed to the corresponding author.
25
26
27

28 **REFERENCES**

29
30
31
32
33
34 Asgeirsdottir, T.L, Corman, H., Noonan, K., et al. (2014). Was the economic crisis of 2008 good
35 for Icelanders? Impact on health behaviors. *Economic & Human Biology*, 13, 1–19.
36
37

38
39
40 Bor, J., Basu, S., Coutts, A., McKee, M., Stuckler, D. (2013). Alcohol use during the great
41 recession of 2008-2009. *Alcohol and Alcoholism* 48(3), 343-8. doi: 10.1093/alcalc/agt002. Epub
42 2013 Jan 29.
43
44

45
46
47 Ceccherini-Nelli, A., Piebe, S. (2011). Economic factors and suicide rates: associations over time
48 in four countries. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 46(10), 975-982.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

de Goeij, M.C., Suhrcke, M., Toffolutti, V., van de Mheen, D., Schoenmakers, T.M., Kunst, A.E. (2015). How economic crises affect alcohol consumption and alcohol-related health problems: a realist systematic review. *Social Science & Medicine*, 131, 131-46. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.02.025. Epub 2015 Feb 18.

De Vogli, R., Owusu, J.T. (2014). The causes and health effects of the Great Recession: from neoliberalism to 'healthy de-growth'. *Critical Public Health*, DOI: 10.1080/09581596.2014.957164

De Vogli, R., Marmot, M., Stuckler, D. (2013). Excess suicides and attempted suicides in Italy attributable to the great recession. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*, 67(4), 378-9. doi: 10.1136/jech-2012-201607. Epub 2012 Aug 2.

De Vogli, R. (2013). Unemployment and suicides during the recession in Italy. *The British Medical Journal*, 347, f4908.

Ezzatti, M., Riboli, E. (2013). Behavioral and Dietary Risk Factors for Noncommunicable Diseases. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 369, 10:954-964.

Frasquilho, D., Gaspar Matos, M., Salonna, F., Guerreiro, D., Storti, C.C., Gaspar, T., Caldas-de-Almeida, J.M. (2016). Mental health outcomes in times of economic recession: a systematic literature review. *BMC Public Health* 16, 115. DOI 10.1186/s12889-016-2720-y

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Gallus, S., Tramacere, I., Pacifici, R., Zuccaro, P., Colombo, P., Ghislandi, S., La Vecchia, C. (2011). Smoking in Italy 2008–2009: A rise in prevalence related to the economic crisis? *Preventive Medicine*, 52, 182–183

Gallus, S., Asciutto, R., Muttarak, R., Pacifici, R., La Vecchia, C., Lugo, A. (2016). Which group of smokers is more vulnerable to the economic crisis? *Public Health*, 134, 34-38.

Giovannini, E. (2009). Document on the economic-financial planning concerning the 2010–2012 measure for public finance (Documento di Programmazione Economico-Finanziaria relativo alla manovra di finanza pubblica per gli anni 2010–2012). *Audition of the President of the National Institute of Statistics*. Istituto Nazionale di Statistica, Rome (in Italian).

Istituto Nazionale di Statistica (2016). Demographic indicators. Estimates for year 2015. (Indicatori demografici. Stime per l'anno 2015). *Istituto Nazionale di Statistica*, Rome (in Italian).

Lim, S.S., Vos, T., Flaxman, A.D. et. (2013). A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*, 380, 2224-2260.

Margerison-Zilko, C., Goldman-Mellor, S., Falconi, A., Downing, J. (2016). Health Impacts of the Great Recession: a Critical Review. *Current Epidemiology Reports*, 3(1),81-91. DOI 10.1007/s40471-016-0068-6

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 Marra, M., Migliardi, A., Costa, G. (2015) Health inequalities and nutrition in Italy during the
11 crisis times. *Epidemiologia e Prevenzione*, 39(5-6), 322-331 (in Italian).
12
13

14
15
16 Mattei, G., Ferrari, S., Rigatelli, M. (2011). Economic recession in Italy: a review of short-term
17 effects on health. *The Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 70, 606.
18
19

20
21
22 Mattei, G., Ferrari, S., Pingani, L., Rigatelli, M. (2014). Short-term effects of the 2008 Great
23 Recession on the health of the Italian population: an ecological study. *Social Psychiatry and*
24 *Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 49, 851-858.
25
26
27

28
29
30 O'Brien, M. (2014). Italy's triple-dip recession has wiped out all its growth since 2000. *The*
31 *Washington Post*, August 7. Accessed online: February 20, 2016.
32
33 [https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2014/08/07/italys-triple-dip-recession-has-](https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2014/08/07/italys-triple-dip-recession-has-wiped-out-all-its-growth-since-2000/)
34 [wiped-out-all-its-growth-since-2000/](https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2014/08/07/italys-triple-dip-recession-has-wiped-out-all-its-growth-since-2000/)
35
36
37

38
39
40 Stuckler, D., Basu, S. (2013). *The body economic*. Allen Lane: London.
41
42

43
44 Stuckler, D., Basu, S., Suhrcke, M., Coutts, A., McKee, M. (2009). The public health effect of
45 economic crises and alternative policy responses in Europe: an empirical analysis. *The Lancet*,
46 374, 315-323
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 Toffolutti, V., Suhrcke, M. (2014). Assessing the short term health impact of the Great
10 Recession in the European Union: A cross-country panel analysis. *Preventive Medicine*, 64, 54–
11 62.

12
13
14
15
16 Torbica, A., Maggioni, A.P., Ghislandi, S. (2015) The Economic Crisis and Acute Myocardial
17 Infarction: New Evidence Using Hospital-Level Data. *PLoS ONE* 10(11): e0142810.
18 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0142810
19
20
21
22

23
24 Uutela, A. (2010). Economic crises and mental health. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 23(2), 127–
25 130
26
27

28
29
30 World Health Organization, (2011). Impact of economic crises on mental health.
31 [http://www.euro.who.int/en/what-we-do/healthtopics/noncommunicable-diseases/mental-](http://www.euro.who.int/en/what-we-do/healthtopics/noncommunicable-diseases/mental-health/publications/2011/impact-of-economic-crises-on-mental-health)
32 [health/publications/2011/](http://www.euro.who.int/en/what-we-do/healthtopics/noncommunicable-diseases/mental-health/publications/2011/impact-of-economic-crises-on-mental-health) impact-of-economic-crises-on-mental-health The financial crisis and
33 global health: background paper for WHO high level consultation. Geneva: 2011.
34
35
36
37

38
39
40 Zuccato, E., Castiglioni, S., Tettamanti, M., Olandese, R., Bagnati, R., Melis, M., Fanelli, R.
41 (2011). Changes in illicit drug consumption patterns in 2009 detected by wastewater analysis.
42 *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 118, 464–469.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 2 1 – Results of univariate linear regression (2000-2007 vs. 2008-2015). Significant coefficients in bold.
 In the analysis, dependent variables were all collected health indicators; independent variables were crisis years (for which coefficient, p-value, 95% CI and R² are reported) and time-trend. For the latter, when a significant (p < 0.05) association was noticeable, it is reported (+ indicating a positive time-trend, - indicating a negative time-trend). N.s. (not significant) means that that peculiar variable (e.g. birth rate) was not associated with time in the period of time considered.

		2000-2007 vs. 2008-2015				
		<i>β</i>	<i>p</i>	95% CI	R ²	Time-trend association
	Alcohol consumption – <i>in the year</i> (2005-2014)	.97	.27	-.95; 2.88	.95	-
	Alcohol consumption – <i>everyday</i> (2005-2014)	-5.24	.26	-15.40; 4.92	.37	n.s.
	Alcohol consumption – <i>occasionally</i> (2005-2014)	.79	.40	-1.31; 2.88	.55	n.s.
	Alcohol consumption – <i>out of meal</i> (2005-2014)	1.75	.09	-.34; 3.85	.49	-
	Alcohol consumption - % of people (males and females, aged > 15) drinking alcoholics more than once per week	-.60	<.01	-.96; -.24	.70	n.s.
	Alcohol consumption: % of people (males aged > 15) drinking alcoholics more than once per week	-.95	<.01	-1.50; -.41	.81	n.s.
	Alcohol consumption: % of people (females aged > 15) drinking alcoholics more than once per week	-.28	.17	-.70; .14	.47	+
	Alcohol consumption - % of people (males and females, aged > 15) drinking wine regularly	-1.00	.27	-2.89; .88	.94	-

Alcohol consumption - % of people (males, aged > 15) drinking wine regularly	-1.56	.21	-4.10; .98	.95	-
Alcohol consumption - % of people (females, aged > 15) drinking wine regularly	-.52	.42	-1.88; .84	.93	-
Alcohol consumption - % of people (males and females, aged > 15) drinking > 0.5 L of wine everyday	-.14	.21	-.38; .09	.98	-
Alcohol consumption - % of people (males, aged > 15) drinking > 0.5 L of wine everyday	-.39	.08	-.82; .05	.98	-
Alcohol consumption - % of people (females, aged > 15) drinking > 0.5 L of wine everyday	.07	.67	-.26; .39	.81	-
Alcohol consumption - % of people (males and females, aged > 15) drinking beer regularly	-.03	.90	-.55; .49	.81	-
Alcohol consumption - % of people (males, aged > 15) drinking beer regularly	-.02	.96	-.92; .87	.78	-
Alcohol consumption - % of people (females, aged > 15) drinking beer regularly	-.03	.79	-.31; .24	.72	-
Alcohol consumption - % of people (males and females, aged > 15) drinking > 0.5 L of beer everyday	-.02	.74	-.22; .16	.85	-
Alcohol consumption - % of people (males, aged > 15) drinking > 0.5 L of beer everyday	-.10	.61	-.53; .32	.78	n.s.

Alcohol consumption - % of people (females, aged > 15) drinking > 0.5 L of beer everyday	.03	.38	-.05; .11	.69	-
Alcohol consumption - % of people (males and females, aged > 15) who never drank alcoholics	-.19	.78	-1.67; 1.29	.90	+
Alcohol consumption - % of people (males, aged > 15) who never drank alcoholics	.24	.66	-.92; 1.39	.92	+
Alcohol consumption - % of people (females, aged > 15) who never drank alcoholics	-.57	.54	-2.55; 1.41	.84	+
Alcohol consumption – % of males and females aged > 11 who adopt binge drinking behaviors	.01	.99	-1.37; 1.39	.40	n.s.
Alcohol consumption – % of males aged > 11 who adopt binge drinking behaviors	-.13	.88	-2.18; 1.90	.46	n.s.
Alcohol consumption – % of females aged > 11 who adopt binge drinking behaviors	.17	.65	-.64; .97	.10	n.s.
Number of smokers	1.68	.03	.17; 3.20	.82	-
Number of former smokers	.07	.91	-1.39; 1.54	.63	n.s.
Number of non-smokers	-1.72	.16	-4.26; .82	.36	n.s.
Number of smokers (cigarettes)	.36	.57	-1.00; 1.72	.21	n.s.

Number of smokers (1-5 cigarettes per day)	-1.70	.11	-3.92; .50	.89	+
Number of smokers (6-10 cigarettes per day)	-1.07	.26	-3.07; .93	.81	+
Number of smokers (11-20 cigarettes per day)	2.18	.04	.12; 4.26	.91	-
Number of smokers (> 20 cigarettes per day)	1.04	<.01	.45; 1.62	.97	-
Mean number of smoked cigarettes per day	.56	.02	.13; .99	.93	-
Italian families' expenditure for alcoholic beverages, tobacco and narcotics (Euro per year)	-1411.87	.43	-5137.99; 2314.25	.89	+
Italian families' expenditure for alcoholic beverages (Euro per year)	-812.80	.01	-1397.62; -227.98	.88	+
Italian families' expenditure for tobacco and narcotics (Euro per year)	-821.87	.64	-4549.90; 2906.15	.85	+
Physical activity – regularly	.30	.53	-.71; 1.31	.82	+
Physical activity – unregularly	.16	.67	-.63; .94	.54	-
Physical activity – only sometimes	-.13	.90	-2.28; 2.03	.14	n.s.
Physical activity – never	-.34	.70	-2.25; 1.56	.17	n.s.

Obese persons, male and female, aged > 18	-.11	.75	-.86; .64	.69	+
Obese persons, male only, aged > 18	.36	.37	-.48; 1.21	.75	+
Obese persons, female only, aged > 18	-.55	.17	-1.39; .28	.47	+
Overweight persons, male and female, aged > 18	.91	.04	.03; 1.79	.85	+
Overweight persons, male only, aged > 18	1.15	.09	-.21; 2.51	.73	n.s.
Overweight persons, female only, aged > 18	.69	.10	-.15; 1.53	.79	n.s.
Food - % of people (males and females, aged > 3) who eat beef sometimes in the week	-1.19	.60	-6.12; 3.73	.42	n.s.
Food - % males aged > 3 who eat beef sometimes in the week	-.97	.66	-5.73; 3.80	.36	n.s.
Food - % of females aged > 3 who eat beef sometimes in the week	-1.40	0.56	-6.52; 3.71	.46	n.s.
Food - % of people (males and females, aged > 3) who eat fish sometimes in the week	-1.64	.22	-4.44; 1.16	.29	n.s.
Food - % males aged > 3 who eat fish sometimes in the week	-1.31	.29	-3.93; 1.30	.22	n.s.
Food - % of females aged > 3 who eat fish sometimes in the week	-1.94	.19	-4.99; 1.09	.35	n.s.

Food - % of people (males and females, aged > 3) who eat cheese at least once a day	.67	.47	-1.29; 2.64	.94	-
Food - % males aged > 3 who eat cheese at least once a day	.22	.79	-1.57; 2.01	.95	-
Food - % of females aged > 3 who eat cheese at least once a day	1.11	.31	-1.16; 3.37	.91	-
Food - % of people (males and females, aged > 3) who eat vegetables at least once a day	-1.05	.35	-3.41; 1.30	.81	+
Food - % males aged > 3 who eat vegetables at least once a day	-1.08	.20	-2.84; .67	.76	+
Food - % of females aged > 3 who eat vegetables at least once a day	-1.02	.47	-4.03; 1.97	.81	+

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

For Peer Review




Figure 1 - Smoking behavior among people aged > 14 years old (Nr. of smokers per 100 persons with same features)