This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

Error in An Italian consensus for invasive candidiasis management (ITALIC) / Scudeller, L; Viscoli, C.; Menichetti, F.; Del Bono, V.; Cristini, F.; Tascini, C.; Bassetti, M.; Viale, P.; Italic Group: Chiara, Adembri; Massimo, Antonelli; Giacomo, Borgonovo; Francesco, Bruno; Ercole, Concia; Francesco Giuseppe De, Rosa; Vincenzo, Emmi; Silvano, Esposito; Roberto, Fumagalli; Girardis, Massimo. - In: INFECTION. - ISSN 0300-8126. - 42:2(2014), pp. 263-279. [10.1007/s15010-013-0577-x]

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

03/05/2024 12:45



Dear Author,

Here are the proofs of your article.

- You can submit your corrections **online**, via **e-mail** or by **fax**.
- For **online** submission please insert your corrections in the online correction form. Always indicate the line number to which the correction refers.
- You can also insert your corrections in the proof PDF and **email** the annotated PDF.
- For fax submission, please ensure that your corrections are clearly legible. Use a fine black pen and write the correction in the margin, not too close to the edge of the page.
- Remember to note the **journal title**, **article number**, and **your name** when sending your response via e-mail or fax.
- **Check** the metadata sheet to make sure that the header information, especially author names and the corresponding affiliations are correctly shown.
- Check the questions that may have arisen during copy editing and insert your answers/ corrections.
- **Check** that the text is complete and that all figures, tables and their legends are included. Also check the accuracy of special characters, equations, and electronic supplementary material if applicable. If necessary refer to the *Edited manuscript*.
- The publication of inaccurate data such as dosages and units can have serious consequences. Please take particular care that all such details are correct.
- Please do not make changes that involve only matters of style. We have generally introduced forms that follow the journal's style.
 Substantial changes in content, e.g., new results, corrected values, title and authorship are not allowed without the approval of the responsible editor. In such a case, please contact the Editorial Office and return his/her consent together with the proof.
- · If we do not receive your corrections within 48 hours, we will send you a reminder.
- Your article will be published **Online First** approximately one week after receipt of your corrected proofs. This is the **official first publication** citable with the DOI. **Further changes are, therefore, not possible.**
- The **printed version** will follow in a forthcoming issue.

Please note

After online publication, subscribers (personal/institutional) to this journal will have access to the complete article via the DOI using the URL: http://dx.doi.org/[DOI].

If you would like to know when your article has been published online, take advantage of our free alert service. For registration and further information go to: <u>http://www.springerlink.com</u>.

Due to the electronic nature of the procedure, the manuscript and the original figures will only be returned to you on special request. When you return your corrections, please inform us if you would like to have these documents returned.

Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

ArticleTitle	An Italian consensus f	or invasive candidiasis management (ITALIC)
Article Sub-Title		
Article CopyRight	Springer-Verlag Berlin (This will be the copyr	n Heidelberg right line in the final PDF)
Journal Name	Infection	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Scudeller
	Particle	
	Given Name	L.
	Suffix	
	Division	Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Scientific Direction
	Organization	IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo Foundation
	Address	P.le Golgi 2, Pavia, 27100, Italy
	Email	l.scudeller@smatteo.pv.it
Author	Family Name	Viscoli
	Particle	
	Given Name	С.
	Suffix	
	Division	Clinic of Infectious Diseases, Teaching Hospital
	Organization	University of Genua
	Address	Genoa, Italy
	Email	
Author	Family Name	Menichetti
	Particle	
	Given Name	F.
	Suffix	
	Division	Infectious Disease Department
	Organization	Cisanello Hospital
	Address	Pisa, Italy
	Email	
Author	Family Name	Bono
	Particle	del
	Given Name	V.
	Suffix	
	Division	Clinic of Infectious Diseases, Teaching Hospital
	Organization	University of Genua
	Address	Genoa, Italy
	Email	
Author	Family Name	Cristini
	Particle	
	Given Name	F.
	Suffix	

Organization University of Bologna Address Bologna, Italy Finail Family Name Author Family Name Particle Girva Name Girva Name C. Suffix Division Organization University of Genua Address Genoa, Italy Email Email Author Family Name Bassetti Girva Name Muthor Family Name Bassetti Girva Name Girva Name M. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Division Organization Organization Sata Maria della Misericordia University Hospital Address Udine, Italy Email Email Author Family Name Visiton Infectious Diseases Division Organization Sata Maria della Misericordia University Hospital Address Udine, Italy Email Email Author Family Name Vatifix Division Division Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Organization University of Bologna Address Bologna, Italy <tr< th=""><th></th><th>Division</th><th>Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum</th></tr<>		Division	Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum
Email Author Family Name Tascini Particle		-	
Author Family Name Tascini Particle Given Name C. Suffix Division Clinic of Infectious Diseases, Teaching Hospital Organization University of Genua Address Genoa, Italy Email Email Author Family Name Bassetti Particle Given Name M. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Division Organization Santa Maria della Misericordia University Hospital Address Udine, Italy Email Udine, Italy Author Family Name Viale Particle Given Name Viale Particle Given Name N. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Author Famil Division Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Organization University of Bologna Address Bologna, Italy Email Email Schedule Revised Acdress Acdress Bologna, Italy Email Schedule Accepted 4 November 2013 Abstract Invasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily b			Bologna, Italy
Particle Given Name C. Suffix Given Name C. Suffix Division Clinic of Infectious Diseases, Teaching Hospital Organization University of Genua Address Genoa, Italy Email Email Author Particle Given Name M. Suffix Given Name Particle Given Name Organization Infectious Diseases Division Organization Santia Maria della Misericordia University Hospital Address Udine, Italy Email Harticle Author Family Name Variance Riven Name Address Udine, Italy Author Family Name Author Given Name Suffix Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Author Given Name Particle Given Name Organization University of Bologna Address Bologna, Italy Imail Imail Termain Imail Crevised Accepted Accepted A November 2013 Abstract Revised Accepted Infect			
Given Name C. Suffix Division Organization University of Genua Address Genoa, Italy Email Email Author Family Name Basectri Particle Given Name M. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Division Organization Santa Maria della Misericordia University Hospital Author Family Name M. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Division Organization Santa Maria della Misericordia University Hospital Address Udine, Italy Email Email Author Author Family Name P. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Organization University of Bologna Address Bologna, Italy Email Email Accepted 4 November 2013 Abstract Avata majority of Hese infections coccur outside of the CU. The recent publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Orseases (ESCMID) guidelines adde with the nor-TCU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-ricularly population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-ricularly in patients, Therefore, the Italian Society of Antiminerobial Theraps, Società Italian	Author	-	Tascini
Suffix Clinic of Infectious Diseases, Teaching Hospital Organization University of Genua Address Genoa, Italy Email Family Name Author Family Name Particle Given Name Suffix Division Organization Santa Maria della Misericordia University Hospital Address Udine, Italy Author Family Name Varianti Address Organization Santa Maria della Misericordia University Hospital Address Udine, Italy Family Name Viale Particle Given Name Suffix Division Organization Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Organization University of Bologna Address Bologna, Italy Email Revised Accepted 4 November 2013 Accepted 4 November 2013 Accepted A Normicous Diseases (ESCMD) guidelines also deal with the non-fCU population, but many unceratimitis remani on the management of CL particuladi, in neoriti			
Division Clinic of Infectious Diseases, Teaching Hospital Organization University of Genua Address Genoa, Italy Email			C.
Organization University of Genua Address Genoa, haly Email			
Address Genoa, Italy Famil Family Author Family Name Bassetti Particle Given Name M. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Division Organization Santa Maria della Misericordia University Hospital Address Udine, Italy Email Email Author Family Name Viale Author Family Name Viale Suffix Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Author Family Name P. Suffix Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Organization University of Bologna Address Bologna, Italy Email Email Keevised Accepted Accepted 4 November 2013 Abstract Invasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of C. particulary in non-ricital infectionics Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of C. particulary in non-ricital infection population, but here commendations on the management of C. particulary in non-ricital infectio			
Email Author Family Name Bassetti Particle Given Name M. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Division Organization Santa Maria della Misericordia University Hospital Address Udine, Italy Email Email Author Family Name Viale Particle Given Name P. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Organization University of Bologna Address Joivision Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Given Name Organization University of Bologna Address Address Bologna, Italy Email Received 6 April 2013 Email Abstract Invasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critical discretorious diseases (ISCMID) guidelina di Teapia Antimicrobica (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of IC, particulary in non-critical dideresc		-	
Author Family Name Bassetti Particle Given Name M. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Division Organization Santa Maria della Misericordia University Hospital Address Udine, Italy Email Email Author Family Name Viale Particle Given Name P. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Organization University of Bologna Address Address Bolgona, Italy Email Executed Received 6 April 2013 Accepted 4 November 2013 Accepted 4 November 2013 Abstract Invasive candidiasis (C) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infectious Disease (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically il patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Merabiology and Infectious Oiseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-incurred of shopital-wide recommendations on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically il patients. Therefore, the Italian Society o			Genoa, Italy
Particle Given Name M. Suffix Juivision Infectious Diseases Division Organization Santa Maria della Misericordia University Hospital Address Udine, Italy Email Email Author Family Name Viale Particle Given Name P. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Organization University of Bologna Address Bologna, Italy Email Email			
Given Name M. Suffix Division Division Infectious Diseases Division Organization Santa Maria della Misericordia University Hospital Address Udine, Italy Email Email Author Family Name Viale Particle Given Name P. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Address Bologna Address	Author	-	Bassetti
Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Division Organization Santa Maria della Misericordia University Hospital Address Udine, Italy Email Email Author Family Name Particle Given Name Given Name P. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Organization University of Bologna Address Bologna, Italy Email Email Received 6 April 2013 Schedule Revised Accepted 4 November 2013 Abstract Invasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infections occur outside of the ICU. The recent publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal vith the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy. Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobia (SITA), produced praetical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of <i>Candida</i> infection in non-immunocompromised patients in the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient stratification in terms of risk factors for IC and of clinical severity, emphasising a high index of suspic			
DivisionInfectious Diseases DivisionOrganizationSanta Maria della Misericordia University HospitalAddressUdine, ItalyEmailEmailAuthorFamily NameVialeParticleGiven NameP.SuffixDivisionInfectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater StudiorumOrganizationUniversity of BolognaAddressBologna, ItalyEmailEmailReceived6 April 2013AbstractInvasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically il patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobia (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of <i>Candida</i> infection in non- immunocompromised patients in the hospital wide. Our focus is on patient stratification in terms of risk factors for IC and of clinical severity. emphasising a high index of suspicion to ensure early dignosis, early treatment and de-escalation when a patient is clinically stable, in order to optimise resource allocation.Keywords (separated by '-')Invasive candidiasis - Diagnosis - Management - Risk stratification - Clinical severity - Review - Consensus			М.
Address Udine, Italy Author Family Name Viale Particle Given Name P. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Organization University of Bologna Address Bologna, Italy Email Email Suffix Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Organization University of Bologna Address Bologna, Italy Email Email Accepted Accepted 4 November 2013 Abstract Invasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infections occur outside of the ICU. The recent publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobia (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of Candida infection in non-immunocompromised patients in the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient stratification in terns of risk factors for IC and of clinical severity. emphasising a high index of suspicion to ensure early diagnosis, early trea			
Address Udine, Italy Email Family Name Author Family Name Particle Given Name Given Name P. Suffix Division Division Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Organization University of Bologna Address Bologna, Italy Email Email Prevised Accepted 4 November 2013 Abstract Invasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infectious Diseases (SECMD) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobica (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of <i>Candida</i> infection in non-immunocompromised patients in the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient startification in terms of risk factors for IC and of clinical Severity, emphasising al high index of suspici no to ensure early diagnosis, early treatment and de-escalation when a patient is clinically stable, in order to optimise resource allocation. Keywords (separated by '-') Invasive candidiasis - Diagnosis - Management - Risk stratification - Clinical severity - Review - Consensus			
Email Author Family Name Viale Particle Given Name P. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Organization University of Bologna Address Bologna, Italy Email Email Received Revised 4 November 2013 Abstract Invasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infections Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobia (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of <i>Candida</i> infection in non-immunocompromised patients in the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient stratification in terms of risk factors for IC and of clinical severity, emphasising a high index of suspicion to ensure early diagnosis, early treatment and de-escalation when a patient is clinically stable, in order to optimise resource allocation. Keywords (separated by 1-) Invasive candidiasis - Diagnosis - Management - Risk stratification - Clinical severity - Review - Consensus		e e	
Author Family Name Viale Particle Given Name P. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Organization University of Bologna Address Bologna, Italy Email Email Received 6 April 2013 Schedule Revised Accepted 4 November 2013 Abstract Invasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infections occur outside of the ICU. The recent publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobica (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of <i>Candida</i> infection in non-immunocompromised patients in the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient stratification in terms of risk factors for IC and of clinical severity, emphasising a high index of suspicion to ensure early diagnosis, early treatment and de-escalation when a patient is clinically stable, in order to optimise resource allocation. Keywords (separated by '-') Invasive candidiasis - Diagnosis - Management - Risk stratification - Clinical severity - Consensus			Udine, Italy
Particle Given Name P. Suffix Division Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Organization University of Bologna Address Bologna, Italy Email Email Received 6 April 2013 Schedule Revised Accepted 4 November 2013 Abstract Invasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infections occur outside of the ICU. The recent publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobica (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of <i>Candida</i> infection in non-immunocompromised patients in the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient stratification in terms of risk factors for IC and of clinical severity, emphasising a high index of suspicion to ensure early diagnosis, early treatment and de-escalation when a patient is clinically stable, in order to optimise resource allocation. Keywords (separated by '-') Invasive candidiasis - Diagnosis - Management - Risk stratification - Clinical severity - Review - Consensus			
Given Name P. Suffix Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum Organization University of Bologna Address Bologna, Italy Email Email Received 6 April 2013 Schedule Revised Abstract Invasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infections occur outside of the ICU. The recent publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobica (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of Candida infectiou in non-immunocompromised patients in the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient stratification in terms of risk factors for IC and of clinical severity, emphasising a high index of suspicion to ensure early diagnosis, early treatment and de-escalation when a patient is clinically stable, in order to optimise resource allocation.	Author	-	Viale
SuffixInfectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater StudiorumOrganizationUniversity of BolognaAddressBologna, ItalyEmailEmailReceivedAccepted6 April 2013ScheduleAccepted4 November 2013AbstractInvasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobica (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of <i>Candida</i> infection in non- immunocompromised patients in the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient stratification in terms of risk factors for IC and of clinical severity, emphasising a high index of suspicion to ensure early diagnosis, early treatment and de-escalation when a patient is clinically stable, in order to optimise resource allocation.Keywords (separated by '-')Invasive candidiasis - Diagnosis - Management - Risk stratification - Clinical severity - Review - Consensus			_
DivisionInfectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater StudiorumOrganizationUniversity of BolognaAddressBologna, Italy EmailEmailEmailReceived6 April 2013ScheduleRevisedAccepted4 November 2013AbstractInvasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobica (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of <i>Candida</i> infection in non- immunocompromised patients in the hospital wate additions on the management of supprise resource allocation.Keywords (separated by '-')Invasive candidiasis - Diagnosis - Management - Risk stratification - Clinical severity - Review - Consensus			P.
Alma Mater StudiorumOrganizationUniversity of BolognaAddressBologna, ItalyEmailEmailReceived6 April 2013ScheduleRevisedAccepted4 November 2013AbstractInvasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infections occur outside of the ICU. The recent publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobica (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of <i>Candida</i> infection in non-immunocompromised patients in the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient stratification in terms of risk factors for IC and of clinical severity, emphasising a high index of suspicion to ensure early diagnosis, early treatment and de-escalation when a patient is clinically stable, in order to optimise resource allocation.Keywords (separated by '-')Invasive candidiasis - Diagnosis - Management - Risk stratification - Clinical severity - Review - Consensus			
Address Bologna, Italy Email Email Received 6 April 2013 Schedule Revised Accepted 4 November 2013 Abstract Invasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infections occur outside of the ICU. The recent publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobica (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of <i>Candida</i> infection in non-immunocompromised patients in the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient stratification in terms of risk factors for IC and of clinical severity, emphasising a high index of suspicion to ensure early diagnosis, early treatment and de-escalation when a patient is clinically stable, in order to optimise resource allocation. Keywords (separated by '-') Invasive candidiasis - Diagnosis - Management - Risk stratification - Clinical severity - Review - Consensus		Division	
Email Received 6 April 2013 Schedule Revised Accepted 4 November 2013 Abstract Invasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infections occur outside of the ICU. The recent publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobica (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of <i>Candida</i> infection in non-immunocompromised patients in the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient stratification in terms of risk factors for IC and of clinical severity, emphasising a high index of suspicion to ensure early diagnosis, early treatment and de-escalation when a patient is clinically stable, in order to optimise resource allocation. Keywords (separated by '-') Invasive candidiasis - Diagnosis - Management - Risk stratification - Clinical severity - Review - Consensus		Organization	University of Bologna
Received 6 April 2013 Schedule Revised Accepted 4 November 2013 Abstract Invasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infections occur outside of the ICU. The recent publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobica (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of <i>Candida</i> infection in non-immunocompromised patients in the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient stratification in terms of risk factors for IC and of clinical severity, emphasising a high index of suspicion to ensure early diagnosis, early treatment and de-escalation when a patient is clinically stable, in order to optimise resource allocation. Keywords (separated by '-') Invasive candidiasis - Diagnosis - Management - Risk stratification - Clinical severity - Review - Consensus		Address	Bologna, Italy
Schedule Revised Accepted 4 November 2013 Abstract Invasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infections occur outside of the ICU. The recent publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobica (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of <i>Candida</i> infection in non-immunocompromised patients in the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient stratification in terms of risk factors for IC and of clinical severity, emphasising a high index of suspicion to ensure early diagnosis, early treatment and de-escalation when a patient is clinically stable, in order to optimise resource allocation. Keywords (separated by '-') Invasive candidiasis - Diagnosis - Management - Risk stratification - Clinical severity - Review - Consensus		Email	
Accepted4 November 2013AbstractInvasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infections occur outside of the ICU. The recent publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobica (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of <i>Candida</i> infection in non- immunocompromised patients in the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient stratification in terms of risk factors for IC and of clinical severity, emphasising a high index of suspicion to ensure early diagnosis, early treatment and de-escalation when a patient is clinically stable, in order to optimise resource allocation.Keywords (separated by '-')Invasive candidiasis - Diagnosis - Management - Risk stratification - Clinical severity - Review - Consensus		Received	6 April 2013
AbstractInvasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in reality, a vast majority of these infections occur outside of the ICU. The recent publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobica (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of <i>Candida</i> infection in non- immunocompromised patients in the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient stratification in terms of risk factors for IC and of clinical severity, emphasising a high index of suspicion to ensure early diagnosis, early treatment and de-escalation when a patient is clinically stable, in order to optimise resource allocation.Keywords (separated by '-')Invasive candidiasis - Diagnosis - Management - Risk stratification - Clinical severity - Review - Consensus	Schedule	Revised	
a vast majority of these infections occur outside of the ICU. The recent publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobica (SITA), produced practical, hospital-wide recommendations on the management of <i>Candida</i> infection in non- immunocompromised patients in the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient stratification in terms of risk factors for IC and of clinical severity, emphasising a high index of suspicion to ensure early diagnosis, early treatment and de-escalation when a patient is clinically stable, in order to optimise resource allocation. Keywords (separated by '-') Invasive candidiasis - Diagnosis - Management - Risk stratification - Clinical severity - Review - Consensus		Accepted	4 November 2013
	Abstract	a vast majority of these of Clinical Microbiology population, but many un Therefore, the Italian Sc produced practical, hosp immunocompromised p factors for IC and of clir	infections occur outside of the ICU. The recent publication of the European Society y and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines also deal with the non-ICU certainties remain on the management of IC, particularly in non-critically ill patients. ociety of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobica (SITA), oital-wide recommendations on the management of <i>Candida</i> infection in non- atients in the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient stratification in terms of risk nical severity, emphasising a high index of suspicion to ensure early diagnosis, early
	Keywords (separated by '-')	Invasive candidiasis - D	

REVIEW

1

An Italian consensus for invasive candidiasis management (ITALIC)

- 4 L. Scudeller · C. Viscoli · F. Menichetti ·
- 5 V. del Bono · F. Cristini · C. Tascini ·
- 6 M. Bassetti · P. Viale

Received: 6 April 2013/Accepted: 4 November 2013
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013

9 Abstract Invasive candidiasis (IC) has primarily been 10 studied in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although, in 11 reality, a vast majority of these infections occur outside of 12 the ICU. The recent publication of the European Society of 13 Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) 14 guidelines also deal with the non-ICU population, but 15 many uncertainties remain on the management of IC, 1 (Aq1 particularly in non-critically ill patients. Therefore, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana 17 di Terapia Antimicrobica (SITA), produced practical, 18 19 hospital-wide recommendations on the management of 20 Candida infection in non-immunocompromised patients in 21 the hospital ward. Our focus is on patient stratification in 22 terms of risk factors for IC and of clinical severity, 23 emphasising a high index of suspicion to ensure early

- A1 L. Scudeller (🖂)
- A2 Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Scientific Direction, IRCCS
- A3 Policlinico San Matteo Foundation, P.le Golgi 2,
- A4 27100 Pavia, Italy
- A5 e-mail: l.scudeller@smatteo.pv.it
- A6 C. Viscoli · V. del Bono · C. Tascini
- A7 Clinic of Infectious Diseases, Teaching Hospital, University
- A8 of Genua, Genoa, Italy
- A9 F. Menichetti
- A10 Infectious Disease Department, Cisanello Hospital, Pisa, Italy
- A11 F. Cristini · P. Viale
- A12 Infectious Diseases Unit, Teaching Hospital Policlinico S.
- A13 Orsola-Malpighi Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna,
- A14 Bologna, Italy
- A15 M. Bassetti
- A16 Infectious Diseases Division, Santa Maria della Misericordia
- A17 University Hospital, Udine, Italy

diagnosis, early treatment and de-escalation when a patient 24 is clinically stable, in order to optimise resource allocation. 25 26

KeywordsInvasive candidiasis · Diagnosis ·27Management · Risk stratification · Clinical severity ·28Review · Consensus · Recommendations29

Introduction

The rising incidence of candidaemia and deep-seated 31 infections due to Candida (i.e. invasive candidiasis, IC) is 32 parallelling the increasing complexity of surgical proce-33 dures and the larger patient populations at risk of infection, 34 as well as changes in patient demographic characteristics. 35 IC, in its various clinical pictures, is burdened by a variable 36 37 mortality rate ranging from 40 to 75 % [1–5]. While Candida albicans has been, for a long time, the species 38 more frequently involved in candidaemia, recently, a shift 39 40 towards non-albicans species has been reported, especially in haematological, transplant and intensive care unit (ICU) 41 patients [6-8]. There is growing evidence that IC is a 42 43 hospital-wide issue, not confined to specific health care contexts (e.g. the ICU) and it seems, therefore, extremely 44 important to broaden awareness, knowledge and skills for 45 optimal management in the more diverse clinical settings. 46 This is particularly relevant when we consider the evidence 47 48 that inappropriate initial therapy and/or delay in prescription are associated to worse outcome and to the selection of 49 resistant strains [9–11]. 50

Between 2009 and 2012, both the Infectious Diseases51Society of America (IDSA) and the European Society of52Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID)53produced a set of guidelines, which, though comprehensive, suggest different therapeutic choices, and, more55

(H)

Journal : Large 15010	Dispatch : 15-11-2013	Pages : 17
Article No. : 558	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : INF-D-13-00229	🖌 СР	🖌 disk

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

56 relevantly, did not address many uncertainties regarding 57 the practical management of this severe infection, such as 58 actual criteria for empirical therapy and prophylaxis in the 59 daily clinical practice, the management of Candida peri-60 tonitis and others [12, 13]. In addition, at least the Euro-61 pean guidelines address the issue almost only in the ICU 62 patient, forgetting that, in reality, a vast majority of these 63 infections occur outside of the ICU [2]. An additional 64 difficulty is that the vast majority of the literature data is 65 based on candidaemia, while it is increasingly recognised 66 that deep-seated Candida disease, though probably under-67 diagnosed owing to the intrinsic limits of current diagnostic 68 methods, represents a relevant proportion of IC [14].

For these reasons, the Italian Society of Antimicrobial Therapy, Società Italiana di Terapia Antimicrobica (SITA), decided to endorse a national consensus process involving several medical disciplines to review the available evidence and produce practical, hospital-wide recommendations about the management of severe *Candida* infections in non-immunocompromised patients, excluding patients with haematological diseases and those who had undergone solid organ and hematopoietic stem cell transplants.

 Table 1 ITALIC definition of diagnostic categories of invasive candidiasis (IC)

- "Invasive candidiasis (IC)", indicating both deep-seated *Candida* infection and candidaemia
- In terms of certainty of diagnosis and consequent therapeutic strategies, the following diagnostic categories (modified from [166]) were used:
- Proven IC: cultural evidence of *Candida* or evidence of yeast cells or hyphae or pseudohyphae at histology or at direct examination, in a normally sterile tissue or organ, i.e. excluding urine, sputum, fluids from bronchoalveolar lavage, mucous membrane swabs and specimens from skin sites.
- Probable IC: concomitant presence of an underlying disease predisposing to IC, adequate risk factors (see risk stratification), with signs of active infection [26], with at least one positive antigen test (e.g. BDG, mannan/antimannan).
- Possible IC: concomitant presence of an underlying disease predisposing to IC, adequate risk factors (see risk stratification), with signs of active infection [26], but without any microbiological confirmation.

82

Differently from the above-mentioned international 78 guidelines, the present document takes into consideration a 79 practical approach to antifungal therapy, aiming to give a 80 guideline that is useful for daily clinical practice. 81

Consensus methods

The consensus panel involved 30 infectious disease consultants, surgeons and intensive care physicians, and a clinical epidemiologist, with two external discussants (a microbiologist and a clinical pharmacologist). Five working areas were identified: 87

- Risk stratification
 Diagnosis and clinical management
 Prophylaxis
 90
- Therapy of possible/probable IC 91
- Therapy of proven IC 92

Preliminary consensus on definitions was achieved 93 (Tables 1 and 2). 94

The consensus strategy was based on a combination of 95 the nominal group technique and the Delphi method (when 96 the EP was involved) [15]. 97

For assessing the quality of evidence and strength of 98 recommendations, we adopted the GRADE profile, since it 99 allows in-depth assessment and description of the available 100 101 evidence [16-20]. Recommendations were classed following the National Institute for Health and Clinical 102 Excellence (NICE) guidelines, which encompass five cat-103 egories ("must", "must not", "should", "should not" and 104 "could") [21]. 105

Results

Before delving into the discussion of the five clinical areas107of interest, all the "actors" recommend a careful periodical108evaluation of the epidemiological situation in each hospi-109tal, in terms of new patients at risk, emergence of specific110species and resistance patterns. Indeed, local epidemio-111logical surveillance is mandatory, since the antifungal112

Table 2 ITALIC definitions of treatment strategies of IC	Treatment strategy	Certainty of diagnosis	RF (including multi-site colonisation)	Clinical signs	Biomarkers	Microbiological diagnosis
	Prophylaxis	Not applicable	+	None	Not applicable	Not applicable
	Pre-emptive	Probable	+	-	$+^{a}$	_
	Empirical	Possible	+	+	-/not available	—/not available
	Presumptive	Probable	+/-	+	+	—/not available
^a Unlikely combination	Targeted	Proven	+/	+/- ^a	+/-/not available	+

^a Unlikely combination



•	Journal : Large 15010	Dispatch : 15-11-2013	Pages : 17	
,	Article No. : 558	□ LE	□ TYPESET	
	MS Code : INF-D-13-00229	🖌 СЬ	🖌 DISK	

policy may have an impact on the antifungal resistance oflocal *Candida* strains [22–24].

115 Area 1: risk stratification

116 The major risk factor for IC is the severity of the patient's 117 underlying condition, mainly represented by the APACHE 118 II score. The severity of the underlying disease dictates the 119 occurrence of additional risk factors, such as the use of 120 broad-spectrum antibacterial agents, total parenteral nutri-121 tion, indwelling vascular device (central venous catheters, 122 haemodialysis catheters, peripherally inserted central 123 catheters and implanted ports) and major surgery [25].

Important studies were performed with the aim of identifying both a single predicting risk factor or a combination of them for building models able to identify patients more at risk of being affected by IC, and eventually apply the most effective management strategy.

129 In the Candida literature, the term "at risk" is used 130 somewhat inconsistently: in a strictly epidemiological 131 interpretation, a patient "at risk" of IC is a patient without 132 IC who might develop it at a later time, with risk depending 133 on a number of patient characteristics (and possibly 134 deserving a prophylactic approach); however, in many 135 studies on IC, "patient at risk" is a patient likely to actually 136 have IC, based on a number of clinical features and risk 137 factors (thus deserving an empirical treatment approach). 138 Another meaning of "risk" is stratification according to the 139 risk of death, which implies a judgement on the severity of 140 the clinical conditions of the patient (for instance, as we 141 suggest, by adopting the sepsis score) [26].

142 Some clinical prediction rules have been developed 143 combining different parameters to predict which patient is 144 affected (symptoms of infection are already there) or is 145 likely to later develop an IC (no symptoms, but a situation 146 which might deserve specific prophylaxis). The oldest, 147 purely microbiological, stratification tool was the Candida 148 colonisation index (CCI), based on the ratio between the 149 number of distinct body sites colonised with Candida and 150 the total number of sites tested. The so-called "corrected 151 CCI (cCCI)", which came later, is the product of the CCI 152 times the ratio of the number of sites showing heavy 153 growth to the total of sites growing Candida spp. [27]. 154 Subsequently, based on previous studies [28] in ICU pop-155 ulations, Ostrosky-Zeichner et al. [29] found that the 156 combined presence of previous or concomitant systemic 157 antibiotic therapy and a central venous catheter, plus two or 158 more of the following variables (parenteral nutrition, 159 dialysis, major surgery, pancreatitis and treatment with 160 steroids or other immunosuppressive agents) was able to 161 predict the development of IC with positive and negative predictive values of 10 and 97 %, respectively. The score 162

did not depend on the presence of a clinical situation 163 compatible with infection. 164

More recently, León et al. derived, from a large popu-165 lation of ICU patients with signs and symptoms of infection, 166 the so-called "Candida score" (CS). The final predicting 167 model included parenteral nutrition, surgery, multi-focal 168 colonisation and severe sepsis. Each independent variable 169 was weighted for the strength of its association with the 170 outcome variable, with a score of 1 for the first three vari-171 172 ables and a score of 2 for the fourth variable. Subjects with a 173 score >2.5 were almost eight times more likely to later have candidiasis than those with a score <2.5 [30]. The CS has 174 175 been later validated in a different cohort [31]. The abovementioned risk factors and clinical prediction rules are 176 certainly useful for stratifying ICU patients according to 177 their risk of IC, but their discriminating ability is still 178 unsatisfactory, so many patients without IC might receive 179 an unnecessary antifungal therapy. 180

Recommendations

181

182

- 1. Patient stratification:
 - For a correct management of IC and candidaemia, 183 physicians should take into account the individual 184

Table 3 Risk factors for IC

Hospitalisation in ICU

Acute/chronic organ dysfunction requiring intensive care/invasive procedures (e.g. mechanical ventilation, vasoactive drugs, renal substitution and extracorporeal circulation systems, high-volume fluid or haemocomponents infusions, tracheostomy and others)

Solid organ transplantation (and type)^a

- Onco-haematological diseases (and type) and stem cell transplantation, especially with graft-versus-host disease (GVHD)^a
- Surgery (especially abdominal surgery and surgical revision), trauma and burn patients

Paediatric and neonatal intensive care units^a

- Multiple underlying medical conditions (e.g. elderly patients in medical wards)
- Immunosuppressive therapy
- Renal failure requiring haemodialysis or haemofiltration

Neutropaenia^a

APACHE score

Multiple site colonisation

- Duration of hospital stay
- Previous history of Candida infection

Total parenteral nutrition and use of indwelling catheters

Diabetes mellitus

Previous prolonged antibiotic therapy



•	Journal : Large 15010	Dispatch : 15-11-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 558	□ LE	□ TYPESET
•	MS Code : INF-D-13-00229	CP	M DISK

124

125

126

127

^a Will not be discussed because they are not within the scope of the present consensus

- Author Proof

195

196

197

198

199

207

208

risk profile of each patient. Factors to use to stratify
the risk for a patient of being affected by IC are
listed in Table 3.

- 188 2. Corrected *Candida* colonisation index [27, 31–33]:
- A corrected *Candida* colonisation index ≥0.4 is an important risk factor for IC, but in many clinical settings, other stratification tools should be pre-ferred owing to their greater simplicity of use.
- 193 3. Ostrosky-Zeichner prediction rule [28–31, 34–38]:
 - The Ostrosky-Zeichner prediction rule (based on risk factors in asymptomatic ICU patients) is probably best applied to exclude patients not at risk (rather than to identify those at risk) of developing IC, due to its low positive predictive value and high negative predictive value.
- 200 4. *Candida* score [30, 31, 37, 38]:
 - The *Candida* score (based on clinical symptoms and signs of severe sepsis/septic shock) can be used as a tool for predicting the likelihood of actually having IC in symptomatic ICU patients, but it is probably best applied to identify patients without (rather than those with) IC, due to its low positive predictive value and high negative predictive value.

209 Unresolved issues

210 A more discriminant stratification tool would be welcome. 211 In addition, existing prediction rules should be validated 212 prospectively in randomised and interventional clinical 213 trials. This would be desirable not only for ICU patients, 214 but also for other settings, such as surgery, internal medi-215 cine and geriatrics. It is currently difficult to quantify the 216 impact of previous exposure to antibiotics on the risk of IC. 217 Other settings should be considered in the future, like, for 218 example, the use of biological response modifiers.

- 219 Area 2: microbiological diagnosis and clinical
- 220 management

221 Blood cultures are currently considered the gold standard 222 for the diagnosis of IC, despite it being shown that blood 223 cultures are negative in roughly 50 % of patients with 224 biopsy-proven disseminated IC and in 30 % of those with 225 single-organ IC [39]. This might be due to the fact that, in 226 deep-seated *Candida* disease following haematogenous 227 spread, viable Candida cells are rapidly eliminated from 228 the bloodstream, thus limiting the time window when 229 Candida can be successfully detected in blood [14].

🖄 Springer

Another drawback of blood cultures is that it normally 230 takes 24-72 h to identify a Candida strain growing in the 231 blood culture. Hence, waiting for culture results before 232 making a clinical decision determines a delay in the 233 diagnosis and initiation of appropriate antifungal therapy. 234 235 In conclusion, earlier markers of fungal infection are nee-236 ded in order to improve diagnosis of IC [14]. Among earlier markers, the detection of galactomannan in blood or 237 other body fluids is generally considered reliable for the 238 diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis. For the diagnosis of IC, 239 two methods have been proposed. The search for mannan 240 antigen and antimannan antibodies separately have low 241 sensitivity and specificity, which improve substantially 242 when the two methods are combined [40-43]. The sensi-243 tivity and specificity of these tests have been questioned 244 when used separately, but a number of reports indicate that, 245 when they are used in combination, the performance 246 improves substantially [41, 44]. The beta-D-glucan (BDG) 247 test is a panfungal test which looks for an antigen that is 248 present on many fungal cells [45-47], but not on mam-249 malian and bacterial cells [46]. Thus, its detection in blood 250 or other bodily specimens may represent a marker of a 251 fungal disease. The test has been shown to possess good 252 sensitivity and a very good negative predictive value [48-253 254 50] when a proper cut-off value is used. Owing to its high negative predictive value, the BDG test can probably be 255 256 used better to exclude an invasive fungal infection (IFI) [14]. All these diagnostic tests may diagnose an IC earlier 257 than clinical or culture-based measures [40, 41]. 258

Nucleic acid-based diagnostic techniques are, perhaps, 259 the fastest-growing segment of fungal diagnostics [51]. 260 Generally speaking, molecular-based diagnostic tests can 261 potentially be very sensitive in detecting an IFI and may 262 provide results more rapidly than standard diagnostic pro-263 cedures, thereby enabling the possibility for earlier diag-264 265 nosis and more timely initiation of antifungal therapy [46, 47, 51, 52]. Many molecular platforms are currently under 266 investigation [45, 47, 53]. 267

Recommendations

- 1. Significance of *Candida* isolation from non-sterile 269 body sites [54]: 270
 - In the asymptomatic patient, the isolation of a 271 *Candida* strain from a non-sterile body site (bronchial aspirate, tracheal aspirate, bronchoalveolar 273 lavage fluid or sputum) should not prompt any 274 antifungal treatment and should be merely considered as colonisation. 276
 - However, in a patient with signs and symptoms of infection, multiple *Candida* colonisation, including isolation from urine in a patient fitted with a 279

>	Journal : Large 15010	Dispatch : 15-11-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 558	□ LE	□ TYPESET
	MS Code : INF-D-13-00229	🗹 СР	🗹 DISK

bladder catheter, might be suggestive of a *Candida* infection and might prompt antifungal treatment.

- The repeated isolation of *Candida* from fluids obtained from a surgical drainage should not be underestimated and should prompt additional investigations, even in the absence of clinical signs and symptoms.
 - The same applies to *Candida* isolation from peritoneal fluids in a patient undergoing peritoneal dialysis.

290 2. Blood cultures [55–62]:

- As a general rule, at least two blood cultures (each with both aerobes and anaerobes bottles) should be obtained in the presence of signs and symptoms suggestive of infection. One of the two blood cultures should be obtained both from a peripheral vein and from the central catheter, if present. Patients receiving steroid therapy might have low-grade fever only. In these patients, a high level of suspicion should be maintained.
- 300 3. Role of BDG [31, 33, 50, 63–76]:
 - The BDG test as a diagnostic test in a patient with signs and symptoms of infection might be effective in the early diagnosis or exclusion of IC. However, the results should be interpreted in the setting of the presence of other risk factors and the patient's clinical conditions.
 - There is insufficient evidence to recommend the use of the BDG test as a screening tool in patients without symptoms.
 - Turnaround time of the results is essential for timely clinical decisions.
- 312 4. Role of the mannan antigen/antimannan antibody test
 313 [40, 41, 77–79]:
- The mannan/antimannan detection test may be useful for the diagnosis of IC. The separate detection of either mannan or antimannan cannot be recommended.
- 5. Nucleic acid-based diagnostic techniques [52, 53, 75, 80-82]:
- Diagnostic techniques using biomolecular methods
 are not yet recommended, because of the heterogeneity of the available results, the lack of reliable
 reference standards and differences in techniques.
- 324 6. Echocardiography [83–86]:
- An echocardiography should be performed in all patients with persistent candidaemia (defined as blood cultures persistently positive after at least

96 h of adequate antifungal treatment and despite328removal of the central venous catheter, if originally329present), to rule out *Candida* endocarditis.330

- These patients should be monitored for at least 6 331 months, since late *Candida* endocarditis is not uncommon. 333
- 7. Fundus oculi examination [87–90]: 334
 - A fundus oculi examination should be performed and possibly repeated in every patient with IC, even in the absence of visual disturbances, to rule out chorioretinitis and endophthalmitis.
 336 337 338

339

359

Unresolved issues

An agreement should be reached among experts about the 340 341 optimal methodology for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and other methods of biomolecular diagnosis [53]. 342 Regarding the BDG antigen detection, open issues are what 343 is the most appropriate cut-off able to maximise the posi-344 tive and negative predictive values and to discriminate 345 between infection and colonisation. The use of the test in 346 different patient populations should also be explored, as 347 well as its prognostic value and its possible ability to 348 349 correlate with clinical severity [90]. Other research options 350 include the value of the antigen test as a screening test in asymptomatic high-risk patients [71, 91], the best initial 351 352 timing and the timing of repeat testing [65, 91, 92] and, finally, the possible benefit of combining BDG antigen and 353 antibody detection [93]. In Candida endophthalmitis, the 354 timing of fundus oculi examination should be better 355 defined, as well as the need for and timing of repeated 356 examinations, since small lesions might go initially 357 undetected. 358

Area 3: prophylaxis

Prophylaxis is the administration of a drug to a patient with 360 risk factors for IC (Table 2) and without clinical signs and 361 symptoms of infection. The administration of an antifungal 362 prophylaxis in a non-immunocompromised patient in the 363 ICU without symptoms is not supported by published 364 evidence. The administration of an antifungal in compli-365 cated surgical patients, such as those with anastomotic 366 leakage or recurrent intestinal perforation, reported as an 367 indication for antifungal prophylaxis in other guidelines, 368 should not be defined as prophylaxis but rather as an 369 empirical, presumptive or pre-emptive therapy. We agree 370 that these patients should receive an antifungal but disagree 371 372 to define this practice as prophylaxis. Indeed, these patients have an infection, often of unknown but probably 373 polymicrobial aetiology, and usually receive antibacterial 374



1	Journal : Large 15010	Dispatch : 15-11-2013	Pages : 17	
	Article No. : 558	□ LE	□ TYPESET	
•	MS Code : INF-D-13-00229	🗹 СР	🖌 disk	

280

281

287

288

289

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

- 377 Recommendation
- 378 1. Antifungal prophylaxis [28, 31, 94–104]:
- Antifungal prophylaxis should not be administered in non-immunocompromised patients.
- 381 Unresolved issues

382 There might be subgroups of patients, such as, for example, 383 those with obstructive chronic bronchopulmonary disease 384 or those staying for a long time in the ICU, that might 385 deserve antifungal prophylaxis. Future studies should aim 386 to identify these populations and test antifungal prophylaxis in these specific settings. Studies of antifungal pro-387 388 phylaxis in asymptomatic patients at high risk for 389 candidaemia are being performed [105].

390 Area 4: therapy for possible/probable IC

391 The administration of antifungal drugs in patients with risk 392 factors for IC and signs and symptoms of infection but no 393 definitive documentation of fungal infection (negative or 394 pending cultures) has been defined in several ways. Some 395 authors call it "empirical therapy", while others call it 396 "pre-emptive" or "presumptive" therapy. As shown in 397 Table 3, in general, empirical therapy means administering 398 an antifungal in the absence of any indication other than 399 fever and compatible symptoms, while the presumptive or 400 pre-emptive approach implies the existence of additional 401 factors increasing the likelihood that a fungal infection is 402 present. However, in a very practical approach (as opposed 403 to research settings), we believe that these are more 404 semantic than practical issues, since the bottom line is that, 405 in such instances, physicians start an antifungal therapy 406 because they think that there are reasons to believe that the 407 patient might have a fungal infection. What differs is the 408 likelihood of the presence of a fungal infection and the risk 409 of treating too early, too late or unnecessarily: what phy-410 sicians need to know is whom and when to treat.

411 In 2005, Morrell and coworkers first demonstrated the 412 clinical significance of delaying treatment in patients with 413 IC. In a cohort of 134 patients, the initiation of antifungal therapy more than 12 h after the first positive blood culture 414 415 was associated with an increased risk of death: the longer 416 the time interval, the higher the mortality [9]. This was 417 later confirmed by Garey and coworkers in a retrospective 418 multi-centre cohort study of 230 patients who were pre-419 scribed fluconazole: the time to the initiation of fluconazole 420 therapy was strongly related with outcome [10]. More 444

465

recently, another retrospective cohort study of adult 421 422 patients with IC reached the same conclusion, even when echinocandins were used [11]. The logical consequence of 423 these observations prompted some investigators to assess 424 the performance of an empirical antifungal approach in 425 426 ICU patients with persistent fever not responding to antibacterial therapy, without trying to select patients at higher 427 risk for candidaemia. In a multi-centre, prospective and 428 randomised clinical trial in 270 critically ill ICU patients, 429 Schuster et al. [106] failed to demonstrate any advantage 430 for fluconazole compared to placebo using a composite 431 endpoint for success. 432

Subsequently, in 2009, the IDSA guidelines for the 433 management of candidiasis introduced the concept of 434 empirical treatment for critically ill patients with risk fac-435 tors for IC and no other known cause of fever, recom-436 mending that the decision should be based on the clinical 437 assessment of risk factors, serologic markers for IC and/or 438 culture data from non-sterile sites [12]. This approach is 439 considered valid by many experts and the general opinion 440 is that the administration of antifungal therapy should be 441 guided by the evaluation of risk factors, use of clinical 442 prediction rules and biological markers. 443

Recommendations

- 1. Timing of treatment [1, 9, 10, 31, 33, 41, 65, 67, 69 445

 72, 77, 107-111]:
 446
 - 447 The decision of starting an antifungal therapy in the absence of a positive culture from a normally 448 sterile site should be based on a careful estimation 449 450 of the individual risk of being affected by a (so far) occult fungal infection. This estimation should 451 preferably be based on criteria or scores stemming 452 from multi-variable analyses and validated pro-453 spectively (including multi-site colonisation) (see 454 León's rule). 455
 - The detection of biological markers for *Candida* (BDG, mannan/antimannan) makes the presence of a fungal infection even more likely and may be an important adjunctive tool, whose results should be evaluated within the overall clinical setting.
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 454
 455
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 - Patients who underwent multiple laparotomies with intra-abdominal leakage are likely affected by a fungal infection and certainly deserve an antifungal therapeutic intervention.
 461 462 463 464

2. Treatment [111–119]:

- An echinocandin should be preferred as the firstline therapy because of: 467
 - Fungicidal activity 468
 - Activity against strains embedded in biofilms 469

🖄 Springer

	Journal : Large 15010	Dispatch : 15-11-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 558	□ LE	□ TYPESET
•••	MS Code : INF-D-13-00229	🗹 СР	🗹 disk

- Activity against fluconazole-resistant and nonalbicans strains that are resistant to fluconazole
 - Favourable safety profile
- Low propensity for interactions
- This is particularly true for medical or surgical critically ill patients with prolonged hospital stay (over 1 month), prior prolonged antibiotic therapy and recent fluconazole exposure, all of which are factors potentially able to affect the selection of fluconazole non-susceptible *Candida* strains.
 - Significant alternatives, in critically ill patients, are lipid formulations of amphotericin B (especially the liposomal preparation) and, to a lesser extent, voriconazole, but not amphotericin B deoxycholate, in particular when a site other than the blood infection site is suspected (e.g. peritonitis). This is supported by the lack of pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) consideration of echinocandins in peritoneal fluid, although strong evidence is also lacking for amphotericin B.
 - Therapy should be reassessed after 72–96 h, based on the patient's clinical conditions and microbiological results.
- Intravenous or oral fluconazole still remains a valid option but should be reserved for second-line or step-down therapy.

496 Unresolved issues

497 Large prospective studies are needed in order to validate 498 the classification of therapeutic strategies and its usefulness 499 and applicability both in the clinical practice and in the 500 context of clinical trials. Additionally, optimal duration of 501 empirical therapy is still undefined. The true epidemiological impact of Candida spp. in peritonitis is far from 502 503 being defined and comparative studies are lacking. In this respect, studies about the PK/PD behaviour of echinocan-504 505 dins in the abdominal compartment should be performed.

506 Area 5: targeted therapy

507 Several randomised clinical trials have demonstrated the 508 efficacy of echinocandins in the treatment of candidaemia 509 [86, 120–123]. Caspofungin was shown to be as effective as 510 and less toxic than deoxycholate amphotericin B, micafungin was both as effective and less toxic than liposomal 511 512 amphotericin B in one study, and as effective as caspo-513 fungin in another study, while anidulafungin was more 514 effective than fluconazole in a study in which candidaemias 515 due to C. krusei were excluded, although the statistical 516 conclusion of superiority was criticised. As a consequence, 517 international guidelines have included echinocandins as the first choice for antifungal therapy in proven Candida 518 519 infections [12, 13, 124]. Recently, a systematic review of all randomised antifungal clinical trials in documented candi-520 daemia and deep-seated Candida disease which led to the 521 approval of the three available echinocandins showed that 522 523 the administration of an echinocandin, as compared with any other antifungal therapy, was significantly associated 524 with survival and success of therapy [120, 121, 123, 125]. 525 Survival is associated with indwelling catheter removal 526 [126]. In a previous analysis, Gafter-Gvili et al. [127] 527 528 showed a decreased mortality rate in patients with candidaemia and other invasive Candida infections treated with 529 an echinocandin in comparison with other antifungal drugs. 530 Which echinocandin should be preferred is an unresolved 531 issue. Firstly, there is no evidence for the superiority of one 532 533 echinocandin over another. There are differences in fungal minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values, liver 534 toxicity, volume of liquids infused and PK/PD parameters, 535 but no clinical study has been performed to analyse whether 536 or not these differences have clinical implications in terms 537 of efficacy or toxicity. The indications are different, with 538 caspofungin having the higher number of indications. All 539 three agents are approved for the treatment of IC in non-540 neutropaenic adults, although according to the European 541 Medicines Agency (EMA) summary of product character-542 istics, the efficacy of anidulafungin in patients with deep-543 544 seated Candida infections or intra-abdominal abscess and 545 peritonitis has not been established. A subsequent phase III exploratory study shows that these indications would also 546 be covered [128]. In addition, caspofungin and micafungin 547 548 are approved not only for non-neutropaenic but also for neutropaenic patients with candidaemia and for paediatric 549 patients (micafungin for newborns, as well). Other 550 approved indications are, only for caspofungin, salvage 551 therapy in invasive aspergillosis and empirical therapy of 552 febrile neutropaenia and, only for micafungin, prophylaxis 553 of fungal infections in the first month after hematopoietic 554 stem cell transplantation (HSCT). Probably the main 555 556 downside for all echinocandins is their lack of ocular pen-557 etration, which can be an issue, since Candida endophthalmitis can seldom be observed as a complication in 558 candidaemia. To reduce direct health care costs and impact 559 on local resistance patterns, de-escalation from echinocan-560 dins to fluconazole is advisable, if the isolated Candida 561 562 strain is fluconazole-susceptible and the patient is clinically stable [12, 120, 122, 123]. However, there is no evidence 563 about the timing of such de-escalation. The reduced in vitro 564 susceptibility to echinocandins of certain Candida strains, 565 such as C. parapsilosis and C. guilliermondii, has been 566 shown in several studies, although this finding does not 567 appear to be consistently relevant in clinical practice [86, 568 129–133]. A large study in French hospitals has shown that, 569 among patients pre-exposed to caspofungin 570 (the



Journal : Large 15010	Dispatch : 15-11-2013	Pages : 17
Article No. : 558	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : INF-D-13-00229	🖌 СЬ	🖌 DISK

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

493

494

571 echinocandin most often used in Europe), the spectrum of subsequent Candida infections shows an increasing number 572 573 of species with higher MICs to echinocandins. The use of 574 micafungin is complicated in Europe because the EMA 575 decided to put a warning related to the possible risk of 576 hepatic toxicity as observed experimentally in animal models, despite the lack of clinical demonstration that this 577 578 is really an issue in practical terms. For this reason, 579 according to the EMA, the drug should be used only in the 580 absence of any other alternative.

581 Alternatives to echinocandins and fluconazole are lipo-582 somal amphotericin B, which is also fungicidal and active against biofilm, but maintains a certain degree of renal 583 584 toxicity and is quite expensive, and voriconazole, which is 585 potentially very useful in ocular, central nervous system 586 (CNS) and bone infections, but shows several problems 587 related to possible azole acquired cross-resistance, hepatic and neurological toxicity, and drug interactions [86, 113, 588 120–123, 134–143]. The PK/PD behaviour of several drugs 589 590 in bones is suboptimal, particularly unpredictable and even 591 disappointing; it is, therefore, more relevant than in other 592 settings to consider the MIC of the isolated pathogen(s). 593 Itraconazole and posaconazole are not currently indicated, due to the lack of controlled, randomised, large-scale 594 595 clinical trials [144].

596 Recommendations

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

597 1. First-line therapy [86, 113, 120–123, 134–142]:

- All patients with isolation of a *Candida* strain from a sterile site deserve antifungal therapy.
- An echinocandin should be used as the first-line treatment in critically ill patients with IC.
- There are no data on which echinocandin should be used and the choice should be based on the respective indications of use, possibly PK/PD factors and personal experience regarding use.
- Acceptable alternatives in critically ill patients are lipid formulations of amphotericin B (especially the liposomal preparation) and, to a lesser extent, voriconazole, but not amphotericin B deoxycholate.
- In stable patients, fluconazole is an acceptable alternative, although it should be used with great caution, since the drug is not active on strains embedded in biofilms, has only fungistatic activity, is not active against *C. krusei* and is poorly active against *C. glabrata*. In addition, azole resistance in previously sensitive strains is increasing.
- 617
 617 Itraconazole and posaconazole are not currently 618 indicated.
- 619 2. Treatment in case of risk of resistance [22, 120, 125, 145, 146]:

- In patients with prior relevant exposure to an antifungal agent, a change in class, especially for azoles, should be encouraged. 623
- 3. Treatment duration [120, 122, 123]: 624
 - Patients should be treated for at least 14 days after 625 the last positive blood culture (this requires blood 626 cultures to be performed daily until negativisation). 627
 - De-escalation from an echinocandin to intravenous 628 or oral fluconazole should be encouraged when the 629 patient is clinically stable and the isolated strain is 630 susceptible to fluconazole. However, the exact 631 timing for shifting to fluconazole is basically 632 unknown and may vary from patient to patient, 633 depending on the patient- and pathogen-related 634 factors. 635
 - Treatment duration might be much longer in deepseated infections. 636
- 4. *Candida* endocarditis [83, 147]: 638
 - *Candida* endocarditis should be treated with an echinocandin (mostly caspofungin, because of the largest amount of evidence) or liposomal amphotericin B plus flucytosine.
 640
 641
 642
 - Surgical intervention and removal of intracardiac devices is certainly recommended, whenever possible. When cardiosurgery is impossible, long-term suppressive fluconazole might be an option, once clinical remission has been obtained with first-line therapy and the isolated strain is susceptible to fluconazole. 649

- 5. Ocular candidiasis [89, 148–152]:
 - 651 In Candida endophthalmitis, the preferred treatment should be voriconazole, because of its ability 652 to concentrate in the eyes, although resistance 653 problems might be considered. Liposomal ampho-654 tericin B and fluconazole (for fluconazole-sensitive 655 strains) are valid alternatives. The echinocandins 656 are contraindicated because of their poor ocular 657 penetration. 658
 - The optimal duration of treatment is unknown, but should certainly be longer (at least until the resolution of ophthalmologic signs) than in uncomplicated IC.
 662
 - In case of vitreitis, vitrectomy and intravitreous infection, deoxycholate amphotericin B should be considered.
 663 664 665
- 6. Management of intravascular catheters in IC [86, 153]: 666
 - Intravascular catheters should definitely be 667 removed in patients with documented IC. If an 668 intravenous line is indispensable, it should be 669



Journal : Large 15010	Dispatch : 15-11-2013	Pages : 17
Article No. : 558		□ TYPESET
MS Code : INF-D-13-00229	CP	🗹 disk

[🖄] Springer

670 inserted in a different vein. The timing of removal is questionable, although it seems reasonable to proceed to removal as soon as possible. 672

- In the rare instances in which the catheter cannot be removed (e.g. long-term, tunnelled catheters or in the absence of viable alternatives), an agent active against strains embedded in biofilm (echinocandin or polyene) should be preferred. Lock therapy with the same drug (in addition to intravenous therapy) might be an option, though good evidence is lacking on this issue.
- 681 7. Central nervous system [154–158]:
 - In CNS Candida infections, voriconazole or liposomal amphotericin B plus flucytosine should be first-line agents. Consider a long-term suppressive regimen (i.e. until normalisation of clinical and laboratory signs), usually with fluconazole.
- Urinary candidiasis [159, 160]: 688 8.
 - A positive culture for *Candida* in urine from a patient without a urinary catheter deserves treatment.
 - If the infection is due to a fluconazole-susceptible strain, then fluconazole should be the first choice. With fluconazole-non-susceptible strains, a liposomal preparation of amphotericin B should be used.
 - Treatment should be continued for at least 7 days in uncomplicated cystitis, but longer in pyelonephritis.
 - Patients fitted with a urinary catheter and with a positive urine culture for Candida should be carefully observed for possible systemic infection, especially in the presence of other colonisation sites. Catheter replacement should be considered, upon clinical judgement, and culture repeated.
- 706 Bone and joint infections [161–164]: 9.
- 707 Treatment of Candida bone and joint infections 708 should be based on susceptibility data (if available) and PK/PD considerations. 709
- 710 Septic arthritis should be treated for at least 711 6 weeks, while osteomyelitis and prosthetic joint 712 infections should probably require longer treat-713 ments (6-12 months).
- 714 In septic arthritis, debridement must be performed, considering the risk of long-term sequelae of 715 716 untreated arthritis.
- 717 Infected prosthetic devices should be removed, 718 whenever feasible. If removal is not feasible, 719 chronic suppressive therapy is an option.

Unresolved issues

Several areas for research are currently open. For example, 721 there is not enough information available about combina-722 723 tion therapy in severe, deep-seated infections (e.g. peritonitis) or in IC with septic shock or endocarditis. Indications 724 about the time to de-escalation to fluconazole is another 725 open issue. No information is available about posaconazole 726 and, to a lesser extent, itraconazole. The role of higher 727 dosages of echinocandins should be investigated, again in 728 the most severe infections, as well as the role of lock 729 therapy with echinocandins, particularly when the central 730 venous catheter cannot be removed; on this issue, some 731 trials have been designed [165]. CNS infections are rare, 732 but little information is available about treatment [89, 148, 733 149]. 734

Discussion

The diagnosis and management of IC is an extremely 736 complex exercise, especially in settings where the index of 737 suspicion is low. The recently published ESCMID guide-738 lines provide an excellent state-of-the-art of the existing 739 evidence in this field [13]. With this set of guidelines, we 740 offer a different perspective on several issues. 741

An innovative trait of our work is that we attempted to 742 reconcile discrepancies in the literature by developing a 743 comprehensive set of definitions of diagnostic categories 744 745 and treatment strategies. In particular, the pre-emptive definition was adopted to account for those (rare) patients 746 with positive biomarkers and no symptoms, in analogy to 747 the cytomegalovirus (CMV) setting, where the definition of 748 749 pre-emptive is based on the molecular detection of viral DNA in the absence of symptoms and signs of diseases. 750 The presumptive strategy was adopted to stress the growing 751 relevance of biomarkers as opposed to microbiological 752 isolates in the diagnosis of IC. We believe that the adoption 753 of these definitions may help to define inclusion criteria in 754 future studies and improve the comparability of results 755 from current and future studies. 756

On the other hand, we decided to have a very practical 757 approach and to avoid semantic considerations trying to 758 differentiate in practice between empirical, presumptive 759 and pre-emptive therapy: there is only one therapy for a 760 patient in which the attending physician is convinced 761 (based on clinical and microbiological considerations) that 762 a Candida infection is possible/likely or proven. 763

We aimed to stress candidaemia and IC as a hospital-764 wide issue, as opposed to an infection limited to ICU 765 and surgical patients, from where most of the literature 766 has been derived. In our view, one of the greatest 767 challenges in the management of IC is to raise awareness 768



)	Journal : Large 15010	Dispatch : 15-11-2013	Pages : 17	
	Article No. : 558	🗆 LE	□ TYPESET	
	MS Code : INF-D-13-00229	🖌 СР	🗹 disk	

735

671

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

682

683

684

685

686

687

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

787

791

801

771

769 in internal medicine wards and other situations in which 770 IC was rare in the past. Another important issue it to optimise the use of the new microbiological diagnostic 772 techniques. Once the diagnosis is suspected, further 773 management should be guided by experts in clinical 774 microbiology, infectious diseases and pharmacology, 775 abreast of the latest developments in the field. Risk 776 stratification (in terms of estimating the risk of actually 777 having IC) is extremely important when deciding whe-778 ther or not to start therapy, allowing better resource 779 allocation (high-cost diagnostics, high-cost drugs); in this 780 setting, a better stratification tool would be welcome. However, stratification in terms of clinical risk also 782 applies to the setting of targeted treatment; for instance, 783 allowing de-escalation to lower-cost drugs (e.g. fluco-784 nazole) as soon as the patient becomes clinically stable. 785 We are convinced that the BDG test should be used for 786 the identification of patients deserving early treatment (with the proviso that the local logistics ensures timely 788 results) to improve the likelihood of diagnosis. However, 789 in these times of resource constraints, we realise that not 790 all hospitals can afford the relevant expense for this test. For this reason, we believe that the clinical prediction 792 rules are also useful and can represent a reliable method 793 for making clinical decisions. We feel confident in rec-794 ommending the administration of echinocandins, but we 795 also believe that a de-escalation approach, when feasible, 796 is safe and cost-saving. The time to de-escalate is con-797 troversial and every recommendation is arbitrary, in the 798 absence of specific studies. However, we believe that the 799 10 days indication in the ESCMID guidelines is exces-800 sive and that a 72-96-h limit should be more suitable [120, 122, 123].

802 PK/PD considerations are important for making ther-803 apeutic decisions, especially when published experience 804 is missing or based on small numbers. For this reason, we strongly support the use of voriconazole for patients 805 806 with CNS or ocular infections, despite the risk of dealing with an azole-resistant strain [143]. 807

We hesitate in recommending an echocardiography 808 (especially transesophageal) in all patients with docu-809 mented IC and would prefer to limit the indication to 810 patients with persistently positive blood cultures. 811

Other limitations and difficulties that we encountered in 812 the consensus process mainly stem from the lack of high-813 quality evidence on many issues related to IC, owing to a 814 number of factors: the relative rarity of the condition, not 815 allowing large generalisable studies; wide variability in 816 diagnostic methods, definitions and inclusion criteria 817 across studies, with, for instance, likely selection bias 818 (patients in wards other than the ICU are less likely to be 819 820 correctly investigated and diagnosed), limiting betweenstudy comparisons and generalisability; suboptimal per-821 formance of the available diagnostic tools for early iden-822 tification, possibly generating a misclassification bias in 823 many studies, reducing our ability to assess the efficacy of 824 825 interventions, as in the case of empirical treatment strategy.

826 Acknowledgements We thank Federico Pea and Maurizio Sangui-827 netti for their important contributions to the discussion of the 828 recommendations.

Conflict of interest This work, including travel and meeting 829 830 expenses, was funded by an unrestricted grant by MSD Italia Srl. The 831 sponsor had no role in selecting the participants, reviewing the lit-832 erature, defining recommendations, drafting or reviewing the paper, 833 or in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. All views 834 expressed are solely those of the authors. All authors have completed the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) 835 836 form, which is available upon request.

837

Appendix

838

The ITAL	IC group				
Name	Family name	Medical specialty	Unit	Institution	City
Chiara	Adembri	Intensive Care	Unit Anestesia e Rianimazione	Azienda Ospedaliero—Universitaria Careggi	Firenze
Massimo	Antonelli	Intensive Care	General ICU and Institute of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care	Policlinico Gemelli, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore	Roma
Giacomo	Borgonovo	Surgery	Emergency Department	IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria San Martino—IST	Genova
Francesco	Bruno	Intensive Care	Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Unit 2	Ospedale Policlinico Bari	Bari
Ercole	Concia	Infectious Diseases	Anaesthesia and Intensive Care	Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli	Roma
Francesco	Cristini	Infectious Diseases	Clinic of Infectious Diseases	Policlinico Sant Orsola-Malpighi	Bologna

🖉 Springer



	Journal : Large 15010	Dispatch : 15-11-2013	Pages : 17	
	Article No. : 558	□ LE	□ TYPESET	
<u> </u>	MS Code : INF-D-13-00229	🖌 СР	🖌 disk	

Name	Family name	Medical specialty	Unit	Institution	City
Francesco	De Rosa	Infectious Diseases	Clinic of Infectious Diseases	University of Torino	Torino
Valerio	Del Bono	Infectious Diseases	Clinic of Infectious Diseases	IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria San Martino—IST	Genova
Vincenzo	Emmi	Intensive Care	Intensive Care Unit 1	Fondazione IRCCS, Policlinico San Matteo	Pavia
Silvano	Esposito	Infectious Diseases	Clinic of Infectious Diseases	Department of Medicine, Università degli studi di Salerno	Salerno
Roberto	Fumagalli	Intensive Care		Ospedale Niguarda Ca' Granda	Milano
Massimo	Girardis	Intensive Care	Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Unit 1	Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia	Modena
Paolo	Grossi	Infectious Diseases	Clinic of Infectious and Tropical Diseases	Azienda Ospedaliero—Universitaria Ospedale di Circolo—Fondazione Macchi	Varese
Roberto	Luzzati	Infectious Diseases	Clinic of Infectious Diseases	Azienda Ospedaliera—Universitaria 'Ospedali Riuniti' di Trieste	Trieste
Paolo	Malacarne	Intensive Care	Intensive Care Unit	P.S. Azienda Ospedaliero—Universitaria Pisana	Pisa
Daniela	Pasero	Intensive Care	Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Unit 1	AOU San Giovanni Battista	Torino
Paolo	Pelosi	Intensive Care	Intensive Care	Department of Surgical Sciences and Integrated Diagnostics, University of Genoa	Genova
Nicola	Petrosillo	Infectious Diseases	Clinic of Infectious Diseases	IRCCS Istituto Nazionale per le Malattie Infettive "L. Spallanzani", IRCCS	Roma
Massimo	Sartelli	Surgery	General Surgery Unit	Asur Regione Marche—Zona Territoriale no. 9	Macerata
Gabriele	Sganga	Surgery	Surgery	Università Cattolica S. Cuore Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli	Roma
Liana	Signorini	Infectious Diseases	Clinic of Infectious Diseases	Spedali Civili di Brescia	Brescia
Carlo	Tascini	Infectious Diseases	Infectious Diseases Unit	Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Pisana	Pisa
Romano	Tetamo	Intensive Care	Emergency Department	ARNAS Civico, Di Cristina, Benfratelli	Palermo
Mario	Tumbarello	Infectious Diseases	Infectious Diseases Institute	Policlinico Gemelli, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore	Roma
Mario	Venditti	Infectious Diseases	Department of infectious Diseases	University Hospital Umberto I	Roma

References

- 846 840 842
- 843

846

847

848

849

850

- 844 845
- 1. Kollef M, Micek S, Hampton N, Doherty JA, Kumar A. Septic shock attributed to Candida infection: importance of empiric therapy and source control. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;54:1739-46. doi:10.1093/cid/cis305.
 - 2. Bassetti M, Taramasso L, Nicco E, Molinari MP, Mussap M, Viscoli C. Epidemiology, species distribution, antifungal susceptibility and outcome of nosocomial candidemia in a tertiary care hospital in Italy. PLoS One. 2011;6:e24198. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0024198.
- 851 3. Pfaller MA, Jones RN, Doern GV, Sader HS, Hollis RJ, Messer 852 SA. International surveillance of bloodstream infections due to 853 Candida species: frequency of occurrence and antifungal sus-854 ceptibilities of isolates collected in 1997 in the United States,

855 Canada, and South America for the SENTRY Program. The 856 SENTRY Participant Group. J Clin Microbiol. 1998;36:1886-9.

- 857 4. Weinstein MP, Towns ML, Quartey SM, Mirrett S, Reimer LG, 858 Parmigiani G, et al. The clinical significance of positive blood 859 cultures in the 1990s: a prospective comprehensive evaluation of 860 the microbiology, epidemiology, and outcome of bacteremia and 861 fungemia in adults. Clin Infect Dis. 1997;24:584-602. 862
- 5. Edmond MB, Wallace SE, McClish DK, Pfaller MA, Jones RN, Wenzel RP. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in United States hospitals: a three-year analysis. Clin Infect Dis. 1999;29:239-44. doi:10.1086/520192.
- 866 6. Nguyen MH, Peacock JE Jr, Morris AJ, Tanner DC, Nguyen 867 ML, Snydman DR, et al. The changing face of candidemia: 868 emergence of non-Candida albicans species and antifungal resistance. Am J Med. 1996;100:617-23 (pii:S0002934395000 869 870 100).

1	Journal : Large 15010	Dispatch : 15-11-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 558	□ LE	□ TYPESET
	MS Code : INF-D-13-00229	🖌 СР	🖌 DISK

863

864

942

952

953

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

992

993

994

995

Prool

Author

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

- 7. Rocco TR, Reinert SE, Simms HH. Effects of fluconazole administration in critically ill patients: analysis of bacterial and fungal resistance. Arch Surg. 2000;135:160-5.
- 8. Bassetti M, Righi E, Costa A, Fasce R, Molinari MP, Rosso R, et al. Epidemiological trends in nosocomial candidemia in intensive care. BMC Infect Dis. 2006;6:21. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-6-21
- 9. Morrell M, Fraser VJ, Kollef MH. Delaying the empiric treatment of candida bloodstream infection until positive blood culture results are obtained: a potential risk factor for hospital mortality. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005;49:3640-5. doi:10.1128/AAC.49.9.3640-3645.2005.
- 10. Garey KW, Rege M, Pai MP, Mingo DE, Suda KJ, Turpin RS, et al. Time to initiation of fluconazole therapy impacts mortality in patients with candidemia: a multi-institutional study. Clin Infect Dis. 2006;43:25-31. doi:10.1086/504810.
- 11. Hsu DI, Nguyen M, Nguyen L, Law A, Wong-Beringer A. A multicentre study to evaluate the impact of timing of caspofungin administration on outcomes of invasive candidiasis in non-immunocompromised adult patients. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2010;65:1765-70. doi:10.1093/jac/dkg216.
- 12. Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes D, Benjamin DK Jr, Calandra TF, Edwards JE Jr, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of candidiasis: 2009 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis. 2009;48:503-35. doi:10.1086/596757.
- 13. Cornely OA, Bassetti M, Calandra T, Garbino J, Kullberg BJ, Lortholary O, et al. ESCMID* guideline for the diagnosis and management of Candida diseases 2012: non-neutropenic adult patients. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2013;18:19-37. doi:10.1111/ 1469-0691.12039.
- 14. Clancy CJ, Nguyen MH. Finding the "missing 50%" of invasive candidiasis: how nonculture diagnostics will improve understanding of disease spectrum and transform patient care. Clin Infect Dis. 2013;56:1284-1292. doi:10.1093/cid/cit006.
- 15. Boulkedid R, Abdoul H, Loustau M, Sibony O, Alberti C. Using and reporting the Delphi method for selecting healthcare quality indicators: a systematic review. PLoS One. 2011;6:e20476. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020476.
- 16. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ. 2008;336:924-6. doi:10.1136/bmj.39489.470347.AD.
- 17. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Vist GE, Falck-Ytter Y, Schünemann HJ. What is "quality of evidence" and why is it important to clinicians? BMJ. 2008;336:995-8. doi:10.1136/ bmj.39490.551019.BE.
- 18. Jaeschke R, Guyatt GH, Dellinger P, Schünemann H, Levy MM, Kunz R, et al. Use of GRADE grid to reach decisions on clinical practice guidelines when consensus is elusive. BMJ. 2008;337: a744.
- 19. Schünemann HJ, Oxman AD, Brozek J, Glasziou P, Jaeschke R, Vist GE, et al. Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations for diagnostic tests and strategies. BMJ. 2008;336:1106-10. doi:10.1136/bmj.39500.677199.AE.
- 20. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Vist GE, Liberati A, et al. Going from evidence to recommendations. BMJ. 2008;336:1049-51. doi:10.1136/bmj.39493.646875.AE.
- 21. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). The guidelines manual. Last updated January 2009.
- 931 22. Lortholary O, Desnos-Ollivier M, Sitbon K, Fontanet A, Bre-932 tagne S, Dromer F. Recent exposure to caspofungin or fluco-933 nazole influences the epidemiology of candidemia: a prospective 934 multicenter study involving 2,441 patients. Antimicrob Agents 935 Chemother. 2011;55:532-8. doi:10.1128/AAC.01128-10.

- 936 23. Dannaoui E, Desnos-Ollivier M, Garcia-Hermoso D, Grenouillet 937 F, Cassaing S, Baixench MT, et al. Candida spp. with acquired 938 echinocandin resistance, France, 2004-2010. Emerg Infect Dis. 939 2012;18:86-90. doi:10.3201/eid1801.110556. 940
- 24. Viale P. Candida colonization and candiduria in critically ill patients in the intensive care unit. Drugs. 2009;69:51-7. doi:10. 2165/11315640-000000000-00000.
- 943 25. Ben-Ami R, Weinberger M, Orni-Wasserlauff R, Schwartz D, 944 Itzhaki A, Lazarovitch T, et al. Time to blood culture positivity 945 as a marker for catheter-related candidemia. J Clin Microbiol. 946 2008;46:2222-6. doi:10.1128/JCM.00214-08.
- 947 26. American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical Care. 948 Medicine consensus conference: definitions for sepsis and organ 949 failure and guidelines for the use of innovative therapies in 950 sepsis. Crit Care Med. 1992;20:864-74. 951
- 27. Pittet D, Monod M, Suter PM, Frenk E, Auckenthaler R. Candida colonization and subsequent infections in critically ill surgical patients. Ann Surg. 1994;220:751-8.
- 954 28. Paphitou NI, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Rex JH. Rules for identifying 955 patients at increased risk for candidal infections in the surgical 956 intensive care unit: approach to developing practical criteria for 957 systematic use in antifungal prophylaxis trials. Med Mycol. 958 2005;43:235-43. 959
- 29. Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Sable C, Sobel J, Alexander BD, Dono-960 witz G, Kan V, et al. Multicenter retrospective development and 961 validation of a clinical prediction rule for nosocomial invasive candidiasis in the intensive care setting. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2007;26:271-6. doi:10.1007/s10096-007-0270-z.
- 30. León C, Ruiz-Santana S, Saavedra P, Almirante B, Nolla-Salas J. Alvarez-Lerma F, et al. A bedside scoring system ("Candida score") for early antifungal treatment in nonneutropenic critically ill patients with Candida colonization. Crit Care Med. 2006;34:730-7. doi:10.1097/01.CCM.0000202208.37364.7D.
- 31. León C, Ruiz-Santana S, Saavedra P, Galván B, Blanco A, Castro C, et al. Usefulness of the "Candida score" for discriminating between Candida colonization and invasive candidiasis in non-neutropenic critically ill patients: a prospective multicenter study. Crit Care Med. 2009;37:1624-33. doi:10. 1097/CCM.0b013e31819daa14.
- 32. Eggimann P, Ostrosky-Zeichner L. Early antifungal intervention strategies in ICU patients. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2010;16:465-9. doi:10.1097/MCC.0b013e32833e0487.
- 33. Posteraro B, De Pascale G, Tumbarello M, Torelli R, Pennisi MA, Bello G, et al. Early diagnosis of candidemia in intensive care unit patients with sepsis: a prospective comparison of $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -beta-D-glucan assay, Candida score, and colonization index. Crit Care. 2011;15:R249. doi:10.1186/cc10507.
- 34. Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Pappas PG, Shoham S, Reboli A, Barron MA, Sims C, et al. Improvement of a clinical prediction rule for clinical trials on prophylaxis for invasive candidiasis in the intensive care unit. Mycoses. 2009;54:46-51. doi:10.1111/j. 1439-0507.2009.01756.x.
- 35. Playford EG, Lipman J, Sorrell TC, Prophylaxis, empirical and 988 989 preemptive treatment of invasive candidiasis. Curr Opin Crit 990 Care. 2010;16:470-4. doi:10.1097/MCC.0b013e32833e10e8. 991
- 36. Hermsen ED, Zapapas MK, Maiefski M, Rupp ME, Freifeld AG, Kalil AC. Validation and comparison of clinical prediction rules for invasive candidiasis in intensive care unit patients: a matched case-control study. Crit Care. 2011;15:R198. doi:10. 1186/cc10366.
- 37. Leroy O, Gangneux JP, Montravers P, Mira JP, Gouin F, Sollet JP, 996 997 et al. Epidemiology, management, and risk factors for death of 998 invasive Candida infections in critical care: a multicenter, pro-999 spective, observational study in France (2005-2006). Crit Care 1000 Med. 2009;37:1612-8. doi:10.1097/CCM.0b013e31819efac0.

🖉 Springer



	Journal : Large 15010	Dispatch : 15-11-2013	Pages : 17	
	Article No. : 558	□ LE	□ TYPESET	
Ť	MS Code : INF-D-13-00229	🖌 СР	🗹 DISK	

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1061

1001

1002

- 38. Charles PE, Castro C, Ruiz-Santana S, León C, Saavedra P, Martín E. Serum procalcitonin levels in critically ill patients colonized with Candida spp.: new clues for the early recognition of invasive candidiasis? Intensive Care Med. 2009;35:2146-50. doi:10.1007/s00134-009-1623-0.
- 39. Berenguer J, Buck M, Witebsky F, Stock F, Pizzo PA, Walsh TJ. Lysis-centrifugation blood cultures in the detection of tissueproven invasive candidiasis. Disseminated versus single-organ infection. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 1993;17:103-9 (pii:0732-8893(93)90020-8).
- 40. Ellis M, Al-Ramadi B, Bernsen R, Kristensen J, Alizadeh H, Hedstrom U Prospective evaluation of mannan and anti-mannan antibodies for diagnosis of invasive Candida infections in patients with neutropenic fever. J Med Microbiol. 2009;58: 606-15. doi:10.1099/jmm.0.006452-0.
 - 41. Mikulska M, Calandra T, Sanguinetti M, Poulain D, Viscoli C. The use of mannan antigen and anti-mannan antibodies in the diagnosis of invasive candidiasis: recommendations from the Third European Conference on Infections in Leukemia. Crit Care. 2010;14:R222. doi:10.1186/cc9365.
 - 42. Tokunaga S, Ohkawa M, Takashima M. Diagnostic value of determination of serum mannan concentrations in patients with candiduria. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 1993;12:542-5.
 - 43. Verduyn Lunel FM, Donnelly JP, van der Lee HA, Blijlevens NM, Verweij PE. Circulating Candida-specific anti-mannan antibodies precede invasive candidiasis in patients undergoing myelo-ablative chemotherapy. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2009;15:380-6. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2008.02654.x.
 - 44. Arendrup MC, Bergmann OJ, Larsson L, Nielsen HV, Jarløv JO, Christensson B. Detection of candidaemia in patients with and without underlying haematological disease. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2009;16:855-62. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02931.x.
 - 45. Hsu JL, Ruoss SJ, Bower ND, Lin M, Holodniy M, Stevens DA. Diagnosing invasive fungal disease in critically ill patients. Crit Rev Microbiol. 2011;37:277-312. doi:10.3109/1040841X.2011. 581223.
- 46. Laín A, Elguezabal N, Moragues MD, García-Ruiz JC, del Palacio A, Pontón J. Contribution of serum biomarkers to the diagnosis of invasive candidiasis. Expert Rev Mol Diagn. 2008;8:315-25. doi:10.1586/14737159.8.3.315.
- 47. Preuner S, Lion T. Towards molecular diagnostics of invasive fungal infections. Expert Rev Mol Diagn. 2009;9:397-401. doi:10.1586/erm.09.27.
- 48. Obayashi T, Negishi K, Suzuki T, Funata N. Reappraisal of the serum $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -beta-D-glucan assay for the diagnosis of invasive fungal infections-a study based on autopsy cases from 6 years. Clin Infect Dis. 2008;46:1864-70. doi:10.1086/588295.
- 49. Odabasi Z, Mattiuzzi G, Estey E, Kantarjian H, Saeki F, Ridge RJ, et al. Beta-D-glucan as a diagnostic adjunct for invasive fungal infections: validation, cutoff development, and performance in patients with acute myelogenous leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome. Clin Infect Dis. 2004;39:199-205. doi:10.1086/421944.
- 1054 50. Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Alexander BD, Kett DH, Vazquez J, 1055 Pappas PG, Saeki F, et al. Multicenter clinical evaluation of the 1056 $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ beta-D-glucan assay as an aid to diagnosis of fungal 1057 infections in humans. Clin Infect Dis. 2005;41:654-9. doi:10. 1058 1086/432470. 1059
- 51. Perlin DS. Antifungal drug resistance: do molecular methods 1060 provide a way forward? Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2009;22:568-73. doi:10.1097/QCO.0b013e3283321ce5.
- 1062 52. Kourkoumpetis TK, Fuchs BB, Coleman JJ, Desalermos A, 1063 Mylonakis E. Polymerase chain reaction-based assays for the 1064 diagnosis of invasive fungal infections. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;54: 1065 1322-31. doi:10.1093/cid/cis132.

1066 53. Avni T, Leibovici L, Paul M. PCR diagnosis of invasive can-1067 didiasis: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Microbiol. 2011;49:665-70. doi:10.1128/JCM.01602-10. 1068 1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

- 54. Meersseman W, Lagrou K, Spriet I, Maertens J, Verbeken E, Peetermans WE, et al. Significance of the isolation of Candida species from airway samples in critically ill patients: a prospective, autopsy study. Intensive Care Med. 2009;35:1526-31. doi:10.1007/s00134-009-1482-8.
- 55. Gadea I, Cuenca-Estrella M, Martín E, Pemán J, Pontón J, Rodríguez-Tudela JL. Microbiological procedures for diagnosing mycoses and for antifungal susceptibility testing. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin. 2007;25:336-40; 13102270 [pii].
- 56. Richardson M, Ellis M. Clinical and laboratory diagnosis. Hosp Med. 2000;61:610-4.
- 57. Ruhnke M, Rickerts V, Cornely OA, Buchheidt D, Glöckner A, Heinz W, et al. Diagnosis and therapy of Candida infections: joint recommendations of the German Speaking Mycological Society and the Paul-Ehrlich-Society for Chemotherapy. Mycoses. 2011;54:279-310. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0507.2011.02040.x.
- 58. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Principles and procedures for blood cultures; Approved guideline. CLSI document M47-A. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2007.
- 59. Lee A, Mirrett S, Reller LB, Weinstein MP. Detection of bloodstream infections in adults: how many blood cultures are needed? J Clin Microbiol. 2007;45:3546-8. doi:10.1128/JCM. 01555-07.
- 60. Washington JA 2nd. Blood cultures: principles and techniques. Mayo Clin Proc. 1975;50:91-8.
- 61. Cockerill FR 3rd, Wilson JW, Vetter EA, Goodman KM, Torgerson CA, Harmsen WS, et al. Optimal testing parameters for blood cultures. Clin Infect Dis. 2004;38:1724-30. doi:10. 1086/421087.
- 62. Mermel LA, Allon M, Bouza E, Craven DE, Flynn P, O'Grady NP, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of intravascular catheter-related infection: 2009 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis. 2009;49:1-45. doi:10.1086/599376.
- 63. Karageorgopoulos DE, Vouloumanou EK, Ntziora F, Michalopoulos A, Rafailidis PI, Falagas ME. Beta-D-glucan assay for the diagnosis of invasive fungal infections: a meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;52:750-70. doi:10.1093/cid/ciq206.
- 64. Persat F, Ranque S, Derouin F, Michel-Nguyen A, Picot S, Sulahian A. Contribution of the $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -beta-D-glucan assay for diagnosis of invasive fungal infections. J Clin Microbiol. 2008;46:1009-13. doi:10.1128/JCM.02091-07.
- 65. Del Bono V, Delfino E, Furfaro E, Mikulska M, Nicco E, Bruzzi P, et al. Clinical performance of the (1,3)-beta-D-glucan assay in early diagnosis of nosocomial Candida bloodstream infections. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2011;18:2113-7. doi:10.1128/CVI. 05408-11.
- 66. Eggimann P, Marchetti O. Is $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -beta-D-glucan the missing link from bedside assessment to pre-emptive therapy of invasive candidiasis? Crit Care. 2011:15:1017. doi:10.1186/cc10544.
- 67. Presterl E, Parschalk B, Bauer E, Lassnigg A, Hajdu S, Graninger W. Invasive fungal infections and (1,3)-beta-D-glucan serum concentrations in long-term intensive care patients. Int J Infect Dis. 2009;13:707-12. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2008.10.013.
- 68. Digby J, Kalbfleisch J, Glenn A, Larsen A, Browder W, Williams D. Serum glucan levels are not specific for presence of fungal infections in intensive care unit patients. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol. 2003;10:882-5.
- 69. Pickering JW, Sant HW, Bowles CA, Roberts WL, Woods GL. Evaluation of a $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -beta-D-glucan assay for diagnosis of invasive fungal infections. J Clin Microbiol. 2005;43:5957-62. doi:10.1128/JCM.43.12.5957-5962.2005.



•	Journal : Large 15010	Dispatch : 15-11-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 558	□ LE	□ TYPESET
	MS Code : INF-D-13-00229	🖌 СР	🖌 DISK

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208 1209

1210

1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1218

1219

1220

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1241

1242

1243

1244

1245

1246

1247

1248

1249 1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

- 70. Takesue Y, Kakehashi M, Ohge H, Imamura Y, Murakami Y, Sasaki M, et al. Combined assessment of beta-D-glucan and degree of candida colonization before starting empiric therapy for candidiasis in surgical patients. World J Surg. 2004;28: 625–30. doi:10.1007/s00268-004-7302-y.
- 71. Mohr JF, Sims C, Paetznick V, Rodriguez J, Finkelman MA, Rex JH, et al. Prospective survey of $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -beta-D-glucan and its relationship to invasive candidiasis in the surgical intensive care unit setting. J Clin Microbiol. 2011;49:58–61. doi:10.1128/ JCM.01240-10.
- 72. Koo S, Bryar JM, Page JH, Baden LR, Marty FM. Diagnostic performance of the $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -beta-D-glucan assay for invasive fungal disease. Clin Infect Dis. 2009;49:1650–9. doi:10.1086/647942.
- 73. Acosta J, Catalan M, Del Palacio-Pérez-Medel A, Montejo JC, De-La-Cruz-Bértolo J, Moragues MD, et al. Prospective study in critically ill non-neutropenic patients: diagnostic potential of (1,3)-beta-D-glucan assay and circulating galactomannan for the diagnosis of invasive fungal disease. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2011;31:721–31. doi:10.1007/s10096-011-1365-0.
- 74. Lamoth F, Cruciani M, Mengoli C, Castagnola E, Lortholary O, Richardson M, et al. beta-Glucan antigenemia assay for the diagnosis of invasive fungal infections in patients with hematological malignancies: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies from the Third European Conference on Infections in Leukemia (ECIL-3). Clin Infect Dis. 2012;54:633– 43. doi:10.1093/cid/cir897.
- 75. Nguyen MH, Wissel MC, Shields RK, Salomoni MA, Hao B, Press EG, et al. Performance of Candida real-time polymerase chain reaction, beta-D-glucan assay, and blood cultures in the diagnosis of invasive candidiasis. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;54: 1240–8. doi:10.1093/cid/cis200.
- 76. Mokaddas E, Khan ZU, Ahmad S, Nampoory MR, Burhamah M. Value of (1–3)-beta-D-glucan, Candida mannan and Candida DNA detection in the diagnosis of candidaemia. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2011;17:1549–53. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03608.x.
- 77. Prella M, Bille J, Pugnale M, Duvoisin B, Cavassini M, Calandra T, et al. Early diagnosis of invasive candidiasis with mannan antigenemia and antimannan antibodies. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2005;51:95–101. doi:10.1016/j.diagmicrobio. 2004.08.015.
- 78. Sendid B, Poirot JL, Tabouret M, Bonnin A, Caillot D, Camus D, et al. Combined detection of mannanaemia and antimannan antibodies as a strategy for the diagnosis of systemic infection caused by pathogenic Candida species. J Med Microbiol. 2002;51:433–42.
- 79. Nihtinen A, Anttila VJ, Richardson M, Ruutu T, Juvonen E, Meri T, et al. Factors influencing the performance level of Candida mannan antigen testing in allogeneic stem cell transplant recipients not receiving fluconazole prophylaxis. Transpl Infect Dis. 2011;13:266–72. doi:10.1111/j.1399-3062.2010. 00593.x.
- 80. Maaroufi Y, Heymans C, De Bruyne JM, Duchateau V, Rodriguez-Villalobos H, Aoun M, et al. Rapid detection of Candida albicans in clinical blood samples by using a TaqMan-based PCR assay. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41:3293–8.
- 81. Moreira-Oliveira MS, Mikami Y, Miyaji M, Imai T, Schreiber AZ, Moretti ML. Diagnosis of candidemia by polymerase chain reaction and blood culture: prospective study in a high-risk population and identification of variables associated with development of candidemia. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2005;24:721–6. doi:10.1007/s10096-005-0041-7.
- 1193
 1194
 1194
 1195
 1195
 1196
 2008.01980.x.

- 83. Falcone M, Barzaghi N, Carosi G, Grossi P, Minoli L, Ravasio V, et al. Candida infective endocarditis: report of 15 cases from a prospective multicenter study. Medicine (Baltimore). 2009;88:160–8. doi:10.1097/MD.0b013e3181a693f8.
 84. Shoham S, Shaffer R, Sweet L, Cooke R, Donegan N, Boyce S (1201)
- 84. Shoham S, Shaffer R, Sweet L, Cooke R, Donegan N, Boyce S. Candidemia in patients with ventricular assist devices. Clin Infect Dis. 2007;44:e9–12. doi:10.1086/509640.
- Pierrotti LC, Baddour LM. Fungal endocarditis, 1995–2000. Chest. 2002;122:302–10.
- 86. Andes DR, Safdar N, Baddley JW, Playford G, Reboli AC, Rex JH, et al. Impact of treatment strategy on outcomes in patients with candidemia and other forms of invasive candidiasis: a patient-level quantitative review of randomized trials. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;54:1110–22. doi:10.1093/cid/cis021.
- 87. Rodríguez-Adrián LJ, King RT, Tamayo-Derat LG, Miller JW, Garcia CA, Rex JH. Retinal lesions as clues to disseminated bacterial and candidal infections: frequency, natural history, and etiology. Medicine (Baltimore). 2003;82:187–202. doi:10.1097/ 01.md.0000076008.64510.f1.
- Parke DW 2nd, Jones DB, Gentry LO. Endogenous endophthalmitis among patients with candidemia. Ophthalmology. 1982;89:789–96.
- Oude Lashof AM, Rothova A, Sobel JD, Ruhnke M, Pappas PG, Viscoli C, et al. Ocular manifestations of candidemia. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;53:262–8. doi:10.1093/cid/cir355.
- Nagao M, Saito T, Doi S, Hotta G, Yamamoto M, Matsumura Y, et al. Clinical characteristics and risk factors of ocular candidiasis. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2012;73:149–52. doi:10. 1016/j.diagmicrobio.2012.03.006.
- 91. Koo S, Baden LR, Marty FM. Post-diagnostic kinetics of the $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -beta-D-glucan assay in invasive aspergillosis, invasive candidiasis and Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012;18:E122–7. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691. 2012.03777.x.
- 92. Jaijakul S, Vazquez JA, Swanson RN, Ostrosky-Zeichner L. (1,3)-beta-D-Glucan as a prognostic marker of treatment response in invasive candidiasis. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;55: 521–6. doi:10.1093/cid/cis456.
- 93. Pazos C, Moragues MD, Quindós G, Pontón J, del Palacio A. Diagnostic potential of (1,3)-beta-D-glucan and anti-Candida albicans germ tube antibodies for the diagnosis and therapeutic monitoring of invasive candidiasis in neutropenic adult patients. Rev Iberoam Micol. 2006;23:209–15 (pii:200623209).
- 94. Eggimann P, Francioli P, Bille J, Schneider R, Wu MM, Chapuis G, et al. Fluconazole prophylaxis prevents intra-abdominal candidiasis in high-risk surgical patients. Crit Care Med. 1999;27:1066–72.
- 95. Garbino J, Lew DP, Romand JA, Hugonnet S, Auckenthaler R, Pittet D. Prevention of severe Candida infections in nonneutropenic, high-risk, critically ill patients: a randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial in patients treated by selective digestive decontamination. Intensive Care Med. 2002;28:1708– 17. doi:10.1007/s00134-002-1540-y.
- 96. Shorr AF, Chung K, Jackson WL, Waterman PE, Kollef MH. Fluconazole prophylaxis in critically ill surgical patients: a meta-analysis. Crit Care Med. 2005;33:1928–35; quiz 36 (pii:00003246-200509000-00005).
- Eggimann P, Garbino J, Pittet D. Epidemiology of Candida species infections in critically ill non-immunosuppressed patients. Lancet Infect Dis. 2003;3:685–702 (pii:S1473309903008016).
- Rex JH, Sobel JD. Prophylactic antifungal therapy in the intensive care unit. Clin Infect Dis. 2001;32:1191–200. doi:10. 1086/319763.
- 99. McKinnon PS, Goff DA, Kern JW, Devlin JW, Barletta JF, Sierawski SJ, et al. Temporal assessment of Candida risk factors

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177

1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1184

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

🖄 Springer



2	Journal : Large 15010	Dispatch : 15-11-2013	Pages : 17	
	Article No. : 558	□ LE	□ TYPESET	
-	MS Code : INF-D-13-00229	🖌 СР	🖌 disk	

1293

1294

1295

1296

1297

1298

1299

1300

1301

1302

1307

in the surgical intensive care unit. Arch Surg. 2001;136:1401-8; discussion 9 (pii:soa1025).

- 100. Blumberg HM, Jarvis WR, Soucie JM, Edwards JE, Patterson JE, Pfaller MA, et al. Risk factors for candidal bloodstream infections in surgical intensive care unit patients: the NEMIS prospective multicenter study. The National Epidemiology of Mycosis Survey. Clin Infect Dis. 2001;33:177-86. doi:10.1086/ 321811.
- 101. Playford EG, Webster AC, Sorrell TC, Craig JC. Antifungal agents for preventing fungal infections in non-neutropenic critically ill and surgical patients: systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized clinical trials. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2006;57:628-38. doi:10.1093/jac/dki491.
- 102. Pelz RK, Hendrix CW, Swoboda SM, Diener-West M, Merz WG, Hammond J, et al. Double-blind placebo-controlled trial of fluconazole to prevent candidal infections in critically ill surgical patients. Ann Surg. 2001;233:542-8.
- 103. Faiz S, Neale B, Rios E, Campos T, Parsley E, Patel B, et al. Risk-based fluconazole prophylaxis of Candida bloodstream infection in a medical intensive care unit. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2009;28:689-92. doi:10.1007/s10096-008-0666-4.
- 104. Vardakas KZ, Samonis G, Michalopoulos A, Soteriades ES, Falagas ME. Antifungal prophylaxis with azoles in high-risk, surgical intensive care unit patients: a meta-analysis of ranplacebo-controlled trials. Crit Care domized Med. 2006;34:1216-24. doi:10.1097/01.CCM.0000208357.05675.C3.
- 105. Treweek S, Zwarenstein M. Making trials matter: pragmatic and explanatory trials and the problem of applicability. Trials. 2009;10:37. doi:10.1186/1745-6215-10-37.
- 106. Schuster MG, Edwards JE Jr, Sobel JD, Darouiche RO, Karchmer AW, Hadley S, et al. Empirical fluconazole versus placebo for intensive care unit patients: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2008;149:83-90; 149/2/83 [pii].
- 107. Parkins MD, Sabuda DM, Elsayed S, Laupland KB. Adequacy of empirical antifungal therapy and effect on outcome among patients with invasive Candida species infections. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2007;60:613-8. doi:10.1093/jac/dkm212.
- 108. Kumar A, Ellis P, Arabi Y, Roberts D, Light B, Parrillo JE, et al. Initiation of inappropriate antimicrobial therapy results in a fivefold reduction of survival in human septic shock. Chest. 2009;136:1237-48. doi:10.1378/chest.09-0087.
- 1303 109. Taur Y, Cohen N, Dubnow S, Paskovaty A, Seo SK. Effect of 1304 antifungal therapy timing on mortality in cancer patients with 1305 candidemia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2010;54:184-90. 1306 doi:10.1128/AAC.00945-09.
- 110. Montravers P, Dupont H, Gauzit R, Veber B, Auboyer C, Blin P, 1308 et al. Candida as a risk factor for mortality in peritonitis. Crit 1309 Care Med. 2006;34:646-52. doi:10.1097/01.CCM.0000201889. 1310 39443 D2
- 1311 111. Montravers P, Mira JP, Gangneux JP, Leroy O, Lortholary O. A 1312 multicentre study of antifungal strategies and outcome of Can-1313 dida spp. peritonitis in intensive-care units. Clin Microbiol 1314 Infect. 2011;17:1061-7. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2010.03360.x.
- 1315 112. Karthaus M, Rüping MJ, Cornely OA, Steinbach A, Groll AH, 1316 Lass-Flörl C, et al. Current issues in the clinical management of 1317 invasive candida infections-the AGIHO, DMykG, ÖGMM and 1318 PEG web-based survey and expert consensus conference 2009. 1319 Mycoses. 2011;54:e546–56. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0507.2010. 1320 01988.x.
- 1321 113. Shah DN, Yau R, Weston J, Lasco TM, Salazar M, Palmer HR, 1322 et al. Evaluation of antifungal therapy in patients with candi-1323 daemia based on susceptibility testing results: implications for 1324 antimicrobial stewardship programmes. J Antimicrob Chemo-1325 ther. 2011;66:2146-51. doi:10.1093/jac/dkr244.
- 1326 114. Kourkoumpetis TK, Velmahos GC, Ziakas PD, Tampakakis E, 1327 Manolakaki D, Coleman JJ, et al. The effect of cumulative

length of hospital stay on the antifungal resistance of Candida strains isolated from critically ill surgical patients. Mycopathologia. 2011;171:85-91. doi:10.1007/s11046-010-9369-3.

1328

1329

1330

1331

1332

1333

1334

1335

1336

1337

1338

1339

1340

1341

1342

1343

1344

1345

1346

1347

1348

1349

1350

1351

1352

1353

1354

1355

1356

1357

1358

1359

1360

1361

1362

1363

1364

1365

1366

1367

1368

1369

1370

1371

1372

1373

1374

1375

1376

1377

1378

1379

1380

1381

1382

1383

1384

1385

1386

1387

- 115. Shah DN, Yau R, Lasco TM, Weston J, Salazar M, Palmer HR, et al. Impact of prior inappropriate fluconazole dosing on isolation of fluconazole-nonsusceptible Candida species in hospitalized patients with candidemia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56:3239-43. doi:10.1128/AAC.00019-12.
- 116. Ha YE, Peck KR, Joo EJ, Kim SW, Jung SI, Chang HH, et al. Impact of first-line antifungal agents on the outcomes and costs of candidemia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56:3950-6. doi:10.1128/AAC.06258-11.
- 117. Ben-Ami R, Olshtain-Pops K, Krieger M, Oren I, Bishara J, Dan M, et al. Antibiotic exposure as a risk factor for fluconazoleresistant Candida bloodstream infection. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56:2518-23. doi:10.1128/AAC.05947-11.
- 118. Tortorano AM, Prigitano A, Dho G, Grancini A, Passera M. Antifungal susceptibility profiles of Candida isolates from a prospective survey of invasive fungal infections in Italian intensive care units. J Med Microbiol. 2012;61:389-93. doi:10. 1099/imm.0.037895-0.
- 119. van der Voort PH, Boerma EC, Yska JP. Serum and intraperitoneal levels of amphotericin B and flucytosine during intravenous treatment of critically ill patients with Candida peritonitis. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2007;59:952-6. doi:10.1093/jac/ dkm074.
- 120. Mora-Duarte J, Betts R, Rotstein C, Colombo AL, Thompson-Moya L, Smietana J, et al. Comparison of caspofungin and amphotericin B for invasive candidiasis. N Engl J Med. 2002:347:2020-9. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa021585.
- 121. Kuse ER, Chetchotisakd P, da Cunha CA, Ruhnke M, Barrios C, Raghunadharao D, et al. Micafungin versus liposomal amphotericin B for candidaemia and invasive candidosis: a phase III randomised double-blind trial. Lancet. 2007;369:1519-27. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60605-9.
- 122. Reboli AC, Shorr AF, Rotstein C, Pappas PG, Kett DH, Schlamm HT, et al. Anidulafungin compared with fluconazole for treatment of candidemia and other forms of invasive candidiasis caused by Candida albicans: a multivariate analysis of factors associated with improved outcome. BMC Infect Dis. 2011;11:261. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-11-261.
- 123. Pappas PG, Rotstein CM, Betts RF, Nucci M, Talwar D, De Waele JJ, et al. Micafungin versus caspofungin for treatment of candidemia and other forms of invasive candidiasis. Clin Infect Dis. 2007;45:883-93. doi:10.1086/520980.
- 124. Glöckner A. Treatment and prophylaxis of invasive candidiasis with anidulafungin, caspofungin and micafungin: review of the literature. Eur J Med Res. 2011;16:167-79.
- 125. Reboli AC, Rotstein C, Pappas PG, Chapman SW, Kett DH, Kumar D, et al. Anidulafungin versus fluconazole for invasive candidiasis. N Engl J Med. 2007;356:2472-82. doi:10.1056/ NEJMoa066906.
- 126. Rodriguez D, Park BJ, Almirante B, Cuenca-Estrella M, Planes AM, Mensa J, et al. Impact of early central venous catheter removal on outcome in patients with candidaemia. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2007;13:788-93. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691. 2007.01758.x.
- 127. Gafter-Gvili A, Vidal L, Goldberg E, Leibovici L, Paul M. Treatment of invasive candidal infections: systematic review and meta-analysis. Mayo Clin Proc. 2008;83:1011-21. doi:10. 4065/83.9.1011.
- 1389 128. Ruhnke M, Paiva JA, Meersseman W, Pachl J, Grigoras I, 1390 Sganga G, et al. Anidulafungin for the treatment of candida-1391 emia/invasive candidiasis in selected critically ill patients. Clin 1392 Microbiol Infect. 2012;18:680-7. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691. 1393 2012.03784.x.



	Journal : Large 15010	Dispatch : 15-11-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 558	□ LE	□ TYPESET
<u> </u>	MS Code : INF-D-13-00229	🖌 СЬ	🖌 disk

1461

1462

1463

1464

1465

1466

1467

1468

1469

1473

1474

1475

1476

1477

1478

1479

1480

1481

1482

1483

1484

1485

1486

1487

1488

1489

1490

1491

1492

1493

1494

1495

1496

1497

1498

1499

1500

1501

1502

1503

1504

1505

1506

1507

1508

1509

1510

1511

1512

1513

1514

1515

1516

1517

1518

1519

1520

1521

1522

1523

1524

1525

1394 129. Pfaller MA, Moet GJ, Messer SA, Jones RN, Castanheira M. 1395 Candida bloodstream infections: comparison of species distri-1396 butions and antifungal resistance patterns in community-onset 1397 and nosocomial isolates in the SENTRY Antimicrobial Sur-1398 veillance Program, 2008–2009. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2010;55:561-6. doi:10.1128/AAC.01079-10.

- 130. Pfaller MA, Messer SA, Moet GJ, Jones RN, Castanheira M. Candida bloodstream infections: comparison of species distribution and resistance to echinocandin and azole antifungal agents in Intensive Care Unit (ICU) and non-ICU settings in the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (2008-2009). Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2011;38:65-9. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag. 2011.02.016.
- 131. Cantón E, Pemán J, Quindós G, Eraso E, Miranda-Zapico I, Álvarez M, et al. Prospective multicenter study of the epidemiology, molecular identification, and antifungal susceptibility of Candida parapsilosis, Candida orthopsilosis, and Candida metapsilosis isolated from patients with candidemia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011;55:5590-6. doi:10.1128/AAC.00466-11.
- 132. Blanchard E, Lortholary O, Boukris-Sitbon K, Desnos-Ollivier M, Dromer F, Guillemot D. Prior caspofungin exposure in patients with hematological malignancies is a risk factor for subsequent fungemia due to decreased susceptibility in Candida spp.: a case-control study in Paris, France. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011;55:5358-61. doi:10.1128/AAC.00690-11.
- 133. Spreghini E, Orlando F, Tavanti A, Senesi S, Giannini D, Manso E, et al. In vitro and in vivo effects of echinocandins against Candida parapsilosis sensu stricto, Candida orthopsilosis and Candida metapsilosis. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012;67:2195-202. doi:10.1093/jac/dks180.
- 134. Betts RF, Nucci M, Talwar D, Gareca M, Queiroz-Telles F, Bedimo RJ, et al. A multicenter, double-blind trial of a highdose caspofungin treatment regimen versus a standard caspofungin treatment regimen for adult patients with invasive candidiasis. Clin Infect Dis. 2009:48:1676-84. doi:10.1086/598933.
- 1429 135. Dupont BF, Lortholary O, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Stucker F, 1430 Yeldandi V. Treatment of candidemia and invasive candidiasis 1431 in the intensive care unit: post hoc analysis of a randomized, 1432 controlled trial comparing micafungin and liposomal ampho-1433 tericin B. Crit Care. 2009;13:R159. doi:10.1186/cc8117.
- 1434 136. Mistro S, Maciel Ide M, de Menezes RG, Maia ZP, Schooley 1435 RT, Badaró R. Does lipid emulsion reduce amphotericin B 1436 nephrotoxicity? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin 1437 Infect Dis. 2012;54:1774-7. doi:10.1093/cid/cis290. 1438
 - 137. Ullmann AJ, Sanz MA, Tramarin A, Barnes RA, Wu W, Gerlach BA, et al. Prospective study of amphotericin B formulations in immunocompromised patients in 4 European countries. Clin Infect Dis. 2006;43:e29-38. doi:10.1086/505969.
- 1442 138. Bates DW, Su L, Yu DT, Chertow GM, Seger DL, Gomes DR, 1443 et al. Correlates of acute renal failure in patients receiving 1444 parenteral amphotericin B. Kidney Int. 2001;60:1452-9. doi:10. 1445 1046/j.1523-1755.2001.00948.x.
- 1446 139. Anaissie EJ, Darouiche RO, Abi-Said D, Uzun O, Mera J, 1447 Gentry LO, et al. Management of invasive candidal infections: 1448 results of a prospective, randomized, multicenter study of 1449 fluconazole versus amphotericin B and review of the literature. 1450 Clin Infect Dis. 1996;23:964-72.
- 1451 140. Rex JH, Bennett JE, Sugar AM, Pappas PG, van der Horst CM, 1452 Edwards JE, et al. A randomized trial comparing fluconazole 1453 with amphotericin B for the treatment of candidemia in patients 1454 without neutropenia. Candidemia Study Group and the National 1455 Institute. N Engl J Med. 1994;331:1325-30. doi:10.1056/ 1456 NEJM199411173312001.
- 1457 141. Phillips P, Shafran S, Garber G, Rotstein C, Smaill F, Fong I, 1458 et al. Multicenter randomized trial of fluconazole versus 1459 amphotericin B for treatment of candidemia in non-neutropenic

patients. Canadian Candidemia Study Group. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 1997;16:337-45.

- 142. Oude Lashof AM, Sobel JD, Ruhnke M, Pappas PG, Viscoli C, Schlamm HT, et al. Safety and tolerability of voriconazole in patients with baseline renal insufficiency and candidemia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56:3133-7. doi:10.1128/ AAC.05841-11.
- 143. Mikulska M, Novelli A, Aversa F, Cesaro S, de Rosa FG, Girmenia C, et al. Voriconazole in clinical practice. J Chemother. 2012;24:311-27. doi:10.1179/1973947812Y.0000000051.
- 1470 144. Tuil O, Cohen Y. Itraconazole IV solution in the treatment of candidemia in non-neutropenic patients. Crit Care. 2003;7:P131. 1471 1472
- 145. Kullberg BJ, Verweij PE, Akova M, Arendrup MC, Bille J, Calandra T, et al. European expert opinion on the management of invasive candidiasis in adults. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2011;17:1-12. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03615.x.
- 146. Forrest GN, Weekes E, Johnson JK. Increasing incidence of Candida parapsilosis candidemia with caspofungin usage. J Infect. 2008;56:126-9. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2007.10.014.
- 147. Lefort A, Chartier L, Sendid B, Wolff M, Mainardi JL, Podglajen I, et al. Diagnosis, management and outcome of Candida endocarditis. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012;18:E99-109. doi:10. 1111/j.1469-0691.2012.03764.x.
- 148. Riddell J 4th, Comer GM, Kauffman CA. Treatment of endogenous fungal endophthalmitis: focus on new antifungal agents. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;52:648-53. doi:10.1093/cid/cig204.
- 149. Hariprasad SM, Mieler WF, Holz ER, Gao H, Kim JE, Chi J, et al. Determination of vitreous, aqueous, and plasma concentration of orally administered voriconazole in humans. Arch Ophthalmol. 2004;122:42-7. doi:10.1001/archopht.122.1.42.
- 150. Kujath P, Lerch K, Kochendörfer P, Boos C. Comparative study of the efficacy of fluconazole versus amphotericin B/flucytosine in surgical patients with systemic mycoses. Infection. 1993;21:376-82.
- 151. Shah CP, McKey J, Spirn MJ, Maguire J. Ocular candidiasis: a review. Br J Ophthalmol. 2008;92:466-8. doi:10.1136/bjo.2007. 133405.
- 152. Kullberg BJ, Sobel JD, Ruhnke M, Pappas PG, Viscoli C, Rex JH, et al. Voriconazole versus a regimen of amphotericin B followed by fluconazole for candidaemia in non-neutropenic patients: a randomised non-inferiority trial. Lancet. 2005;366: 1435-42. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67490-9.
- 153. Ozdemir H, Karbuz A, Ciftçi E, Dinçaslan HU, Ince E, Aysev D, et al. Successful treatment of central venous catheter infection due to Candida lipolytica by caspofungin-lock therapy. Mycoses. 2011;54:e647-9. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0507.2010.01964.x.
- 154. Schwartz S, Ruhnke M, Ribaud P, Corey L, Driscoll T, Cornely OA, et al. Improved outcome in central nervous system aspergillosis, using voriconazole treatment. Blood. 2005;106:2641-5. doi:10.1182/blood-2005-02-0733.
- 155. Boucher HW, Groll AH, Chiou CC, Walsh TJ. Newer systemic antifungal agents: pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy. Drugs. 2004;64:1997-2020 (pii:64181).
- 156. Lutsar I. Roffey S. Troke P. Voriconazole concentrations in the cerebrospinal fluid and brain tissue of guinea pigs and immunocompromised patients. Clin Infect Dis. 2003;37:728-32. doi:10.1086/377131.
- 157. Black KE, Baden LR. Fungal infections of the CNS: treatment strategies for the immunocompromised patient. CNS Drugs. 2007;21:293-318 (pii:2144).
- 158. Bennett JE, Dismukes WE, Duma RJ, Medoff G, Sande MA, Gallis H, et al. A comparison of amphotericin B alone and combined with flucytosine in the treatment of cryptoccal meningitis. N Engl J Med. 1979;301:126-31. doi:10.1056/NEJM197 907193010303.
- 159. Fan-Havard P, O'Donovan C, Smith SM, Oh J, Bamberger M, Eng RH. Oral fluconazole versus amphotericin B bladder



2	Journal : Large 15010	Dispatch : 15-11-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 558		□ TYPESET
	MS Code : INF-D-13-00229	СР СР	🖌 DISK

1416

1417

1418

1419

1420

1421

1422

1423

1424

1425

1426

1427

1428

1439

1440

- 1528
 160. Fisher JF, Sobel JD, Kauffman CA, Newman CA. Candida urinary tract infections—treatment. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;52: S457–66. doi:10.1093/cid/cir112.
- 1531
 161. Khazim RM, Debnath UK, Fares Y. Candida albicans osteomyelitis of the spine: progressive clinical and radiological features and surgical management in three cases. Eur Spine J. 2006;15:1404–10. doi:10.1007/s00586-005-0038-z.
- 1535
 162. Schilling A, Seibold M, Mansmann V, Gleissner B. Successfully treated Candida krusei infection of the lumbar spine with combined caspofungin/posaconazole therapy. Med Mycol. 2008;46:79–83. doi:10.1080/13693780701552996.
- 1539
 163. Kaldau NC, Brorson S, Jensen PE, Schultz C, Arpi M. Bilateral polymicrobial osteomyelitis with Candida tropicalis and

Candida krusei: a case report and an updated literature review. Int J Infect Dis. 2012;16:e16–22. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2011.10.001.

1541

1542

1543

1544

1545

1546

1547

1548

1549

- 164. Slenker AK, Keith SW, Horn DL. Two hundred and eleven cases of Candida osteomyelitis: 17 case reports and a review of the literature. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2012;73:89–93. doi:10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2012.02.004.
- 165. Micafungin lock therapy to clear fungemia while attempting to preserve central venous catheters. Trial code: NCT00809887. http://clinicaltrials.gov/.
- 166. McMullan R, Metwally L, Coyle PV, Hedderwick S, McCloskey B, O'Neill HJ, et al. A prospective clinical trial of a real-time polymerase chain reaction assay for the diagnosis of candidemia in nonneutropenic, critically ill adults. Clin Infect Dis. 2008;46:890–6. doi:10.1086/528690.
 1554

\sim	E
--------	---

Journal : Large 15010	Dispatch : 15-11-2013	Pages : 17
Article No. : 558	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : INF-D-13-00229	🖌 СЬ	🗹 disk

1526



Author Query Form

Please ensure you fill out your response to the queries raised below and return this form along with your corrections

Dear Author

During the process of typesetting your article, the following queries have arisen. Please check your typeset proof carefully against the queries listed below and mark the necessary changes either directly on the proof/online grid or in the 'Author's response' area provided below

Query	Details Required	Author's Response
AQ1	This journal follows structured abstract. Please provide abstract with headings such as Introduction, Materials and methods, Conclusion, etc.	