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## 1. Introduction and results

In this paper we deal with mountain-pass solutions for a system of SchrödingerPoisson equations of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u+\phi u=K(x) u^{p}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1}\\
-\Delta \phi=u^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Precisely, we will find solutions having the following properties:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad u>0, \quad \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and hereafter $N \in\{3,4,5\}$ (see Section 3), $1<p<(N+2) /(N-2)$ and $V, K: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow R_{+}$are radial and smooth. For (1], existence, non-existence [12] and multiplicity results [4] have been found in the case $V=K=1$. On the other hand, we do not know any results on (1) in the presence of external potentials. $V, K$ in (1) are assumed to satisfy the same conditions introduced in [1] in the frame of Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations (NLS). Precisely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a}{1+|x|^{\alpha}} \leq V(x) \leq A \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha \in(0,2], a, A>0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<K(x) \leq \frac{b}{1+|x|^{\beta}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\beta, b>0$. The purpose of this paper is to extend these existence results to (1). It is convenient to introduce the following quantities:

$$
\sigma=\sigma(N, \alpha, \beta):= \begin{cases}\frac{N+2}{N-2}-\frac{4 \beta}{\alpha(N-2)} & \text { if } 0<\beta<\alpha  \tag{5}\\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{*}:=\frac{2(N-1)(N-2)}{3 N+2} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

DEFINITION 1. Saying that $(u, \phi)$ is a non-trivial positive solution of (1) we mean that both $u$ and $\phi$ are non-trivial, positive and radial. Furthermore, $u$ satisfies (2).

In order to find positive solutions of 11, we will distinguish between $2<p<3$ and $p \in\left[3,2^{*}-1\right)$. In the latter case we have the following

THEOREM 1. Let $\alpha<\alpha^{*}$ and $p \in\left(\sigma, 2^{*}-1\right) \cap\left[3,2^{*}-1\right)$. If $V$ and $K$ are radial, smooth, and satisfy (3) and (4), then (1) has a non-trivial positive classical mountainpass solution $(u, \phi) \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Moreover, we also have existence of positive classical solutions for $p$ in the interval $(2,3)$ if we assume that $V$ and $K$ satisfy:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(x, \nabla V) \leq c_{V}^{(1)} V(x) \quad \text { and } \quad c_{V}^{(1)} \in(0,2),  \tag{7}\\
(x, \nabla K) \geq c_{K}^{(1)} K(x) \quad \text { and } \quad c_{K}^{(1)} \in[2, \infty),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. We assume that $K$ is such that the following condition holds:
(8) $\exists \varepsilon \geq 0, q \geq 1$ such that $(x, \nabla K) \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $q^{\prime}(p+1-\varepsilon) \in\left[2+\alpha / \gamma, 2^{*}\right]$,
where

$$
\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1 \quad \text { for } q \in \mathbb{R}, \quad q^{\prime}:=1 \quad \text { for } q=\infty
$$

and

$$
\gamma:=\frac{2(N-1)-\alpha}{4}
$$

is a parameter related to inclusions of weighted Sobolev spaces and $L^{p}$ spaces. Furthermore, assuming $V$ is such that the following condition holds:
(9) $\exists \varepsilon \geq 0, r \geq 1$ such that $(x, \nabla V) \in L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $r^{\prime}(2-\varepsilon) \in\left[2+\alpha / \gamma, 2^{*}\right]$,
where $r^{\prime}$ is defined as for $q^{\prime}$, we can state the following

THEOREM 2. Let $\alpha<\alpha^{*}$ and $p \in\left(\sigma, 2^{*}-1\right) \cap(2,3)$. If $V$ and $K$ are radial, smooth, and satisfy (3), (4), (7)-(9), then (1) has a non-trivial positive classical solution $(u, \phi) \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

If instead of (7), we assume

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(x, \nabla V) \geq c_{V}^{(2)} V(x) \quad \text { and } \quad c_{V}^{(2)}>0  \tag{10}\\
(x, \nabla K) \leq c_{K}^{(2)} K(x) \quad \text { and } \quad c_{K}^{(2)} \in(0,2),
\end{array}\right.
$$

then, dealing with the case $p \in(2,3)$, we can state another existence result. Introducing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta:=2+c_{K}^{(2)} / 2 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have
ThEOREM 3. Let $\alpha<\alpha^{*}$ and $p \in\left(\sigma, 2^{*}-1\right) \cap(\delta, 3)$. If $V$ and $K$ are radial, smooth, and satisfy (3), (4), (8)-(10), then (1) has a non-trivial positive classical solution $(u, \phi) \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

REMARK 1. We observe that the decaying property in (2) is due to the radiality of the solutions found, while the property $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is proven in Lemma 6 , by adapting an argument from [1].

In the case $p \in(1,2]$, the previous theorems are completed by some non-existence results in Section 4. In spite of those results, we can also have existence for $p \in(1,2)$ if we consider the Poisson term as a small perturbation. Indeed, as in [12], we can state the following

Proposition 1. For $\alpha<\alpha^{*}, p \in\left(\sigma, 2^{*}-1\right) \cap(1,2)$ and $\lambda>0$ small enough, under the assumptions (3) and (4) the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u+\lambda \phi u=K(x) u^{p}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{12}\\
-\Delta \phi=u^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

has at least two different non-trivial positive classical solutions $(u, \phi)$, one of which is a mountain-pass solution.

Before proving the existence results we focus on giving the variational formulation of (1). So the next two sections deal with some functional preliminaries.

## 2. Notation and functional setting

Our aim is to use critical point theory, so let us introduce some functional spaces. We denote respectively by $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $H_{V}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ the Hilbert spaces defined
as the closure of $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with respect to the following norms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2} & :=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x \\
\|u\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2} & :=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+|u|^{2}\right) d x \\
\|u\|_{H_{V}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2} & :=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x)|u|^{2}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, we will work with the closed subspace $H \subset H_{V}$ defined as its restriction to radial functions:

$$
\|u\|_{H}^{2}:=S_{N} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(r)^{2}+\tilde{V}(r) \varphi(r)\right)^{2} r^{N-1} d r
$$

where $\varphi(|x|)=u(x), \tilde{V}(|x|)=V(x)$, and $S_{N}$ is the Lebesgue surface measure of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Denoting by $L_{K}^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ the weighted $L^{p+1}$ space with norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L_{K}^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p+1}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x)|u|^{p+1} d x \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have
LEMMA 1. The space $H_{V}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is embedded (resp. compactly embedded) in $L_{K}^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ if $\sigma \leq p \leq(N+2) /(N-2)$ (resp. if $\sigma<p<(N+2) /(N-2)$ ).
(For the proof see e.g. [11].) Due to the radiality, we can find that $H$ is compactly embedded in $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ under suitable conditions on $q$. More precisely, we have the following extension of the Strauss compactness theorem (see [13]) that we give together with its proof for the sake of completness. See also [14] for a more general case.

Lemma 2. Let $\gamma:=(2(N-1)-\alpha) / 4$. The space $H$ is compactly embedded in $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for any $q$ such that $2+\alpha / \gamma<q<2 N /(N-2)$.
Proof. If $N \geq 2$ and $u \in H$, then there exist two positive constants $C, \bar{R}$ such that for a.e. $|x|>\overline{\bar{R}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x)| \leq C|x|^{-\gamma}\|u\|_{H} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By density we can test the inequality on $C_{0, \text { rad }}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Define $\varphi$ by $\varphi(|x|)=u(x)$. An integration by parts gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(r)^{2} & =-2 \int_{r}^{\infty} \varphi^{\prime}(s) \varphi(s) d s \\
& \leq 2 \int_{r}^{\infty} s^{-(N-1)} \sqrt{\frac{1+s^{\alpha}}{a}} \sqrt{\frac{a}{1+s^{\alpha}}}\left|\varphi^{\prime}(s) \varphi(s)\right| s^{N-1} d s \\
& \leq C r^{-2 \gamma}\|u\|_{H}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $C>0$ and $r$ large enough, where in the last step we have used

$$
2 \sqrt{\frac{a}{1+s^{\alpha}}}\left|\varphi^{\prime}(s) \varphi(s)\right| \leq \varphi^{\prime}(s)^{2}+\varphi(s)^{2} \frac{a}{1+s^{\alpha}}
$$

and $s^{-(N-1)} \sqrt{1+s^{\alpha}} \searrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, because we are focusing on $\alpha \in(0,2]$.
Let

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \text { in } H
$$

Since on spheres we control the $H^{1}$ norm by the $H$ norm, and the Rellich-Kondrashov theorem holds, it is enough to show that, passing to a subsequence, and for $R$ large, the integral

$$
\int_{|x|>R}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x
$$

can be smaller than an a priori fixed $\varepsilon>0$ uniformly for $n \geq n_{0}$ for some $n_{0}>0$. In the following, $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{5}$ are suitable positive constants. Taking into account that

$$
\left|u_{n}(x)\right|^{q-2} \leq c_{1}|x|^{-\gamma(q-2)}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H}^{q-2} \leq c_{2}|x|^{-\gamma(q-2)}
$$

and $|x|^{\alpha-\gamma(q-2)} \searrow 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{|x|>R}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x & \leq c_{3} \int_{|x|>R}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-2}|x|^{\alpha} \frac{a}{1+|x|^{\alpha}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq c_{4} R^{\alpha-\gamma(q-2)}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H}^{2} \leq c_{5} R^{\alpha-\gamma(q-2)} \searrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $R \nearrow \infty$.
REMARK 2. It is worth pointing out that the space $H$ is embedded in $L^{q}$ for any $q \in\left[2+\alpha / \gamma, 2^{*}\right]$ (see e.g. [14]).

## 3. VARIATIONAL FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Solutions of (1) are the critical points of the functional
$I(u):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right) d x+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi_{u} u^{2} d x-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x)|u|^{p+1} d x$
(which turns out to be well defined, $C^{1}(H, \mathbb{R})$ and weakly lower semicontinuous, see below).

This is due to the fact that, given $u \in H$, thanks to the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a unique solution $\phi_{u}$ of the problem

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \phi \nabla v d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{2} v d x, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{rad}}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

Moreover, since $u^{2} \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}$, the following representation formula holds for $\phi_{u}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{u}(x)=\omega_{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u(y)^{2}}{|x-y|^{N-2}} d y \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{N}$ is the usual normalization factor of the Green function.
Now recall Remark 2 and observe that, because of the embedding of $H_{V}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ in $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, if $u \in H$, then $u \in L^{4 N /(N+2)}$, provided $\alpha \leq \alpha^{*} \Leftrightarrow 4 N /(N+2) \geq 2+\alpha / \gamma$. Actually, the strict inequality has been used in order to have the compactness property stated in the following lemma. For the same reason the restriction on $N$ is necessary, because it ensures that $4 N /(N+2)<2^{*}$.

The Hölder and Sobolev inequalities imply that, given $u \in H$, the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{u}: v \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{2} v d x \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is continuous in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ :

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{2} v d x\right| \leq\left\|u^{2}\right\|_{L^{2 N /(N+2)}}\|v\|_{L^{2 N /(N-2)}}=C(u)\|v\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}} .
$$

Introducing the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(u):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi_{u}(x) u^{2} d x \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have
LEmMA 3. If $\alpha<\alpha^{*}$, then $M$ is a compact operator on $H$, i.e., if $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$, then, $u p$ to a subsequence, $M\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow M(u)$.

Proof. Summing and subtracting $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi_{u_{n}} u^{2} d x$, by the Hölder and Sobolev inequalities we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|M\left(u_{n}\right)-M(u)\right|=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\phi_{u}(x) u^{2}-\phi_{u_{n}}(x) u_{n}^{2}\right] d x\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\phi_{u_{n}}\right\|_{L^{2 N /(N-2)}}\left\|u_{n}^{2}-u^{2}\right\|_{L^{2 N /(N+2)}}+\left\|\phi_{u_{n}}-\phi_{u}\right\|_{L^{2 N /(N-2)}}\left\|u^{2}\right\|_{L^{2 N /(N+2)}} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\phi_{u_{n}}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\|u_{n}^{2}-u^{2}\right\|_{L^{2 N /(N+2)}}+\left\|\phi_{u_{n}}-\phi_{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|u\|_{L^{4 N /(N+2)}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}^{2}-u^{2}\right\|_{L^{2 N /(N+2)}}^{2 N /(N+2)} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|u_{n}-u\right|\left|u_{n}+u\right|\right]^{2 N /(N+2)} d x \\
& \leq\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{4 N /(N+2)}}^{2 N /(N+2)}\left\|u_{n}+u\right\|_{L^{4 N /(N+2)}}^{2 N /(N+2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|M\left(u_{n}\right)-M(u)\right| \\
& \leq\left\|\phi_{u_{n}}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{4 N /(N+2)}}\left\|u_{n}+u\right\|_{L^{4 N /(N+2)}}+\left\|\phi_{u_{n}}-\phi_{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|u\|_{L^{4 N /(N+2)}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\alpha<\alpha^{*} \Leftrightarrow \frac{4 N}{N+2}>2+\frac{\alpha}{\gamma},
$$

Lemma 2 implies $H \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^{4 N /(N+2)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, hence, passing to a subsequence, we obtain $\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{4 N /(N+2)}} \rightarrow 0$ and therefore

$$
\left|M\left(u_{n}\right)-M(u)\right| \leq\left\|\phi_{u_{n}}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} o(1)+C\left\|\phi_{u_{n}}-\phi_{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}
$$

In order to estimate $\left\|\phi_{u_{n}}-\phi_{u}\right\|_{D^{1,2}}$ we argue as follows. One has

$$
\left\|L_{u_{n}}-L_{u}\right\| \leq \sup _{\|v\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=1}\left\|u_{n}^{2}-u^{2}\right\|_{L^{2 N /(N+2)}}\|v\|_{L^{2 N /(N-2)}}
$$

Since $\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{4 N /(N+2)}} \rightarrow 0$, passing to a subsequence, we have $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. and $\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} \leq g$ for some $g \in L^{2 N /(N+2)}$. Hence, the dominated convergence theorem implies $\left\|u_{n}^{2}-u^{2}\right\|_{L^{2 N /(N+2)}} \rightarrow 0$, and therefore $L_{u_{n}} \rightarrow L_{u}$. The Riesz representation theorem implies that $L_{u} \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2 *} \mapsto \phi_{u} \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ is an isometry, therefore $\phi_{u_{n}} \rightarrow \phi_{u}$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Lemma 3 and the compact embedding of $H$ in $L_{K}^{p+1}$ imply the weakly lower semicontinuity of $I$. It is standard to check also that $I$ is a $C^{1}(H, \mathbb{R})$ functional.

We conclude this section with a Pohozaev-like identity which will be useful later on. For the proof see the Appendix.

Lemma 4. Assume that $V$ and $K$ satisfy (3), (4), 8) and (9). If $u \in H_{V}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap$ $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a radial solution of the problem (1), then u satisfies the following identity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x & +\frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) u^{2} d x \\
+ & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(x, \nabla V(x)) u^{2} d x+\frac{N+2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi_{u} u^{2} d x \\
& =\frac{N}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x)|u|^{p+1} d x+\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(x, \nabla K(x))|u|^{p+1} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Proofs

Because we will use the mountain-pass theorem (see [3], [2]), we will need the following

LEMMA 5. I has the mountain-pass geometry for $p>2$.

Proof. The continuous embedding of $H$ in $L_{K}^{p+1}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{H}^{2}+o\left(\|u\|_{H}^{2}\right), \quad u \rightarrow 0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that $I$ has a strict local minimum at the origin. Furthermore, let us show that $I$ attains negative values on the curves $u_{t}(x):=t^{\lambda} u\left(t^{\mu} x\right)$ for a suitable choice of $u \in H$, positive $\lambda, \mu$ and large values of $t$. The case $3<p<2^{*}-1$ is standard and it can be checked taking any $u \in H \backslash\{0\}$ and putting $\mu=0, \lambda=1$. The case $p \in(2,3]$ can be treated as follows. Fix $u \in H \cap L^{2} \cap L^{p+1}$. Because of the integrability of $u$ and the boundedness of $V$ and $K$, the dominated convergence theorem yields the following asymptotics for $t \rightarrow \infty$ :
(19) $\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{H}^{2}=t^{2(\lambda+\mu)-\mu N}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+t^{2 \lambda-\mu N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V\left(t^{-\mu} x\right)|u|^{2} d x \approx t^{2(\lambda+\mu)-\mu N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x)\left|u_{t}\right|^{p+1} d x=t^{\lambda(p+1)-\mu N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K\left(t^{-\mu} x\right)|u|^{p+1} d x \approx t^{\lambda(p+1)-\mu N} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since

$$
\phi_{u_{t}}(x)=\omega_{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} t^{2 \lambda} u^{2}\left(t^{\mu} y\right) \frac{t^{\mu(N-2)}}{\left|t^{\mu} x-t^{\mu} y\right|^{N-2}} d y=t^{2 \lambda+\mu(N-2)-\mu N} \phi_{u}\left(t^{\mu} x\right)
$$

we have
(21) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi_{u_{t}}(x) u_{t}(x)^{2} d x=t^{4 \lambda+\mu(N-2)-2 \mu N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi_{u}(x) u(x)^{2} d x \approx t^{4 \lambda-\mu(N+2)}$.

Summing up (19)-(21) we get

$$
I\left(u_{t}\right) \approx t^{2(\lambda+\mu)-\mu N}+t^{4 \lambda-\mu(N+2)}-t^{\lambda(p+1)-\mu N}
$$

With the choice $\lambda=2 \mu$ we get $(19) \approx 21$, and for $p>2$, we have (20) $\gg 21$, so $I\left(u_{t}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, hence the functional has the mountain-pass geometry.

## Proof of Theorem 1.

STEP 1: For $p \geq 3$, I satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Take a sequence such that

$$
I\left(u_{n}\right)<C, \quad I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

We write

$$
\begin{aligned}
(p+1) I\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right) & =\frac{p-1}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H}^{2}+\frac{p-3}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi_{u_{n}}(x) u_{n}^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{p-1}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

iff $p \geq 3$. This shows that $u_{n}$ is bounded in $H$. Hence, passing to a subsequence, we have

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \in H \quad \text { and } \quad u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } L_{K}^{p+1}, \quad p \in\left(\sigma, 2^{*}-1\right) .
$$

So we write

$$
\begin{align*}
& o(1)=\left(I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right),\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right)=\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H}^{2}-\|u\|_{H}^{2}+o(1)  \tag{22}\\
& \quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi_{u_{n}}(x) u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

For the Poisson term we have

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi_{u_{n}}(x) u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x\right| \leq\left\|\phi_{u_{n}}\right\|_{D^{1,2}}\left\|u_{n} u-u_{n}^{2}\right\|_{L^{2 N /(N+2)}} .
$$

Now notice that, because of Lemma 3, $\phi_{u_{n}}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$. Moreover, because of the compact embedding in $L^{4 N /(N+2)}$, passing to a subsequence we have $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. and $\left|u_{n} u-u_{n}^{2}\right| \leq u \sqrt{g}+g \in L^{2 N /(N+2)}$ for some $g \in L^{2 N /(N+2)}$. Now, using the dominated convergence theorem we infer that $\left\|u_{n} u-u_{n}^{2}\right\|_{L^{2 N /(N+2)}} \rightarrow 0$, and thus

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi_{u_{n}}(x) u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x\right| \rightarrow 0
$$

In the same fashion, using Lemma 1, we see that the $p$-term tends to zero. From this and (22), it follows that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H}-\|u\|_{H} \rightarrow 0$ and hence $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $H$.

STEP 2: Conclusion. Now set $\Gamma:=\{\gamma \in C([0,1], H): \gamma(0)=0, I(\gamma(1))<0\}$. The previous steps and Lemma 5 show that the hypotheses of the mountain-pass theorem are satisfied, hence

$$
c:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I(\gamma(t))
$$

is a critical level of $I$ corresponding to a non-trivial weak solution in $H$. The bootstrap process can be performed (see the lemma below) and by a maximum principle argument it can be shown that we can actually get a positive classical solution.

Because we will use Lemma 4, we need to show that $H$-solutions actually belong to $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}$. More precisely, we state the following

Lemma 6. Let u be a weak solution in $H$ of the problem (1). Then $u \in H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Moreover, $u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, i.e. $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Proof. For convenience we write the first equation in (1) as $-\Delta u=a(x) u$, with $a(x):=K(x) u^{p-1}-V(x)-\phi(x)$. By standard elliptic regularity theory it is enough to show that $a(x) u \in L_{\text {loc }}^{2}$. We now claim that $u \in L_{\text {loc }}^{q}$ for any $2 \leq q<\infty$. In order to prove that, we use the Brezis-Kato result (see e.g. [9] p. 48]), since $a_{-} u \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}$
and $a_{+} \in L^{N / 2}$. Observe that the former claim is trivial, while dealing with the latter simply observe that $(p-1) N / 2<2^{*} \Leftrightarrow p<2^{*}-1$. As a consequence, $\phi \in W_{\text {loc }}^{2, q}$ and by the Morrey embedding theorem, $\phi \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{0, \alpha}$. Thanks to the local boundedness of $V, K$ and $\phi$, the $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}$ regularity of $a(x) u$ follows, hence the conclusion.

Now we prove that, actually, $u \in H^{1}$. In order to do that, first observe that $\phi$ is a positive continuous radial function vanishing at infinity. This is a consequence of the fact that $\phi \in C_{\text {loc }}^{0, \alpha}$ plus the following decay estimate (see [5, p. 340]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\phi(x)| \leq C_{N}|x|^{(2-N) / 2}\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}, \quad|x| \geq 1 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This observation allows us to define the auxiliary potential $V_{u}(x)=V(x)+\phi_{u}(x)$, satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a}{1+|x|^{\alpha}} \leq V_{u}(x) \leq A^{\prime}, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is identical to (3). Observe now that $u$ is a solution of the equation

$$
-\Delta u+V_{u}(x) u=K(x) u^{p},
$$

which is formally the same as the one studied in [1]. More precisely, it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)} V_{u}(x) u^{2} d x \approx \exp \left(-c R^{1-\alpha / 2}\right), \quad R \gg 1, c>0, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{r}(y):=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|x-y|<r\right\}$. Now observe that as a consequence of 24 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1}(y)} u^{2} d x \leq c_{1}|y|^{\alpha} \int_{B_{1}(y)} V_{u}(x) u^{2} d x \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By repeating the same argument in [1, proof of Theorem 16], the equations 25) and (26) yield the existence of a partition $\left\{B_{r_{k}}\left(y_{k}\right)\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{2}(0)$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{2}(0)} u^{2} d x \leq \sum_{k} \int_{B_{r_{k}}\left(y_{k}\right)} u^{2} d x \leq c_{2} \sum_{k}\left|y_{k}\right|^{\alpha} \exp \left(-C\left|y_{k}\right|^{1-\alpha / 2}\right)<\infty
$$

completing the proof.
Proof of Theorem 2. We point out that, for $p \in(2,3)$, the PS condition is not known for $I$, even in the case $V=K=1$, although the mountain-pass geometry holds. This is due to the difficulty in proving the boundedness for Palais-Smale sequences. In order to overcome this obstacle, we use a method introduced by Struwe (see e.g. [15] and also [4], [8]).

Let us consider a perturbation of $I$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\mu}(u):= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right) d x  \tag{27}\\
& +\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi_{u} u^{2} d x-\frac{\mu}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x)|u|^{p+1} d x
\end{align*}
$$

for $\mu \in[1 / 2,1]$.
Following [4, Proposition 2.3], it is possible to define min-max levels for $I_{\mu}$, which we denote by $c_{\mu}$, such that the following properties are satisfied:
(i) $\mu \mapsto c_{\mu}$ is non-increasing (hence differentiable a.e. in $[1 / 2,1]$ ) and leftcontinuous.
(ii) Denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the set of $\mu$ for which $c_{\mu}$ is differentiable; then for each $\mu \in \mathcal{I}$ there exists a Palais-Smale seqence for $I_{\mu}$ at the level $c_{\mu}$.
(iii) For almost every $\mu \in[1 / 2,1], c_{\mu}$ is a critical level for $I_{\mu}$.

We remark that thanks to Lemma 5, I has the mountain-pass geometry and we are allowed to use this argument.

We denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the set of values of $\mu$ for which $c_{\mu}$ is a critical level for $I_{\mu}$. Now take a sequence $\mu_{n} \nearrow 1$ in $\mathcal{C}$ and a sequence $u_{n} \in H$ of critical points for $I_{\mu_{n}}$. It is easy to see that, if this sequence is bounded, then Theorem 2 follows. Actually, we can now repeat the same argument carried out in Step 1 above: up to a subsequence, we have $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H$ and

$$
u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } L_{K}^{p+1}, p \in\left(\sigma, 2^{*}-1\right)
$$

hence, from $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right)=\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H}^{2}-\|u\|_{H}^{2}+o(1)$ and $\mu_{n} \nearrow 1$, we find again that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $H$ and thus $I^{\prime}(u)=0$.

To prove that the sequence $u_{n}$ is bounded we use Lemma 4. First we define the following quantities:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\chi_{1, n} & :=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}, & \chi_{2, n}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) u_{n}^{2}, \\
\chi_{3, n} & :=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi_{u_{n}} u_{n}^{2}, & & \chi_{4, n}:=\mu_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1}, \\
\xi_{V, n} & :=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(x, \nabla V(x)) u_{n}^{2}, & \xi_{K, n}:=\mu_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(x, \nabla K(x))\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} .
\end{array}
$$

Notice that $u_{n}$ are solutions of the problem (1) $\mu_{n}$, obtained by replacing $K$ with $\mu_{n} K$ in (1). Now we can use Lemma 4, having already checked the $H_{\text {loc }}^{2}$ regularity in Lemma6, to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N-2}{2} \chi_{1, n}+\frac{N}{2} \chi_{2, n}+\frac{N+2}{4} \chi_{3, n}-\frac{N}{p+1} \chi_{4, n}=\frac{1}{p+1} \xi_{K, n}-\frac{1}{2} \xi_{V, n} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \chi_{1, n}+\frac{1}{2} \chi_{2, n}+\frac{1}{4} \chi_{3, n}-\frac{1}{p+1} \chi_{4, n}=c_{\mu_{n}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating $\chi_{3, n}$ in the system (28)-29) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \chi_{1, n}+\chi_{2, n}-\frac{1}{2} \xi_{V, n}=(N+2) c_{\mu_{n}}+\frac{1}{p+1}\left(2 \chi_{4, n}-\xi_{K, n}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (7), (30) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \chi_{1, n}+\frac{2-c_{V}^{(1)}}{2} \chi_{2, n} \leq(N+2) c_{\mu_{n}}+\frac{1}{p+1}\left(2-c_{K}^{(1)}\right) \chi_{4, n} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $2-c_{V}^{(1)}>0,2-c_{K}^{(1)} \leq 0$, and $c_{\mu_{n}}$ is bounded, 31 now implies that $\chi_{1, n}$ and $\chi_{2, n}$ are bounded, so that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H} \leq C$, hence the conclusion.

Proof of Theorem 3. The proof is the same as the previous one, being reduced to checking the boundedness of $u_{n}$. Multiplying the first equation of the problem (1) $\mu_{n}$ by $u$ and integrating by parts, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{1, n}+\chi_{2, n}+\chi_{3, n}-\chi_{4, n}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us solve the system (29)-32) with respect to the quantities $\chi_{3, n}$ and $\chi_{4, n}$. If $D=$ $(3-p) /[4(p+1)]$ denotes the determinant of the system (since we are considering $p \in(2,3), D$ is positive), we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\chi_{3, n} & =\frac{1}{D}\left[\frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}\left(\chi_{1, n}+\chi_{2, n}\right)-c_{\mu_{n}}\right]  \tag{33}\\
\chi_{4, n} & =\frac{1}{D}\left[\frac{1}{4}\left(\chi_{1, n}+\chi_{2, n}\right)-c_{\mu_{n}}\right]
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Using (10) in (28), we have
(34) $\frac{N-2}{2} \chi_{1, n}+\left(\frac{N}{2}+\frac{c_{V}^{(2)}}{2}\right) \chi_{2, n}+\frac{N+2}{4} \chi_{3, n}-\left(\frac{N}{p+1}+\frac{c_{K}^{(2)}}{p+1}\right) \chi_{4, n} \leq 0$.

Substituting (33) into (34) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{N-2}{2}+\frac{N+2}{4 D} \cdot \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}-\frac{1}{4 D}\left(\frac{N}{p+1}+\frac{c_{K}^{(2)}}{p+1}\right)\right] \chi_{1, n}} \\
& +\left[\frac{N}{2}+\frac{c_{V}^{(2)}}{2}+\frac{N+2}{4 D} \cdot \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}-\frac{1}{4 D}\left(\frac{N}{p+1}+\frac{c_{K}^{(2)}}{p+1}\right)\right] \chi_{2, n} \\
& \quad \leq\left[\frac{N+2}{4 D}-\frac{1}{D}\left(\frac{N}{p+1}+\frac{c_{K}^{(2)}}{p+1}\right)\right] c_{\mu_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that, since $p>\delta:=2+c_{K}^{(2)} / 2$, the coefficient of $\chi_{1, n}$ is positive. For the same reason the coefficient of $\chi_{2, n}$ is also positive. Furthermore, it can be verified that the coefficient of $c_{\mu_{n}}$ is positive for $p>\left(4 c_{K}^{(2)}+3 N-2\right) /(N+2)$, which is less than $\delta$. Hence we get the boundedness of $u_{n}$ as above.

Proof of Proposition 1. The proof is based on the mountain-pass theorem and the Ekeland variational principle and it is almost the same as for Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4 in [12]. Precisely, it can be shown that:
(i) $I>-\infty$,
(ii) I satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.

In order to do that, since we work on $H$, (14) and Lemma 1 must be used instead of the Strauss inequality and the Strauss embedding theorem. The restriction on $\alpha$ is also needed in order to use the continuity property stated in Lemma 3 .

For $\lambda$ large enough, Proposition 1 does not hold anymore. Indeed, we have the following

Proposition 2. Assume $\sigma \in(1,2], p \in[\sigma, 2], \alpha \leq \alpha^{*}$ and suppose $V$ and $K$ are radial, smooth and satisfy (3) and (4). Then:
(i) For $p=2$ : if $K(x) \leq 1$, then (1) has no non-trivial positive solution $(u, \phi) \in$ $H \times \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
(ii) For $p \in[\sigma, 2):$ if

$$
V(x) \geq\left(C_{p} K(x)\right)^{1 /(2-p)},
$$

where $C_{p}=(p-1)^{p-1}(2-p)^{2-p}$, then 11 has no non-trivial positive solution $(u, \phi) \in H \times \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Proof. Here we follow [10] and [12]. By the assumptions on $p$ and $\alpha, H$ is continuously embedded in $L_{K}^{p+1}$ and $L^{4 N /(N+2)}$, hence all the following integrals are well defined. Now observe that, by the trivial inequality $a b \leq a^{2}+b^{2} / 4$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{3} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \phi \nabla u d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}|\nabla \phi|^{2}\right) d x . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we argue by contradiction, assuming that $(u, \phi) \in H \times \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a non-trivial positive solution. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left(I^{\prime}(u), u\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(V(x) u^{2}+\phi_{u} u^{2} d x-K(x)|u|^{p+1}\right) d x\right. \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(u^{3}-\frac{1}{4}|\nabla \phi|^{2}\right) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(V(x) u^{2}+\phi_{u} u^{2}-K(x)|u|^{p+1}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi u^{2} d x=\int_{R^{N}}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x$ we infer that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{3} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\frac{3}{4}|\nabla \phi|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}-K(x)|u|^{p+1}\right) d x  \tag{36}\\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(u^{3}+V(x) u^{2}-K(x) u^{p+1}\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{2}\left(u+V(x)-K(x) u^{p-1}\right) d x
\end{align*}
$$

Now define $f(u):=u+V(x)-K(x) u^{p-1}$. If $p=2$, then since $K(x) \leq 1$, the function $f$ is strictly increasing, hence strictly positive for $u>0$. Therefore, (36) implies that $u \equiv 0$ and this is a contradiction. Now consider the case $p \in(1,2)$. Observe that $f$ has an absolute minimum point $u_{m}=(K(x)(p-1))^{1 /(2-p)}$. Now defining $C_{p}=(p-1)^{p-1}(2-p)^{2-p}$ and observing that

$$
f(u) \geq f\left(u_{m}\right)=V(x)-\left(C_{p} K(x)\right)^{1 /(2-p)} \geq 0
$$

we get a contradiction as above.
REMARK 3. We remark that the condition $V(x) \geq\left(C_{p} K(x)\right)^{1 /(2-p)}$ is compatible with the case $\sigma \in(1,2]$. Therefore, under this condition, we have non-existence although we also have compactness.

As a final remark we also consider

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u+\lambda \phi u=K(x) u^{p}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{37}\\
-\Delta \phi=u^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

For $\lambda \geq 1 / 4$, by repeating the same proof, it is easy to see that Proposition 2 holds true, extending the result of Theorem 4.1 in [12] to the case of NLSP with radial potentials vanishing at infinity.

## 5. Appendix

Proof of Lemma 4. The proof of this identity follows the standard method in the literature, therefore we only sketch the main steps. Consider $\left\{\eta^{s}(x)\right\}_{s>0} \subset C_{\mathrm{rad}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with the following properties:

$$
0 \leq \eta^{s}(x) \leq 1, \quad\left|\nabla \eta^{s}(x)\right| \leq \frac{C}{s}, \quad \eta^{s}(x)= \begin{cases}1, & x \in B(0, s / 2) \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B(0, s)\end{cases}
$$

where $B(0, s):=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|x|<s\right\}$, for some positive constant $C$. Multiply the first equation in 11 by $x_{i} \partial_{i} u(x) \eta^{s}(x)$, integrate on $B(0, s)$ and sum up over $i$. Observe that, since $\operatorname{supp} \eta^{s}$ is contained in $\{x:|x| \leq s\}$, we have $\left|\nabla \eta^{s}(x)\right| \leq C / s \leq C /|x|$.

By the dominated convergence theorem there exists a sequence $s_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ (we simply write $s \rightarrow \infty$ ) (see e.g. [5]-[7], [9, Section 3]) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{i} \int_{B(0, s)} \Delta u x_{i}\left(\partial_{i} u\right) \eta^{s} d x=\frac{2-N}{2} \int_{B(0, s)}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+o(1) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to perform the calculation for the $K$-term, integrating by parts, observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B(0, s)} K(x) u^{p} x_{i}\left(\partial_{i} u\right) \eta^{s} d x=\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{B(0, s)} K(x)\left(\partial_{i} u^{p+1}\right) x_{i} \eta^{s} d x \\
&=-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{B(0, s)} \eta^{s} u^{p+1} K(x) d x-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{B(0, s)} x_{i}\left(\partial_{i} \eta^{s}\right) u^{p+1} K(x) d x \\
&-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{B(0, s)} \eta^{s} u^{p+1} x_{i} \partial_{i} K(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last step the boundary term has been neglected since $\eta^{s}(\partial B(0, s))=0$. Since $0 \leq \eta^{s} \leq 1$ and $\eta^{s} \rightarrow 1,\left|x_{i} \partial_{i} \eta^{s}\right| \leq C$ and $\partial_{i} \eta^{s} \rightarrow 0$, by the dominated convergence theorem the second integral in the last step tends to zero. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B(0, s)} K(x) u^{p} x_{i} \partial_{i} u \eta^{s} d x= & -\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{B(0, s)} \eta^{s} u^{p+1} K(x) d x  \tag{39}\\
& -\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{B(0, s)} \eta^{s} u^{p+1} x_{i} \partial_{i} K(x) d x+o(1)
\end{align*}
$$

We now consider the last integral in 39). Since $u$ is a radial function in $H_{V}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ the Strauss type inequality 14 holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x)| \leq c|x|^{-\gamma}\|u\|_{H_{V}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B^{c}(0, s)$ for large $s$. Since $1-\eta^{s}=0$ on $B(0, s)$ and using 40 we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{p+1} x_{i} \partial_{i} K(x) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \eta^{s} u^{p+1} x_{i} \partial_{i} K(x) d x\right|  \tag{41}\\
\leq c^{\prime} s^{-(N-1) \varepsilon / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B(0, s)}\left(1-\eta^{s}\right) u^{p+1-\varepsilon}\left|x_{i} \partial_{i} K(x)\right| d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that, because of (8), since $q^{\prime}(p+1-\varepsilon) \in\left[2+\alpha / \gamma, 2^{*}\right]$, there exists a constant $C_{p, q^{\prime}, \varepsilon}$ such that $\|u\|_{L^{q^{\prime}(p+1-\varepsilon)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq C_{p, q^{\prime}, \varepsilon}\|u\|_{H_{V}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$. Therefore, as $0 \leq 1-\eta^{s} \leq 1$, using the Hölder inequality we have
(42) $\quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B(0, s)}\left(1-\eta^{s}\right) u^{p+1-\varepsilon}\left|x_{i} \partial_{i} K(x)\right| d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B(0, s)} u^{p+1-\varepsilon}\left|x_{i} \partial_{i} K(x)\right| d x$

$$
\leq\|u\|_{L^{q^{\prime}(p+1-\varepsilon)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|(x, \nabla K)\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq C_{p, q^{\prime}, \varepsilon}\|u\|_{H_{V}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|(x, \nabla K)\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}<\infty .
$$

Observe that 41 and 42 imply that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{p+1}(x, \nabla K) d x<\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B(0, s)} \eta^{s} u^{p+1} x_{i} \partial_{i} K(x) d x=\int_{B(0, s)} u^{p+1} x_{i} \partial_{i} K(x) d x+o(1) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, from (39), (43) and summing up over $i$ we have
(44) $\sum_{i} \int_{B(0, s)} K(x) u^{p} x_{i}\left(\partial_{i} u\right) \eta^{s} d x=-\frac{N}{p+1} \int_{B(0, s)} K(x) u^{p+1} d x$

$$
-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{B(0, s)}(x, \nabla K) u^{p+1} d x+o(1)
$$

In the same fashion as in (44), because of the assumptions on $V$, we can use the dominated convergence theorem to get

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\sum_{i} \int_{B(0, s)} V & V(x) u^{2} x_{i}\left(\partial_{i} u\right) \eta^{s} d x  \tag{45}\\
& =-\frac{N}{2} \int_{B(0, s)} V(x) u^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0, s)}(x, \nabla V) u^{2} d x+o(1)
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, as in (39),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i} \int_{B(0, s)} \phi_{u} u x_{i}\left(\partial_{i} u\right) \eta^{s} d x  \tag{46}\\
&=-\frac{N}{2} \int_{B(0, s)} \phi_{u} u^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0, s)}\left(x, \nabla \phi_{u}\right) u^{2} \eta^{s} d x+o(1)
\end{align*}
$$

From the first equation in (1) and (38), (44), (45), (46), we finally have, as $s \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2-N}{2} \int_{B(0, s)}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{N}{2} \int_{B(0, s)} V(x) u^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0, s)}(x, \nabla V) u^{2} d x  \tag{47}\\
& \quad-\frac{N}{2} \int_{B(0, s)} \phi_{u} u^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0, s)}\left(x, \nabla \phi_{u}\right) u^{2} \eta^{s} d x+o(1) \\
& \quad=-\frac{N}{p+1} \int_{B(0, s)} K(x) u^{p+1} d x-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{B(0, s)}(x, \nabla K) u^{p+1} d x
\end{align*}
$$

In the same way as above, we now multiply the second equation in (1) by $\left(x, \nabla \phi_{u}\right) \eta^{s}$ and integrate on $B(0, s)$, obtaining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2-N}{2} \int_{B(0, s)}\left|\nabla \phi_{u}\right|^{2} d x=\int_{B(0, s)}\left(x, \nabla \phi_{u}\right) u^{2} \eta^{s} d x+o(1) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating $\int_{B(0, s)}\left(x, \nabla \phi_{u}\right) u^{2} \eta^{s} d x$ from 47 and 48, letting $s \rightarrow \infty$ and using $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \phi_{u}\right|^{2} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi_{u} u^{2} d x$, we get the conclusion.
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