This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:
The Messinian salinity crisis: open problems and possible implications for Mediterranean petroleum systems / Roveri, Marco; Gennari, Rocco; Lugli, Stefano; Manzi, Vinicio; Minelli, Nicola; Reghizzi, Matteo; Riva, Angelo; Rossi, Massimo E.; Schreiber, B. Charlotte In: PETROLEUM GEOSCIENCE ISSN 1354-0793 STAMPA 22(4):4(2016), pp. 283-290. [10.1144/petgeo2015-089]
Terms of use: The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.
03/05/2024 19:02

(Article begins on next page)

- 1 The Messinian salinity crisis: open problems and possible implications for Mediterranean
- 2 petroleum systems

- 4 Marco Roveri^{1*}, Rocco Gennari¹, Stefano Lugli², Vinicio Manzi¹, Nicola Minelli¹, Matteo
- 5 Reghizzi¹, Angelo Riva³, Massimo E. Rossi³ & B. Charlotte Schreiber⁴

6

- 7 Department of Physics and Earth Sciences, University of Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze 157/A,
- 8 43124, Parma, Italy
- 9 ²Department of Chemical and Geological Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Via
- 10 Campi 103, 41125, Modena, Italy
- ³Eni Upstream and Technical Services, Via Emilia 1, 20097 San Donato Milanese (Milano), Italy
- ⁴Department of Earth and Space Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195,
- 13 *USA*
- * **Corresponding author (e-mail: marco.roveri@unipr.it)

15

16 Abstract

- 18 A general agreement on what actually happened during the Messinian salinity crisis (MSC) has
- been reached in the minds of most geologists, but in the deepest settings of the Mediterranean basin
- 20 the picture is still far from being finalized and several different scenarios for the crisis have been
- 21 proposed, with different significant implications for hydrocarbon exploration. The currently
- accepted MSC paradigm the "shallow-water deep-basin" model implying high-amplitude sea-
- 23 level oscillations (>1500 m) of the Mediterranean up to its desiccation, is usually considered as a
- fact. As a consequence, it is on this model that the implications of MSC events on Mediterranean
- 25 petroleum systems are commonly based.

Actually, an alternative, deep-water, non-desiccated scenario of the MSC is possible; it i) implies the permanence of a large water body in the Mediterranean throughout the entire Messinian salinity crisis, but with strongly reduced Atlantic connections and ii) envisages a genetic link between 28 29 Messinian erosion of Mediterranean margins and deep brine development. 30 In this work we focus on the strong implications for the assessment of petroleum systems of the Mediterranean and adjoining areas (e.g., Black Sea basin) that can be based on such a non-32 desiccated MSC scenario. In particular, the near-full basin model delivers a more realistic definition of Messinian source rock generation and distribution, as well as of the magnitude of water 34 unloading processes and their effects on hydrocarbon accumulation.

26

27

31

33

The Messinian salinity crisis of the Mediterranean: the paradigm

36

37 The term "Messinian salinity crisis" (MSC) refers to the largest and geologically most-rapid set of 38 high-amplitude environmental changes undergone by the peri-Mediterranean area during the 39 Neogene and possibly the entire Phanerozoic. The sedimentary record of this event involves 40 complex feedbacks between geodynamics, climate and biota, and resulted in a stratigraphy which 41 left an indelible signature in the post-Messinian evolution of the Mediterranean basin, also with 42 important implications for hydrocarbon exploration. Up to now a general agreement on what 43 actually happened during the MSC, particularly in the deepest settings of the Mediterranean basin, 44 is still far from clear; consequently, several different scenarios of the crisis are available (see Roveri 45 et al., 2014a). 46 This lack of consensus is mainly due to the difficulty in establishing a general, comprehensive, 47 high-resolution stratigraphic framework for the upper Messinian. In fact, in this interval, due to the 48 lack of fossils and for being fully included into the C3r chron, the classical bio-49 magnetostratigraphic tools cannot be used (Hilgen et al., 2007; Roveri et al., 2014a). Furthermore, 50 most data come from onshore successions, which formed in shallow (0-200 m water depth) or 51 intermediate-depth (200-1000 m water depth) sub-basins, while the deepest Messinian settings, 52 where the largest volume of MSC products accumulated, are buried below the present-day 53 Mediterranean abyssal plains. These deep deposits are virtually unknown, due to the difficulties 54 (both technical and economic) in getting data through scientific drillings or in accessing industry 55 data. Moreover, since onshore and deep offshore Messinian successions are physically 56 disconnected, a synthesis and common view of the MSC remain very difficult to obtain (Roveri et 57 al., 2014a,c; Lofi et al., 2011). 58 As a consequence, due to the need for additional deep basin data, all the different scenarios so far 59 proposed should be considered as theories in need to be proven. However, the "shallow-water deep-60 basin" (SWDB - Hsü et al., 1973) with its high-amplitude sea-level oscillations (>1500 m) up to its

61 desiccation, is the current MSC paradigm (Roveri & Manzi, 2006; Roveri et al., 2014b). This model 62 is usually considered as a *fact*, with obvious implications in many related fields including 63 hydrocarbon exploration, but such a view could lead to possible misinterpretation. 64 This model has undergone some modifications through time, all implying that at a certain point the 65 Mediterranean desiccated almost completely and its slopes underwent a phase of subaerial exposure 66 and vigorous erosion related to the rejuvenation of an entire fluvial drainage system (Lofi et al., 67 2005; Ryan, 2009; Bache et al., 2012). This phase of generalized exposure would have led to the 68 formation of an erosional surface (Messinian erosional surface – MES), which is one of the main 69 stratigraphic features in both onshore and offshore records and a key one for their correlation. The 70 rapid water loading/unloading events would have caused significant pressure release and 71 catastrophic fluid expulsion phenomena (Ryan et al., 1978; Bertoni et al., 2013; Sacleux et al., 72 2013; Bertoni & Cartwright, 2015) with great impact on pre-existing hydrocarbon migration and 73 preservation. 74 We think that an alternative scenario, implying the permanence of a large and deep-water body 75 connected with the Atlantic Ocean throughout the MSC (Schmalz, 1969; Roveri et al., 2014b), is 76 not only possible but even more likely. In this paper we also discuss the more general implications

78 79

80

81

82

83

84

85

77

An alternative scenario: stratigraphic framework

of our new scenario for petroleum systems.

Our scenario is based on a recently established chronology of the main MSC events mainly built on onshore data (Krijgsman *et al.*, 1999; Hilgen *et al.*, 2007; Manzi *et al.*, 2013), which includes both outcrop and subsurface data. A major consensus has been reached on this stratigraphic framework, which includes three evolutionary stages (Clauzon *et al.*, 2006; CIESM, 2008; Roveri *et al.*, 2014a; Fig. 1), each of them characterized by a particular evaporite association recording significant

hydrological changes in the Mediterranean basin. The latter are well documented by the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr Mediterranean curve (Fig. 1), which shows a significant, stepwise detachment from the global ocean curve during the MSC, suggesting a progressive hydrological isolation and/or an increase of the relative proportion of continental waters over the ocean ones (see Flecker et al., 2002; Roveri et al., 2014c). Each one of the three stages of the crisis shows a distinct range of ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr values: >0.708900 for stage 1, between 0.708800 and 0.708900 for stage 2 and <0.708800 for stage 3. In the following section we briefly summarize the main characteristics of each MSC stage.

93

94

95

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

105

107

111

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

MSC onset and stage 1 (5.97-5.60 Ma)

The onset of the MSC occurred synchronously at 5.97 Ma (Manzi et al., 2013), i.e. well after the 96 base of the Messinian stage (7.246 Ma), following a long phase of progressive reduction of Atlantic connections and consequent restriction of Mediterranean circulation and water column stratification witnessed by the widespread cyclical deposition in deep marine settings of organic and opal-rich sediments (pre-MSC stage; e.g., Tripoli Fm. of Sicily, Hilgen & Krijgsman, 1999). The onset of the crisis is not necessarily coincident with the base of the lowermost evaporite bed, as sometimes erroneously envisaged in the literature (see for example Ochoa et al., 2015) but by a dramatic decrease of normal marine biota followed by their disappearance (Manzi et al., 2007; 2013; 2015). In fact, while the biological record of the onset of the crisis is synchronous throughout 104 the Mediterranean basin and at any depth, the onset of the bottom-grown evaporites of the stage 1 (selenite gypsum of the Primary Lower Gypsum unit - PLG) is diachronous (Roveri et al., 2014a; 106 Manzi et al., 2016). PLG evaporites started to form since 5.97 Ma only in shallow water. semiclosed, silled sub-basins developed along the Mediterranean continental margins (Lugli et al., 108 2010), whereas moving to deeper setting the onset of the PLG is progressively younger (Lugli et al., 109 2010; Dela Pierre et al., 2011; Roveri et al., 2014a). The water depth limiting the deposition of the 110 bottom-grown gypsum (< 200 m, including areas beyond the shelf break) is suggested by the common occurrence of photosynthetic microorganisms communities trapped within primary

112 gypsum crystals (mainly cyanobacteria; Panieri et al., 2010). MSC onshore records clearly show 113 that in deeper and/or not-unsilled sub-basins, evaporite-free deposits accumulated, mainly 114 consisting of organic-rich shales and dolostones barren of normal marine fossils (Manzi et al., 2007; 115 Lugli et al., 2010; Dela Pierre et al., 2011; Ghielmi et al., 2013; Rossi et al., 2015). 116 Evaporite deposition was modulated by precession-controlled climatic oscillations inducing 117 changes of the Mediterranean hydrological budget (Vai, 1997; Krijgsman et al., 1999; Hilgen et al., 118 2007). Up to 16 gypsum-shale couplets recording dry-wet precessional cycles formed in stage 1, 119 allowing the end of this phase to be dated at 5.60 Ma (Krijgsman et al., 1999; Roveri et al., 2014a). 120 The lithology of these cycles show an impressive similarity in terms of types of gypsum 121 sedimentary facies, stacking patterns and overall trend, permitting pan-Mediterranean bed-by-bed 122 correlation (Lugli et al., 2010). The gypsum in these beds formed subaqueously; each precessional 123 evaporitic cycle is characterized by a facies sequence recording a progressive increase in brine 124 saturation, followed by a phase of relative dilution (Roveri et al., 2008a); it is worth noting that 125 evidences of subaerial exposure and/or erosion are not observed within these cycles but only at the 126 top of the PLG unit. 127 This PLG unit may locally consist of less than 16 gypsum cycles, due to the absence of the basal 128 members (replaced by their laterally equivalent evaporite-free deposits; Manzi et al., 2007; Dela 129 Pierre et al., 2011; Gennari et al., 2013) or because of erosion and resedimentation during the 130 subsequent stage 2 (Roveri et al., 2001; 2014; Manzi et al., 2005). 131 Differently to Unlike what is claimed by some authors (e.g., Ochoa et al., 2015), where outcrop 132 observations and complete subsurface data (seismics and boreholes) are available, it has been 133 documented that the PLG evaporites are absent in deep-water and/or unsilled settings during the 134 MSC stage 1 (Manzi et al., 2007; Lugli et al., 2010; Dela Pierre et al., 2011; Ghielmi et al., 2013; 135 Rossi et al., 2015). Two different models have been proposed so far to explain this fact. 136 Lugli et al. (2010) suggest that bottom-grown gypsum only developed in shallow (< 200 m), 137 silled sub-basins acting as bottom brine traps; De Lange & Krijgsman (2010), suggest that a sill is

not necessary and that the main controlling factor is the rate of sulphate consumption due to degradation of organic matter which, in deep water below 200 m, would be greater than the supply rate of sulphate, thus hampering gypsum precipitation and preservation. Thus, Both models recognize the absence of primary evaporites of the first stage in deep-water settings and this fact is a fundamental observation for the correlation of deposits from shallow to deep parts of the basin.

143

138

139

140

141

142

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

Stage 2 (5.60-5.54 Ma)

The second stage of the crisis is characterized by a period of strong erosion of Mediterranean continental margins (MES) and by the concurrent deposition of huge volumes of highly-soluble primary evaporites (halite and K-Mg salts) as well as of resedimented PLG evaporites (i.e. as a clastic facies) in deeper sub-basins (Apennines, Sicily, Calabria, Tuscany, Cyprus). The resulting unit observed in onshore successions has been named Resedimented Lower Gypsum (RLG) and shows very rapid and significant lateral changes in terms of lithology and thickness, which is also related to tectonic activity affecting several Mediterranean areas in this stage. The clastic component of RLG unit mainly consists of gypsum turbidites, giant PLG olistoliths (Roveri et al., 2001, 2008b; Manzi et al., 2005) and microbially-derived brecciated limestones (i.e. the Calcare di Base of Sicily; Manzi et al., 2011); locally the RLG unit may mainly consist of terrigenous sediments (i.e. turbiditic sandstones of the Apennines foreland system depocenters – the Laga p.p. and Fusignano Formations; Roveri et al., 2001; Manzi et al., 2005; Rossi et al., 2015). This stage, which is considered the acme of the crisis, encompasses a very short time window, according to cyclostratigraphic considerations based on stage 1 (Roveri & Manzi 2006) and stage 3 cyclic patterns (Manzi et al., 2009). Thus the RLG unit would end at 5.54 Ma spanning no more than 60 ka. Subaerial erosion of stage 1 evaporites (PLG) is commonly observed in onshore successions, suggesting a relative base-level fall whose amplitude, however, cannot be clearly defined (see Lugli et al., 2013; 2015; Roveri et al., 2014b). Onshore, the MES can be traced downbasin in deeper settings at the base of the RLG unit (Manzi et al., 2005; 2007). It is worth noting that in such settings the deep water equivalent of PLG evaporites do not show evidence of subaerial exposure; in some places these deposits are eroded at the top and only partially preserved, thus suggesting subaqueous erosional processes.

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

164

165

166

167

168

Stage 3 (5.54-5.33 Ma)

The last stage of the MSC is probably the most enigmatic phase. Onshore successions consist of both shallow and relatively deeper water deposits. Sr isotope data (<0.708800) and fossils (mollusks and ostracods) suggest that surface waters underwent a significant dilution with the development of brackish environments throughout the Mediterranean. Deeper successions are usually barren of fossils, thus hampering palaeoenvironmental reconstructions. Despite this general signal of more diluted waters, primary evaporites (sulfates) are formed also in this stage, but only in the southern and easternmost sectors of the Mediterranean (e.g., Sicily, Calabria, Cyprus). These evaporites, named Upper Gypsum (UG) bear some lithologic similarities with stage 1 PLG evaporites, but can be easily distinguished based on their facies characteristics and particularly on their Sr isotope values (Manzi et al., 2009). Like the PLG, the UG unit has a well-developed cyclical pattern induced by precession, which allows its accurate chronostratigraphic calibration. Stage 3 can be subdivided into substages 3.1 and 3.2, based on the sudden increase of terrigenous sediment input at around 5.42 Ma, especially in the northern and western Mediterranean sectors. Substage 3.2 is also characterized by the greatest development and diffusion of the inclusion of Lagomare faunal assemblages, which have been classically considered to derive from the Paratethys (e.g. Orszag-Sperber, 2006; Roveri et al., 2008a). In this low-salinity environment, some evidence of the permanence of the Atlantic connections is given by the occurrence of marine fish (Carnevale et al., 2008) and alkenons (Mezger et al., 2012). The return to normal marine conditions is sudden

and marks the base of the Zanclean at 5.33 Ma, usually interpreted as related to a catastrophic reopening of the Atlantic connections.

Seismic and well log expression of evaporitic units

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

When its 16 lithological cycles are largely preserved, the PLG unit may attain a total thickness ranging between 100 and 300 meters (Lugli et al., 2010), typically around 150-200 m in the best outcrops of the Apennines, Sicily and southern Spain. This unit does not have a peculiar seismic facies allowing to distinguish it from the evaporitic units of the other MSC stages, especially where only commercial, low-resolution seismic profiles are available. In this case, it appears as a thin seismic unit consisting of 1-2 parallel, high amplitude reflectors, similar to the RLG and UG units, where the latter are thinner. However, the PLG unit can be well identified in well logs due to its peculiar blocky pattern, as documented in many offshore and onshore boreholes (Roveri et al., 2005; Lugli et al., 2010; Rossi et al., 2015). Where high-resolution seismic profiles are available, PLG evaporites appear as a horizontal bedded unit (BU of Lofi et al., 2011) with a conformable base and an erosional top (e.g. the MES; see Maillard et al., 2014; Driussi et al., 2015); the erosional vs. nonerosional character of the bounding surfaces is probably the most useful criteria for distinguishing it from other evaporite-bearing units (i.e. the suggested equivalents of RLG and UG units, respectively the MU and UU units of Lofi et al., 2011). RLG, in particular, is usually thicker (up to 2 km in the deepest Mediterranean basins) and mainly consisting of halite, thus appearing as an acoustically transparent seismic unit; locally, the RLG unit may include chaotic seismic facies related to slump and/or debris flow deposits. Its base, the MES, is commonly unconformable at margins and becomes conformable in the basin center.

The onshore lesson: clues for shallow to deep correlations

This three-stage Messinian stratigraphic framework is based on the onshore record of the MSC but

has a large potential for also being applied to deep offshore successions because of its robust physical stratigraphic architecture, constrained by key surfaces and time lines (e.g., MES, Zanclean base) that can be easily recognized from available seismic and borehole data, allowing a sequencestratigraphic approach (Roveri et al., 2008c; Rossi et al., 2015). The three stages of the model are characterized by distinctive Sr isotope values that can be easily obtained analyzing the evaporite rocks and the fossils from cores or cuttings. A first attempt of suggesting an onshore-offshore correlation has been provided by Roveri et al. (2014c; Fig. 2) based on the recognition that onshore successions also include intermediate-depth (up to 1,000 m) depocenters showing continuous subaqueous deposition. These relatively deep settings are the key stratigraphic link between the shallow and the deep basin records. According to this correlation, a possibility exists that the largest part of the evaporitic deposits lying in the deepest basins could have formed during MSC stage 2. The evaporitic unit in the western Mediterranean and in the Ionian basin is a tripartite seismic unit (the famous "Messinian trilogy"); the three seismic units (LU, Lower Unit; MU, Mobile Unit; UU, Upper Unit; Lofi et al., 2011) have been classically considered to be the offshore equivalent, from the bottom to the top, of the Lower Gypsum (i.e. the PLG), of the Sicilian salt and of the Upper Gypsum. In the Levantine Basin the evaporitic unit is not tripartite and only the MU is recognized (Lofi et al., 2011). Actually the MES, marking the boundary between stages 1 and 2, can be traced downbasin to deep offshore areas, where it corresponds to the basal surface of the deep canyons (Lugli et al., 2013) that possibly continued into the erosional features imaged at the base of the deep Levantine evaporites (Bertoni and Cartwright, 2007). The MES also represents a pervasive erosional surface of the Mediterranean slopes that can be followed in the deeper basins (BES; Lofi et al., 2011) and that progressively smooths out in the basin plain settings becoming a correlative conformity (BS; Lofi et al., 2011) marking the base of the Lower Evaporites (Lower Unit; Lofi et al., 2005; 2011). Because of these characteristics the MSC stages 1 and 3 would be represented respectively by: i) a thin, evaporite-free layer below the base of the Lower Evaporites; ii) a relatively thin unit, mostly

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

below seismic resolution, composed of shales with minor evaporites laying above the Mobile unit. As for the uppermost evaporites of the MU recovered from the DSDP-ODP cruises, Sr isotopes signature suggest they still belong to the MSC stage 2 (Roveri *et al.*, 2014c),.

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

239

240

241

An alternative scenario: erosion and deposition in a non-desiccated deep Mediterranean basin The shallow water-deep basin model (SWDB) is mainly based on: 1) the interpretation of the erosional features of the margins as due to mostly subaerial processes, and 2) the supposed shallowwater to subaerial nature of the deep evaporites. As for the second point, recent studies (Hardie & Lowenstein, 2004; Lugli et al., 2015) have demonstrated that the evaporites at the top of the MU do not show evidence for subaerial exposure and could have precipitated at any water depth. As for the first point, it was possible to document that only their shallower parts of the onshore successions underwent subaerial erosion during stage 2, while the intermediate-depth depocenters experienced continuous subaqueous deposition throughout the crisis and/or subaqueous erosion mainly by gravity flows and related slope failure processes. Starting from these considerations, Roveri et al. (2014) suggest a genetic link between the deposition of salt in the deepest basins and the erosion along the basin slopes due to the downslope flow of hypersaline, dense waters which led to the formation of deep-water brines. This process is similar to the present-day cascading of dense shelf waters along the Mediterranean margins (Canals et al., 2006); together with sediment gravity flows (i.e. turbidites and hyperpycnal fluvial floods) these processes work together to shape submarine slopes and to cut gullies and canyons (Roveri et al., 2014b). We infer that the Messinian slopes were profoundly reshaped during the MSC, and particularly during stage 2, by forming new erosional features or by rejuvenating pre-existing ones, as documented along both the western (Lofi & Berné, 2008) and eastern (Lugli et al., 2013) Mediterranean margins. However, the MES was not generated exclusively by subaqueous processes, since a moderate relative sea-level fall, ranging in amplitude between 200 m (Roveri et

al., 2014b) and 550 m (Rossi et al., 2015) also promoted the subaerial exposure and erosion of the basin margins. It follows that the MES is a polygenic erosional surface with both subaerial and subaqueous tracts, mainly developed during the peak of the MSC and commonly superimposed on older features. Stage 2 was then characterized by two high-amplitude glacial episodes (TG12 and TG14; Fig. 1) and by an acceleration of active tectonic processes along the entire Africa-Eurasian margin, as clearly shown by the angular unconformity commonly associated with the MES. Thus, in our opinion, a number of geodynamic and climatic causes acted simultaneously to modify the water and the atmospheric circulation within the Mediterranean during the Messinian. These causes were likely linked to complex feedback mechanisms leading to an extreme amplification of processes still acting today along the Mediterranean margins. The Black Sea slopes are characterized by a widespread erosional surface of Messinian age whose origin has been usually interpreted as related to desiccation, similarly to the Mediterranean (Hsü & Giovanoli, 1979); however, Messinian evaporites are absent in the Black Sea (Tari et al., this volume). As occurs in modern time (Flood et al., 2008), we argue that during Messinian cascading of hypersaline, dense waters, together with sediment gravity flows, could have produced the Black Sea erosional surface as well. But in a different way from the Mediterranean, Black Sea deep brines might not have not reached high saturation values, thus explaining the lack of evaporitic deposits. In our model the Mediterranean was a persistent water body characterized by reduced connections with the Atlantic, and by a hydrological budget controlled by regional climate oscillations and by exchanges with the freshwater reservoir of the Paratethys basin(s) (Krijgsman et al., 2010). This general setting could well explain also the last portion of the salinity crisis, which was considered to be characterized by an empty Mediterranean basin with several isolated freshwater or brackish lakes. According to our model, this phase (MSC stage 3) was instead likely characterized by an overall positive hydrological budget and a high base-level, punctuated by cyclical episodes of relative base level fall (Roveri et al., 2008a; Manzi et al., 2009; Roveri et al., 2014a-c; Rossi et al., 2015). Also in this late stage the Mediterranean was a single, permanent water body, as suggested

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

by the ostracod assemblages (Stoica *et al.*, 2016) and uniform Sr isotope values (Roveri *et al.*, 2014a-c).

An alternative scenario: implications for hydrocarbon exploration

The Messinian successions are characterized by an extreme lithological variability expressed in a complex stratigraphy which resulted in a diverse array of potential source rocks, reservoirs and seals. For these reasons, besides their own potential as a petroleum system, the Messinian sediments also played a substantial role for the other Mediterranean petroleum systems, especially for the pre-Messinian ones (see Pawlewicz, 2004; Belopolskyi *et al.*, 2012; Al-Belushi *et al.*, 2013; Bertoni *et al.*, 2015). We think that our chronostratigraphic framework and sequence-stratigraphic approach may help to better identify and characterize those potentials in a coherent scenario.

Here we will focus on two elements directly deriving from our model that should be considered for their potential implications for hydrocarbon exploration: the Messinian source rocks and the effects of base-level changes throughout the crisis.

The Messinian source rocks

Source rocks originate from zones of high organic productivity and where organic-rich sediments are deposited in a low-oxygen environment allowing their preservation. During the Messinian the intermediate and deep-water settings were characterized by water stratification throughout the salinity crisis and even before, due to restricted exchanges with the Atlantic, which eventually led to the formation of deep brines; this resulted in the development of conditions favoring the accumulation and preservation of organic-rich deposits.

The source rock potential of MSC deposits has been documented in several areas: the Chelif Basin

(Northern Algeria; Arab et al., 2015), the Prinos-Kavala Basin (Northern Aegean; Kiomourtzi et al.,

2008), the island of Zakynthos and the Hellenic Trench (Greece; Maravelis et al., 2013; 2015), and

314 the Northern Apennines (Manzi et al., 2007). However, a full knowledge of the Messinian source 315 rocks is lacking, mainly due to the difficulty in organizing the available scattered data and 316 observations into a comprehensive and detailed stratigraphic framework. 317 In this respect our MSC scenario offers some clues for a better definition of the source rock 318 potential. We show here a first attempt to systematically organize the available data concerning 319 organic matter in order to assess their areal and temporal distribution and characteristics. We 320 collected Rock-Eval Pyrolisis data (Espitalié et al., 1977) from the literature, also including a set of 321 unpublished data, mainly from Northern Italy and Sicily (Fig. 3a). After age re-calibration of the 322 available samples, we plotted the S2-TOC (Fig. 3b-f) and the Hydrogen and Oxygen Index (HI, OI; 323 Fig. 3j-k) values of sediments belonging to the same stage from different areas. The compilation of 324 these organic matter data into our three-stages chronostratigraphic model provides some revealing 325 trends and features (see below). 326 Substantially every sample considered in this work is immature (Tmax <435°C), particularly those 327 collected in exposed successions; however, local and regional-scale geological reconstructions 328 document that in the main depocenters, Messinian organic-rich units may have reached burial 329 depths sufficient for the attainment of thermal maturity. 330 S2-TOC values (Fig. 3b-f) provide an estimate of the petroleum potential, and show that most stage 331 1 and 2 values plot in an overall good potential field. Conversely, MSC Stage 3 is generally 332 characterized by reduced organic carbon content and S2 values. 333 The different kerogen types were defined mainly based on the Hydrogen Index; in Fig. 3e-k we 334 display modified Van Krevelen diagrams for samples with TOC > 0.5 % (note that roughly a 20%) 335 of these are not represented because since no S3 data were available). HI values show that organic 336 matter of deep-water pre-MSC sediments and stage 1 range between type II and II-III kerogens 337 (sensu Peters and Cassa, 1994); stage 2 organic matter plots between kerogen types II and I; 338 conversely, stage 3 records a progressive shift towards kerogens types III-IV, possibly representing

an increased influence of continental input in the latest stage of the MSC (see also the organic matter composition of the Northern Apennines Messinian units in figure 4).

Our results show that the deep-water equivalent of the evaporite deposits of stage 1 have a very good source rock potential, also considering that this unit, where it is preserved below the resedimented evaporite deposits, may have thicknesses in the order of several tens of meters in outcrop (\approx 60 m in the Northern Apennines; Fanantello borehole, Manzi *et al.*, 2007), up to 400 meters in the subsurface (Po Plain foredeep basin; Rossi et al., 2015). Furthermore, in the deeper basins, where anhydrite derived from the transformation of clastic gypsum due to lithostatic loading (Manzi *et al.*, 2005; Lugli et al., 2013) may represent an efficient early seal, preventing migration of Messinian hydrocarbons.

In this scenario, the close association in deep settings of the potential source rock (*i.e.* deep water stages 1 and 2 deposits) directly overlain by or interlayered with clastic evaporite deposits may be of great importance for the reconstruction of hydrocarbon migration pathways and for the recognition of potential reservoirs. In this respect it is worth noting that frequently (e.g., Northern Apennines and Sicily), large-scale zones of sulphur mineralization are associated with RLG clastic evaporites. Sulphur formed after bacterial sulphate reduction of Messinian evaporites favored by hydrocarbon migration and leading to the transformation of the parent rock into sulphur-bearing limestone (Dessau et al., 1962; Manzi et al., 2011). Although hydrocarbons involved in these processes may be older sources, the close association of sulphate and organic-rich rocks may point to a Messinian source rock.

Amplitude of Mediterranean base-level changes

In the scenario of Roveri et al. (2014b) the amplitude of base-level changes during the salinity crisis was much less pronounced than usually envisaged; we think that the Mediterranean Sea

experienced only a moderate relative base-level fall (Christeleit et al., 2015) and that desiccation, as well as a catastrophic refill (Hsü et al., 1973), did not occur. The lower slopes and the deep-water settings did not undergo subaerial exposure and erosion. It follows that the organic matter in the pre-MSC and in the stage 1 deposits was far better preserved than expected for a complete basin desiccation.

Besides these more obvious aspects, the desiccation scenario implies rapid and massive water loading/unloading in the order of thousands of meters (Ryan et al., 1978; Govers *et al.*, 2009; Sacleaux et al., 2013). These changes and their isostatic effects would cause overpressure and catastrophic fluid expulsions even through the thick Messinian evaporitic unit, that is usually considered an ideal seal (Bertoni & Cartwright, 2015). Another aspect would be the degradation and/or remigrations of pre-existing hydrocarbons (Al-Belushi *et al.*, 2013; Iadanza *et al.*, 2015) with important implications for assessing the overall quality of Mediterranean petroleum systems. In our model, the magnitude of these processes would be considerably lower, translating to a significantly lower exploration risk for pre-Messinian targets (cf. the Black Sea, Tari et al., this volume).

378 Conclusions

Far from being a "fact," as commonly considered, the desiccation model of the Messinian salinity crisis is only one of the several possible scenarios. We suggest that an alternative, deep-water, non-desiccated model for the MSC is not only possible, but also even more likely. This model has several important implications for the assessment of the Mediterranean petroleum systems, as well as of the adjoining area (e.g. Black Sea; Tari et al., this volume). We think that the impact of the model for source rock generation and distribution, as well as for the effects of water unloading for breaching pre-existing hydrocarbon accumulations, should be carefully considered and evaluated. Our new data and a re-consideration of all available data suggests that the pre-salinity crisis

sediments and the stage 1 source rock have a greater potential than previously thought. In addition, the stage 2 resedimented deposits may provide an excellent seal especially at deep Mediterranean settings.

The onshore and offshore perspectives of the MSC will be reconciled only when deep drillings-will hopefully reach the pre-salt unit and core data will be made available to the scientific community especially from sediments on the ocean floor of the Mediterranean. This obviously needs a great joint effort between academia and industry.

Acknowledgments

The organic geochemistry data and their petroleum geology implications derive in part from the results of a collaborative R&D project between ENI and the Universities of Parma and Modena-Reggio Emilia. U. Biffi and G. Caccialanza (ENI upstream and technical services) are gratefully acknowledged for their valuable contribute in processing the data and discussing their interpretation.

References

- 405 Al-Belushi, A.N., Fraser, A., Allen, P.A. & Jackson, C.A. 2013. Quantifying the uplift magnitude
- 406 caused by the Messinian Salinity Crisis and its impact on the Eastern Mediterranean Petroleum
- 407 Systems. AAPG Search and Discovery #90161.

408

- 409 Arab, M., Bracène, R., Roure F., Zazoun, R.S., Mahdjoub, Y. & Badji, R. 2015. Source rocks and
- related petroleum systems of the Chelif Basin (western Tellian domain, north Algeria). Marine and
- 411 *Petroleum Geology*, **64**, 363-385.

412

- Bache, F., Popescu, S.-M., Rabineau, M., Gorini, C., Suc, J.-P., Clauzon, G., Olivet, J.-L., Rubino,
- J.-L. & others 2012. A two step process for the reflooding of the Mediterranean after the Messinian
- 415 salinity crisis. *Basin Research*, **24**(2), 125–153.

416

- Belopolsky, A., Tari, G., Craig & J., Iliffe, J., 2012. New and emerging plays in the Eastern
- 418 Mediterranean: an introduction. *Petroleum Geosciences*, **18**, 371–372.

419

- 420 Bertoni, C., Cartwright, J. & Hermanrud, C. 2013. Evidence for large-scale methane venting due to
- rapid drawdown of sea level during the Messinian Salinity Crisis. *Geology*, **41**, 371-374.

422

- 423 Bertoni, C. & Cartwright, J. 2015. Messinian evaporites and fluid flow. *Marine and Petroleum*
- 424 *Geology*, available online.

- 426 Canals, M., Puig, P., Durrieu de Madron, X., Heussner, S., Palanques, A. & Fabres, J. 2006.
- Flushing submarine canyons. *Nature*, **444**, 354–357.

- 429 Carnevale, G., Longinelli, A., Caputo, D., Barbieri, M. & Landini, W. 2008. Did the Mediterranean
- 430 reflooding preceded the Mio-Pliocene boundary? Paleontological and geochemical evidence from
- 431 upper Messinian sequences of Tuscany, Italy. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology
- **257**, 81–105.

- Christeleit, E. C., Brandon, M. T., & Zhuang, G. 2015. Evidence for deep-water deposition of
- abyssal Mediterranean evaporites during the Messinian salinity crisis. Earth and Planetary Science
- 436 *Letters*, **427**, 226-235.

437

- 438 CIESM 2008. The Messinian salinity crisis from Mega-deposits to Microbiology. A consensus
- 439 report. CIESM Workshop Monograph, 33.

440

- Clauzon, G., Suc, J.-P., Gautier, F., Berger, A. & Loutre, M.F. 1996. Alternate interpretation of the
- Messinian salinity crisis: Controversy resolved? *Geology*, **24**(4), 363–366.

443

- De Lange, G.J. & Krijgsman, W., 2010. Messinian salinity crisis: a novel unifying shallow
- gypsum/deep dolomite formation mechanism. *Marine Geology* **275**, 273–277.

446

- Dela Pierre, F., Bernardi, E., Cavagna, S., Clari, P., Gennari, R., Irace, A., Lozar, F., Lugli, S.,
- Manzi, V., Natalicchio, M., Roveri, M. & Violanti, D. 2011. The record of the Messinian salinity
- crisis in the Tertiary Piedmont Basin (NW Italy): the Alba section revisited. *Palaeogeography*,
- 450 Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, **310**, 238–255.

- Deroo, G., Herbin, J.P. & Roucaché, J. 1978. Organic geochemistry of some Neogene cores from
- sites 374, 375, 377 and 378: Leg 42A, eastern Mediterranean sea. *In*: Hsü, K.J., Montadert, L.,

- Bernoulli, D., Cita, M.B., Erikson, A., Garrison, R. et al. (eds) *Initial reports of the Deep Sea*
- 455 Drilling Project, **42**, 465-472, http://doi.org/10.2973/dsdp.proc.42-1.113-3.1978

- Dessau, G., Jensen, M.L. & Nakai, N. 1962. Geology and isotopic studies of Sicilian sulphur
- 458 deposits. Economic Geology, 57, 410-438.

459

- Espitalie, J., M. Madec, B. Tissot, J. J. Mennig & Leplat, P. 1977. Source rock characterization
- 461 method for petroleum exploration. In: *Proceedings of the Ninth Offshore Technology Conference*,
- 462 Houston, **3**, 439-448.

463

- Flecker, R., de Villiers, S. & Ellam, R.M. 2002. Modelling the effect of evaporation on the salinity—
- 465 ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr relationship in modern and ancient marginal-marine systems: the Mediterranean Messinian
- 466 Salinity Crisis. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 203, 221–233.

467

- 468 Flood, R. D., Hiscott, R. N., & Aksu, A. E. 2009. Morphology and evolution of an anastomosed
- channel network where saline underflow enters the Black Sea. Sedimentology, **56**, 807–839.

470

- 471 Ghielmi, M., Minervini, M., Nini, C., Rogledi, S. & Rossi, M. 2013. Late Miocenee Middle
- 472 Pleistocene sequences in the Po Plain-Northern Adriatic Sea (Italy): the stratigraphic record of
- 473 modification phases affecting a complex foreland basin. *Marine Petroleum Geology*, **42**, 50-81.

474

- Govers, R., Meijer & P. Krijgsman, W. 2009, Regional isostatic response to Messinian Salinity
- 476 Crisis events. *Tectonophysics*, **463**, 109-129.

- Guido, A., Jacob, J., Gautret, P., Laggoun-Défarge, F., Mastandrea, A. & Russo, F. (2007),
- 479 Molecular fossils and other organic markers as palaeoenvironmental indicators of the Messinian

- 480 Calcare di Base Formation: normal versus stressed marine deposition (Rossano Basin, northern
- 481 Calabria, Italy). Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 255 (3-4), 265-283.

- Hardie, L.A. & Lowenstein, T.K. 2004. Did the Mediterranean Sea dry out during the Miocene? A
- reassessment of the evaporite evidence from DSDP Legs 13 and 42A cores. *Journal of Sedimentary*
- 485 Research, **74**, 453–461.

486

- Hilgen, F.J., Kuiper, K., Krijgsman, W., Snel, E. & Van Der Laan, E. 2007. Astronomical tuning as
- 488 the basis for high resolution chronostratigraphy: the intricate history of the Messinian Salinity
- 489 Crisis. Stratigraphy, 4, 231–238.

490

- Hsü, K.J., Ryan, W.B.F. & Cita, M.B. 1973. Late Miocene desiccation of the Mediterranean.
- 492 *Nature*, **242**, 240–244.

493

- 494 Iadanza, A., Sampalmieri, G. & Cipollari, P. 2015. Deep-seated hydrocarbons in the seep
- 495 "Brecciated Limestones" of the Maiella area (Adriatic foreland basin): Evaporitic sealing and oil re-
- 496 mobilization effects linked to the drawdown of the Messinian Salinity Crisis. *Marine and*
- 497 *Petroleum Geology*, **66**, 177-191.

498

- 499 Krijgsman, W., Hilgen, F. J., Raffi, I., Sierro, F.J. & Wilson, D.S. 1999. Chronology, causes and
- progression of the Mediterranean salinity crisis. *Nature*, **400**, 652–655.

501

- Krijgsman, W., Stoica, M., Vasiliev, I. & Popov, V.V. 2010. Rise and fall of the Paratethys Sea
- during the Messinian Salinity Crisis. *Earth Planetary Science Letters*, **290**, 183–191.

504

Kiomourtzi, P., Pasadakis, N. & Zelilidis, A. 2008. Source Rock and Depositional Environment

- 506 Study of Three Hydrocarbon Fields in Prinos-Kavala Basin (North Aegean). The Open Petroleum
- 507 Engineering Journal, 1, 16-29.

- Lofi, J., Déverchére, J., Gaullier, V, Gillet, H., Guennoc, P., Gorini, C., Loncke, L., Maillard, A.,
- Sage, F. & Thinon, I. 2011. Seismic atlas of the Messinian salinity crisis markers in the offshore
- Mediterranean domain. CCGM and Memoires de la Societe Geologique de France, 179.

512

- Lofi, J., Gorini, C., Berne, S., Clauzon, G., Dos Reis, A. T., Ryan, W. B. F. & Steckler, M.S. 2005.
- Erosional processes and paleo-environmental changes in the western Gulf of Lions (SW France)
- during the Messinian Salinity Crisis. *Marine Geology*, **217** (1–2), 1–30.

516

- Lugli, S., Manzi, V., Roveri, M. & Schreiber, B.C. 2010. The Primary Lower Gypsum in the
- Mediterranean: A new facies interpretation for the first stage of the Messinian salinity crisis.
- 519 Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, **297**(1), 83–99.

520

- Lugli, S., Gennari, R., Gvirtzman, Z., Manzi, V., Roveri, M. & Schreiber, B.C. 2013. Evidence of
- clastic evaporities in the canyons of the Levant Basin (Israel): Implications for the Messinian
- salinity crisis. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, **83**(11), 942–954.

524

- Lugli S., Manzi V., Roveri M. & Schreiber B.C. 2015. The Deep Record of the Messinian salinity
- 526 crisis: evidence of a non-desiccated Mediterranean Sea. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*,
- 527 *Palaeoecology*, **433**, 201-218.

- Manzi, V., Lugli, S., Ricci Lucchi, F. & Roveri, M. 2005. Deep-water clastic evaporites deposition
- in the Messinian Adriatic foredeep (northern Apennines, Italy): did the Mediterranean ever dry out?
- 531 *Sedimentology*, **52**, 875–902.

- Manzi, V., Roveri, M., Gennari, R., Bertini, A., Biffi, U., Giunta, S., Iaccarino, S. M., Lanci, L.,
- Lugli, S., Negri, A., Riva, A., Rossi, M. E. & Taviani, M. 2007. The deep-water counterpart of the
- Messinian Lower Evaporites in the Apennine foredeep: The Fanantello section (Northern
- Apennines, Italy). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **251**(3–4), 470–499.

- Manzi, V., Lugli, S., Roveri, M. & Schreiber, B.C. 2009. A new facies model for the Upper Gypsum
- of Sicily (Italy): chronological and paleoenvironmental constraints for the Messinian salinity crisis
- in the Mediterranean. Sedimentology, **56**, 1937–1960.

541

- Manzi, V., Lugli, S., Roveri, M., Schreiber, B.C. & Gennari, R. 2011. The Messinian "Calcare di
- Base" (Sicily, Italy) revisited. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 123, 347–370.

544

- Manzi, V., Gennari, R., Hilgen, F., Krijgsman, W., Lugli, S., Roveri, M. & Sierro, F.J. 2013. Age
- refinement of the Messinian salinity crisis onset in the Mediterranean. *Terra Nova*, **25**, 315–322.

547

- Manzi V., Lugli S., Roveri M., Dela Pierre F., Gennari R. Lozar F., Natalicchio M., Schreiber B.C.,
- Taviani M. & Turco E. 2015. The Messinian salinity crisis in Cyprus: a further step towards a
- new stratigraphic framework for Eastern Mediterranean. Basin Research, DOI: 10.1111/bre.12107

551

- Maravelis, A., Panagopoulos, G., Piliotis, I, Pasadakis, N., Manoutsoglou, E. & Zelilidis, A. 2013.
- Pre-Messinian (Sub-Salt) Source-Rock Potential on Back-Stop Basin of the Hellenic Trench System
- (Messara Basin, Central Crete, Greece). Oil & Gas Science and Technology, DOI:
- 555 10.2516/ogst/2013130.

556

Maravelis, A.G., Koukonya, A., Tserolas, P., Pasadakis, N. & Zelilidis, N. 2015. Geochemistry of

- Upper Miocene-Lower Pliocene source rocks in the Hellenic Fold and Thrust Belt, Zakynthos
- Island, Ionian Sea, western Greece. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 66, 217-230.

- Mezger, E., 2012. How Dry Was the Messinian Salinity Crisis? A Molecular Biogeochemical Study
- of the Eraclea Minoa (Sicily) Section. (M.Sc. thesis) Utrecht University, Italy 1–34.
- Ochoa D., Sierro F.J., Lofi J., Maillard A., Flores J.-A. & Suarez M. 2015. Synchronous onset of
- the Messinian evaporite precipitation: First Mediterranean offshore evidence. Earth and Planetary
- 565 Science Letters, 427, 112-124.
- Orszag-Sperber, F., 2006. Changing perspectives in the concept of "Lago-Mare" in Mediterranean
- Late Miocene evolution. *Sedimentary Geology*, **188–189**, 259–277.
- Peters, K.E. & Cassa, M.R. 1994. Applied Source Rock Geochemistry. *In*: Magoon, L.E. & Dow,
- 569 W.G., (eds). The Petroleum system from source to trap. *Memoir of the American Association of*
- 570 *Petroleum Geologists*, **60**, 93-129.
- Rossi, M., Minervini, M., Ghielmi, M. & Rogledi, S. 2015. Messinian and Pliocene erosional
- 572 surfaces in the Po Plain-Adriatic Basin: Insights from allostratigraphy and sequence stratigraphy in
- assessing play concepts related to accommodation and gateway turnarounds in tectonically active
- margins. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **66**, 192-216.

575

- Roveri, M., Boscolo Gallo, A., Rossi, M.E., Gennari, R., Iaccarino, S.M., Lugli, S., Manzi, V.,
- Negri, A., Rizzini, F. & Taviani, M. 2005. The Adriatic foreland record of Messinian events
- 578 (central Adriatic Sea, Italy). *Geoacta*, 4, 139-158.

- Roveri, M. & Manzi, V. 2006. The Messinian salinity crisis: looking for a new paradigm?
- Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 238, 386–398.

- Roveri, M., Bertini, A., Cosentino, D., Di Stefano, A., Gennari, R., Gliozzi, E., Grossi, F.,
- Iaccarino, S.M., Lugli, S., Manzi, V. & Taviani, M. 2008a. A high-resolution stratigraphic
- framework for the latest Messinian events in the Mediterranean area. *Stratigraphy*, **5**, 323–342.

- Roveri, M., Lugli, S., Manzi, V. & Schreiber, B.C. 2008b. The Messinian Sicilian stratigraphy
- revisited: toward a new scenario for the Messinian salinity crisis. *Terra Nova*, **20**, 483–488.

589

- Roveri, M., Lugli, S., Manzi, V. & Schreiber, B.C. 2008c. The Messinian salinity crisis: a
- sequence-stratigraphic approach. GeoActa Special Publication, 1, 169–190.

592

- Roveri, M., Flecker, R., Krijgsman, W., Lofi, J., Lugli, S., Manzi, V., Sierro, F.J., Bertini, A.,
- Camerlenghi, A., De Lange, G.J., Govers, R., Hilgen, F.J., Hubscher, C., Meijer, P.T.H. & Stoica,
- M. 2014a. The Messinian Salinity Crisis: past and future of a great challenge for marine sciences.
- 596 *Marine Geology*, **352**, 25-58.

597

- Roveri, M., Manzi, V., Bergamasco, A., Falcieri, F., Gennari, R. & Lugli, S. 2014b. Dense shelf
- water cascading and Messinian canyons: a new scenario for the Mediterranean salinity crisis.
- 600 *American Journal of Science*, **314**, 751–784.

601

- Roveri, M., Lugli, S., Manzi, V., Gennari, R. & Schreiber, B.C. 2014c. High-resolution strontium
- isotope stratigraphy of the Messinian deep Mediterranean basins: implications for marginal to
- 604 central basins correlation. *Marine Geology*, **349**, 113–125.

- Ryan, W. B., & Cita, M. B. 1978. The nature and distribution of Messinian erosional surfaces—
- Indicators of a several-kilometer-deep Mediterranean in the Miocene. *Marine Geology*, **27**, 193-

- 608 230.
- 609
- Ryan, W. B. F. 2009. Decoding the Mediterranean salinity crisis. *Sedimentology*, **56**, 95–136.
- 611
- Sacleux, M., Nikishin, A., Munch, H., Floodpage, J. & Cornu, T. 2013. Impact of the Messinian
- 613 Crisis on petroleum systems. American Association of Petroleum Geologists European Regional
- 614 Conference & Exhibition, Barcelona, Abstract Book, p. 81.
- 615
- Schmalz, R.F. 1969. Deep-water evaporite deposition, a genetic model. *American Association of*
- 617 Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, **53**, 798–823.
- 618
- 619 Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., Frewin, N.L., Kenig, F. & De Leeuw, J.W. 1995. Molecular indicators for
- palaeoenvironmental change in a Messinian evaporitic sequence (Vena del Gesso, Italy). I:
- variations in extractable organic matter of ten cyclically deposited marl beds. *Organic*
- 622 *Geochemistry*, **23**, 471–483.
- 623
- Stoica, M., Krijgsman, W., Fortuin, A. & Gliozzi, E. 2016. Paratethyan ostracods in the Spanish
- Lago-Mare: More evidence for intra-basinal exchange at high Mediterranean sea level.
- 626 Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 441, 854-870.
- 627
- 628 Tari, G., Fallah, M., Schell, C., Kosi, W., Bati, Z., Sipahioglu, N.O., Krezseg, Cs., Scheder, Zs.,
- Kozhuharov, E. & Kitchka, A. this volume. Why are there no Messinian evaporites in the Black
- 630 Sea?
- 631
- Vai, G.B. 1997. Cyclostratigraphic estimate of the Messinian Stage duration. In: Montanari, A.,
- Odin, G.S., Coccioni, R. (Eds.), Miocene Stratigraphy: An Integrated Approach. *Developments in*

Paleontology and Stratigraphy, **15**, 463–476.

638 639 Figure captions 640 641 642 Fig. 1. Chronostratigraphy of the Messinian to Early Pliocene in the Mediterranean basin (modified 643 from Roveri et al., 2014a). MSC events are correlated to the oxygen isotope curve of the Atlantic, 644 to the insolation curve and to the Sr isotope curve. 645 646 Fig. 2. Stratigraphic model of the Messinian deep basin deposits and their correlation with marginal 647 basin successions (from Roveri et al., 2014c). Note that the "Messinian trilogy" of the western 648 basin would actually almost completely belong to stage 2 and correlate with the salt unit (MU) of 649 the eastern basin. Stage 3 deposits in deep basins would be limited to a very thin unit, with 650 thicknesses usually below normal seismic profiles resolution. 651 Fig. 3. Source rock potential of Messinian deposits in the different MSC stages. A, map of the 652 653 central and western Mediterranean showing the provenance of the samples. b-f, S2-TOC plots 654 showing the petroleum potential in particular of pre-MSC and deep stages 1-2 deposits. g-k, 655 modified Van Krevelen diagrams for the different MSC stages. (only including samples with 656 TOC>0,5, and for whom S3 data were available). 657 658 Fig. 4. Palynomorph and particulate organic matter distribution in the Messinian successions of the Northern Apennines foreland basin (data from shallow cores). Note the changes in composition in 659 660 the different MSC stages showing an increase of continental derived organic matter in stage 3.







