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#### Abstract

The authors would like to correct parts of Step (b) and Step (d) in Theorem 1 in [1] since both Steps contain a mistake. In particular:

Step (b) Proving that $\Sigma_{m}$ has a closed graph. On page 11, the part of Step (b) from line 18 has to be corrected as follows: Let us now prove that $f \in S_{G_{m}, q^{\prime}}^{1}$. Due to Mazur's convexity theorem, for each $k \in \mathrm{~N}$, there exists $p_{k} \in \mathrm{~N}$ and positive numbers $\beta_{k, i}, i=0, \ldots, p_{k}$, such that $\sum_{i=0}^{p_{k}} \beta_{k, i}=1$ and $r_{k}:=\sum_{i=0}^{p_{k}} \beta_{k, i} f_{k+i} \rightarrow f$ in $L^{1}([a, b], E)$. From the sequence $\left\{r_{k}\right\}_{k}$, we extract a subsequence, denoted as the sequence as usual, such that $r_{k}(t) \rightarrow f(t)$, for all $t \in[a, b] \backslash N_{1}$ with $\lambda\left(N_{1}\right)=0$. Moreover, for all $t \in[a, b] \backslash N_{2}$ with $\lambda\left(N_{2}\right)=0, G_{m}(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ is weakly u.s.c.

Put $N=N_{1} \cup N_{2}$ and consider $\mathrm{t}_{0} \in[a, b] \backslash N$. Then, for every weak neighborhood $V$ of $G_{m}\left(t_{0}, q\left(t_{0}\right), \dot{q}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$, there exists a weak neighborhood $W$ of $\left(q\left(t_{0}\right), \dot{q}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$ such that $G_{m}(t, x, y) \subset V$ when $(x, y) \in W$. Since the uniform convergence implies the weak pointwise convergence, it follows that $q_{k}\left(t_{0}\right) \rightharpoonup q\left(t_{0}\right)$ and $\dot{q}_{k}\left(t_{0}\right) \rightharpoonup \dot{q}\left(t_{0}\right)$. Thus, there exists $\bar{k}$ such that, for all $k \geq \bar{k},\left(q_{k}\left(t_{0}\right), \dot{q}_{k}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \in W$, yielding that $f_{k}\left(t_{0}\right) \in G_{m}\left(t_{0}, q_{k}\left(t_{0}\right), \dot{q}_{k}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \subset V$, i.e., that $r_{k}\left(t_{0}\right) \in V$, because $G_{m}$ is convex valued. Since $r_{k}\left(t_{0}\right) \rightarrow f\left(t_{0}\right)$, it follows that $f\left(t_{0}\right) \in \bar{V}$, for every weak neighborhood $V$ of $G_{m}\left(t_{0}, q\left(t_{0}\right), \dot{q}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$. Since $G_{m}$ is closed valued, the proof is complete.


Given $\Phi \in E^{*}$ and $t \in[a, b]$, consider the operator $\Phi: L^{1}([a, t], E) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ defined by

$$
\Phi(p):=\Phi\left(\int_{a}^{t} S(t-s) p(s) d s\right)
$$

Since $S(t-s)$ is bounded and linear, for every $t, s, \Phi$ is clearly linear and bounded. Moreover, $f_{k} \rightharpoonup f$ also in $L^{1}([a, t], E)$, and hence, we have that

$$
\Phi\left(\int_{a}^{t} S(t-s) f_{k}(s) d s\right)=\Phi\left(f_{k}\right) \rightarrow \Phi(f)=\Phi\left(\int_{a}^{t} S(t-s) f(s) d s\right)
$$

By the arbitrariness of $\Phi$, we conclude that

$$
\int_{a}^{t} S(t-s) f_{k}(s) d s \rightharpoonup \int_{a}^{t} S(t-s) f(s) d s
$$

Hence, since $P_{m}$ is a linear and bounded operator taking values in the finite-dimensional space $E_{m}$, it holds that

$$
\int_{a}^{t} P_{m} S(t-s) f_{k}(s) d s \rightarrow \int_{a}^{t} P_{m} S(t-s) f(s) d s
$$

The conclusion, then, can be completed like in last two lines of Part (b) [1].
Step (d) showing that $\Sigma_{m}$ maps bounded sets into relatively compact sets.
The part of Step (d) starting twelve lines below formula (25) has to be corrected as follows:

According to Lemma 3, $(t, x) \rightarrow C(t) x$ is continuous in $[a, b] \times E$ and, hence, uniformly continuous in the pre-compact set $[a, b] \times I_{1}$.

According to Lemma $2(h)$, since $S(s)$ is also linear and bounded, for every $s \in[a, b]$, we obtain by the same reasoning that

$$
\left\{S(s) f(s): q \in n B_{m,}, f \in S_{G_{m}, q}^{1}\right\} \subset E_{m} \cap X \subset X
$$

is relatively compact, hence

$$
I_{2}=\left\{\int_{a}^{t_{0}} S(s) f(s) d s: q \in n B_{m,}, f \in S_{G_{m}, q}^{1}\right\}
$$

is relatively compact in $X$.
According to (11), $(t, x) \rightarrow C^{\prime}(t) x$ is continuous in $[a, b] \times X$ and, hence, uniformly continuous in the pre-compact set $[a, b] \times I_{2}$.

The conclusion, then, can be completed like in last five lines of Part (d) [1].
With these corrections, the order of some equations have been adjusted accordingly.
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