

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

A non-existence result on cyclic cycle decomposition of the cocktail party graph / M., Buratti; Rinaldi, Gloria. - In: DISCRETE MATHEMATICS. - ISSN 0012-365X. - STAMPA. - 309:14(2009), pp. 4722-4726. [10.1016/j.disc.2008.05.042]

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

26/04/2024 10:01

(Article begins on next page)

A non-existence result on cyclic cycle-decompositions of the cocktail party graph[☆]

Marco Buratti^a, Gloria Rinaldi^{b,*}

^a Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Perugia, Via Vanvitelli 1, I-06123 Perugia, Italy

^b Dipartimento di Scienze e Metodi dell'Ingegneria, Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Viale Amendola 2, I-42100 Reggio Emilia, Italy

A B S T R A C T

We prove that in every cyclic cycle-decomposition of $K_{2n} - I$ (the cocktail party graph of order $2n$) the number of cycle-orbits of odd length must have the same parity of $n(n-1)/2$. This gives, as corollaries, some useful non-existence results one of which allows to determine when the two table Oberwolfach Problem $OP(3, 2\ell)$ admits a 1-rotational solution.

Dedicated to Anthony Hilton

Keywords:

Circulant graph
Complete graph
Cocktail party graph
(Cyclic) cycle-decomposition
(1-rotational) 2-factorization
Oberwolfach problem
Graceful labeling

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper K_v and C_ℓ will denote, as usual, the *complete graph of order v* and the *ℓ -cycle*, respectively. Also, the *ℓ -cycle* whose edges are $[a_0, a_1], [a_1, a_2], \dots, [a_{\ell-1}, a_0]$ will be denoted by $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\ell-1})$.

We recall that the *circulant graph* of order v and connection set Ω is the *Cayley graph* $\text{Cay}[Z_v : \Omega]$, namely the simple graph with vertex-set Z_v and edge-set E defined by $[x, y] \in E$ if and only if $x - y \in \Omega$. Of course Ω must be a subset of $Z_v - \{0\}$ with the property that $-\omega \in \Omega$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$.

A *cycle-decomposition* of a graph K is a set \mathcal{D} of subcycles of K whose edges partition $E(K)$. If all cycles of \mathcal{D} have the same length ℓ one also says that \mathcal{D} is a *C_ℓ -decomposition* of K or a *(K, C_ℓ) -design* or an *ℓ -cycle system* of K .

An *r -factorization* of a graph K is a set of r -factors of K (namely, r -regular spanning subgraphs of K) whose edges partition $E(K)$. So, in particular, a 2-factorization of K is a cycle-decomposition of K whose cycles have been arranged into 2-factors. Obviously, different 2-factorizations of K could have the same underlying cycle-decomposition.

A solution for the Oberwolfach problem $OP(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_r)$ is a 2-factorization of the complete graph $K_{\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \dots + \ell_r}$ whose 2-factors are all isomorphic to the graph $C_{\ell_1} \cup C_{\ell_2} \cup \dots \cup C_{\ell_r}$.

For cycle-decompositions and factorizations of graphs in general, we refer to [9,1], respectively. Here we are interested in *cyclic cycle-decompositions* and in *1-rotational 2-factorizations*.

[☆] Research performed within the activity of INdAM-GNSAGA with the financial support of the Italian Ministry MIUR, project "Strutture Geometriche, Combinatoria e loro Applicazioni".

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: buratti@mat.uniroma1.it (M. Buratti), gloria.rinaldi@unimore.it (G. Rinaldi).

A cycle-decomposition \mathcal{D} of a circulant graph $K = \text{Cay}[Z_v : \Omega]$ is said to be *cyclic* if for every $A \in \mathcal{D}$ we also have $A + 1 \in \mathcal{D}$ where $A + 1$ denotes the cycle obtainable from A by replacing each vertex a of it with the vertex $a + 1 \pmod{v}$.

Similarly, a 2-factorization \mathcal{F} of the graph $\{\infty\} + \text{Cay}[Z_v : \Omega]$ (where ∞ is an element not belonging to Z_v) is *1-rotational* if $F + 1 \in \mathcal{F}$ for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Of course $F + 1$ is the r -factor obtainable from F by replacing each vertex $x \neq \infty$ with the vertex $x + 1 \pmod{v}$.

We are still quite far from the complete solution of the existence problem for cyclic ℓ -cycle decompositions of the complete graph. Partial answers have been given by several authors [3,4,6,7,11,12,15,18–20,22] and the few known non-existence results can be summarized as follows:

- [10] There is no cyclic (K_9, C_3) -design.
- [7] There is no cyclic (K_ℓ, C_ℓ) -design if $\ell = 15$ or $\ell = p^\alpha$ with p an odd prime and $\alpha > 1$.
- [5,12] There is no cyclic (K_v, C_ℓ) -design with $\gcd(v, \ell)$ a prime power and $\ell < v < 2\ell$.

Indeed it is conjectured that for all other admissible pairs (v, ℓ) , a cyclic (K_v, C_ℓ) -design exists.

In this paper we present a necessary condition for the existence of cyclic cycle-decompositions of the *cocktail party graph* $K_{2n} - I$, that is the complete graph of order $2n$ with one 1-factor I removed. Using this condition we show, in particular, that there are infinitely many classes of admissible pairs $(2n, \ell)$ for which a cyclic $(K_{2n} - I, C_\ell)$ -design does not exist. The same condition also allows us to determine the spectrum of values of ℓ for which there exists a 1-rotational solution for $OP(3, 2\ell)$.

2. The main result

From now on K_{2n} will be always seen as the circulant graph $\text{Cay}[Z_{2n} : Z_{2n} - \{0\}]$. Observe that $\text{Cay}[Z_{2n} : \{n\}]$ is a 1-factor of K_{2n} . Thus we will always represent the cocktail party graph $K_{2n} - I$ of order $2n$ as the circulant graph $\text{Cay}[Z_{2n} : Z_{2n} - \{0, n\}]$. Note that the orbit of every edge $[x, y]$ of $K_{2n} - I$ under the natural action of Z_{2n} , denoted by $\text{Orb}[x, y]$, has full length $2n$ and that it is the edge-set of the circulant graph $\text{Cay}[Z_{2n} : \{x - y, y - x\}]$. For a given subcycle A of K_{2n} we denote by $\text{Stab}(A)$ and $\text{Orb}(A)$ the stabilizer and the orbit of A under Z_{2n} , respectively.

Although the following lemma can be easily deduced from [5], we will prove it in all details for convenience of the reader.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\ell-1})$ be a cycle belonging to a cyclic cycle-decomposition of $K_{2n} - I$ and let t be the order of $\text{Stab}(A)$. Then $\text{Orb}(A)$ is an ℓ -cycle decomposition of $\text{Cay}[Z_{2n} : \{\pm(a_{i-1} - a_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \frac{\ell}{t}\}]$.*

Proof. Observe that $\text{Stab}(A)$ can be viewed as a group of automorphisms of A . Hence, since the full automorphism group of an ℓ -cycle is $D_{2\ell}$, the dihedral group of order 2ℓ , we deduce that $\text{Stab}(A)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $D_{2\ell}$. Assume that there exists an element of $\text{Stab}(A)$ acting on A as a *reflection*. Then this element must be the only involution of Z_{2n} , moreover, it acts fixed-point free on A , so A has even length, say $\ell = 2k$, and we have

$$A = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_{k-1} + n, \dots, a_1 + n, a_0 + n).$$

This is absurd since A would contain the edge $[a_0, a_0 + n]$ belonging to the 1-factor $I = \text{Cay}[Z_{2n} : \{n\}]$.

Hence, $\text{Stab}(A)$ is a group of rotations of order t . Thus, if ρ is a generator of $\text{Stab}(A)$, we have that the map $\hat{\rho} : a_i \rightarrow a_i + \rho$ is the map sending each vertex a_i into the vertex $a_{i+\ell/t \pmod{\ell}}$:

$$a_{i+\ell/t \pmod{\ell}} = a_i + \rho \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1. \quad (1)$$

This means, explicitly, that A has the following form:

$$(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\ell/t-1}, a_0 + \rho, a_1 + \rho, \dots, a_{\ell/t-1} + \rho, a_0 + 2\rho, a_1 + 2\rho, \dots, a_{\ell/t-1} + 2\rho, \dots, a_0 + (t-1)\rho, a_1 + (t-1)\rho, \dots, a_{\ell/t-1} + (t-1)\rho).$$

Let \bar{A} be the graph whose edges are precisely those covered by the cycles of $\text{Orb}(A)$ and set

$$\partial(A) = \left\{ \pm(a_{i-1} - a_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \frac{\ell}{t} \right\}.$$

We have to prove that $\bar{A} = \text{Cay}[Z_{2n} : \partial(A)]$.

For $|\text{Stab}(A)| = t$ we have $|\text{Orb}(A)| = 2n/t$ and hence, since the cycles of $\text{Orb}(A)$ belong to the cyclic cycle-decomposition, they are edge-disjoint and we have $|E(\bar{A})| = 2n\ell/t$. Also, for the same reason, the set $E(\bar{A})$ is a disjoint union of orbits of edges of A . Their number is then given by $\frac{2n\ell}{t} \cdot \frac{1}{2n} = \frac{\ell}{t}$ since, as previously observed, any edge-orbit of $K_{2n} - I$ has full length $2n$. Let us prove that such a disjoint union can be written as follows:

$$E(\bar{A}) = \text{Orb}[a_0, a_1] \cup \text{Orb}[a_1, a_2] \cup \dots \cup \text{Orb}[a_{\ell/t-1}, a_{\ell/t}].$$

By the "pigeon-hole principle" it is enough to show that every edge of A belongs to the orbit of an edge of the path $P = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\ell/t})$. In fact, given $[a_{i-1}, a_i] \in E(A)$, let

$$i = q \cdot \frac{\ell}{t} + r \quad 0 \leq r < \frac{\ell}{t}$$

be the Euclidean division of i by $\frac{\ell}{t}$. By (1), we have $a_i = a_r + q\rho$ and $a_{i-1} = a_{r-1} + q\rho$ so that $[a_{i-1}, a_i] = [a_{r-1}, a_r] + q\rho$ is in the same orbit of $[a_{r-1}, a_r] \in \dot{E}(P)$.

Then, having just observed that $\text{Orb}[a_{i-1}, a_i]$ is the edge-set of $\text{Cay}[Z_{2n} : \{a_{i-1} - a_i, a_i - a_{i-1}\}]$, we can write:

$$\bar{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell/t} \text{Cay}[Z_{2n} : \{a_{i-1} - a_i, a_i - a_{i-1}\}] = \text{Cay}[Z_{2n} : \{\pm(a_i - a_{i-1}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell/t\}] = \text{Cay}[Z_{2n} : \partial(A)]$$

and the assertion follows. \square

We are now ready for proving our main result.

Theorem 2.2. *The number of cycle-orbits of odd length in a cyclic cycle-decomposition of $K_{2n} - I$ has the same parity as $n(n-1)/2$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{D} be a cyclic cycle-decomposition of $K_{2n} - I$. For every cycle $A = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\ell-1})$ of \mathcal{D} set

$$\sigma(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell/t} (a_{i-1} - a_i) = (a_0 - a_1) + (a_1 - a_2) + \dots + (a_{\ell/t-1} - a_{\ell/t})$$

where t is the order of $\text{Stab}(A)$.

Obviously, we have $\sigma(A) = a_0 - a_{\ell/t}$. On the other hand, by (1), we have $a_{\ell/t} = a_0 + \rho$ where ρ is an element of Z_{2n} of order t so that we have

$$\sigma(A) = \frac{2n\rho}{t} \quad \text{with } \gcd(x, t) = 1.$$

This implies that $\sigma(A)$ is even if and only if t is a divisor of n .

Now note that the length of $\text{Orb}(A)$ is $2n/t$ so that, also here, $|\text{Orb}(A)|$ is even if and only if t is a divisor of n . We conclude that $\sigma(A)$ has the same parity as $|\text{Orb}(A)|$:

$$\sigma(A) \equiv |\text{Orb}(A)| \pmod{2} \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (2)$$

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{A_1, \dots, A_s\}$ be a set of *base-cycles* of \mathcal{D} , namely a complete system of representatives for the orbits of the cycles of \mathcal{D} so that we have

$$\mathcal{D} = \text{Orb}(A_1) \cup \text{Orb}(A_2) \cup \dots \cup \text{Orb}(A_s).$$

By Lemma 2.1, the cycles of $\text{Orb}(A_i)$ form a decomposition of $\text{Cay}[Z_{2n} : \partial(A_i)]$ so that we have

$$\text{Cay}[Z_{2n} : Z_{2n} - \{0, n\}] = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \text{Cay}[Z_{2n} : \partial(A_i)] = \text{Cay} \left[Z_{2n} : \bigcup_{i=1}^s \partial(A_i) \right]$$

which implies that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^s \partial(A_i) = Z_{2n} - \{0, n\}. \quad (3)$$

Now note that $\partial(A_i)$ is a disjoint union of the set of addends of $\sigma(A_i)$ and the set of their opposites. It follows, by (3), that $Z_{2n} - \{0, n\}$ is a disjoint union of the set of all addends of the sum $\sum_{i=1}^s \sigma(A_i)$ and the set of all their opposites. Thus, having $Z_{2n} - \{0, n\} = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm(n-1)\}$, we can write:

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \sigma(A_i) = s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_{n-1}$$

where $s_i = i$ or $-i$ for each i . So, since i and $-i$ have the same parity, we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \sigma(A_i) \equiv 1 + 2 + \dots + (n-1) \pmod{2}.$$

Using (2) the above congruence can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^s |\text{Orb}(A_i)| \equiv \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \pmod{2}.$$

This means that the number of cycles A_i of \mathcal{B} whose orbit has odd length has the same parity as $n(n-1)/2$ and the assertion follows. \square

3. Some non-existence conditions

In this section the complete graph K_{2n+1} will be seen as the graph $\{\infty\} + \text{Cay}[Z_{2n} : Z_{2n} - \{0\}]$. We need this special case of a more general theorem given in [8]:

Theorem 3.1. *Let ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_t be integers greater than 2 with $\sum_{i=1}^t \ell_i = 2n + 1$. Then \mathcal{F} is a 1-rotational solution for $OP(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_t)$ if and only if we have $\mathcal{F} = \text{Orb}(F)$ where F is a 2-factor of K_{2n+1} having the following properties:*

- $F \simeq C_{\ell_1} \cup C_{\ell_2} \cup \dots \cup C_{\ell_t}$;
- $\text{Stab}(F) = \{0, n\}$;
- every non-zero element of Z_{2n} can be expressed as a difference of two adjacent vertices of F .

Now, applying Theorem 2.2 together with the above theorem we get a non-existence result on 1-rotational solutions for Oberwolfach problems in which one parameter is 3 and all the remaining ones are even.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $n = k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r + 1$ with $k_i \geq 2$ for each i . Then a 1-rotational solution for $OP(3, 2k_1, 2k_2, \dots, 2k_r)$ cannot exist in each of the following cases:*

- $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$;
- $\frac{n-1}{2} + r$ is an odd integer.

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{F} is a 1-rotational solution for $OP(3, 2k_1, 2k_2, \dots, 2k_r)$. By Theorem 3.1 we have $\mathcal{F} = \text{Orb}(F)$ where F is a 2-factor of K_{2n+1} isomorphic to $C_3 \cup C_{2k_1} \cup \dots \cup C_{2k_r}$ that is fixed by n . For $F + n = F$ we have that the stabilizer of every cycle of F is either trivial or $\{0, n\}$. This easily implies that the 3-cycle T of F is of the form $(t, \infty, t + n)$ for a suitable $t \in Z_{2n}$ while the remaining cycles of F can be split into two sets \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} where \mathcal{A} is the set of cycles of F on which n acts as a rotation and where \mathcal{B} is the set of cycles of F with trivial stabilizer. It is then obvious that \mathcal{B} can be written as a disjoint union $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}' \cup \mathcal{B}''$ with $\mathcal{B}'' = \{B + n \mid B \in \mathcal{B}'\}$.

Note that the edges of K_{2n+1} that are covered by the orbit of T are precisely those through ∞ plus those of the circulant graph $\text{Cay}[Z_{2n} : \{n\}]$. It follows that the cycles of \mathcal{F} not belonging to $\text{Orb}(T)$ form a cyclic cycle-decomposition \mathcal{D} of $\text{Cay}[Z_{2n} : Z_{2n} - \{0, n\}]$, namely a cyclic cycle-decomposition of $K_{2n} - I$. Also note that $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}'$ is a set of base-cycles for \mathcal{D} . Then, considering that the orbits of the cycles of \mathcal{A} have length n while the orbits of the cycles of \mathcal{B}' have length $2n$, the number of cycle-orbits of \mathcal{D} having odd length is 0 or $|\mathcal{A}|$ according to whether n is even or odd, respectively. On the other hand we have $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}'| + |\mathcal{B}''| = r$ and $|\mathcal{B}'| = |\mathcal{B}''|$, so that $|\mathcal{A}|$ has the same parity as r . Thus, by Theorem 2.2, we can write:

$$\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \equiv_{(\text{mod } 2)} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ r & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

If n is even, (4) immediately gives $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. If n is odd, then $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ has the same parity as $\frac{n-1}{2}$ and hence (4) gives $\frac{n-1}{2} \equiv r \pmod{2}$, i.e., $\frac{n-1}{2} + r$ is even. The assertion follows. \square

It is known that the obvious necessary condition for the existence of an ℓ -cycle decomposition of $K_{2n} - I$, namely $2n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$, is also sufficient [2,21]. Now we see, as another consequence of Theorem 2.2, that this is not always true if we ask the decomposition to be cyclic.

Theorem 3.3. *A cyclic ℓ -cycle decomposition of $K_{2n} - I$ cannot exist in each of the following cases:*

- $n \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ and $\ell \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$;
- $n \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$ and ℓ does not divide $n(n-1)$.

Proof. The number of cycles of an ℓ -cycle decomposition of $K_{2n} - I$ is obviously given by $|E(K_{2n} - I)|/\ell = 2n(n-1)/\ell$. It follows that the number of cycle-orbits of odd length of a cyclic ℓ -cycle decomposition of $K_{2n} - I$ has the same parity as $2n(n-1)/\ell$. So, by Theorem 2.2, we have $2n(n-1)/\ell \equiv n(n-1)/2 \pmod{2}$. The assertion easily follows. \square

4. On the existence of a 1-rotational solution for $OP(3, 2\ell)$

Every two table Oberwolfach problem, i.e., every $OP(2h+1, 2\ell)$, has been solved as a consequence of more general results given in [14]. Nevertheless, as far as we are aware, the spectrum of values $2h+1$ and ℓ for which a 1-rotational solution for $OP(2h+1, 2\ell)$ exists has not been established yet. In this section, using *graceful labelings* of a cycle we are able to say when $OP(3, 2\ell)$ admits a 1-rotational solution.

We recall that a *graceful labeling* of C_ℓ is an ℓ -cycle $\Gamma = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\ell-1})$ with vertices in the set of integers $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$ and the property that

$$\{|a_i - a_{i-1}| \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\} = \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$$

where $a_\ell = a_0$ is understood. In [17] Rosa proved

Theorem 4.1. *There exists a graceful labeling of C_ℓ if and only if $\ell \equiv 0$ or $3 \pmod{4}$.*

For graceful labelings of arbitrary graphs we refer to the rich survey of Gallian [13]. Now we see how the above theorem of Rosa and our Theorem 3.2 allow us to completely determine the spectrum of values of ℓ for which there exists a 1-rotational solution for $OP(3, 2\ell)$.

Theorem 4.2. *There exists a 1-rotational solution for $OP(3, 2\ell)$ if and only if $\ell \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. Applying Theorem 3.2 we can see that a 1-rotational solution for $OP(3, 2\ell)$ cannot exist for $\ell \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$.

Now assume $\ell \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$. In this case we have $\ell + 1 \equiv 3$ or $0 \pmod{4}$ and hence, by Theorem 4.1, there exists a graceful labeling $\Gamma = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_\ell)$ of $C_{\ell+1}$. By the definition of a graceful labeling, it is obvious that $[0, \ell + 1]$ is an edge of Γ so that we can assume, without loss of generality, that $a_0 = 0$ and $a_\ell = \ell + 1$. It is also obvious that $\{1, 2, \dots, \ell\} - \{a_1, \dots, a_{\ell-1}\}$ is a singleton $\{t\}$. Consider the triangle $T = (t, \infty, t + \ell + 1)$, the 2ℓ -cycle $A = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_\ell, a_1 + \ell + 1, a_2 + \ell + 1, \dots, a_{\ell-1} + \ell + 1)$, and set $F = T \cup A$. Thinking of the vertices of $F - \{\infty\}$ as elements of $Z_{2+2\ell}$ rather than integers, it is easy to see that F is a 2-factor of $K_{3+2\ell}$ and that it satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 so that $\text{Orb}(F)$ is a 1-rotational solution for $OP(3, 2\ell)$. \square

Example 4.3. If we apply Theorem 4.2 using the graceful labeling $(0, 1, 3)$ of C_3 , we get the following 1-rotational solution for $OP(3, 4)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (2, \infty, 5) & (0, 1, 3, 4) \\ (3, \infty, 0) & (1, 2, 4, 5) \\ (4, \infty, 1) & (2, 3, 5, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.4. If we apply Theorem 4.2 using the graceful labeling $(0, 3, 2, 4)$ of C_4 , we get the following 1-rotational solution for $OP(3, 6)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (1, \infty, 5) & (0, 3, 2, 4, 7, 6) \\ (2, \infty, 6) & (1, 4, 3, 5, 0, 7) \\ (3, \infty, 7) & (2, 5, 4, 6, 1, 0) \\ (4, \infty, 0) & (3, 6, 5, 7, 2, 1). \end{aligned}$$

For its connection with this subject, we recall that Ollis [16] has found 1-rotational solutions to the three table Oberwolfach Problem $OP(r, r, 2s + 1)$ for several values of r and s . We point out, however, that he calls a *cyclic solution* what we call a 1-rotational solution.

References

- [1] L. Andersen, Factorizations of graphs, in: C.J. Colbourn, J.H. Dinitz (Eds.), CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2006, pp. 740–755.
- [2] B. Alspach, H. Gavlas, Cycle decompositions of K_n and $K_n - I$, J. Combin Theory, Ser. B 81 (2001) 77–99.
- [3] A. Blinco, S. El-Zanati, C. Vanden Eynden, On the cyclic decomposition of complete graphs into almost-bipartite graphs, Discrete Math. 284 (2004) 71–81.
- [4] D. Bryant, H. Gavlas, A.C. Ling, Skolem-type difference sets for cycle systems, Electron. J. Combin. 10 (2003) R38, 12pp.
- [5] M. Buratti, Cycle decompositions with a sharply vertex transitive automorphism group, Le Matematiche (Catania) 59 (1–2) (2004) 91–105. 2006.
- [6] M. Buratti, A. Del Fra, Existence of cyclic k -cycle systems of the complete graph, Discrete Math. 261 (2003) 113–125.
- [7] M. Buratti, A. Del Fra, Cyclic Hamiltonian cycle systems of the complete graph, Discrete Math. 279 (2004) 107–119.
- [8] M. Buratti, G. Rinaldi, 1-rotational k -factorizations of the complete graph and new solutions to the Oberwolfach problem, J. Combin. Des. 16 (8) (2008) 87–100.
- [9] D. Bryant, C. Rodger, Graph decompositions, in: C.J. Colbourn, J.H. Dinitz (Eds.), CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2006, pp. 373–382.
- [10] C.J. Colbourn, A. Rosa, Triple Systems, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1999.
- [11] H. Fu, S. Wu, Cyclically decomposing complete graphs into cycles, Discrete Math. 282 (2004) 267–273.
- [12] H. Fu, S. Wu, Cyclic m -cycle systems with $m \leq 32$ or $m = 2q$ with q a prime power, J. Combin. Des. 14 (2006) 66–81.
- [13] J.A. Gallian, A dynamic survey on graph labeling, Electron. J. Combin. 5 (1998) Dynamic Survey 6, 43 pp (electronic).
- [14] A.J.W. Hilton, M. Johnson, Some results on the Oberwolfach problem, J. London Math Soc. (2) 64 (2001) 513–522.
- [15] A. Kotzig, Decomposition of a complete graph into $4k$ -gons, Mat. Fyz. Casopis Sloven. Akad. Vied 15 (1965) 229–233 (in Russian).
- [16] M.A. Ollis, Some cyclic solutions to the three table Oberwolfach problem, Electron. J. Combin. 12 (2005) Research Paper 58, 7 pp (electronic).
- [17] A. Rosa, On certain valuations of the vertices of a graph, in: Théorie des graphes, journée es internationales d'études, Rome 1966, Dunod, Paris, 1967, pp. 349–355.
- [18] A. Rosa, On cyclic decompositions of the complete graph into $(4m + 2)$ -gons, Mat. Fyz. Casopis Sloven. Akad. Vied 16 (1966) 349–352.
- [19] A. Rosa, On cyclic decompositions of the complete graph into polygons with odd number of edges (Slovak), Casopis Pest. Mat. 91 (1966) 53–63.
- [20] A. Rosa, On decompositions of a complete graph into $4n$ -gons, Mat. Casopis Sloven. Akad. Vied 17 (1967) 242–246 (in Russian).
- [21] M. Šajna, Cycle decompositions III, complete graphs and fixed length cycles, J. Combin. Des. 10 (2002) 27–78.
- [22] A. Vietri, Cyclic k -cycle systems of order $2kn + k$; a solution of the last open cases, J. Combin. Des. 12 (2004) 299–310.