

Digital Scientific Communication

Ramón Plo-Alastrué • Isabel Corona
Editors

Digital Scientific Communication

Identity and Visibility in Research
Dissemination

palgrave
macmillan

Editors

Ramón Plo-Alastrué
Universidad de Zaragoza
Zaragoza, Spain

Isabel Corona
Universidad de Zaragoza
Zaragoza, Spain

ISBN 978-3-031-38206-2 ISBN 978-3-031-38207-9 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-38207-9>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023

Chapters 1, 7 and 13 are licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). For further details see licence information in the chapters.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG. The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Paper in this product is recyclable.

Prologue: State of the Art of Research Dissemination

Abstract The Foreword looks at how academic publications have been influenced by the rapid expansion of digital means of communication and by the global extension of the participation framework provided by the web. In a research context that is increasingly digital and international, identity and visibility have become a major issue, especially with the proliferation of academic publications, the availability of new communicative environments—websites, blogs, social media—and the radical changes that have involved even the traditional key elements of publishing—abstracts and journal articles. Attention is paid to the linguistic dimension of this impact, ranging from issues of communicative inequality to the need to compete for visibility and self-promotion: the new context requires attention to a complex media ecology and to the changes taking place in the whole knowledge system. Another key element of the wider social context that is important to consider is the growing social need for academia to engage with stakeholders and the public. Access to knowledge has become a key issue in a “knowledge society”. This involves debates on Public Science—transferring the results of research to professionals or to the wider public, with a view to contributing to society—as well as Open Science—responding to problems of communicative inequality by favouring access to knowledge, for example, with open

access publishing and education. The role of the web in engaging with the wider public opens a whole cline of possibilities that further characterise the discourse of knowledge, well beyond the basic distinctions traditionally opposing knowledge communication and popularisation.

Keywords Academic publishing • Digital communication • Media ecology • Access to knowledge • Science communication

The rapid expansion of digital means of communication and the global extension of the participation framework provided by the web have changed academic life and publications profoundly (e.g. Lorés & Diani, 2021). Understanding this change is essential for academics, and particularly so in the world of science. Digital affordances have had an undeniable impact on the ways in which science is communicated, by multiplying the channels and the semiotic modes at the disposal of researchers and readers. In a research context that is increasingly digital and international, identity and visibility have become a major issue, especially with the proliferation of publications that are available. At the same time, as digital communication has drawn attention to issues of access to information, scientists are increasingly asked, on the one hand, to make sure that their research is available to all other researchers and that the presentation of their results is fully transparent and, on the other, they are required to disseminate the content of their research to a wider and often undefined audience. These are major changes in the context of science communication, in which the challenges of self-promotion and knowledge dissemination are found to co-exist in always novel combinations.

Understanding the impact of the digital transformation on academic writing practices requires adopting a wide perspective. It is a perspective that takes all the affordances of digital discourse into consideration—multimodality, hypertextuality, interactivity, anonymity—and that acknowledges the effect of digital media on many old and new genres (Luzón & Pérez-Llantada, 2019) in a complex digital media ecology (Weitkamp et al., 2021). In a wider social perspective, however, it is important to look also at how the whole knowledge system seems to be changing under technological, economic and distributional influences, as

well as under the influence of a new emphasis on the social role of knowledge (Cope & Kalantzis, 2014). This wider focus throws light on the questions of identity and visibility in research dissemination that are explored in the volume.

Primarily, digital scientific communication should be understood in the light of the present-day nature of publishing as a massive commercial industry, with the inevitable marketisation of knowledge and the need for self-promotion. Academic publishing has become a massive commercial industry (Hyland, 2016): the 2018 report of the STM (the association of Scientific, Technical and Medical publishers) accounts for over 3 million articles published every year in English-speaking peer-reviewed journals (Johnson et al., 2018). This has not only led to a marketisation of knowledge but also to the emergence of alternative metrics, such as the number of views of an article, its downloads, the presence of a study or a scholar on social media. The expansion of academic publishing in general has also meant an expansion of publishing in English, with all the related problems of communicative inequality (Plo-Alastrué & Pérez-Llantada, 2015), the complex forms of bilingualism required of most researchers, and the increasing number and types of “literacy brokers” (Lillis & Curry, 2010) that play a role in the process of publication. The standards of English for research publication purposes are changing, in the context of international communities where English is mostly a *Lingua Franca* (Mauranen, 2010). In the framework of a general need to be “always on” (Baron, 2008)—a “fast academia” (Berg & Seeber, 2017) where the omnipresence of work tends to blur the distinction between personal and work life—scholars are pushed towards “continual publishing” (Lockley & Carrigan, 2011) for visibility.

Competition for visibility has brought about changes in the publishing industry and in publishing sites in general, leading to the increasing importance of journal rankings and branding and to the birth of predatory journals (see Bocanegra-Valle, this volume). Even more importantly, the issue of visibility has become central for scholars themselves, often determining a rhetorical foregrounding of novelty and significance in research writing (Hyland, 2016), combined with greater writer assertiveness in many contexts. The “hard sciences,” in particular, show an increase in the use of involvement features (interpersonal and evaluative

meanings) away from their traditional objective style towards “more involved, stance-laden discourses, which emphasise the role of the interpreting researcher” (Hyland & Jiang, 2019, pp. 227–230).

One of the key elements of the present volume is thus the focus on visibility and self-promotion. The need for self-promotion spans across all sectors (see, for example, Sancho-Guinda, this volume, on technology disclosures). The performance of an academic self—a “persona,” a strategically developed public identity—becomes central in the construction of online reputation (Marshall et al., 2017) and in self-branding. This has also increased the attention paid to the visibility potential of a multiplicity of new environments such as blogs (Diani & Freddi, this volume), video abstracts (Dontcheva-Navratilova, this volume) and 3-minute thesis presentations (cf. the three chapters in section D).

Another important issue dealt with in the present volume is the growing social need for academia to engage with stakeholders and the public. Access to knowledge has become a key issue in a “knowledge society.” The huge expansion of specialised journals and the speed of dissemination (including pre-prints and forms of self-publishing) has highlighted the need to facilitate public access to research and its data, adopting accessible and transparent processes of knowledge creation and public knowledge dissemination. In this context, two perspectives are inextricably interconnected, usually referred to as “public science” and “open science.” In Public Science, scholars are asked to transfer the results of their research to professionals or to the wider public, with a view to contributing to society by shaping communities’ development, developing community-based research and aligning curricula with the needs of the community. The international dimension of academic communities has also drawn attention to issues of inequality in access to knowledge and suggested that the results of research should be openly available to everyone.

Open Science is expected to respond to problems of communicative inequality by favouring access to knowledge, for example with open access publishing and education (see Vicente-Sáez & Martínez-Fuentes, 2018 for a literature review). A further development, referred to as “open data,” also requires that data are shared with other researchers or citizens, so that research transparency is guaranteed and data are accessible and exploitable by others, thus creating new connections between the

traditional research narrative and the evidence, which is no longer simply reported but made accessible.

Open access publishing is now a widely acknowledged practice. Starting with the *Journal of Medical Internet Research* (1999) and especially the *Public Library of Science (PLOS)* (2001), the trend to promote Open Access scientific publishing has acquired increasing centrality over the past 20 years. The pandemic has somewhat intensified the process, highlighting the need for open access of research and data (Lee & Haupt, 2021), as well as the needs and challenges of appropriate public health science (Richardson, 2020).

It should be noted, in fact, that the web makes knowledge potentially available to a large virtual community, but it also creates new problems of information selection for users and clearly shows the limits of purely technical participatory mechanisms. After a long process that over the centuries had separated the expert reader of research publications from the general reader of popular science, the digital media now often reach indeterminate audiences. General readers now have potential access to the same texts as expert readers, but can they cope cognitively? And do they have similar interests? The blurring of the different publication environments does not in itself guarantee cognitive access or equal interests. Changes are brought about in both authorial identity and readership. This often means researchers need to produce a range of texts or textual clusters addressing different audiences and to adopt different textual strategies that may respond to the needs of different readers (see Benelhadj, this volume).

Engagement with stakeholders and the general public determines new environments, new genres and new sensitivity to the needs of public science. On the one hand, for example, there is the development of new communicative formats, such as research websites, social media, or blogs. All of them can help researchers to publicise their research, to engage in networks with other academics, to disseminate information, to increase visibility, to facilitate discussion and to engage with non-academics. Research websites are an important tool in responding to the needs of disseminating research and building researchers' visibility alike, as they allow researchers to construct and display their vision and values through verbal and visual elements, including, for example, evaluative language

choice, engagement markers and multimodal layout (Pascual et al., 2020; Lorés, 2020; Corona, 2021; Mur-Dueñas, 2021). Blogs are also interesting tools for visibility and potential areas of dissemination, but they are hybrid contexts in many ways, as they seem to collapse not only spoken and written discourse, elements of monologue and dialogue and different semiotic modes, but they also mix and blur the centre and the periphery of research discourses, as well as the private and the public self of bloggers (e.g. Bondi, 2018a, 2018b, 2018c, 2022). Social media are key elements of public engagement (Jünger & Fahrnich, 2020); Twitter, for example, is thought to facilitate immediate sharing and reach potentially interested audience (Klar et al., 2020), using a range of semiotic resources that facilitate dialogue and the creation of online communities (Zappavigna, 2012; Holmberg & Thelwall, 2014) through affordances such as emojis, mentions (@), hashtags (#) and retweeting.

The impact of digital communication has also produced interesting changes in traditional genres. The central role of abstracts, for example, has actually turned abstracting services into “hubs” that direct researchers to the relevant literature. Journals have exploited all the possibilities offered by a digital environment in terms of intensifying intertextual links and hypertextuality in research articles well beyond citation and other cross-references (Pérez-Llantada, 2016). Journal articles now have audio and video components that either complement the verbal text (as when lengthy descriptions of surgical procedures are substituted by images, video components and animations) or lead to the creation of purely visual and video texts, as is increasingly frequent with abstracts. The new freedom from space restrictions in digital publishing leads to much greater complexity in the structure of an article and allows the creation of Virtual Special Issues, with introductions linking independently produced articles and thus mapping an evolving territory (Mur-Dueñas, 2018).

When looking in particular at the world of research dissemination, the public role of science can be understood within the complex network of Knowledge Communication (Kastberg, 2010), looking at how knowledge is constructed, presented and communicated. The difference between communicating within the community of experts and communicating outside the specific community becomes central (Calsamiglia & van Dijk, 2004) and the empowerment of lay people and non-experts

beyond professional circles and academic communities also becomes relevant.

Studies on popularisation have long highlighted the different purposes that guide both writers and readers in public communication, as opposed to communication within the research community. Studies on web-mediated genres (e.g. Bondi et al., 2015; Bondi & Cacchiani, 2021) have developed special attention to the issue of making knowledge accessible also to non-experts (or experts in other fields). The process is often seen as one of reformulation of expert discourse (e.g. Gotti, 2014) and recontextualisation (Calsamiglia & van Dijk, 2004, p. 371). The expectation is also that knowledge will be used by the receiver to change practices or viewpoints or for intellectual growth (see also Bondi, 2020) in an active learning process aiming at an effective use of the transferred knowledge.

The elements defining the process are thus diverse, ranging from the content to be disseminated to the medium of communication, but above all, the context of the message and the intended users. The purposes may vary according to context: knowledge transfer in university–industry research partnership, knowledge translation from basic science to clinical trials in “bench-to-bedside” medical research, and so on. But also different reasons may guide people’s interest in acquiring new knowledge, ranging from getting guidance in their practices, satisfying their curiosity, and pursuing intellectual growth to exploring or confirming viewpoints. And different purposes may guide scientists in their attempt to reach out to a wider audience, as shown quite clearly by Engberg (this volume) in his interesting attempt to map different levels and forms of engagement, ranging from forms of vulgarisation (entertainment) to more neutral dissemination of information about research, through to popularisation actually aiming at readers’ empowerment. Beyond the binary distinction between research communication and popularisation, the digital transformation has highlighted a whole cline of possibilities that deserve further exploration. The chapters in the volume edited by Plo-Alastrué and Corona Marzol provide interesting insights into his cline of possibilities.

References

- Baron, N. (2008). *Always on: Language in an online and mobile world*. Oxford University Press.
- Berg, M., & Seeber, B. K. (2016). *The slow professor: Challenging the culture of speed in the academy*. University of Toronto Press.
- Bondi, M. (2018a). Dialogicity in written language use: Variation across expert action games. In E. Weigand & I. Kovecses (Eds.), *From pragmatics to dialogue* (pp. 137–170). John Benjamins.
- Bondi, M. (2018b). “Try to prove me wrong”: Dialogicity and audience involvement in economics blogs. *Discourse, Context & Media*, 24, 33–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcm.2018.04.011>.
- Bondi, M. (2018c). Blogs as interwoven polylogues. *Language and Dialogue*, 8(1), 44–66.
- Bondi, M. (2020). Academics online: Code glosses across research genres and public communication. In M. Gotti, S. Mack, & M. Sala (Eds.), *Scholarly pathways. Knowledge transfer and knowledge exchange in academia* (pp. 57–82). Peter Lang.
- Bondi, M. (2022). Dialogicity in individual and institutional scientific blogs. *Publications*, 10(1), 9.
- Bondi, M., & Cacchiani, S. (2021). Knowledge communication and knowledge dissemination in a digital world. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 186, 117–123.
- Bondi, M., Cacchiani, S., & Mazzi, D. (Eds.). (2015). *Discourse in and through the media: Recontextualizing and reconceptualizing expert discourse*. Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- Calsamiglia, H., & Van Dijk, T. A. (2004). Popularization discourse and knowledge about the genome. *Discourse & Society*, 15(4), 369–389.
- Cope, B., & Kalantzis, M. (2014). Changing knowledge ecologies and the transformation of the scholarly journal. In B. Cope, & A. Phillips (Eds.), *The future of the academic journal* (pp. 9–83). Chandos Publishing.
- Corona, I. (2021). A window to the world: Visual design and research visibility of European research projects’ homepages. In R. Lorés-Sanz, & G. Diani (Eds.), *Disseminating knowledge: Digital discourse in academic and institutional contexts* (Special Issue). *European Journal of English Studies* 25, 355–371.
- Gotti, M. (2014). Reformulation and recontextualization in popularization discourse. *Ibérica, Revista de la Asociación Europea de Lenguas para Fines Específicos*, (27), 15–34.
- Herring, S., Stein, D., & Virtanen, T. (Eds.). (2013). *Pragmatics of computer-mediated communication*. DeGruyter.

- Holmberg, K., & Thelwall, M. (2014). Disciplinary differences in Twitter scholarly communication. *Scientometrics*, 101(2), 1027–1042.
- Hyland, K. (2016). *Academic publishing: Issues and challenges in the construction of knowledge*. Oxford Applied Linguistics. Oxford University Press.
- Hyland, K., & Jiang, F. K. (2019). *Academic discourse and global publishing: Disciplinary persuasion in changing times*. Routledge.
- Johnson, R., Watkinson, A., & Mabe, M. (2018). The STM report. *An overview of scientific and scholarly publishing*. 5th edition October.
- Jünger, J., & Fähnrich, B. (2020). Does really no one care? Analyzing the public engagement of communication scientists on Twitter. *New Media & Society*, 22(3), 387–408.
- Kastberg, P. (2010). Knowledge communication. *Formative Ideas and Research Impetus. Programmatic Perspectives*, 2(1), 59–71.
- Klar, S., Krupnikov, Y., Ryan, J. B., Searles, K., & Shmargad, Y. (2020). Using social media to promote academic research: Identifying the benefits of Twitter for sharing academic work. *PLoS one*, 15(4), e0229446.
- Lee, J. J., & Haupt, J. P. (2021). Scientific globalism during a global crisis: Research collaboration and open access publications on COVID-19. *Higher Education*, 81(5), 949–966.
- Lillis, T. M., & Curry, M. J. (2010). *Academic writing in a global context*. Routledge.
- Lockley, P., & Carrigan, M. (2011). Continual publishing across journals, blogs and social media maximises impact by increasing the size of the ‘academic footprint’. *Impact of Social Sciences Blog*.
- Lorés, R., & Diani, G. (Eds.). (2021) Disseminating knowledge. The effects of digitalised academic discourse on language, genre and identity. Special issue of the *European Journal of English Studies*, 25(3), 249–258. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13825577.2021.1988262>
- Lorés, R. (2020). Science on the web: The exploration of European research websites of energy-related projects as digital genres for the promotion of values. *Discourse, Context & Media*, 35, 1–10.
- Luzón, M. J., & Pérez-Llantada, C. (Eds.). (2019). *Science communication on the Internet: Old genres meet new genres* (Vol. 308). John Benjamins.
- Marshall, P. D., Kim, B., & Christopher, M. (2017). Academic persona: The construction of online reputation in the modern academy. In D. Lupton, I. Mewburn., & P. Thomson, (Eds.), *The digital academic* (pp. 47–62). Routledge.
- Mauranen, A. (2010). Features of English as a lingua franca in academia. *Helsinki English Studies*, 6(6), 28.

- Mur-Dueñas, P. (2018). Disseminating and constructing academic knowledge in online scholarly journals: An analysis of virtual special issue introductions. *Discourse, Context & Media*, 24, 43–52.
- Mur-Dueñas, P. (2021). Engagement markers in research project websites: Promoting interactivity and dialogicity. *Poznań Studies in Contemporary Linguistics* 57(4), 655–676.
- Pascual, D., Mur-Dueñas, P., & Lorés-Sanz, R. (2020). Looking into international research groups' digital discursive practices: Criteria and methodological steps in the compilation of the *EUROPRO* digital corpus. *Research in Corpus Linguistics* 8 (2), 87–102.
- Pérez-Llantada, C. (2016). How is the digital medium shaping research genres? Some cross-disciplinary trends. *ESP Today* 4(1), 22–42.
- Plo-Alastrué, R., & Pérez-Llantada, C. (Eds.). (2015). *English as a scientific and research language: Debates and discourses* (English in Europe, Vol. 2). Walter de Gruyter.
- Richardson, E. T. (2020). Pandemicity, COVID-19 and the limits of public health 'science'. *BMJ Global Health*, 5(4), e002571.
- Vicente-Sáez, R., & Martínez-Fuentes, C. (2018). Open Science now: A systematic literature review for an integrated definition. *Journal of Business Research*, 88, 428–436.
- Weitkamp, E., Milani, E., Ridgway, A., & Wilkinson, C. (2021). Exploring the digital media ecology: Insights from a study of healthy diets and climate change communication on digital and social media. *Journal of Science Communication*, 20, A02.
- Yus, F. (2015). Interactions with readers through online specialized genres: Specificity or adaptability? In L. Gil-Salom, & C. Soler-Monreal (Eds.), *Dialogicity in written specialised genres* (pp. 189–208). Benjamins.
- Zappavigna, M. (2012). *Discourse of Twitter and social media: How we use language to create affiliation on the web*. Bloomsbury.

Acknowledgements

This volume has been funded by the Spanish Ministerio de Industria, Economía y Competitividad under the projects “Toward Greater Visibility and Dissemination of Scientific Research” (FFI2017-84205-P) and “SciDis: Processes of Recontextualisation in the Transfer of Knowledge” (PID2021-122303NB-100).

We wish to express our warmest gratitude to our colleagues, the members of the InterGedi research group (Universidad de Zaragoza), for their continued support and helpful collaboration in the process of publication of this volume.

Contents

Part I	An Introduction to Scientific Research Communication Through Digital Media	1
1	Digital Scholarly Practices in Scientific Communication: Paths and Goals in Research Dissemination	3
	<i>Daniel Pascual, Ramón Plo-Alastrué, and Isabel Corona</i>	
Part II	Scientific Discourse and Professional Practices	31
2	“Not One of Our Experts.” Knowledge Claims and Group Affiliations in Online Discussions of the COVID-19 Vaccine	33
	<i>Ruth Breeze</i>	
3	Utmost Hybridity: Promotional Trends in Technology Disclosures	53
	<i>Carmen Sancho-Guinda</i>	

4	Dissemination of Knowledge During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Conceptual Metaphor Analysis of Research and Popular Articles	81
	<i>Fatma Benelhadj</i>	
5	Authorial Stance and Identity Building in Weblogs by Law Scholars and Scientists	101
	<i>Giuliana Diani and Maria Freddi</i>	
Part III	Visibility and Dissemination in Scientific Research Contexts	125
6	Predatory Journals: A Potential Threat to the Dissemination of Open Access Knowledge	127
	<i>Ana Bocanegra-Valle</i>	
7	Between Infotainment and Citizen Science: Degrees of Intended Non-expert Participation Through Knowledge Communication	149
	<i>Jan Engberg</i>	
8	Video Abstracts for Increasing Researcher Visibility	171
	<i>Olga Dontcheva-Navratilova</i>	
9	Reconceptualisation of Genre(s) in Scholarly and Scientific Digital Practices: A Look at Multimodal Online Genres for the Dissemination of Science	193
	<i>Noelia Ruiz-Madrid and Julia Valeiras-Jurado</i>	
Part IV	Engaging the Audience Through Science Bites	221
10	Three-Minute Thesis Presentations: Engaging the Audience Through Multimodal Resources	223
	<i>Vicent Beltrán-Palanques</i>	

11	Introducing Science to the Public in 3-Minute Talks: Verbal and Non-verbal Engagement Strategies	251
	<i>Juan C. Palmer-Silveira and Miguel F. Ruiz-Garrido</i>	
12	Research Visibility and Speaker Ethos: A Comparative Study of Researcher Identity in 3MT Presentations and Research Group Videos	279
	<i>Elizabeth Rowley-Jolivet and Shirley Carter-Thomas</i>	
Part V	Scientific Digital Communication for Research Dissemination: What Lies Ahead?	309
13	Challenges and Future Directions in Digitally Mediated Research Publication and Dissemination	311
	<i>Vijay K. Bhatia</i>	
Index		327

Notes on Contributors

Vicent Beltrán-Palanques is an assistant professor in the Department of English Studies at Universitat Jaume I and a member of the GRAPE research group (Group for Research on Academic and Professional English). His research interests include multimodal literacy, multimodal discourse analysis, ESP pedagogy and English-medium instruction. He has participated in national and international conferences. Part of his research has been published in journals like the *Journal of English Studies*, *System*, *Revista Alicantina de Estudios Ingleses*, *CALL-EJ* (2021) and *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. He has authored book chapters published in international publishers such as *Routledge*, *Springer* and *Tirant lo Blanc*. He has participated in several research projects related to the field of multimodal discourse analysis and English-medium instruction, as well as in innovation projects.

Fatma Benelhadj is an assistant professor at the Faculty of Letters and Humanities of Sfax (FLSHS). She holds a PhD in English Linguistics from the same institution. She lectures mainly on English grammar, semantics, cognitive linguistics, translation theory, and academic writing. Her research interests include systemic functional linguistics, conceptual metaphor and translation. She is also a member of Laboratory on Approaches to Discourse (LAD), treasurer of SYFLAT—an association promoting research on systemic functional linguistics—and member of a

project on gender equality (INSAF-FEM). She is one of the core members of the 'Pôle Étudiant Entrepreneur' (PEES), which aims to promote the entrepreneurial spirit of students. Moreover, she is the coordinator of RANKUS in the Faculty of Letters and Humanities of Sfax (FLSHS): a unit working on promoting the ranking of the University of Sfax. She has published, among others, in *Perspectives from Systemic Functional Linguistics: An Applicable Theory of Language* and in *Journal of Pragmatics*.

Vijay K. Bhatia is a retired Professor from City University of Hong Kong and is now Adjunct Professor at the Chinese University of Hong Kong and Visiting Professor at the Hellenic American University in Athens (Greece). Some of his research projects include *Analyzing Genre-bending in Corporate Disclosure Practices* and *International Arbitration Practice: A Discourse Analytical Study*, in which he led research teams from more than 20 countries. His research interests include (critical) genre theory, analysis of academic and professional discourses, particularly in legal, business, promotional and new media contexts; ESP and professional communication; simplification and 'easification' of legal and other public documents. Three of his monographs on genre analysis, *Analyzing Genre: Language Use in Professional Settings* (1993), *Worlds of Written Discourse: A Genre-based View* (2004) and *Critical Genre Analysis: Interdiscursive Performance in Professional Practice* (2017) are widely used in genre theory and practice.

Ana Bocanegra-Valle is a senior lecturer at the University of Cádiz (Spain) where she teaches Maritime English at graduate and postgraduate levels. Her main research interests include Maritime English, ESP/EAP methodology, English for research publication purposes, and ESP/EAP discourse. She has been the editor-in-chief of the Languages for Specific Purposes (LSP) journal *Ibérica* for eight years and is at present Book Review editor for *ESP Today* and *Spanish Journal of Applied Linguistics*. She has published articles and book chapters in prestigious journals and publishing houses and has served as peer reviewer for a wide number of journals both in Spain and abroad. She is the head of the research group LaCAP (Languages Applied to Communication in Academic and Professional Settings). Her latest books are *Applied Linguistics and Knowledge Transfer: Employability, Internationalisation and Social*

Challenges (2020) and *Ethnographies of Academic Writing. Theory, Methods, and Interpretation* (co-edited, 2021).

Marina Bondi is Professor of English Linguistics at the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia. Founding Director of the *CLAVIER* centre (*Corpus and LAnguage Variation In English Research*), she has published extensively in the field of genre analysis, EAP and corpus linguistics, with a focus on argumentative dialogue and language variation across genres, disciplines and cultures. Her recent interest centres on knowledge dissemination and the impact of digital media and digital communication on specialised academic and professional discourse. She coordinates the Modena Unit of a national project on *Communicating Transparency: New Trends in English-Language Corporate and Institutional Disclosure Practices in Intercultural Settings*.

Ruth Breeze is Full Professor of English at the University of Navarra, Spain, and PI of the Public Discourse Research Group in the Instituto Cultura y Sociedad. Her most recent books are *Pandemic and Crisis Discourse: Communicating COVID-19 and Public Health Strategy* (with Andreas Musolff, 2022), *Teaching English Medium Instruction Courses in Higher Education* (with Carmen Sancho Guinda, 2021) and *Imagining the Peoples of Europe: Populist Discourses Across the Political Spectrum* (with Jan Zienkowski, 2019). Together with Maria Kuteeva, she is Editor-in-Chief of *Ibérica*.

Shirley Carter-Thomas is Professor of English linguistics and Communication at Institut Mines-Télécom Business School and a member of the French CNRS research team LATTICE. She is also a member of the French national ESP research association, GERAS, where she participates in the activities of the special interest group on academic literacy. Her research areas span functional and contrastive linguistics, genre analysis and the linguistic analysis of written and oral academic discourse. With Elizabeth Rowley-Jolivet, she has recently co-authored a series of articles focusing on the specificities of a number of web-mediated genres, including science podcasts, open lab notebooks, research group videos and three-minute thesis presentations on YouTube.

Isabel Corona is Senior Lecturer in English Studies at the Universidad de Zaragoza (Spain). Her research has focused on the analysis of academic and professional genres and discourses in traditional and new media contexts. She has participated in several national and international projects, on international commercial arbitration practices, on generic integrity in academic and professional communication, on English as a lingua franca across specialised discourses and on web-mediated visibility of scientific research. She has published in a number of international journals and edited volumes. She is engaged in the processes of multi-modal recontextualisation in professional digital contexts.

Giuliana Diani is Associate Professor of English Language and Linguistics at the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Italy. She holds an MA in Language Studies from the University of Lancaster (UK) and a PhD in English Linguistics from the University of Pisa (Italy). She has worked and published on various aspects of discourse analysis and EAP, with special reference to language variation across academic genres, disciplines, and cultures through the analysis of small, specialised corpora. Her recent work has centred on the analysis of language use in knowledge dissemination addressing children and teenagers.

Olga Dontcheva-Navratilova is Associate Professor of English Linguistics at the Faculty of Education, Masaryk University, Czech Republic. Her research interests include English for academic and specific purposes and political discourse. She has published the books *Analysing Genre: The Colony Text of UNESCO Resolutions* (2009) and *Coherence in Political Speeches* (2011) and co-authored *Persuasion in Specialised Discourse* (2020). She is co-editor of the journal *Discourse and Interaction*.

Jan Engberg is Professor of Knowledge Communication at the Department of German Business Communication, School of Communication and Culture, Aarhus University, Denmark. His main areas of research interest are the study of texts and genres in the academic field, cognitive aspects of domain-specific discourse and the relations between specialised knowledge and text formulation as well as basic aspects of communication in domain-specific settings. His research has

been focused upon communication and translation in the field of law as well as other fields of academic communication like climate change communication. He has widely published his investigations in high-quality journals such as *Journal of Pragmatics*, *Parallèles* or *International Journal of Legal Discourse*. He is furthermore editor of the international journals *Fachsprache* and *Hermes* and member of advisory boards of a considerable number of international scientific journals like *Ibérica* and *Comparative Law and Language*.

Maria Freddi is Associate Professor of English Language and Linguistics at the University of Pavia, Italy, where she has been teaching corpus linguistics and ESP/EAP courses with a focus on English for science and technology and academic and popular writing. From 2019 to 2022, she was a member of the Erasmus+ Becoming a Digital Global Engineer, BADGE, research project, aimed at developing open language resources for students of engineering around Europe. She is working on a book for John Benjamins, a monograph on writing in science and technology.

Juan C. Palmer-Silveira, PhD in English Philology, is a member of the research group GRAPE (Group for Research on Academic and Professional English) and is working as an associate professor at Universitat Jaume I (Castelló, Spain), where he is also coordinating the University Master in English Language for International Trade (ELIT), currently in its 18th edition. His main research fields are English writing techniques, as well as English for professional and academic purposes, having specialised in English for Business Communication. In recent times he has been working on multimodal discourse analysis (MDA). He has published in relevant international journals (*Comunicar*, *RELC*, *Ibérica*, *Business and Professional Communication Quarterly*, *The Reading Matrix*, *British Journal of Educational Technology*) and in well-known publishing houses (Peter Lang, Rodopi, Farleigh Dickinson, Routledge, John Benjamins, Narcea).

Daniel Pascual holds a PhD in English Studies from the Universidad de Zaragoza (Spain). His doctoral thesis focused on the pragmatic strategies employed in digital scholarly practices by international scientific research groups for knowledge dissemination and e-visibility, such as websites and social media. As part of the InterGedi research group, his research interests

comprise digital pragmatics, digital discourse analysis, multimodality, metadiscourse and ethnographically oriented analyses. He has published his work in a number of national and international, high-quality journals.

Ramón Plo-Alastrué is Professor of English Linguistics at the Universidad de Zaragoza (Spain). His interests include the analysis of traditional written academic genres (i.e. patterns of lexicogrammatical, rhetorical and discursive features); the identification of cultural norms and conventions adhered to in institutional research in the academic ‘semiperiphery’; and, as a member of the InterGedi research group, digital genre analysis.

Elizabeth Rowley-Jolivet is a research member of the Laboratoire Ligérien de Linguistique, University of Orleans, France, and of the French national ESP research association, GERAS. Her research covers the multimodal and linguistic features of spoken and written scientific discourse, genre analysis, academic literacies and the epistemology of science. Her interest in genre evolution and in Open Science led her to turn to digital genres in the early 2010, when she co-convened two ESSE seminars on *From Downloading to Uploading: New Spaces and New Voices in Web Authoring* (ESSE 10, 2010) and *From Print to Web 2.0 : What Future for professional discourses?* (ESSE 11, 2012) and co-edited the volume *Evolving Genres in Web-mediated Communication* (Peter Lang, 2012). She has since then published studies on open science laboratory protocols, open lab notebooks, science podcasts and videos and three-minute thesis presentations.

Miguel F. Ruiz-Garrido, PhD member of the research group GRAPE (Group for Research on Academic and Professional English), is an associate professor at Universitat Jaume I (Castelló, Spain). His main research fields are English for professional and academic purposes, including English for Business Communication or Multimodal Discourse Analysis, among others. He has published in international journals (*Business and Professional Communication Quarterly*, *Ibérica*, *ESP Today*, *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *System* or *Revista de Lengüas para Fines Específicos*) as well as in well-known publishing houses. His most recent publications are “Examining Students’ Reflections on a Collaborative Online International Learning Project in an ICLHE Context” (Mestre-

Segarra and Ruiz-Garrido, *System*, 2022) and “Moodle E-learning Platform as a Complementary Tool in ICLHE Contexts” (Ruiz-Garrido and Fortanet-Gómez, *RLFE*, 2022).

Noelia Ruiz-Madrid is a senior lecturer at Universitat Jaume I, Spain, where she lectures English writing, English language learning and teaching, and digital genres at graduate and postgraduate levels. Her research interests are MDA (Multimodal Discourse Analysis), academic and professional genres, and digital genres. Her publications have appeared in *Ibérica*, *Discourse Studies*, *International Journal of English Studies*, *Language and Communication* and *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*.

Carmen Sancho-Guinda is a senior lecturer in the Department of Applied Linguistics at the Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain, where she teaches English for Academic and Professional Communication and in-service seminars for engineering teachers undertaking English-medium instruction. Her research interests comprise the interdisciplinary study of academic and professional discourses and genres. Among her publications in these areas are *Stance and Voice in Written Academic Genres* (2012, coedited with Ken Hyland for Palgrave), *Narratives in Academic and Professional Genres* (2013, coedited with Maurizio Gotti and winner of the 2015 Enrique Alcaraz Research Award), *Interpersonality in Legal Genres* (2014, coedited with Ruth Breeze and Maurizio Gotti), *Essential Competencies for English-Medium University Teaching* (2017, coedited with Ruth Breeze for Springer), *Engagement in Professional Genres* (edited in 2019), and *Teaching English-Medium Instruction Courses in Higher Education* (2021, co-written with Ruth Breeze). She was editor-in-chief of *Ibérica* from 2018 to 2021 and is an editorial member of the *Journal of English for Academic Purposes* (Elsevier), *Frontiers in Communication*, *Revista de Lingüística y Lenguas Aplicadas*, and the University of Bergamo’s CERLIS series.

Julia Valeiras-Jurado is an assistant professor at Universitat Jaume I, Spain, where she lectures English for Specific Purposes and English writing. Her research interests are multimodality, academic and business discourse, academic and business genres, oral genres and persuasive language. Her publications have appeared in *Ibérica*, *Text & Talk*, *International Journal of English Studies*, *Language and Communication* and *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*.

List of Figures

Fig. 3.1	Genre colonies in the online constellation/ecosystem at the UPM	60
Fig. 3.2	Two contemporary samples of thesis poster formats at the UPM from the masters (above) and PhD (below) programmes	64
Fig. 3.3	Average TD sample from the UPM's technologies portfolio (English version, 2018). (Reproduction authorised)	65
Fig. 3.4	The 'no man's land' of TDs at the UPM compared with contemporary patent texts from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) and the European Patent Office (EPO)	71
Fig. 3.5	Credentials most frequently reported in the TD's references section at the UPM	73
Fig. 3.6	Title typology in the UPM's current English version of its <i>Portfolio of Technologies</i>	74
Fig. 5.1	Concordances of <i>my</i> in law blogs (right sorted). (Source: SCOTUSblog, UKCLA)	111
Fig. 5.2	Concordances of <i>me</i> in law blogs (left sorted). (Source: SCOTUSblog)	112
Fig. 5.3	Concordances of <i>me</i> in law blogs (left sorted). (Source: SCOTUSblog)	112
Fig. 5.4	Concordances of <i>me</i> in law blogs (left sorted). (Source: SCOTUSblog)	112

Fig. 5.5	Concordances of <i>I think</i> in science blogs (right sorted). (Source: GEN, MB, NEU)	114
Fig. 5.6	Concordances of <i>let me</i> in science blogs (left sorted). (Source: GEN, MB, NEU)	115
Fig. 5.7	Concordances of <i>my family</i> (right-sorted) in science blogs. (Source: GEN, MB, NEU)	118
Fig. 7.1	Policy concept of ‘open science’ related to participation in science and its components	156
Fig. 7.2	Policy concepts of ‘open science’ and ‘citizen science’ related to participation in science	157
Fig. 7.3	Policy concepts and knowledge communication concepts related to participation in science	165
Fig. 8.1	The opening and content slides of a conferential video abstract (JNT_VA_02)	177
Fig. 9.1	Screenshot of the analysis of <i>Why Are We the Only Humans Left?</i> in MMAV	202
Fig. 9.2	Screenshot of the visualisation of results in <i>Why Are We the Only Humans Left?</i> provided by the state machine tool	202
Fig. 9.3	Examples of clothing conveying different strategies in <i>Why the Muon g-2 Results Are So Exciting!</i> and <i>What Is the Magic Russian Diamond?</i>	204
Fig. 9.4	Example of the use of artefacts for visualisation purposes in <i>What Is the Magic Russian Diamond?</i>	205
Fig. 9.5	Example of the use of animated written words	206
Fig. 9.6	Sequence in <i>Why the Muon g-2 Results Are So Exciting!</i>	206
Fig. 9.7	Annotated sequence in <i>Megalodon</i>	207
Fig. 9.8	Description of the increasing complexity of the multimodal ensembles	207
Fig. 9.9	Sequence in <i>Why Are We the Only Humans Left?</i>	208
Fig. 9.10	Description of the evolution of the multimodal ensemble in <i>Why Are We the Only Humans Left?</i>	208
Fig. 9.11	Cross-referencing strategy in <i>Muon</i>	210
Fig. 9.12	Example of modal density and complexity of <i>Muon</i> video	211
Fig. 9.13	Multimodal realisation of recontextualisation strategies in <i>Why Are We the Only Humans Left?</i>	212
Fig. 9.14	Visual representation in <i>What Is the Magic Russian Diamond?</i>	213

Fig. 9.15	Multimodal realisation of emphasis in <i>Why Are We the Only Humans Left?</i>	214
Fig. 9.16	<i>Muong</i> speaker interacting with the online media	215
Fig. 10.1	Annotation density plot (ELAN). Presenter 1	234
Fig. 10.2	Annotation density plot (ELAN). Presenter 2	234
Fig. 10.3	Annotation density plot (ELAN). Presenter 1	239
Fig. 10.4	Annotation density plot (ELAN). Presenter 2	239
Fig. 10.5	An example of the annotation of a multimodal ensemble (Presenter 2, Orientation move)	240
Fig. 10.6	Orientation move, referential “you”, facial expression-raising eyebrows, gaze direction-frontal, gesture-beat, posture-frontal	242
Fig. 10.7	Orientation move, referential “you”, facial expression-raising eyebrows, gaze direction-upward and frontal, gesture-beat and iconic, posture-frontal	243
Fig. 10.8	Rationale move, inclusive “we”, facial expression-neutral, gaze direction-neutral, gesture-iconic, posture-frontal	243
Fig. 10.9	Rationale move, inclusive “we”, facial expression-neutral, neutral and frowning, gaze direction-neutral, gesture-beat, iconic and beat, posture-frontal	243
Fig. 10.10	Methods move, self-reference “I”, facial expression-neutral, gaze direction-frontal, gesture-iconic, posture-frontal	244
Fig. 10.11	Methods move, exclusive “we”, facial expression-neutral, gaze direction-frontal, gesture-iconic, posture-frontal	244
Fig. 11.1	Examples of smiles/frowns	264
Fig. 11.2	Examples of hand movements	265
Fig. 11.3	Examples of pointing fingers	266
Fig. 11.4	Examples of gestures to conclude the presentation	266
Fig. 11.5	Examples on the use of props	267
Fig. 11.6	Specific use of a prop to define two different characters within a presentation	268
Fig. 11.7	Use of background settings in a presentation	268
Fig. 11.8	Use of different outfits	269
Fig. 12.1	Body posture accompanying the speaker’s words “Would you believe me if I told you this was my brain on drugs?” in a 3MT talk (STEMM). Note: All the illustrations are original, specially commissioned drawings by Hai-Hsin Huang based on screenshots from the video recordings	287

Fig. 12.2	(a) Iconic gesture accompanying the words “Now if we heat the device from underneath” (3MT, STEMM), (b) Metaphoric gesture accompanying the words “Protestants came to REJECT the majority of these interpretations” (3MT, SSH), (c) Deictic gesture accompanying the words “THIS is exciting!” (3MT, SSH), and (d) Beat gesture accompanying the words “as mum always said, ‘there’s MORE [<i>beat</i>] to LIFE [<i>beat</i>] than DRUGS [<i>beat</i>]” (3MT, STEMM) in 3MTs	288
Fig. 12.3	Researcher identity projection in RGVs. (a) Science researcher in his lab (RGV, STEMM), (b) Humanities researcher in her office (RGV, SSH)	293
Fig. 12.4	Identities performed by <i>I</i> (in number of occurrences)	302
Fig. 12.5	Identities performed by <i>we</i> (in number of occurrences)	303
Fig. 13.1	Research publication and dissemination process	314
Fig. 13.2	Questionable research	317

List of Tables

Table 3.1	Rhetorical moves of the TDs at the UPM and their major features	70
Table 4.1	Corpus distribution	87
Table 5.1	Composition of comparable corpora: law and science blogs	104
Table 5.2	Frequency distributions of <i>I</i> , <i>me</i> , and <i>my</i> in the law and science blogs (descending frequency order)	108
Table 5.3	Collocates of <i>me</i> in science blogs (1L and ranked by significance)	115
Table 5.4	Collocates of <i>my</i> in science blogs (1R ranked by frequency)	117
Table 5.5	Representation of blogger's identity in the law and science blogs	119
Table 6.1	Main categories (node), sources, and occurrences (references) provided by NVivo	133
Table 6.2	Categories and examples for Theme 1 "Journal Information"	135
Table 6.3	Categories and examples for Theme 2 "Boastful Language"	137
Table 6.4	Categories and examples for Theme 3 "Journal Quality"	139
Table 8.1	Corpus size and composition	174
Table 8.2	Types of <i>JNT</i> video abstract in the corpus	177
Table 8.3	Move structure of <i>JNT</i> video abstracts in comparison with printed abstracts	180
Table 8.4	Comparison of self-mention and reader reference in printed and video <i>JNT</i> abstracts (per 1000 words)	184

Table 8.5	Self-mention and reader reference across the four types of <i>JNT</i> video abstracts (per 1000 words)	186
Table 9.1	Description of the corpus	200
Table 9.2	Modes used in the coding library	203
Table 9.3	Recontextualisation strategies present in our corpus	209
Table 9.4	Description of multimodal realisation of recontextualisation strategies in <i>Muon</i>	212
Table 9.5	Description of multimodal realisation of recontextualisation strategies in <i>Why Are We the Only Humans Left?</i>	213
Table 10.1	Controlled vocabulary	230
Table 10.2	Length of the moves	232
Table 10.3	Typology and frequency of interpersonal strategies found in the dataset	233
Table 10.4	Distribution of interpersonal strategies in the moves	235
Table 10.5	Recurrent multimodal ensembles as instantiated in the rhetorical moves	241
Table 11.1	Dataset description	259
Table 11.2	Number of appearances of the pronoun “you” and functions implied in its use	259
Table 12.1	The corpus	282
Table 12.2	Non-verbal resources for identity projection in the RGVs	291
Table 12.3	Questions in the 3MTs and RGVs	298
Table 12.4	Functions of <i>you</i> in the RGVs and 3MTs	299
Table 12.5	Occurrences of <i>I</i> and <i>we</i> in RGVs and 3MTs	301