23rd International Congress of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics, August, 19-24, 2012, Beijing, China

# Interfacial cracks in bi-material solids: Stroh formalism and skew-symmetric weight functions

Lorenzo Morini, Enrico Radi,

Dipartimento di Scienze e Metodi dell' Ingegneria, Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Via Amendola 2, 42100, Reggio Emilia, Italy Alexander B. Movchan, Natalia V. Movchan, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3BX, U.K. August 7, 2012

## Outline

#### Outline

- Interfacial cracks:
   Stroh formalism
- Riemann-Hilbert formulation
- Mirror traction-free problem
- Weight functions
- Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress
- Stress intensity factors evaluation
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials I
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials II
- Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions
- Asymmetric loading
- Plane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Antiplane strain: weight functions
- Antiplane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Conclusions
- References

- Interface cracks in anisotropic materials: Stroh formalism;
- Riemann-Hilbert formulation;
- Symmetric and skew-symmetric weight functions;
- Stress intensity factors evaluation;
- Application: point forces applied at crack faces;
- Conclusions;

#### Interfacial cracks: Stroh formalism

• Outline

#### Interfacial cracks: Stroh formalism

- Riemann-Hilbert
   formulation
- Mirror traction-free problem
- Weight functions
- Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress
- Stress intensity factors evaluation
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials I

- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials II
- Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions
- Asymmetric loading
- Plane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Antiplane strain: weight functions
- Antiplane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs
- skew-symmetric SIF
- Conclusions
- References



- Quasi-static semi-infinite plane interfacial crack with general loading acting on the faces;
- Displacements and tractions in terms of functions of complex

variable 
$$z_j = x_1 + \mu_j x_2$$
:

$$\mathbf{u}_{1}(x_1, x_2) = 2\mathsf{Re}[\mathbf{Ag}(\mathbf{z})], \quad \mathcal{T}(x_1, x_2) = 2\mathsf{Re}[\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{z})],$$

#### Assuming Stroh representation:

$$[Q_{ik} + (R_{ik} + R_{ki})\mu_j + T_{ik}\mu_j^2]A_{kj} = 0$$
  
$$B_{ij} = (R_{ki} + \mu_j T_{ik})A_{kj}$$

#### **Riemann-Hilbert formulation**

#### Traction-free crack problem:

- Outline
- Interfacial cracks:
   Stroh formalism
- Riemann-Hilbert formulation
- Mirror traction-free problem
- Weight functions

• Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress

- Stress intensity factors evaluation
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials I
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials II
- Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions
- Asymmetric loading
- Plane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Antiplane strain: weight functions
- Antiplane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Conclusions
- References



-Free traction condition at  $x_1 < 0$ ;

-Tractions and displacements continuity at  $x_1 > 0$ ; -Boundary conditions at the interface yields to a R-H problem:

$$\mathbf{h}^{+}(x_{1}) + \overline{\mathbf{H}}^{-1}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{h}^{-}(x_{1}) = \mathcal{T}(x_{1}) \quad \text{for} \quad x_{1} > 0$$
$$\mathbf{h}^{+}(x_{1}) + \overline{\mathbf{H}}^{-1}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{h}^{-}(x_{1}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x_{1} < 0$$

Where 
$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{Y}^{(1)} + \overline{\mathbf{Y}}^{(2)}$$
 and  $\mathbf{Y} = i\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^{-1}$ ;

#### **Mirror traction-free problem**





-At the interface:

 $\mathbf{w}^{+}(x_{1}) + \overline{\mathbf{H}}^{-1}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{w}^{-}(x_{1}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x_{1} > 0$  $\mathbf{w}^{+}(x_{1}) + \overline{\mathbf{H}}^{-1}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{w}^{-}(x_{1}) = \mathbf{\Sigma}(x_{1}) \quad \text{for} \quad x_{1} < 0$ 

-U singular solutions of the mirror problem;

- Outline
- Interfacial cracks:
   Stroh formalism
- Riemann-Hilbert

formulation

# Mirror traction-free problem

• Weight functions

 Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress

- Stress intensity factors evaluation
- Plane strain in

orthotropic bimaterials I

• Plane strain in

orthotropic bimaterials II

- Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions
- Asymmetric loading
- Plane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Antiplane strain: weight functions
- Antiplane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs
- skew-symmetric SIF
- Conclusions
- References

## Weight functions

Symmetric weight functions:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U} \end{bmatrix} (x_1) = \mathbf{U}(x_1, x_2 = 0^+) - \mathbf{U}(x_1, x_2 = 0^-)$$

• Skew-symmetric weight functions:

$$\langle \mathbf{U} \rangle(x_1) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{U}(x_1, x_2 = 0^+) + \mathbf{U}(x_1, x_2 = 0^-))$$

- Mirror traction-free problem is solved in Fourier space;
- A Wiener-Hopf-like equation is derived:

$$\left| [\hat{\mathbf{U}}]^+(\xi) = -\frac{1}{|\xi|} \Big\{ \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{H} - i \operatorname{sign}(\xi) \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{H} \Big\} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^-(\xi), \right.$$

The skew-symmetric weight function become:

$$\langle \hat{\mathbf{U}} \rangle(\xi) = -\frac{1}{2|\xi|} \Big\{ \mathrm{Re}\mathbf{W} - i\operatorname{sign}(\xi)\operatorname{Im}\mathbf{W} \Big\} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathrm{R}.$$

Where 
$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{Y}^{(1)} + \overline{\mathbf{Y}}^{(2)}$$
 and  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{Y}^{(1)} - \overline{\mathbf{Y}}^{(2)}$ ;

• Outline

Interfacial cracks:
 Stroh formalism

• Riemann-Hilbert formulation

Mirror traction-free
problem

#### • Weight functions

• Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress

• Stress intensity factors evaluation

• Plane strain in

orthotropic bimaterials I

Plane strain in

orthotropic bimaterials II

- Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions
- Asymmetric loading
- Plane strain: SIF

• Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF

• Antiplane strain: weight functions

• Antiplane strain: SIF

• Symmetric vs

skew-symmetric SIF

- Conclusions
- References

## Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress

- Outline
- Interfacial cracks:
   Stroh formalism
- Riemann-Hilbert formulation
- Mirror traction-free problem
- Weight functions
- Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress
- Stress intensity factors evaluation
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials I
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials II
- Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions
- Asymmetric loading
- Plane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Antiplane strain: weight functions
- Antiplane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Conclusions
- References

• Materials where **A**, **B** and **Y** and then **H** and **W** have the following structure are considered:

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & 0 \\ * & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$$

- Uncoupled inplane and antiplane strain and stresses;
- Monoclinic and orthotropic materials have this property;
- Physical tractions:

$$\mathcal{T}(x_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x_1}} \operatorname{Re}\left(K x_1^{i\varepsilon} \mathbf{w}\right) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Mode} I \text{ and } II$$

 $\mathcal{T}_3(x_1) = \frac{K_3}{\sqrt{2\pi x_1}} \Rightarrow \boxed{\text{Mode III}}$ 

- $K = K_I + iK_{II}$ , and  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2)$  is a complex vector;
- $K_3$  is a real scalar;
- Same behaviour for the singular solution  $(\mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{U})$ ;

#### **Stress intensity factors evaluation**

• Outline

Interfacial cracks:
 Stroh formalism

• Riemann-Hilbert formulation

• Mirror traction-free problem

• Weight functions

• Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress

• Stress intensity factors evaluation

- Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials I
- Plane strain in

orthotropic bimaterials II

- Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions
- Asymmetric loading
- Plane strain: SIF

• Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF

- Antiplane strain: weight functions
- Antiplane strain: SIF

• Symmetric vs

skew-symmetric SIF

- Conclusions
- References

Betti integral's theorem relates  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathcal{T}^{(+)})$  to  $(\mathbf{U}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{(-)})$ :

• For plane strain:

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}^{+T} \mathbf{\mathcal{R}} \hat{\mathbf{\mathcal{T}}}^{+} - \hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}}^{-T} \mathbf{\mathcal{R}} [\hat{\mathbf{u}}]^{-} = -[\hat{\mathbf{U}}]^{+T} \mathbf{\mathcal{R}} \langle \hat{\mathbf{p}} \rangle - \langle \hat{\mathbf{U}} \rangle^{T} \mathbf{\mathcal{R}} [\hat{\mathbf{p}}]$$

Where  $\mathcal{R}$  is the rotation matrix:  $\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

#### For antiplane strain:

$$\left| \left[ \hat{U}_3 \right] \hat{\mathcal{T}}_3^+ - \hat{\Sigma}_3 \left[ \hat{u}_3 \right]^- = - \left[ \hat{U}_3 \right] \left\langle \hat{p}_3 \right\rangle - \left\langle \hat{U}_3 \right\rangle \left[ \hat{p}_3 \right] \right]$$

Integral formulas for stress intensity factors:

$$\mathbf{K} = \frac{\mathbf{\mathcal{M}}_{1}^{-1}}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ [\hat{\mathbf{U}}]^{+T}(\tau) \mathbf{\mathcal{R}} \langle \hat{\mathbf{p}} \rangle(\tau) + \langle \hat{\mathbf{U}} \rangle^{T}(\tau) \mathbf{\mathcal{R}}[\hat{\mathbf{p}}](\tau) \right\} d\tau$$

$$K_3 = \frac{1}{2\pi i \mathcal{K}_{33}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ [\hat{U}_3]^+(\tau) \langle \hat{p}_3 \rangle(\tau) + \langle \hat{U}_3 \rangle(\tau) [\hat{p}_3](\tau) \right\} d\tau$$

Where 
$$\mathbf{K} = (K, \overline{K})^T$$

#### Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials I

- Outline
- Interfacial cracks:
   Stroh formalism
- Riemann-Hilbert formulation
- Mirror traction-free problem
- Weight functions
- Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress
- Stress intensity factors evaluation
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials IPlane strain in
- Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials II
- Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions
- Asymmetric loading
- Plane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Antiplane strain: weight functions
- Antiplane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs
- skew-symmetric SIF
- Conclusions
- References

- 2D vector problem in orthotropic bimaterials;
- Symmetric bimaterial matrix:

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{11} & -i\beta\sqrt{H_{11}H_{22}} \\ i\beta\sqrt{H_{11}H_{22}} & H_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Bimaterial parameters:

$$\begin{split} H_{11} &= [2n\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} (\tilde{s}_{11}\tilde{s}_{22})^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{(1)} + [2n\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} (\tilde{s}_{11}\tilde{s}_{22})^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{(2)}, \\ H_{22} &= [2n\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} (\tilde{s}_{11}\tilde{s}_{22})^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{(1)} + [2n\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} (\tilde{s}_{11}\tilde{s}_{22})^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{(2)}, \\ \beta\sqrt{H_{11}H_{22}} &= [((\tilde{s}_{11}\tilde{s}_{22})^{\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{s}_{12})]^{(2)} - [((\tilde{s}_{11}\tilde{s}_{22})^{\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{s}_{12})]^{(1)}, \\ \text{Where: } \lambda &= \frac{\tilde{s}_{11}}{\tilde{s}_{22}}, \quad \rho = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2\tilde{s}_{12} + \tilde{s}_{66}}{\sqrt{\tilde{s}_{11}\tilde{s}_{22}}}, \quad n = \left(\frac{1}{2}(1+\rho)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{split}$$

• Generalized Dundurs parameter connected to oscillatory index:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)$$

• Homogeneous material  $\Rightarrow \beta, \varepsilon = 0$ , no oscillations;

#### Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials II

• Outline

Interfacial cracks:
 Stroh formalism

• Riemann-Hilbert formulation

- Mirror traction-free problem
- Weight functions
- Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress
- Stress intensity factors evaluation
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials I
- Plane strain in

#### orthotropic bimaterials II

- Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions
- Asymmetric loading
- Plane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Antiplane strain: weight functions
- Antiplane strain: SIF

- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Conclusions
- References

• Skew-symmetric bimaterial matrix:

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{Y}^{(1)} - \overline{\mathbf{Y}}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 H_{11} & i\gamma\sqrt{H_{11}H_{22}} \\ -i\gamma\sqrt{H_{11}H_{22}} & \delta_2 H_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\delta_{1} = \frac{\left[2n\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}}(\tilde{s}_{11}\tilde{s}_{22})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{(1)} - \left[2n\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}}(\tilde{s}_{11}\tilde{s}_{22})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{(2)}}{H_{11}},$$
  

$$\delta_{2} = \frac{\left[2n\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}}(\tilde{s}_{11}\tilde{s}_{22})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{(1)} - \left[2n\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}}(\tilde{s}_{11}\tilde{s}_{22})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{(2)}}{H_{22}},$$
  

$$\gamma = \frac{\left[\left((\tilde{s}_{11}\tilde{s}_{22})^{\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{s}_{12}\right)\right]^{(1)} + \left[\left((\tilde{s}_{11}\tilde{s}_{22})^{\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{s}_{12}\right)\right]^{(2)}}{\sqrt{H_{11}H_{22}}},$$

Homogeneous material  $\Rightarrow \delta_1, \delta_2 = 0$ , but  $\gamma \neq 0$  then even in homogeneous case we have non-zero skew-symmetric weight functions;

### Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions

• Fourier transform symmetric and skew-symmetric weight functions:

$$[\hat{\mathbf{U}}]^{+} = -\frac{\sqrt{H_{11}H_{22}}}{|\xi|} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{H_{11}}{H_{22}}} & i\beta \operatorname{sign}(\xi) \\ -i\beta \operatorname{sign}(\xi) & \sqrt{\frac{H_{22}}{H_{11}}} \end{pmatrix} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-}(\xi);$$

$$\begin{split} \langle \hat{\mathbf{U}} \rangle &= -\frac{\sqrt{H_{11}H_{22}}}{2|\xi|} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 \sqrt{\frac{H_{11}}{H_{22}}} & -i\gamma \mathrm{sign}(\xi) \\ +i\gamma \mathrm{sign}(\xi) & \delta_2 \sqrt{\frac{H_{22}}{H_{11}}} \end{pmatrix} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^-(\xi); \end{split}$$

- Inverting these expressions we get  $[\mathbf{U}]$  and  $\langle \mathbf{U} \rangle.$
- Since Mode I and II are coupled:

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1^1 & U_1^2 \\ U_2^1 & U_2^2 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1^1 & \Sigma_1^2 \\ \Sigma_2^1 & \Sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $[\hat{\mathbf{U}}] \Rightarrow$  Wiener-Hopf equation;

• Outline

Interfacial cracks:
 Stroh formalism

• Riemann-Hilbert formulation

• Mirror traction-free problem

• Weight functions

• Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress

• Stress intensity factors evaluation

• Plane strain in

orthotropic bimaterials I

• Plane strain in

orthotropic bimaterials II

# • Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions

• Asymmetric loading

• Plane strain: SIF

• Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF

- Antiplane strain: weight functions
- Antiplane strain: SIF

• Symmetric vs

skew-symmetric SIF

- Conclusions
- References

## **Asymmetric loading**



Interfacial cracks:
 Stroh formalism

• Riemann-Hilbert formulation

• Mirror traction-free problem

• Weight functions

• Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress

• Stress intensity factors evaluation

Plane strain in

orthotropic bimaterials I

• Plane strain in

orthotropic bimaterials II

• Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions

#### • Asymmetric loading

- Plane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF

Antiplane strain:

- weight functions
- Antiplane strain: SIF

• Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF

Conclusions

• References



Asymmetric point forces acting on the crack faces:

Anti-symmetric part

$$\langle p_2 \rangle(x_1) = -\frac{F}{2}\delta(x_1 + a) - \frac{F}{4}\delta(x_1 + a + b) - \frac{F}{4}\delta(x_1 + a - b) [p_2](x_1) = -F\delta(x_1 + a) + \frac{F}{2}\delta(x_1 + a + b) + \frac{F}{2}\delta(x_1 + a - b)$$

Symmetric and skew-symmetric components  $\Rightarrow K = K^S + K^A$ ;

#### Plane strain: SIF

• Outline

• Interfacial cracks: Stroh formalism

• Riemann-Hilbert formulation

• Mirror traction-free problem

• Weight functions

• Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress

• Stress intensity factors evaluation

• Plane strain in

orthotropic bimaterials I

• Plane strain in

orthotropic bimaterials II

• Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions

• Asymmetric loading

• Plane strain: SIF

• Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF

• Antiplane strain: weight functions

• Antiplane strain: SIF

• Symmetric vs

skew-symmetric SIF

Conclusions

• References



#### Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF



Decoupling plane and

antiplane strain and stress

- Stress intensity factors evaluation
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials I
- Plane strain in

orthotropic bimaterials II

• Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions

- Asymmetric loading
- Plane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Antiplane strain: weight functions
- Antiplane strain: SIF

• Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF

- Conclusions
- References



- As  $b/a \to 1$  increase,  $\left| K_I^A \approx 40\% 50\% \right|$  of  $K_I^S$ ;
- Skew-symmetric part of the loading is not negligible and needs to be taken into account;

## Antiplane strain: weight functions

- Outline
- Interfacial cracks:
   Stroh formalism
- Riemann-Hilbert formulation
- Mirror traction-free problem
- Weight functions
- Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress
- Stress intensity factors evaluation
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials I
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials II
- Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions
- Asymmetric loading
- Plane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Antiplane strain: weight functions
- Antiplane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs
- skew-symmetric SIF
- Conclusions
- References

- Anisotropic materials with symmetry plane at  $x_3 = 0$  are considered;
- Fourier transform of weight functions:

$$[\hat{U}_3](\xi) = -\frac{H_{33}}{|\xi|}\hat{\Sigma}_3(\xi); \quad \langle \hat{U}_3 \rangle(\xi) = \frac{\eta}{2}[\hat{U}_3](\xi);$$

Inverting we obtain:

$$[U_3](x_1) = \frac{H_{33}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} x_1^{\frac{1}{2}}; \quad \langle U_3 \rangle(x_1) = \frac{\eta}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} H_{33} x_1^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

$$H_{33} = \left[\sqrt{\tilde{s}_{44}\tilde{s}_{55} - \tilde{s}_{45}^2}\right]^{(1)} + \left[\sqrt{\tilde{s}_{44}\tilde{s}_{55} - \tilde{s}_{45}^2}\right]^{(2)};$$
  
$$\eta = \left(\left[\sqrt{\tilde{s}_{44}\tilde{s}_{55} - \tilde{s}_{45}^2}\right]^{(1)} - \left[\sqrt{\tilde{s}_{44}\tilde{s}_{55} - \tilde{s}_{45}^2}\right]^{(2)}\right)/H_{33}$$

Homogeneous material  $\Rightarrow \eta = 0$ , Mode III is symmetric;

## Antiplane strain: SIF

- Outline
- Interfacial cracks:
   Stroh formalism
- Riemann-Hilbert formulation
- Mirror traction-free problem
- Weight functions
- Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress
- Stress intensity factors evaluation
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials I
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials II
- Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions
- Asymmetric loading
- Plane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Antiplane strain: weight functions
- Antiplane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Conclusions
- References



- Same loading configuration directed along  $x_3$ :  $\langle p_3 \rangle(x_1) = -\frac{F}{2}\delta(x_1+a) - \frac{F}{4}\delta(x_1+a+b) - \frac{F}{4}\delta(x_1+a-b)$  $[p_3](x_1) = -F\delta(x_1+a) + \frac{F}{2}\delta(x_1+a+b) + \frac{F}{2}\delta(x_1+a-b)$
- Homogeneous material  $\Rightarrow \eta = 0$ ,  $K_3$  is symmetric;

#### Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF

- Outline
- Interfacial cracks:
   Stroh formalism
- Riemann-Hilbert formulation
- Mirror traction-free problem
- Weight functions
- Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress
- Stress intensity factors evaluation
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials I
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials II
- Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions
- Asymmetric loading
- Plane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Antiplane strain: weight functions
- Antiplane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Conclusions
- References



- As for plane strain,  $K_3^A$  increase with b/a, expecially for  $|\eta| > 1/2;$
- For b/a > 0.5, skew-symmetric part of the loading is not negligible;

#### Conclusions

- Outline
- Interfacial cracks:
   Stroh formalism
- Riemann-Hilbert formulation
- Mirror traction-free problem
- Weight functions
- Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress
- Stress intensity factors evaluation
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials I
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials II
- Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions
- Asymmetric loading
- Plane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Antiplane strain: weight functions
- Antiplane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Conclusions
- References

- A new general approach for deriving the weight functions for 2D interfacial cracks in anisotropic bimaterials has been developed;
- For perfect interface conditions, the new method avoid the use of Wiener-Hopf technique and the challenging factorization problem connected;
- Both symmetric and skew-symmetric weight functions can be derived by means of the new approach;
- Weight functions can be used for deriving singular integral formulation of interfacial cracks in anisotropic media;
- The proposed method can be applied for studying interfacial cracks problems in many materials:monoclinic, orthotropic, cubic, piezoelectrics, poroelastics, quasicrystals;

Furter developments:

- -Applications to steady state moving cracks and wavy cracks;
- -Analysis of inclusions effects of interface cracks propagation; -Extension to 3D case;

#### References

- Outline
- Interfacial cracks:
   Stroh formalism
- Riemann-Hilbert formulation
- Mirror traction-free problem
- Weight functions
- Decoupling plane and antiplane strain and stress
- Stress intensity factors evaluation
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials I
- Plane strain in
- orthotropic bimaterials II
- Plane strain in orthotropic bimaterials: weight functions
- Asymmetric loading
- Plane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Antiplane strain: weight functions
- Antiplane strain: SIF
- Symmetric vs skew-symmetric SIF
- Conclusions
- References

- -[1] Y. Antipov, J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 57, 1051, (1999).
- -[2] J.P. Bercial-Velez et Al., J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 53, 1128, (2005).
- -[3] H.F. Bueckner, Int. J. Solids Struct., 23, 57, (1985).
- -[4] H.F. Bueckner, *Eng. Anal. Bound. Elem.*, **6**, 3, (1989).
- -[5] H. Gao Int. J. Fract., 56, 139, (1992).
- -[6] L. Ma and Y. Chen, Acta Mec. Sin., 20, 82, (2004).
- -[7] K.P. Meade and L.M. Keer, *J. Elasticity*, **14**, 3, (1984).
- -[8] L. Morini *et Al.*, *ArXiv*:**1202.5418v1**, (2012).
- -[9] L.Morini *et Al.*, *ArXiv:***1205.1321v1**, (2012).
- -[10] A. Piccolroaz et Al., J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 55, 1575, (2007).
- -[11] A. Piccolroaz et Al., J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 57, 1657, (2009).
- -[12] A. Piccolroaz et Al. Int. J. Fract., 166, 41, (2010).
- -[13] J.R. Willis and A.B. Movchan, *J. Mech. Phys. Solids*, **43**, 319, (1995).
- -[14] Z. Suo Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A, 427, 331, (1990).