Commentary: The Digital Fate of Glomeruli in Renal Biopsy

Submitted: 22-Nov-2020

Revised: 09-Jan-2021

Accepted: 09-Jan-2021

Published: 22-Mar-2021

We read with interest the recently published paper of Wilbur et al. on the development of a machine learning algorithm able to recognize and count glomeruli in renal biopsies with a simultaneous comparison of four stains.^[1] The authors developed a prototype system able to display synchronized sections and detect features with a machine learning-based approach in medical renal biopsies. The algorithm is able to automate the detection of glomeruli, displayed in four stains (hematoxylin and eosin [H and E], silver, trichrome, periodic acid Schiff [PAS]) as the digital slides could be moved simultaneously in four screens, and the glomeruli are identified in a synchronized fashion. To achieve this, a preliminary phase of image registration was necessary. This consisted in the translation of any point on one registered whole slide image (WSI) to a corresponding point on the other registered WSI with the aid of so-called key-points (e.g., corners and appendages of tissue in the slide). This allowed displaying of the registered serial sections of the tissue in a 4-panel viewer in which each stain is visualized in its own area. The navigation of each panel is synchronized for both movement and magnification. Subsequently, pathologists annotate manually the glomeruli and the model is trained and then tested to detect glomeruli in two different slide sets. They reported a significant efficiency advantage over standard single slide microscopic review and the ability to directly compare staining features simultaneously on each glomerulus, as well as the ability to auto-locate a high majority (>90%) of all glomeruli present in each specimen. This could lead to a more accurate final interpretation through improved correlation of findings.^[1] The paper has offered the chance to discuss some interesting points about the specific topic of glomeruli detection and assessment in kidney biopsy which go beyond the specific technical features of the developed algorithm itself.

Nephropathology is a niche field of pathology which refers to many different scenarios: Renal biopsies are taken for primary diagnosis of kidney diseases, for assessment of rejection in recipients of a kidney transplant, and also before transplant procedure to decide on kidney organ suitability to be transplanted. Furthermore, many systemic diseases such as diabetes and amyloidosis can show renal involvement which can be superimposed to the primary disease, and many medications for immune disease or for prevention of rejection can lead to renal damage. Morphological alterations of renal functional tissue are described with many histological elementary lesions affecting glomeruli and their components, different types of tubules, and the vascular compartment, which are often subtle and require ancillary techniques and specific training for detection, quantification, and correlation with clinical data, ultimately leading to diagnosis. Despite so numerous and critical settings, expertise in renal pathology is rare nowadays and a shortage of pathologists with specific training in the field is an issue. Concerning renal biopsy for primary diagnosis of glomerulopathies and similarly for rejection evaluation, the pathologist has to evaluate subtle alterations of glomerular, tubular, and vascular structures, with the aid of additional stains, immunohistochemistry of several markers of rejection or damage, immunofluorescence technique with also the possibility of multiplex staining,^[2,3] and electron microscopy. However, nephropathology services are usually available at larger institutions only because of the special equipment/techniques, the low number of biopsies processed compared to other fields, and the special expertise needed to manage the cases.^[4] Almost the same applies to posttransplant kidney biopsy: detailed criteria for biopsy assessment have been established such as the Banff criteria and require specific training and expertise.^[5,6] Moreover, posttransplant renal pathology deals not only with the evaluation of rejection but also with posttransplant functional alterations whose morphological correlation on histology greatly overlaps with primary nephropathy diagnosis in terms of time-consuming assessment of biopsy with many different ancillary techniques.^[7,8] The glomerular compartment is often the most affected in kidney functional tissue and despite increasing research in soluble and molecular markers of disease, kidney biopsy remains the gold standard for many glomerulopathies.^[9-11] Some different considerations concern the evaluation of preimplantation kidney biopsy. At the opposite of renal biopsy for primary diagnosis or posttransplant follow-up, these biopsies are usually performed in an urgency setting where an on-call pathologist with no specific expertise has to evaluate the adequacy of biopsy and presence and widespread of glomerular damage in terms of percentage of sclerotic glomeruli to guide suitability and allocation or discard of the organ.^[12-14] Usually, no ancillary staining is available due to the short turn-around-time requested and the pathologist may be forced to evaluate the biopsy with a frozen section. Moreover, glomerulosclerosis is recognized as the main feature leading to organ discard and with conflicting evidence on the impact on the graft outcome in case of transplantation.^[15,16] Even for glomerulosclerosis evaluation in the preimplantation biopsy, expertise of reading pathologist plays a critical role, with expert pathologists more reproducible and reliable than general pathologists.^[17-19] However, both in primary diagnosis or posttransplant or preimplantation biopsy, glomerular assessment starts with identification and enumeration of glomeruli in the biopsy: despite a seemingly simple task, the poor reproducibility among pathologists and the shortage of expert pathologists rises the demand for technological aid.

J Pathol Inform 2021, 1:14

Digital pathology with WSI has shown to be reliable for routine surgical pathology diagnosis,^[20,21] and also with frozen section^[22,23] and cytopathology specimens.^[24] Digital pathology has also been employed successfully for second-opinion consultations and expert reviewing,^[25-27] case archiving and retrieval, teaching, and academic research, but, as briefly mentioned also by the authors, adoption remains slow because of the cost of equipment and the practical value to users which is still perceived as limited and day to day primary interpretation still lags behind standard microscopy for efficiency.^[1] Artificial intelligence (AI) with machine learning techniques are being increasingly employed in WSI slides with H and E with pathology-centric approaches for tumor region identification, detection of metastatic foci, tumor classification, and prediction of gene mutations. As such, AI techniques promise to provide pathologists with a number of useful tools, beginning with mechanisms for automated case review and eventually leading to computer-aided diagnosis, thus undoubtedly enhancing pathology workflows and ultimately improving patient outcomes.^[28] Glomerular identification, counting, and sorting as sclerosed or not sclerosed or with otherwise specified signs of damage represent a perfect example of a task for such machine learning algorithms and computer-aided diagnosis: indeed, the task itself is repetitive and glomerular structures in suboptimal slides could be challenging to identify for not trained pathologists. Interest in the application of digital pathology with image analysis algorithms to kidney biopsy is constantly growing, with sparse published experiences, particularly in transplantation.^[29] While most of the studies applying automated algorithms to renal biopsy mainly deal with quantification of rejection related inflammatory elements or interstitial fibrosis,^[30-32] studies focusing specifically on glomeruli have started to be published, both for transplant biopsies,^[33,34] primary disease^[35] and animal model of the disease.^[36] Marsh et al. focused on the detection of glomeruli and sorting in sclerosed versus normal in frozen sections of preimplantation biopsies. They compared a conventional patch-based convolutional neural network (CNN) model with a fully convolutional CNN model and showed that the performance of the fully convolutional CNN model in terms of speed and accuracy is superior and can be quickly trained on a relatively small dataset to yield results on par with expert renal pathologist interpretation.^[33] Kannan et al. found a 93% accuracy for detection of glomeruli in cropped digital images of trichrome stains in human renal biopsies with CNN and a segmentation model.^[35] Hermsen et al. trained a CNN for the tasks of detection and segmentation of glomeruli both sclerosed and normal and of different types of renal tubules on kidney biopsies and whole specimens of two institutions stained with PAS, obtaining a 97% accuracy. The authors stressed the importance of the applicability of the algorithm to slides stained, processed, and scanned at different institutions, thus recognizing the value and the potential implications for teleconsultation and expert review.[34] This point concerning applicability to different stains and the high comparability with the expert review is stressed also in the work of Wilbur et al., that critically discusses the limitation of their study, as they recognize that pathologists involved in manual annotation where of the mixed level of expertise and that the cases were from the same institution. They are however right in highlighting that they were the first to apply a CNN model simultaneously to four stains, and they are fully aware of the issues related to diagnostic performance parameters (sensitivity is calculated, but specificity measure has to be modified as the model output did not provide a real "true negative", but only areas with no glomeruli as an opposite of glomerular area). They acknowledge that ninety percent accuracy might be considered a lower limit for a clinically usable device, but also say that further training of the CNN model with additional four stain sets should improve the accuracy going forward. Moreover, the addition of the synchronized display adds significant practical utility to this system. As for the authors, although being a limited proof-of-concept study on a small number of cases, the concept appears sound, and the results were encouraging.

The conclusions of the authors in our opinion reinforce the belief that these machine learning tools are going to become earlier than expected a routine tool for the practicing pathologist, even more after that diagnostic test accuracy studies with the robust methodological design are conducted, as they can answer an increasing need for delivering of expertise in a relatively small field of pathology but with a critical impact on patient management.

Ilaria Girolami¹, Stefano Marletta², Albino Eccher²

¹Division of Pathology, Central Hospital Bolzano, Bolzano, Italy, ²Department of Pathology and Diagnostics, University and Hospital Trust of Verona, Verona, Italy

Address for correspondence: Dr. Albino Eccher, Department of Pathology and Diagnostics, University and Hospital Trust of Verona, Verona, Italy. E-mail: albino.eccher@aovr.veneto.it

REFERENCES

- Wilbur D, Pettus J, Smith M, Cornell L, Andryushkin A, Wingard R, et al. Using image registration and machine learning to develop a workstation tool for rapid analysis of glomeruli in medical renal biopsies. Pathol Inform 2020;11:37.
- Wood-Trageser MA, Lesniak AJ, Demetris AJ. Enhancing the value of histopathological assessment of allograft biopsy monitoring. Transplantation 2019;103:1306-22.
- Isse K, Lesniak A, Grama K, Roysam B, Minervini MI, Demetris AJ. Digital transplantation pathology: Combining whole slide imaging, multiplex staining and automated image analysis. Am J Transplant 2012;12:27-37.
- Menter T, Hirt-Minkowski P, Hopfer H. Nierenbiopsie-Diagnostik: Was muss ich wissen und was ist neu? Ther Umschau 2019;76:349-57.
- Solez K, Colvin RB, Racusen LC, Haas M, Sis B, Mengel M, et al. Banff 07 classification of renal allograft pathology: Updates and future directions. Am J Transplant 2008;8:753-60.
- Roufosse C, Simmonds N, Clahsen-van Groningen M, Haas M, Henriksen KJ, Horsfield C, *et al.* A 2018 reference guide to the Banff classification of renal allograft pathology. Transplantation 2018;102:1795-814.
- 7. Nankivell BJ, Shingde M, Keung KL, Fung CL, Borrows RJ, O'Connell PJ, *et al.* The causes, significance and consequences of

- Sakai K, Oguchi H, Muramatsu M, Shishido S. Protocol graft biopsy in kidney transplantation. Nephrology (Carlton) 2018;23 Suppl 2:38-44.
- Ayoub I, Cassol C, Almaani S, Rovin B, Parikh S V. The kidney biopsy in systemic lupus erythematosus: A view of the past and a vision of the future. Adv Chronic Kidney Dis 2019;26:360-8.
- Cavanaugh C, Okusa MD. The evolving role of novel biomarkers in glomerular disease: A review. Am J Kidney Dis 2020;77:122-131.
- Infante B, Rossini M, Di Lorenzo A, Coviello N, Giuseppe C, Gesualdo L, *et al*. Recurrence of immunoglobulin A nephropathy after kidney transplantation: A narrative review of the incidence, risk factors, pathophysiology and management of immunosuppressive therapy. Clin Kidney J 2020;13:758-67.
- Kasiske BL, Stewart DE, Bista BR, Salkowski N, Snyder JJ, Israni AK, et al. The role of procurement biopsies in acceptance decisions for kidneys retrieved for transplant. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2014;9:562-71.
- Antonieta Azancot M, Moreso F, Salcedo M, Cantarell C, Perello M, Torres IB, *et al.* The reproducibility and predictive value on outcome of renal biopsies from expanded criteria donors. Kidney Int 2014;85:1161-8.
- Haas M. Donor kidney biopsies: Pathology matters, and so does the pathologist. Kidney Int 2014;85:1016-9.
- Carpenter D, Husain SA, Brennan C, Batal I, Hall IE, Santoriello D, et al. Procurement biopsies in the evaluation of deceased donor kidneys. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2018;13:1876-85.
- Cheungpasitporn W, Thongprayoon C, Vaitla PK, Chewcharat A, Hansrivijit P, Koller FL, *et al.* Degree of glomerulosclerosis in procurement kidney biopsies from marginal donor kidneys and their implications in predicting graft outcomes. Clin Med 2020;9:1469.
- 17. Girolami I, Gambaro G, Ghimenton C, Beccari S, Caliò A, Brunelli M, *et al.* Pre-implantation kidney biopsy: Value of the expertise in determining histological score and comparison with the whole organ on a series of discarded kidneys. Nephrology 2020;33:167-76.
- Angeletti A, Cravedi P. Making procurement biopsies important again for kidney transplant allocation. Nephron 2019;142:34-9.
- Liapis H, Gaut JP, Klein C, Bagnasco S, Kraus E, Farris AB 3rd, *et al.* Banff histopathological consensus criteria for preimplantation kidney biopsies. Am J Transplant 2017;17:140-50.
- Araújo AL, Arboleda LP, Palmier NR, Fonsêca JM, de Pauli Paglioni M, Gomes-Silva W, *et al.* The performance of digital microscopy for primary diagnosis in human pathology: A systematic review. Virchows Arch 2019;474:269-87.
- 21. Brunelli M, Beccari S, Colombari R, Gobbo S, Giobelli L, Pellegrini A, et al. iPathology cockpit diagnostic station: Validation according to College of American pathologists pathology and laboratory quality center recommendation at the hospital trust and University of Verona. Diagn Pathol 2014;9:S12.
- Dietz RL, Hartman DJ, Pantanowitz L. Systematic review of the use of telepathology during intraoperative consultation. Am J Clin Pathol 2020;153:198-209.
- Cima L, Brunelli M, Parwani A, Girolami I, Ciangherotti A, Riva G, et al. Validation of remote digital frozen sections for cancer and transplant intraoperative services. Pathol Inform 2018;9:34.
- 24. Girolami I, Pantanowitz L, Marletta S, Brunelli M, Mescoli C, Parisi A, et al. Diagnostic concordance between whole slide imaging and conventional light microscopy in cytopathology: A systematic review. Cancer Cytopathol 2020;128:17-28.
- Pantanowitz L, Wiley CA, Demetris A, Lesniak A, Ahmed I, Cable W, *et al.* Experience with multimodality telepathology at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center. Pathol Inform 2012;3:45.

- 26. Eccher A, Girolami I, Brunelli M, Novelli L, Mescoli C, Malvi D, et al. Digital pathology for second opinion consultation and donor assessment during organ procurement: Review of the literature and guidance for deployment in transplant practice. Transplant Rev 2020;34:100562.
- Eccher A, Neil D, Ciangherotti A, Cima L, Boschiero L, Martignoni G, et al. Digital reporting of whole-slide images is safe and suitable for assessing organ quality in preimplantation renal biopsies. Hum Pathol 2016;47:115-20.
- Zarella MD, Bowman D, Aeffner F, Farahani N, Xthona A, Absar SF, et al. A practical guide to whole slide imaging: A white paper from the digital pathology association. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2019;143:222-34.
- 29. Girolami I, Parwani A, Barresi V, Marletta S, Ammendola S, Stefanizzi L, *et al*. The landscape of digital pathology in transplantation: From the beginning to the virtual E-slide. Pathol Inform 2019;10:21.
- Moon A, Smith GH, Kong J, Rogers TE, Ellis CL, Farris AB 3rd. Development of CD3 cell quantitation algorithms for renal allograft biopsy rejection assessment utilizing open source image analysis software. Virchows Arch 2018;472:259-69.
- Bräsen JH, Khalifa A, Schmitz J, Dai W, Einecke G, Schwarz A, *et al.* Macrophage density in early surveillance biopsies predicts future renal transplant function. Kidney Int 2017;92:479-89.
- Farris AB, Chan S, Climenhaga J, Adam B, Bellamy CO, Serón D, *et al.* Banff fibrosis study: Multicenter visual assessment and computerized analysis of interstitial fibrosis in kidney biopsies. Am J Transplant 2014;14:897-907.
- Marsh JN, Matlock MK, Kudose S, Liu TC, Stappenbeck TS, Gaut JP, et al. Deep learning global glomerulosclerosis in transplant kidney frozen sections. IEEE Trans Med Imaging 2018;37:2718-28.
- Hermsen M, de Bel T, den Boer M, Steenbergen EJ, Kers J, Florquin S, et al. Deep learning-based histopathologic assessment of kidney tissue. J Am Soc Nephrol 2019;30:1968-79.
- Kannan S, Morgan LA, Liang B, Cheung MG, Lin CQ, Mun D, et al. Segmentation of glomeruli within trichrome images using deep learning. Kidney Int Rep 2019;4:955-62.
- Bukowy JD, Dayton A, Cloutier D, Manis AD, Staruschenko A, Lombard JH, *et al.* Region-based convolutional neural nets for localization of glomeruli in trichrome-stained whole kidney sections. J Am Soc Nephrol 2018;29:2081-8.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.jpathinformatics.org
	DOI: 10.4103/jpi.jpi_102_20

How to cite this article: Girolami I, Marletta S, Eccher A. Commentary: The digital fate of glomeruli in renal biopsy. J Pathol Inform 2021;12:14. Available FREE in open access from: http://www.jpathinformatics.org/text. asp?2021/12/1/14/311690

http://www.jpathinformatics.org/content/12/1/14

.