Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijheh

Maternal residential exposure to solvents from industrial sources during pregnancy and childhood cancer risk in California

Yixin Chen^a, Darcy Van Deventer^a, Roch Nianogo^{a,b}, Marco Vinceti^{c,d}, Wei Kang^e, Myles Cockburn^f, Noah Federman^g, Julia E. Heck^{a,h,*}

^a Department of Epidemiology, Fielding School of Public Health, University of California, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, 90095-1772, USA

^b California Center for Population Research, University of California, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA

^c CREAGEN - Environmental, Genetic and Nutritional Epidemiology Research Center, Section of Public Health, Department of Biomedical, Metabolic and Neural Sciences,

University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

^d Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA

e Department of Geography and the Environment, University of North Texas, Denton, TX, 76203-5017, USA

^f Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

g Department of Pediatrics, Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA

^h College of Health and Public Service, University of North Texas, Denton, TX, 76203-5017, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Industrial toxics Solvents Maternal exposure Pregnancy Childhood cancer

ABSTRACT

Background: Maternal solvent exposure has been suspected to increase offspring cancer risk. The study aimed to evaluate the associations between maternal residential exposure to solvents from industrial pollution during pregnancy and childhood cancer.

Methods: The present study included 15,744 cancer cases (aged 0–19 years at diagnosis) identified from California Cancer Registry and 283,141 controls randomly selected from California Birth Registry (20:1 frequencymatched by birth year: 1998–2016). We examined industrial releases of tetrachloroethylene and 1,1,1-trichloroethane within 3 km of the birth address, while we used a 5 km buffer for carbon disulfide. We calculated the total exposure from all linked Toxic Release Inventory sites during each index pregnancy and assigned "ever/never" and "high/low exposed/unexposed" exposure, using median values. We performed quadratic decay models to estimate cancer risks associated with maternal solvent exposure in pregnancy.

Results: 1,1,1-Trichloroethane was associated with rhabdomyosarcoma (adjusted Odds Ratio (aOR): 1.96; 95% Confidence Interval (CI): 1.16, 3.32) in the "ever exposed" group. Ever exposure to carbon disulfide was associated with increased risks of medulloblastoma (OR = 1.85, 95% CI 1.01, 3.40) and ependymoma (OR = 1.63, 95% CI 0.97, 2.74).

Conclusions: Overall, our findings suggested maternal residential exposure to solvents from industrial sources might be associated with elevated childhood cancer risks.

1. Introduction

Cancer ranked as the 2nd leading cause of death in children aged 0–19 years in the United States (US) (American Cancer Society, 2023), with only a few established risk factors including genetic predisposition (5–10% of cases), high-dose ionizing radiation, and prior chemotherapy (IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, 2012; Spector et al., 2015; Wakeford, 1995, 2013). Previous studies have identified potential environmental risk factors such as parental occupational exposures, pesticides, air pollution and air toxics

exposures (Heck et al., 2013b; Lavigne et al., 2017; Malagoli et al., 2016; Rossides et al., 2022; Vinceti et al., 2012; Volk et al., 2019).

Multiple solvents are established or suspected carcinogens in studies of adults, with adverse reproductive and child health endpoints additionally reported (Gelbke et al., 2009; IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, 2014; International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2022; Kalkbrenner et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2022). With regards to childhood cancer, a study found residential proximity to industrial solvents was related to an increase in pediatric leukemia, and similar increases in retinoblastoma (García-Pérez et al.,

* Corresponding author. College of Health and Public Service, University of North Texas, 1155 Union Circle, #311340, Denton, TX, 76203-5017, USA. *E-mail address:* julia.heck@unt.edu (J.E. Heck).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2024.114388

Received 5 December 2023; Received in revised form 9 April 2024; Accepted 23 April 2024 Available online 4 May 2024

1438-4639/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier GmbH. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

2015, 2016b).

With regards to occupational exposures, maternal exposure to solvents on the job was related to increases in acute lymphoblastic leukemia in several but not all studies (Buckley et al., 1989; Lowengart et al., 1987; Schüz et al., 2000; Sung et al., 2008; Van Steensel-Moll et al., 1985). Maternal occupational exposure to tetrachloroethylene (PCE) was associated with an increased risk of childhood leukemia including acute nonlymphocytic leukemia (Buckley et al., 1989; Fagliano et al., 2003; Shu et al., 1999). Similarly, maternal occupational exposure to 1, 1,1-trichloroethane (1,1,1-TCA) was associated with a higher risk of leukemia in offspring (Infante-Rivard et al., 2005).

In residential studies, residential vicinity to industrial pollution sources and childhood cancer identified sources releasing tetrachloromethane and observed associations with childhood leukemia (García-Pérez et al., 2019). However, assessing the distance to pollution sources as a proxy for exposure might introduce exposure misclassification. Utilizing air toxics data from monitoring stations, PCE was found to elevate the risk of Wilms tumor (Shrestha et al., 2014).

A working group has identified industrial agents considered high priority for evaluation by the IARC Monographs Program (Marques et al., 2019). Guided by these priorities, the study aimed to investigate the associations between childhood cancer and maternal residential exposure in pregnancy to three solvents (i.e., 1,1,1-TCA, PCE, carbon disulfide (CS₂)) tracked in California by the Environmental Protection Agency's Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) program.

2. Material and methods

We ascertained childhood and adolescent cancer cases (diagnosed 0–19 years) who were born 1998–2016 and diagnosed from 1998 to 2018 from the California Cancer Registry and classified cancers according to the International Classification of Childhood Cancer (ICCC) extended classification recode (Supplemental Table 1)

(Steliarova-Foucher et al., 2005). Cases (89%) were matched to a California birth certificate by first and last names, dates of birth, and social security number if available, by using a probabilistic linkage program (LinkPlus; CDC, Atlanta, GA). Controls were randomly selected from California Birth Registry, frequency-matched by birth year (20:1 matching ratio) for births in the same years (Heck et al., 2013a).

We excluded children with missing or likely nonviable birthweight (<500 g; n = 344), with nonviable gestational age (<20 weeks; n = 138) and with missing sex information (n = 3) from the analysis. After exclusions, 15,744 cases and 283,141 controls were included for analysis (Fig. 1).

The U.S. EPA TRI program was created to monitor and document industrial management of toxic chemicals (Environmental Protection Agency, 2012). The program tracks 770 chemicals and 33 chemical categories that over 23,000 industrial and other facilities dispose of via releases, recycling, energy recovery, or treatment. Facilities are required to report releases if they fall under in the TRI-covered North America Industry Classification System (NAICS), have 10 or more full-time employees, and engage in the manufacturing, importing, processing, or use of TRI-listed chemicals in quantities surpassing EPA-established thresholds within a calendar year.

The TRI keeps record of air releases in addition to water and land releases for all listed chemicals and further classifies the air releases into "stack air" and "fugitive air". For our analysis, we assessed all ambient air releases (i.e., stack air and fugitive air combined), which account for 85% of all releases in California. We obtained the annual release data, in pounds, of solvents commonly released in California (i.e., PCE, 1,1,1-TCA) and/or identified as high priority by IARC (i.e., CS₂) from the TRI database. Using ArcGIS 10.2 (ESRI, Redlands), we mapped ambient air release data to the location of each site based on geocoded address (i. e., latitude and longitude) provided by EPA.

We geocoded the exact residential addresses obtained from birth certificates, using a method designed to optimize geocoding of



Fig. 1. Study population flow diagram for children born from 1998 to 2016 in California and included for this case-control study.

California addresses (Goldberg et al., 2008). We linked home address at birth of each subject to the location of each release site within the distance buffer with ArcGIS. In our analysis, we examined different distance thresholds (2 km, 3 km, 5 km) around the facilities to evaluate consistency in effect estimates across distances. We aimed to choose the smallest threshold which allowed for adequate statistical power for estimating effects for most solvents. Previous studies suggested excess risks of childhood cancer were associated with residency within 1–5 km of industrial sites (García-Pérez et al., 2015, 2016a, 2016c, 2019). Hence, we chose a 3-km threshold for solvents including PCE, and 1,1, 1-TCA, and a 5-km threshold for CS₂. If the home address at birth was beyond the distance buffer, we classified the child as unexposed to the chemical in pregnancy. Using ArcGIS, we calculated the straight-line distance from the home address at birth of subjects to TRI sites.

Based on the recommendations from the literature (Conley, 2011), we implemented quadratic decay models to account for the distance decay effects when estimating exposures during pregnancy. The quadratic decay model uses a modified equation (Equation (1)) from Cutter et al. (Cutter and Solecki, 1996). Here, K_{ir} is the impact of site i on residence r; v_i is the volume of releases from site i; d_{ir} is the distance between site i and residence r; and T is the threshold distance (Conley, 2011). Only the sites within the threshold distance from residence *r* were included in the calculation of this residence's exposures.

$$K_{ir} = v_i \times \left[1.0 - \left(\frac{d_{ir}^2}{T^2} \right) \right]$$
(1)

A daily exposure amount was calculated by dividing the total annual release from all sites linked to each birth by 365.25 days. Multiplying the daily amount by the length of time exposed to a given year's releases allowed us to compute the total solvent exposure released to the air during pregnancy for each birth, taking into account the timing of pregnancy and gestational age. Children with a non-zero exposure amount were classified as "ever exposed" during pregnancy. We further categorized the exposure. We calculated the median value among the exposed controls living within the distance buffer and classified those with above median exposure as high exposed, and those with below median exposure but greater than zero as low exposed to evaluate possible exposure-response relationships. Descriptive statistics of solvent distributions during pregnancy, using the quadratic decay model, are presented in Supplemental Table 2.

We identified commonly released chemicals during the study period from the TRI database and further conducted Pearson correlation tests between the exposures to the top 25 chemicals, to address confounding by co-exposure to other industrial chemicals. Pearson correlation coefficients between each of the 3 solvents of interest and other commonly released chemicals were reported (Supplemental Table 3).

Unconditional logistic regression analyses (SAS 9.4 (SAS, Cary, NC)) were performed to evaluate the risk of childhood cancer among the "ever exposed" group as well as the "low exposed" and "high exposed" groups. In addition, we conducted sensitivity analysis to examine the association between the risk of childhood cancer and per interquartile range (IQR) increase in the exposure to each solvent, after confirming the linearity of each of the three pollutants. Covariates in addition to birth year (the matching factor) were selected based on previous literature, and we developed directed acyclic graphs (Suttorp et al., 2015) for the visual representation of causal assumptions (Supplemental Fig. 1) (Carozza et al., 2010; Pastor Jr et al., 2004; Spector et al., 2015; Zahnd et al., 2018).

California Cancer Registry records, birth certificates, and the years 2000 and 2010 census data provided information on gestational, demographic, and socioeconomic measures. Covariates adjusted in our models included maternal age (<20 years, 20–24 years, 25–29 years, 30–34 years, 35+ years), mother's race and ethnicity (White non-Hispanic, Hispanic of any race, Black, Asian/Pacific Islander, American Indian), method of payment for prenatal care (private insurance vs. Medi-Cal, other government source, self-pay, or military; this variable is

a proxy for socioeconomic status (Ritz et al., 2007)), a neighborhood SES-index variable, and residence in an urban/rural environment, as defined by the US Department of Agriculture (United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service, 2013). Census-tract socioeconomic status (SES) index is a 5-level variable created using principal components analysis based on seven neighborhood-level measures (percent blue-collar workers, average years of education, percent older than 16 years without employment, median household income, percent living 200% below poverty, median rent, and median house value) (Yost et al., 2001). We additionally adjusted for traffic-related fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) exposure in the subjects with available data on this variable, which was generated by using a California LINE Source Dispersion Model (CALINE4) (Heck et al., 2013b). Due to similar results to the main analyses, it was not included in the final model.

In statistical power analyses done before the study, we estimated that the exposure prevalence of 15% would be sufficient to estimate most cancer risks. For example, with the assumed exposure prevalence (15%), we had >90% power to observe an odds of 1.2 with 2800 children diagnosed with acute myeloid leukemia. In this study, carbon disulfide was examined only when power allowed in exploratory analyses.

We reported results in each category when exposed cases were ≥ 10 .

3. Results

There were more non-Hispanic White mothers of cancer cases than of controls (Table 1). Mothers of cases were insured by private insurance/ HMO/Blue Cross Blue Shield more frequently than controls. Other demographic and socioeconomic characteristics were comparable between cases and controls.

Pearson correlation tests revealed only weak correlations between PCE, 1,1,1-TCA, CS_2 and other commonly released chemicals in California in 2000 (Supplemental Table 4).

We did not find associations between childhood cancer and PCE at any exposure level, although there were suggestive trends indicating that high level exposure to PCE might be associated with a higher risk of retinoblastoma (low: OR = 1.12; 95% CI 0.66, 1.91; high: OR = 1.45; 95% CI 0.85, 2.46) (Table 2).

1,1,1-TCA exposure was associated with an increased risk of rhabdomyosarcoma, among those ever exposed (OR = 1.96, 95% CI 1.16, 3.32) (Table 3). We did not have sufficient sample size to examine the risk among "low exposed" and "high exposed" groups. We also observed a trend with the risk of glioma (low: OR = 1.15; 95% CI 0.78, 1.68; high: OR = 1.35; 95% CI 0.94, 1.94).

For CS₂ (Table 4), we found increased risks of medulloblastoma (OR = 1.85, 95% CI 1.01, 3.40) and ependymoma (OR = 1.63, 95% CI 0.97, 2.74) associated with ever exposure but we lacked statistical power to further evaluate the risk by exposure levels.

In sensitivity analyses examining the risk per IQR increase for each solvent, we only observed slight increases in some cancer types, with all ORs \leq 1.10 (Supplemental Tables 5–7): exposure to PCE and NHL, exposure to 1,1,1-TCA and rhabdomyosarcoma, as well as exposure to CS₂ and ALL.

4. Discussion

In this study, we used quadratic decay models to model solvent exposures from industrial sources and examined the risks of childhood cancer by exposure status during pregnancy. We assessed the risks by per IQR increase and by exposure levels and explored possible exposure response associations. Our study is one of the few studies that investigated specific solvents released by industrial facilities. Our study is the first to provide epidemiologic evidence on the relation between 1,1,1-TCA and rhabdomyosarcoma, and on the relation between CS_2 and subtypes of CNS tumors.

1,1,1-TCA was classified by IARC as a Group 2A carcinogen, and it has been widely used as an industrial solvent in various products,

Table 1

Demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of children born 1998–2016 in California.

Table 2

Associations between industrial tetrachloroethylene exposure during pregnancy and childhood cancers for births in CA between 1998 and 2016, using the quadratic decay model.

Characteristics N = 15,744 N = 283,141 Child's sex, N (%) Male 8505 (54.0) 144,218 (50.9) Female 7239 (46.0) 138,923 (49.1) 19 or less 1315 (8.4) 26,304 (9.3) 20-24 years old 3188 (20.3) 63,009 (22.3) 25-29 years old 4020 (25.5) 75,279 (26.0) 30-34 years old 4117 (26.2) 69,862 (24.7) 35 and older 3104 (19.7) 48,687 (17.2) Maternal birthplace, N (%) USA 9155 (58.2) 157,077 USA 9155 (58.2) 157,077 (55.5) Outside of USA 6589 (41.9) 126,003 Missing 0 61 Maternal birthplace, N (%) White non-Hispanic 5240 (33.3) 84,044 (30.0) Hispanic of any race 7878 (50.0) 141,361 (49.9) Black 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 138 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 216 3512 144.51 134,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4)		Cases	Controls
Child's sex, N (%) Hale (50.9) Female (50.9) Female 7239 (46.0) 138,923 Maternal age, N (%) (49.1) 19 or less 1315 (8.4) 26,304 (9.3) 20-24 years old 3188 (20.3) 63,009 (22.3) 25-29 years old 4020 (25.5) 75,279 (26.6) 30-34 years old 4117 (26.2) 69,862 (24.7) Maternal birthplace, N (%) USA 157,077 USA 9155 (58.2) 157,077 (55.5) Outside of USA 6589 (41.9) 126,003 White non-Hispanic 5240 (33.3) 84,044 (30.0) Hispanic of any race 7878 (50.0) 141,361 White non-Hispanic 158 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 158 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 641 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other,not specified 118 (0.8) 29,791 (10.5) Missing 202 202 22.31 Source of payment for pre	Characteristics	N = 15,744	N = 283,141
Child's sex, N (%) 144,218 Male 5505 (54.0) 144,218 (50.9) [50.9] Female 7239 (46.0) 138,923 20-24 years old 1315 (8.4) 26,304 (9.3) 20-24 years old 4020 (25.5) 75,279 (26.6) 30-34 years old 40104 (19.7) 69,862 (24.7) 35 and older 40104 (19.7) 69,862 (24.7) 35 and older 40104 (19.7) 69,862 (24.7) 35 and older 104 (19.7) 69,862 (24.7) 35 and older 104 (19.7) 69,862 (24.7) 35 and older 104 (19.7) 69,862 (24.7) 35 and older 48,687 (17.2) 69,862 (24.7) 35 and older 48,687 (17.2) 76,876 (17.2) Maternal older 155,55 157,077 (55.5) 00 Outside of USA 6589 (41.9) 126,003 (44.5) Missing 0 61 134,940 (3.0) Hispanic of any race 7878 (50.0) 141,361 (49,9) Black 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 150 (77 (32.9) 16,043 (0.9)	Characteristics		
Male S505 (54.0) 144, 218 (50.9) Female 7239 (46.0) 138, 923 (49.1) Maternal age, N (%) 1315 (8.4) 26, 304 (9.3) 20-24 years old 3188 (20.3) 63,009 (22.3) 25-29 years old 4020 (25.5) 75,279 (26.6) 30-34 years old 4117 (26.2) 69,862 (24.7) 35 and older 3104 (19.7) 48,687 (17.2) Maternal birthplace, N (%) USA (44.5) USA 0 611 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 126,003 (44.5) Missing 0 611 134,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 116,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 1588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (46.1) 130,559 Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (46.1) 130,559 Missing 20771 (32.3) 81,041 (28.6) <td>Child's sex, N (%)</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Child's sex, N (%)		
Female (50.9) Female 7239 (46.0) 138,923 (49.1) (49.1) Maternal age, N (%) 1315 (8.4) 26,304 (9.3) 20-24 years old 3188 (20.3) 63,009 (22.3) 25-29 years old 4020 (25.5) 75,279 (26.6) 30-34 years old 4117 (26.2) 69,862 (24.7) 35 and older 4117 (26.2) 69,862 (24.7) 35 and older 3104 (19.7) 48,687 (17.2) Maternal birthplace, N (%) 155 (58.2) 157,077 USA 0 61 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 141,361 (44.5) Missing 0 61 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 141,361 (49.9) Black 643 (4.1) 16,423 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 1588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 212 (46.4) 130,559 Asian/Pacific Islander 1387 (8.8) 29,791	Male	8505 (54.0)	144,218
Female 7239 (46.0) 138,923 (49.1) Maternal age, N (%) (49.1) 19 or less 1315 (8.4) 26,304 (9.3) 20-24 years old 3188 (20.3) 63,009 (22.3) 25-29 years old 4020 (25.5) 75,279 (26.6) 30-34 years old 4117 (26.2) 69,862 (24.7) 35 and older 4117 (26.2) 69,862 (24.7) 35 and older 104 (19.7) 48,687 (17.2) Maternal birthplace, N (%) (55.5) (55.5) Outside of USA 6589 (41.9) 126,003 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (44.5) (41.5) Missing 0 61 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (40.9) [41.90] Black 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 103 (0.6) 10.6 Missing 1006 (0.4) 10.5) American Indian			(50.9)
Maternal age, N (%) (49.1) Maternal age, N (%) 1315 (8.4) 26,304 (9.3) 20-24 years old 3188 (20.3) 63,009 (22.3) 20-24 years old 4020 (25.5) 75,279 (26.6) 30-34 years old 4117 (26.2) 69,862 (24.7) 35 and older 3104 (19.7) 48,687 (17.2) Maternal birthplace, N (%) (44.5) (44.5) USA (55.5) (44.5) Outside of USA 6589 (41.9) 126,003 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (44.5) (44.5) Missing 0 61 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (44.5) (44.9) Black 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 1588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (46.1) 130,559 Missing 1023 20,777 Mating no fany race 7312 (46.4) 130,559 Missing 1023	Female	7239 (46.0)	138,923
Maternal age, N (%) 1315 (8.4) 26,304 (9.3) 19 or less 1315 (8.4) 26,304 (9.3) 20-24 years old 3188 (2.0) 63,009 (22.3) 25-29 years old 4020 (25.5) 75,279 (26.6) 30-34 years old 117 (26.2) 69,862 (24.7) 35 and older 3104 (19.7) 48,687 (17.2) Maternal birthplace, N (%) 155,00 155,00 Outside of USA 6589 (41.9) 126,003 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (44.5) 141,361 White non-Hispanic 5240 (33.3) 84,044 (30.0) Hispanic of any race 787 (50.0) 141,361 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (44.5) 1588 (10.1) Black 631 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 1588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 206 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (46.1) 159 Missing 100 (0.4) <td></td> <td></td> <td>(49.1)</td>			(49.1)
19 or less 1315 (8.4) 26,304 (9.3) 20-24 years old 3188 (20.3) 63,009 (22.3) 25-29 years old 4020 (25.5) 75,279 (26.6) 30-34 years old 3104 (19.7) 48,687 (17.2) Maternal birthplace, N (%) 9155 (58.2) 157,077 (55.5) Outside of USA 6589 (41.9) 126,003 (44.5) Missing 0 61 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) White non-Hispanic 5240 (33.3) 84,044 (30.0) Hispanic of any race 7878 (50.0) 141,361 (44.5) (49.9) Black 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 1588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) 3512 Missing 216 3512 (40.1) 10,559 Missing 1023 20,777 (20.9) 17,547 (6.2) Asian/Pacific Islander 1387 (8.8) 29,791 (10.5) Asian/Pacific Islander 131 (0.8) 2420 (0.9) 105,55 (60.9) (40.9) (50.5) (46.1)	Maternal age, N (%)		
20-24 years old 3188 (20.3) 63,009 (22.3) 25-29 years old 4020 (25.5) 75,279 (26.6) 30-34 years old 3104 (19.7) 48,687 (17.2) Maternal birthplace, N (%) 117 (26.2) 69,862 (24.7) USA 9155 (58.2) 157,077 (55.5) (55.5) (55.5) Outside of USA 6589 (41.9) 126,003 (44.5) (44.5) (44.5) Miternal race and ethnicity, N (%) White non-Hispanic 5240 (33.3) 84,044 (30.0) Hispanic of any race 7878 (50.0) 141,361 (49.9) Black 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 216 3512 214 30,559 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (46.1) 169 (0.4) 104 (28.6) Missing 122 (46.4) 130,559 (46.1) Black 770 (4.9) 17,547 (6.2) Asian/Pacific Islander 138 (77.1) Asian/Pacific Islander 138 (77.1) (40.5) (40.5)	19 or less	1315 (8.4)	26,304 (9.3)
22-29 years old 4020 (25.5) 75,279 (26.6) 30-34 years old 4117 (26.2) 69,862 (24.7) 35 and older 3104 (19.7) 48,687 (17.2) Maternal birthplace, N (%) (55.5) 157,077 USA 9155 (58.2) 157,077 Outside of USA 6589 (41.9) 126,003 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (44.5) 141,361 White non-Hispanic 5240 (33.3) 84,044 (30.0) Hispanic of any race 7878 (50.0) 141,361 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (49.9) 9 Black 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 1588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (46.1) 130,559 (46.1) 130,559 (46.1) Black 707 (4.9) 17,547 (6.2) Asian/Pacific Islander 1387 (8.8) 29,791 (10.5) American Indian 44 (0.3) 1006 (0.4)	20-24 years old	3188 (20.3)	63,009 (22.3)
30-34 years old 4117 (26.2) 69,862 (24.7) 35 and older 3104 (19.7) 48,687 (17.2) Maternal birthplace, N (%) 9155 (58.2) 157,077 USA 9155 (58.2) 126,003 (44.5) 6589 (41.9) 126,003 (44.5) 6589 (41.9) 126,003 (44.5) 643 (1.0) 643 Missing 0 61 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 141,361 (49.9) Black 643 (1.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 1588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 116,243 (5.7) 141,261 White non-Hispanic 5077 (32.3) 81,041 (28.6) Hispanic of any race 7312 (46.4) 130,559 (46.1) 1023 20,777 Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%) 1038,977 (49.5) Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay 6936 (44.3) 138,977	25-29 years old	4020 (25.5)	75,279 (26.6)
35 and older 3104 (19.7) 48,687 (17.2) Maternal birthplace, N (%) 9155 (58.2) 157,077 (55.5) Outside of USA 6589 (41.9) 126,003 (44.5) Missing 0 61 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 84,044 (30.0) White non-Hispanic 5240 (33.3) 84,044 (30.0) Hispanic of any race 7878 (50.0) 141,361 (49.9) Black 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 1588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (46.1) 130,559 (46.1) White non-Hispanic 5077 (32.3) 81,041 (28.6) Hispanic of any race 7312 (46.4) 130,559 (46.1) Black 770 (4.9) 17,547 (6.2) Asian/Pacific Islander 1387 (8.8) 29,791 (10.5) American Indian 44 (0.3) 1006 (0.4) Other/not specified 131 (0.8) 2420 (0.9) Missing 92 2443 <td>30-34 years old</td> <td>4117 (26.2)</td> <td>69,862 (24.7)</td>	30-34 years old	4117 (26.2)	69,862 (24.7)
Maternal birthplace, N (%) 157,077 USA 6589 (41.9) 126,003 Outside of USA 6589 (41.9) 126,003 Missing 0 61 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 141,361 (44.5) White non-Hispanic 5240 (33.3) 84,044 (30.0) Hispanic of any race 7878 (50.0) 141,361 (49.9) 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 1588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 116,051 31,041 (28.6) Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 110,0510 466.1) Black 770 (4.9) 17,547 (6.2) Asian/Pacific Islander 1387 (8.8) 29,791 (10.5) American Indian 44 (0.3) 1006 (0.4) Other/not specified 131 (0.8) 2420 (0.9) Missing	35 and older	3104 (19.7)	48,687 (17.2)
USA 9155 (58.2) 157,077 (55.5) Outside of USA 6589 (41.9) 126,003 (44.5) Missing 0 61 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 84,044 (30.0) Hispanic of any race 7878 (50.0) 141,361 (49.9) Black 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 1588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 130,559 (46.1) White non-Hispanic 5077 (32.3) 81,041 (28.6) Hispanic of any race 770 (4.9) 17,547 (6.2) Asian/Pacific Islander 1387 (8.8) 29,791 (10.5) American Indian 44 (0.3) 1006 (0.4) Other/not specified 131 (0.8) 2420 (0.9) Missing 1023 20,777 Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%) 138,977 (49.5) Missing 92 2443 <	Maternal birthplace, N (%)		
Outside of USA (55.5) (589 (41.9) 126,003 (44.5) Missing 0 61 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (44.5) White non-Hispanic 5240 (33.3) 84,044 (30.0) Hispanic of any race 7878 (50.0) 141,361 (49.9) Black 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 1588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (46.1) 130,559 White non-Hispanic 5077 (32.3) 81,041 (28.6) Hispanic of any race 7312 (46.4) 130,559 Merican Indian 44 (0.3) 1006 (0.4) Other/not specified 131 (0.8) 2420 (0.9) Missing 202,777 50 Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%) (44.5) (50.5) Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay 6736 (44.3) 138,977 Missing 92 2443	USA	9155 (58.2)	157,077
Outside of USA 6589 (41.9) (44.5) 126,003 (44.5) Missing 0 61 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) White non-Hispanic 5240 (33.3) 84,044 (30.0) Hispanic of any race 7878 (50.0) 141,361 (49.9) Black 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 1588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) (46.1) Black 770 (4.9) 17,547 (6.2) Asian/Pacific Islander 1387 (8.8) 29,791 (10.5) American Indian 44 (0.3) 1006 (0.4) (0.9) (55.5) Missing 1023 20,777 2000.9) (55.5) (44.5) Missing 1023 20,777 204.91 138,977 (49.5) Missing 92 2443 (50.5) (44.5) Missing			(55.5)
Missing (44.5) Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) ************************************	Outside of USA	6589 (41.9)	126,003
Missing 0 61 Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 5240 (33.3) 84,044 (30.0) Hispanic of any race 7878 (50.0) 141,361 (49.9) Black 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 1588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) White non-Hispanic 5077 (32.3) 81,041 (28.6) Hispanic of any race 7312 (46.4) 130,559 (46.1) 1347 (88.8) 29,791 (10.5) American Indian 44 (0.3) 1006 (0.4) Other/not specified 131 (0.8) 2420 (0.9) Missing 1023 20,777 Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%) 133,977 (49.5) Missing 92 2443 Residency in rural/urban area, N (%) 110,721 (50.5) Missing 1107 107 <			(44.5)
Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 5240 (33.3) 84,044 (30.0) White non-Hispanic 5240 (33.3) 84,044 (30.0) Hispanic of any race 7878 (50.0) 141,361 (49.9) (49.9) Black 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 1588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) ////////////////////////////////////	Missing	0	61
White non-Hispanic 5240 (33.3) 84,044 (30.0) Hispanic of any race 7878 (50.0) 141,361 (49.9) Black 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 1588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 130,559 (46.1) (46.1) Black 770 (4.9) 17,547 (6.2) Asian/Pacific Islander 1387 (8.8) 29,791 (10.5) American Indian 44 (0.3) 1006 (0.4) Other/not specified 131 (0.8) 2420 (0.9) Missing 1023 20,777 Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%) (49.5) (49.5) Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay 6936 (44.3) 138,977 (49.5) (49.5) Missing 92 2443 (49.5) (49.5) Missing 92 2443 (49.5) (49.5) Missing 11.107 (49.5)	Maternal race and ethnicity, N (%)		
Hispanic of any race7878 (50.0)141,361 (49.9)Black643 (4.1)16,243 (5.7)Asian/Pacific Islander1588 (10.1)34,194 (12.1)American Indian61 (0.4)1169 (0.4)Other/not specified118 (0.8)2618 (0.9)Missing2163512Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%)130,559(46.1)White non-Hispanic5077 (32.3)81,041 (28.6)Hispanic of any race7312 (46.4)130,559Black770 (4.9)17,547 (6.2)Asian/Pacific Islander1387 (8.8)29,791 (10.5)American Indian44 (0.3)1006 (0.4)Other/not specified131 (0.8)2420 (0.9)Missing102320,777Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%)771141,721 (50.5)Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay6936 (44.3)138,977 (49.5)Missing922443Residency in rural/urban area, N (%)111107Census tract level socioeconomic status111107Census tract level socioeconomic status993 (25.4)78,316 (27.7) Lower middleMiddle3541 (22.5)64,194 (22.7)Middle3114 (19.8)53,429 (18.9)Upper middle2155 (17.2)45,858 (16.2)Highest2351 (14.9)39,559 (14.0)Missing301785Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard57 \pm 5.0NA	White non-Hispanic	5240 (33.3)	84,044 (30.0)
(49.9) Black 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 1588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) White non-Hispanic 5077 (32.3) 81,041 (28.6) Hispanic of any race 7312 (46.4) 130,559 (46.1) Black 770 (4.9) 17,547 (6.2) Asian/Pacific Islander 1387 (8.8) 29,791 (10.5) American Indian 44 (0.3) 1006 (0.4) 0106 (0.4) 0106 (0.4) Other/not specified 131 (0.8) 2420 (0.9) Missing Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%) Ital,721 (50.5) Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay 6936 (44.3) 138,977 Missing 92 2443 Residency in rural/urban area, N (%) Ital,762 264,655 Missing 11 1107 Census tract level socioeconomic status Ital,722 64,655 <	Hispanic of any race	7878 (50.0)	141,361
Black 643 (4.1) 16,243 (5.7) Asian/Pacific Islander 1588 (10.1) 34,194 (12.1) American Indian 61 (0.4) 1169 (0.4) Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 5077 (32.3) 81,041 (28.6) Hispanic of any race 7312 (46.4) 130,559 (46.1) 1387 (8.8) 29,791 (10.5) American Indian 44 (0.3) 1006 (0.4) Other/not specified 131 (0.8) 2420 (0.9) Missing 1023 20,777 Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%) r (50.5) Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay 6936 (44.3) 138,977 Missing 92 2443 Residency in rural/urban area, N (%) r 11 Rural 971 (6.2) 17,379 (6.1) Urban 14,762 264,655 (93.8) (93.5) 11 Missing 11 1107 Census tract level socioeconomic status <td></td> <td></td> <td>(49.9)</td>			(49.9)
Asian/Pacific Islander1588 (10.1) $34,194 (12.1)$ American Indian $61 (0.4)$ $1169 (0.4)$ Other/not specified $118 (0.8)$ $2618 (0.9)$ Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) $5077 (32.3)$ $81,041 (28.6)$ Hispanic of any race $5077 (32.3)$ $81,041 (28.6)$ Hispanic of any race $7312 (46.4)$ $130,559 (46.1)$ Black $770 (4.9)$ $17,547 (6.2)$ Asian/Pacific Islander $1387 (8.8)$ $29,791 (10.5)$ American Indian $44 (0.3)$ $1006 (0.4)$ Other/not specified $131 (0.8)$ $2420 (0.9)$ Missing 1023 $20,777$ Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%) (49.5) Private insurance/HMO/Blue Cross, Blue Shield $8716 (55.7)$ $141,721 (50.5)$ Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay $6936 (44.3)$ $138,977 (49.5)$ Missing 92 2443 Residency in rural/urban area, N (%) (49.5) $(33.8) (93.5)$ Missing 11 1107 Census tract level socioeconomic status $(93.8) (93.5)$ $(33.16 (27.7))$ Lowest $3993 (25.4)$ $78,316 (27.7)$ Lower middle $3541 (22.5) (64,194 (22.7))$ $41,948 (53.4) (14.9) (14.9) (14.9) (15.9)$	Black	643 (4.1)	16,243 (5.7)
American Indian $61 (0.4)$ $1169 (0.4)$ Other/not specified $118 (0.8)$ $2618 (0.9)$ Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 216 3512 White non-Hispanic $5077 (32.3)$ $81,041 (28.6)$ Hispanic of any race $7312 (46.4)$ $130,559$ Mise non-Hispanic $770 (4.9)$ $17,547 (6.2)$ Asian/Pacific Islander $1387 (8.8)$ $29,791 (10.5)$ American Indian $44 (0.3)$ $1006 (0.4)$ Other/not specified $131 (0.8)$ $2420 (0.9)$ Missing 1023 $20,777$ Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%) $141,721$ Private insurance/HMO/Blue Cross, Blue Shield $8716 (55.7)$ $141,721$ Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay 92 2443 Residency in rural/urban area, N (%) (49.5) (49.5) Missing 921 2443 Residency in rural/urban area, N (%) (49.5) (49.5) Missing 11 1107 Census tract level socioeconomic status (33.8) (33.5) Insing 11 1107 Census tract level socioeconomic status $114 (19.8)$ $53,429 (18.9)$ Upper middle $351 (14.9)$ $39,559 (14.0)$ Missing 30 1785 Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard 57 ± 5.0 NA	Asian/Pacific Islander	1588 (10.1)	34,194 (12.1)
Other/not specified 118 (0.8) 2618 (0.9) Missing 216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) ************************************	American Indian	61 (0.4)	1169 (0.4)
Missing216 3512 Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%)White non-Hispanic 5077 (32.3) $81,041$ (28.6)Hispanic of any race 7312 (46.4) $130,559$ (46.1)Black 770 (4.9) $17,547$ (6.2) $Asian/Pacific Islander1387 (8.8)29,791 (10.5)American Indian44 (0.3)1006 (0.4)0ther/not specified131 (0.8)2420 (0.9)Missing102320,777Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%)Private insurance/HMO/Blue Cross, Blue Shield8716 (55.7)141,721(50.5)Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay6936 (44.3)138,977(49.5)138,977(49.5)Missing922443Residency in rural/urban area, N (%)Rural971 (6.2)17,379 (6.1)Urban14,762264,655(93.8)(93.5)Missing111107Census tract level socioeconomic status1141107Lowest3993 (25.4)78,316 (27.7)Lowest3993 (25.4)78,316 (27.7)Middle3114 (19.8)53,429 (18.9)Upper middle2715 (17.2)45,858 (16.2)Highest2351 (14.9)39,559 (14.0)Missing301785Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard5.7 \pm 5.0NA$	Other/not specified	118 (0.8)	2618 (0.9)
Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%) 5077 (32.3) 81,041 (28.6) Hispanic of any race 7312 (46.4) 130,559 Hispanic of any race 7312 (46.4) 130,559 Black 770 (4.9) 17,547 (6.2) Asian/Pacific Islander 1387 (8.8) 29,791 (10.5) American Indian 44 (0.3) 1006 (0.4) Other/not specified 131 (0.8) 2420 (0.9) Missing 1023 20,777 Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%) 141,721 (50.5) Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay 6936 (44.3) 138,977 (49.5) Missing 92 2443 (49.5) (49.5) Missing 92 2443 (49.5) (49.5) Missing 92 2443 (49.5) (49.5) Missing 971 (6.2) 17,379 (6.1) (49.5) Missing 11 1107 (49.5) Missing 11 107 (49.5) Missing 11 107 (49.5) Missing	Missing	216	3512
White non-Hispanic 5077 (32.3) $81,041 (28.6)$ Hispanic of any race 7312 (46.4) $130,559$ (46.1) Black 770 (4.9) $17,547 (6.2)$ Asian/Pacific Islander $1387 (8.8)$ $29,791 (10.5)$ American Indian 44 (0.3) $1006 (0.4)$ Other/not specified $131 (0.8)$ $2420 (0.9)$ Missing 1023 $20,777$ Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%) V (55.7) Private insurance/HMO/Blue Cross, Blue Shield $8716 (55.7)$ $141,721$ (50.5) Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay $6936 (44.3)$ $138,977$ Missing 92 2443 Residency in rural/urban area, N (%) V V Rural $971 (6.2)$ $17,379 (6.1)$ Urban $14,762$ $264,655$ (93.8) (93.5) 1107 Census tract level socioeconomic status V V Lowest $3993 (25.4)$ $78,316 (27.7)$ Lowest $3993 (25.4)$ $78,316 (27.7)$	Paternal race and ethnicity, N (%)		
Hispanic of any race7312 (46.4)130,559 (46.1)Black770 (4.9)17,547 (6.2)Asian/Pacific Islander1387 (8.8)29,791 (10.5)American Indian44 (0.3)1006 (0.4)Other/not specified131 (0.8)2420 (0.9)Missing102320,777Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%) V V Private insurance/HMO/Blue Cross, Blue Shield8716 (55.7)141,721 (50.5)Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay6936 (44.3)138,977 (49.5)Missing922443Residency in rural/urban area, N (%) V V Rural971 (6.2)17,379 (6.1)Urban141,762264,655(93.8)(93.5)11Urban1107107Census tract level socioeconomic status V Lowest3993 (25.4)78,316 (27.7)Middle3114 (19.8)53,429 (18.9)Upper middle3114 (19.8)53,429 (18.9)Upper middle2351 (14.9)39,559 (14.0)Missing301785Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard $5,7 \pm 5.0$ NA	White non-Hispanic	5077 (32.3)	81,041 (28.6)
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} (46.1) \\ Black & 770 (4.9) & 17,547 (6.2) \\ Asian/Pacific Islander & 1387 (8.8) & 29,791 (10.5) \\ American Indian & 44 (0.3) & 1006 (0.4) \\ Other/not specified & 131 (0.8) & 2420 (0.9) \\ Missing & 1023 & 20,777 \\ Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%) \\ Private insurance/HMO/Blue Cross, Blue Shield & 8716 (55.7) & 141,721 \\ (50.5) \\ Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay & 6936 (44.3) & 138,977 \\ (49.5) \\ Missing & 92 & 2443 \\ Residency in rural/urban area, N (%) \\ Rural & 971 (6.2) & 17,379 (6.1) \\ Urban & 14,762 & 264,655 \\ (93.8) & (93.5) \\ Missing & 11 & 1107 \\ Census tract level socioeconomic status \\ Lowest & 3993 (25.4) & 78,316 (27.7) \\ Lower middle & 3114 (12.5) & 64,194 (22.7) \\ Middle & 3114 (12.5) & 64,194 (22.7) \\ Middle & 3114 (12.5) & 64,194 (22.7) \\ Middle & 3114 (19.8) & 53,429 (18.9) \\ Upper middle & 2351 (14.9) & 39,559 (14.0) \\ Missing & 30 & 1785 \\ Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard dot concert and concer$	Hispanic of any race	7312 (46.4)	130,559
Black770 (4.9)17,547 (6.2)Asian/Pacific Islander1387 (8.8)29,791 (10.5)American Indian44 (0.3)1006 (0.4)Other/not specified131 (0.8)2420 (0.9)Missing102320,777Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%)8716 (55.7)141,721 (50.5)Private insurance/HMO/Blue Cross, Blue Shield8716 (55.7)141,721 (50.5)Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay6936 (44.3)138,977 (49.5)Missing922443Residency in rural/urban area, N (%)771 (6.2)17,379 (6.1)Urban14,762264,655 (93.8)(93.5)Missing111107Census tract level socioeconomic status111107Lowest3993 (25.4)78,316 (27.7)Lower middle3514 (22.5)64,194 (22.7)Middle3114 (19.8)53,429 (18.9)Upper middle2715 (17.2)45,858 (16.2)Highest2351 (14.9)39,559 (14.0)Missing301785Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard 5.7 ± 5.0 NA			(46.1)
Asian/Pacific Islander 1387 (8.8) 29,791 (10.5) American Indian 44 (0.3) 1006 (0.4) Other/not specified 131 (0.8) 2420 (0.9) Missing 1023 20,777 Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%) 9 10123 20,777 Private insurance/HMO/Blue Cross, Blue Shield 8716 (55.7) 141,721 (50.5) Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay 6936 (44.3) 138,977 (49.5) Missing 92 2443 Residency in rural/urban area, N (%) 8716 (6.2) 17,379 (6.1) Urban 141,762 264,655 (93.8) (93.5) 11 Missing 11 1107 Census tract level socioeconomic status 1141 (19.8) 53,429 (18.9) Upper middle 3541 (22.5) 64,194 (22.7) Middle 3114 (19.8) 53,429 (18.9) Upper middle 2715 (17.2) 45,858 (16.2) Highest 2351 (14.9) 39,559 (14.0) Missing 30 1785 Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard 5.7 \pm 5.0 NA	Black	770 (4.9)	17,547 (6.2)
American Indian $44 (0.3)$ $1006 (0.4)$ Other/not specified $131 (0.8)$ $2420 (0.9)$ Missing 1023 $20,777$ Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%) 1023 $20,777$ Private insurance/HMO/Blue Cross, Blue Shield $8716 (55.7)$ $141,721 (50.5)$ Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay $6936 (44.3)$ $138,977 (49.5)$ Missing 92 2443 Residency in rural/urban area, N (%) $Rural$ $971 (6.2)$ $17,379 (6.1)$ Urban $14,762$ $264,655 (93.8)$ (93.5) Missing 11 1107 Census tract level socioeconomic status 111 1107 Lowest $3993 (25.4)$ $78,316 (27.7)$ Lower middle $3541 (22.5)$ $64,194 (22.7)$ Middle $3114 (19.8)$ $53,429 (18.9)$ Upper middle $2715 (17.2)$ $45,858 (16.2)$ Highest $2351 (14.9)$ $39,559 (14.0)$ Missing 30 1785 Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard 5.7 ± 5.0 NAdeviation) 5.7 ± 5.0 NA	Asian/Pacific Islander	1387 (8.8)	29,791 (10.5)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	American Indian	44 (0.3)	1006 (0.4)
Missing 1023 20,777 Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%) Private insurance/HMO/Blue Cross, Blue Shield 8716 (55.7) 141,721 Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay 6936 (44.3) 138,977 (49.5) Missing 92 2443 Residency in rural/urban area, N (%) $Rural$ 971 (6.2) 17,379 (6.1) Urban 14,762 264,655 (93.8) (93.5) Missing 11 1107 Census tract level socioeconomic status 11 1107 Lowest 3993 (25.4) 78,316 (27.7) Middle 3114 (19.8) 53,429 (18.9) Upper middle 3114 (19.8) 53,429 (18.9) Upper middle 2351 (14.9) 39,559 (14.0) Missing 30 1785 Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard 5.7 \pm 5.0 NA	Other/not specified	131 (0.8)	2420 (0.9)
Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%) Private insurance/HMO/Blue Cross, Blue Shield 8716 (55.7) $141,721$ (50.5) Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay 6936 (44.3) $138,977$ (49.5) Missing 92 2443 Residency in rural/urban area, N (%) 971 (6.2) $17,379$ (6.1) Urban $14,762$ $264,655$ (93.8) (93.5) Missing 11 1107 Census tract level socioeconomic status Lowest 3993 (25.4) $78,316$ (27.7) Lowest 3993 (25.4) $78,316$ (27.7) Middle 3114 (19.8) $53,429$ (18.9) Upper middle 2715 (17.2) $45,858$ (16.2) Highest 2351 (14.9) $39,559$ (14.0) Missing 30 1785 Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard 5.7 ± 5.0 NA	Missing	1023	20,777
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Private insurance/HMO/Blue Cross, Blue Shield} & 8716 (55.7) & 141,721 \\ (50.5) & \\ \mbox{Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay} & 6936 (44.3) & 138,977 \\ (49.5) & \\ \mbox{Missing} & 92 & 2443 \\ \mbox{Residency in rural/urban area, N (%)} & \\ \mbox{Rural} & 971 (6.2) & 17,379 (6.1) \\ \mbox{Urban} & 14,762 & 264,655 \\ (93.8) & (93.5) & \\ \mbox{Missing} & 11 & 1107 \\ \mbox{Census tract level socioeconomic status} & \\ \mbox{Lowest} & 3993 (25.4) & 78,316 (27.7) \\ \mbox{Lowest} & 3993 (25.4) & 78,316 (27.7) \\ \mbox{Lower middle} & 3541 (22.5) & 64,194 (22.7) \\ \mbox{Middle} & 3114 (19.8) & 53,429 (18.9) \\ \mbox{Upper middle} & 2715 (17.2) & 45,858 (16.2) \\ \mbox{Highest} & 2351 (14.9) & 39,559 (14.0) \\ \mbox{Missing} & 30 & 1785 \\ \mbox{Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard deviation)} & \\ \end{tabular}$	Source of payment for prenatal care, N (%)		
$\begin{array}{c} \text{(50.5)}\\ \text{Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay} & 6936 (44.3) & 138,977 \\ & (49.5) \\ \text{Missing} & 92 & 2443 \\ \text{Residency in rural/urban area, N (%)} \\ \text{Rural} & 971 (6.2) & 17,379 (6.1) \\ \text{Urban} & 14,762 & 264,655 \\ & (93.8) & (93.5) \\ \text{Missing} & 11 & 1107 \\ \text{Census tract level socioeconomic status} \\ \text{Lowest} & 3993 (25.4) & 78,316 (27.7) \\ \text{Lower midle} & 3541 (22.5) & 64,194 (22.7) \\ \text{Middle} & 3114 (19.8) & 53,429 (18.9) \\ \text{Upper middle} & 2715 (17.2) & 45,858 (16.2) \\ \text{Highest} & 2351 (14.9) & 39,559 (14.0) \\ \text{Missing} & 30 & 1785 \\ Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard deviation) & 5.7 \pm 5.0 NA$	Private insurance/HMO/Blue Cross, Blue Shield	8716 (55.7)	141.721
$\begin{array}{cccc} \mbox{Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay} & 6936 (44.3) & 138,977 & (49.5)$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(50.5)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Medi-Cal/other government/self-pay	6936 (44.3)	138,977
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$,	(49.5)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Missing	92	2443
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Residency in rural/urban area, N (%)		
Urban14,762264,655Urban14,762264,655(93.8)(93.5)11Interpret 1001107Census tract level socioeconomic status11Lowest3993 (25.4)78,316 (27.7)Lower middle3541 (22.5)64,194 (22.7)Middle3114 (19.8)53,429 (18.9)Upper middle2715 (17.2)45,858 (16.2)Highest2351 (14.9)39,559 (14.0)Missing301785Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard5.7 \pm 5.0NAdeviation)111	Bural	971 (6.2)	17.379 (6.1)
$\begin{array}{c} (93.8) \\ (93.8) \\ (93.8) \\ (93.8) \\ (93.8) \\ (93.8) \\ (93.8) \\ (93.8) \\ (93.8) \\ (93.5) \\ 11 \\ 1107 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	Urban	14.762	264.655
Missing 11 1107 Census tract level socioeconomic status 11 1107 Lowest 3993 (25.4) 78,316 (27.7) Lower middle 3541 (22.5) 64,194 (22.7) Middle 3114 (19.8) 53,429 (18.9) Upper middle 2715 (17.2) 45,858 (16.2) Highest 2351 (14.9) 39,559 (14.0) Missing 30 1785 Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean ± Standard deviation) 5.7 ± 5.0 NA		(93.8)	(93.5)
Census tract level socioeconomic status 3993 (25.4) 78,316 (27.7) Lower middle 3541 (22.5) 64,194 (22.7) Middle 3114 (19.8) 53,429 (18.9) Upper middle 2715 (17.2) 45,858 (16.2) Highest 2351 (14.9) 39,559 (14.0) Missing 30 1785 Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard 5.7 \pm 5.0 NA	Missing	11	1107
Lowest3993 (25.4)78,316 (27.7)Lower middle 3541 (22.5) $64,194$ (22.7)Middle 3114 (19.8) $53,429$ (18.9)Upper middle 2715 (17.2) $45,858$ (16.2)Highest 2351 (14.9) $39,559$ (14.0)Missing 30 1785 Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard 5.7 ± 5.0 NAdeviation) -752 -752	Census tract level socioeconomic status		110/
Lower middle 3541 (22.5) $64,194$ (22.7) Middle 3114 (19.8) $53,429$ (18.9) Upper middle 2715 (17.2) $45,858$ (16.2) Highest 2351 (14.9) $39,559$ (14.0) Missing 30 1785 Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard 5.7 ± 5.0 NA	Lowest	3993 (25.4)	78.316 (27.7)
Middle 3114 (19.8) $53,429$ (18.9) Upper middle 2715 (17.2) $45,858$ (16.2) Highest 2351 (14.9) $39,559$ (14.0) Missing 30 1785 Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard 5.7 ± 5.0 NA	Lower middle	3541 (22.5)	64 194 (22.7)
Image 2715 (17.2) 45,858 (16.2) Highest 2351 (14.9) 39,559 (14.0) Missing 30 1785 Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard 5.7 \pm 5.0 NA deviation)	Middle	3114 (19.8)	53 429 (18 9)
Highest $2351 (4.9)$ $39,559 (10.2)$ Missing 30 1785 Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard 5.7 ± 5.0 NA deviation) 5.7 ± 5.0 NA	Upper middle	2715 (17.2)	45.858 (16.2)
Missing200130,009(1410)Missing301785Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard 5.7 ± 5.0 NAdeviation) 5.7 ± 5.0 NA	Highest	2351 (14.9)	39,559 (14.0)
Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean \pm Standard 5.7 \pm 5.0 NA deviation)	Missing	30	1785
deviation)	Age of cancer diagnosis, years (Mean + Standard	5.7 ± 5.0	NA
de nationy	deviation)	5.7 ± 5.0	- 11 1

including leather-treatment products with an average concentration of 66.5% of 1,1,1-TCA (International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2022). Tetrachloroethylene was classified by IARC as a Group 2A carcinogen, and is commonly used in dry cleaning, metal, and mining industries for cleaning purposes (Gold et al., 2008; IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, 2014). CS₂ has not been evaluated by IARC. It is an industrial solvent mainly used in the manufacture of regenerated cellulose and viscose or as an intermediate to manufacture other chemicals (Printemps et al., 2022). Despite not being previously studied with rhabdomyosarcoma, 1,1,1-TCA was previously linked to excess risk of soft tissue sarcomas in the Swedish leather tanning industry (Mikoczy et al., 1994).

A number of studies have reported on central nervous system (CNS)

Chemical and Cancer	Exposure	Exposed	Crude	Adjusted
type	category	cases, ^a N (%)	model, OR	model, OR
		IN (70)	()3/0 CI)	()3/0(1)
Tetrachloroethylene"	Europ	15 650	Dof	Dof
Controis	exposed	(5.5)	Rei	Kei
	Low	7806	Ref	Ref
	High	7852	Ref	Ref
ALL	Ever	220 (5.5)	1.00 (0.87,	0.99 (0.86,
	exposed		1.15)	1.14)
	Low	122 (3.0)	1.10 (0.92, 1.32)	1.10 (0.92, 1.32)
	High	98 (2.4)	0.89 (0.73, 1.10)	0.88 (0.72, 1.09)
AML	Ever	38 (5.1)	0.96 (0.69,	0.93 (0.67,
	exposed	10 (2 5)	1.34)	1.31)
	LOW	19 (2.5)	0.94 (0.60,	1.43)
	High	19 (2.5)	0.99 (0.62,	0.98 (0.62,
T TT C	P	01 ((1)	1.57)	1.56)
HL	Ever exposed	21 (6.1)	0.69 (0.44, 1.07)	0.71 (0.45, 1.12)
NHL	Ever	37 (6.0)	0.90 (0.64,	0.97 (0.69,
	exposed		1.26)	1.38)
	Low	13 (2.1)	0.69 (0.40,	0.70 (0.39,
	High	24 (3.9)	1.07 (0.71.	1.24)
		21(01))	1.63)	1.86)
CNS tumors (all)	Ever	189 (5.9)	1.00 (0.86,	1.09 (0.94,
	exposed		1.16)	1.27)
	Low	105 (3.3)	1.15 (0.95,	1.24 (1.02,
	High	84 (2.6)	1.40)	1.51)
	111511	01(2.0)	1.07)	1.19)
Medulloblastoma	Ever	23 (5.9)	1.05 (0.68,	1.14 (0.74,
	exposed		1.60)	1.76)
	Low	13 (3.4)	1.20 (0.69,	1.30 (0.74,
	High	10 (2.6)	2.09)	2.26)
	8	()	1.69)	1.88)
Glioma	Ever	104 (5.4)	0.93 (0.76,	1.01 (0.82,
	exposed	(1 (0 0)	1.13)	1.24)
	LOW	61 (3.2)	1.11 (0.86,	1.20 (0.93,
	High	43 (2.2)	0.74 (0.55,	0.82 (0.60,
	0		1.01)	1.12)
Neuroblastoma	Ever	38 (3.8)	0.83 (0.60,	0.87 (0.62,
	exposed	20 (2.0)	1.16)	1.21)
	LOW	20 (2.0)	1.25)	1.33)
	High	18 (1.8)	0.87 (0.54,	0.89 (0.55,
			1.40)	1.45)
Retinoblastoma	Ever	29 (5.8)	1.30 (0.89,	1.27 (0.86,
	exposed	14 (2.8)	1.90)	1.86)
	LOW	14 (2.8)	1.14 (0.07, 1.95)	1.12 (0.00, 1.91)
	High	15 (3.0)	1.50 (0.89,	1.45 (0.85,
	_		2.55)	2.46)
Wilms tumor	Ever	31 (4.1)	0.81 (0.56,	0.84 (0.58,
	Low	18 (2.4)	0.89 (0.56.	0.93 (0.58.
		- ()	1.43)	1.48)
	High	13 (1.7)	0.71 (0.41,	0.75 (0.43,
	-	10 (0 -	1.24)	1.30)
Hepatoblastoma ^e	Ever	10 (3.5)	0.78 (0.41,	0.82 (0.43,
Malignant bone	Ever	24 (5.4)	0.72 (0.47	0.76 (0.50
tumors	exposed	. ()	1.09)	1.15)
	Low	13 (2.9)	0.90 (0.52,	0.94 (0.54,
			1.56)	1.64)

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

Chemical and Cancer type	Exposure category	Exposed cases, ^a N (%)	Crude model, OR (95% CI) ^b	Adjusted model, OR (95% CI) ^c
	High	11 (2.5)	0.58 (0.32,	0.61 (0.33,
			1.06)	1.12)
Ewing's sarcoma ^e	Ever	13 (8.6)	1.26 (0.71,	1.33 (0.74,
	exposed		2.25)	2.39)
Rhabdomyosarcoma	Ever	30 (6.9)	1.18 (0.81,	1.23 (0.84,
	exposed		1.72)	1.81)
	Low	17 (3.9)	1.38 (0.85,	1.44 (0.88,
			2.25)	2.35)
	High	13 (3.0)	0.98 (0.56,	1.03 (0.59,
			1.72)	1.81)
Germ cell tumors	Ever	39 (7.1)	1.15 (0.82,	1.11 (0.79,
	exposed		1.60)	1.55)
	Low	15 (2.7)	0.94 (0.56,	0.91 (0.55,
			1.57)	1.53)
	High	24 (4.3)	1.34 (0.88,	1.28 (0.84,
			2.03)	1.96)

Abbreviation: ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukemia; AML: acute myeloid leukemia; CI: confidence interval; CNS tumors: central nervous system tumors; HL: Hodgkin lymphoma; NHL: Non-Hodgkin lymphoma; OR: odds ratio.

^a Among children who have ever been exposed, participants were categorized into low and high exposure groups by the median.

^b Adjusted for birth year, the matching variable.

^c Adjusted for birth year, maternal age, race and ethnicity, source of payment

for prenatal care, residence in rural/urban area, census tract SES-index.

^d For tetrachloroethylene, the buffer size was 3 km distance.

 $^{\rm e}\,$ The results for low/high exposure were not reported because the number of exposed cases was less than 10.

toxicity with CS₂ exposure (Chuang et al., 2007; Huang et al., 1996; Krstev et al., 2003; Sun et al., 2009). Experimental studies have suggested CS₂ causes morphologic brain lesions (Beauchamp et al., 1983) and decreases in the number of nerve fiber bundles and migrating neurons (Ding et al., 2011). With regards to reproductive effects, CS₂ lowers sperm motility and antioxidant capacity (Guo et al., 2016). In addition, exposed female workers were more likely to experience adverse pregnancy outcomes including preterm birth, congenital malformations, emesis gravidarum, and pre-eclampsia (Zhou et al., 1988). Some of these adverse birth outcomes were linked to higher risks of CNS tumors (Askins et al., 2023; Oksuzyan et al., 2012; Schmidt et al., 2010). CS₂ can also be found in breast milk, suggesting that the child's early life exposures may also be relevant (Cai and Bao, 1981). CS2 is understudied in cancer, but a prior study linked occupational exposure to greater lymphatic leukemia mortality in rubber industry workers (Wilcosky et al., 1984).

A high point estimate for CNS tumors was observed among children with low exposure to PCE in pregnancy, but no elevated risk was seen among the high exposed group, thus not substantially supporting a causal relation. On the other hand, live birth bias might influence the outcome, lowering our ability to detect any effect in the high exposed group (Liew et al., 2015). For example, although insufficient evidence indicated an increased risk of pregnancy loss with the exposure of PCE (Aschengrau et al., 2009; Brown Dzubow et al., 2010), PCE was shown to increase the risk of infertility (Aschengrau et al., 2020; Sallmén et al., 1995; Wesselink et al., 2018). Because our study only included live births and our study design excluded mothers who were unable to conceive, results may have been affected by live birth bias.

Our study identified specific solvents from the TRI and analyzed the total release amount from each industrial site. The weak correlations between the top 25 commonly released chemicals suggested minimal confounding from co-exposure to other industrial chemicals. The TRI database is considered the most comprehensive data source on industrial toxic emissions in the US (Chakraborty, 2004). Several studies have utilized this database to investigate the associations between industrial pollution and health outcomes (Chakraborty, 2004; Choi et al., 2006;

Table 3

Associations between industrial 1,1,1-trichloroethane exposure during pregnancy and childhood cancers for births in CA between 1998 and 2016, using the quadratic decay model.

Chemical and Cancer type	Exposure category	Exposed cases, ^a N (%)	Crude model, OR (95% CI) ^b	Adjusted model, OR (95% CI) ^c
1,1,1- Trichloroethane ^d				
Controls	Ever exposed	4878 (1.7)	Ref	Ref
	Low	2441 (0.9)	Ref	Ref
	High	2437 (0.9)	Ref	Ref
ALL	Ever exposed	58 (1.4)	0.85 (0.65, 1.11)	0.83 (0.64, 1.09)
	Low	29 (0.7)	0.85 (0.59, 1.22)	0.86 (0.59, 1.24)
	High	29 (0.7)	0.86 (0.59, 1.24)	0.81 (0.55, 1.18)
AML ^e	Ever exposed	10 (1.3)	0.84 (0.45, 1.57)	0.84 (0.45, 1.58)
NHL ^e	Ever	11 (1.8)	0.79 (0.44,	0.89 (0.49,
CNS tumors (all)	Ever	60 (1.9)	0.99 (0.76,	1.09 (0.84,
	Low	33 (1.0)	1.11 (0.78, 1.56)	1.27 (0.90, 1.80)
	High	27 (0.8)	0.87 (0.59, 1.28)	0.94 (0.64, 1.37)
Glioma	Ever exposed	29 (1.5)	0.81 (0.56, 1.17)	0.91 (0.63, 1.32)
	Low	18 (0.9)	1.02 (0.64, 1.62)	1.19 (0.74, 1.89)
	High	11 (0.6)	0.61 (0.34, 1.10)	0.66 (0.36, 1.19)
Neuroblastoma ^e	Ever	10 (1.0)	0.79 (0.42,	0.86 (0.46,
$Rhabdomy os arcoma^{\rm e}$	Ever	15 (3.4)	1.86 (1.10, 3.14)	1.96 (1.16, 3.32)
Germ cell tumors ^e	Ever exposed	11 (2.0)	0.97 (0.53, 1.77)	0.94 (0.51, 1.72)

Abbreviation: ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukemia; AML: acute myeloid leukemia; CI: confidence interval; CNS tumors: central nervous system tumors; NHL: Non-Hodgkin lymphoma; OR: odds ratio.

^a Among children who have ever been exposed, participants were categorized into low and high exposure groups by the median.

^b Adjusted for birth year, the matching variable.

^c Adjusted for birth year, maternal age, race and ethnicity, source of payment for prenatal care, residence in rural/urban area, census tract SES-index.

^d For 1,1,1-trichloroethane, the buffer size was 3 km distance.

^e The results for low/high exposure were not reported because the number of exposed cases was less than 10.

Hendryx and Luo, 2013). Our group previously utilized the TRI data and reported associations between dichloromethane from industrial sources and several childhood cancer types (Park et al., 2017). The use of quadratic decay models was reported to have better model performance in analyzing TRI data (Conley, 2011). The geocoded addresses based on the exact home address of each birth also allowed us to model and assess comprehensive pollution exposure. Our geocoded addresses have high accuracy rates, because about 94.4% of our geocoded addresses were geocoded by building centroid, parcel, or street segment. Furthermore, our population-based cohort which is large for rare diseases allowed us to examine several types of childhood cancer with an adequate sample size. Recall bias for exposure data, covariate data and selective study participation were avoided by utilizing registry-based data.

Our study had limitations. Our study analysis was limited by the rarity of some cancer types as well as the generally low exposure prevalence. In addition, exposure misclassification might occur in our study. First, we assumed mothers did not move to places that had different

Table 4

Associations between industrial carbon disulfide exposure during pregnancy and childhood cancers for births in CA between 1998 and 2016, using the quadratic decay model.

Chemical and Cancer type	Exposure category	Exposed cases, ^a N (%)	Crude model, OR (95% CI) ^b	Adjusted model, OR (95% CI) ^c
Carbon disulfided				
Controls	Ever	7263	Ref	Ref
Controls	evposed	(2.6)	Itel	Iter
	Low	(2.0)	Pof	Pof
	LOW	(1.2)	Rei	Rei
	Uich	(1.3)	Dof	Dof
	nigii	(1.2)	Rei	Rei
ΔΙΙ	Ever	(1.3) 110 (2.7)	1.06 (0.88	1 04 (0 86
7155	evposed	110 (2.7)	1.00 (0.00,	1.07 (0.00,
	Low	48 (1 2)	0.93 (0.70	0.88 (0.66
	LOW	40 (1.2)	1 24)	1 18)
	High	62 (1 5)	1.24)	1.10)
	mgn	02 (1.0)	1.54)	1.56)
AML ^e	Ever	21 (2.8)	1.08 (0.70	1.08 (0.70
	exposed	21 (2:0)	1.66)	1.67)
NHL ^e	Ever	11 (1.8)	0.73 (0.40.	0.77 (0.42.
	exposed	()	1.32)	1.39)
CNS tumors (all)	Ever	91 (2.9)	1.14 (0.92.	1.19 (0.96.
	exposed	()	1.40)	1.47)
	Low	48 (1.5)	1.18 (0.89.	1.20 (0.90.
			1.58)	1.61)
	High	43 (1.3)	1.09 (0.80,	1.17 (0.86,
	U		1.47)	1.58)
Ependymoma ^e	Ever	11 (4.3)	1.70 (0.93,	1.85 (1.01,
	exposed		3.11)	3.40)
Medulloblastoma ^e	Ever	15 (3.9)	1.54 (0.92,	1.63 (0.97,
	exposed		2.58)	2.74)
Glioma	Ever	57 (3.0)	1.17 (0.90,	1.25 (0.96,
	exposed		1.52)	1.63)
	Low	27 (1.4)	1.10 (0.75,	1.15 (0.78,
			1.61)	1.68)
	High	30 (1.6)	1.24 (0.86,	1.35 (0.94,
			1.78)	1.94)
Neuroblastoma ^e	Ever	19 (1.9)	0.71 (0.45,	0.71 (0.44,
	exposed		1.13)	1.13)
Retinoblastoma ^e	Ever	17 (3.4)	1.29 (0.79,	1.28 (0.79,
	exposed		2.09)	2.08)
Wilms tumor ^e	Ever	18 (2.4)	0.89 (0.56,	0.91 (0.57,
	exposed		1.42)	1.46)
Malignant bone	Ever	10 (2.3)	0.95 (0.51,	0.99 (0.53,
tumors ^e	exposed		1.78)	1.85)
Rhabdomyosarcoma ^e	Ever	13 (3.0)	1.19 (0.69,	1.23 (0.71,
	exposed		2.07)	2.14)
Germ cell tumors ^e	Ever	13 (2.4)	0.95 (0.55,	0.93 (0.53,
	exposed		1.64)	1.61)

Abbreviation: ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukemia; AML: acute myeloid leukemia; CI: confidence interval; CNS tumors: central nervous system tumors; NHL: Non-Hodgkin lymphoma; OR: odds ratio.

^a Among children who have ever been exposed, participants were categorized into low and high exposure groups by the median.

^b Adjusted for birth year, the matching variable.

^c Adjusted for birth year, maternal age, race and ethnicity, source of payment for prenatal care, residence in rural/urban area, census tract SES-index.

^d For carbon disulfide, the buffer size was 5 km distance.

^e The results for low/high exposure were not reported because the number of exposed cases was less than 10.

exposures to industrial pollutants in pregnancy (i.e., relied on home addresses at birth only). It is estimated that approximately 9–32% of pregnant mothers move in pregnancy with median distances moved ranging from 4.2 to 10 km (Bell and Belanger, 2012; Lupo et al., 2010; Pennington et al., 2017; Pereira et al., 2016). With a low to moderate percentage of women expected to move in pregnancy, and those who do, moved within small distances, our 3 and 5 km exposure buffers might have captured most residential exposure to industrial pollution. However, exposure misclassification might still occur for PCE, 1,1,1-TCA, and CS₂. Risk factors previously associated with greater mobility in

pregnancy include low SES, lower maternal age and unmarried status (Bell and Belanger, 2012; Fell et al., 2004). As we adjusted for SES and maternal age in our analysis, we expect nondifferential exposure misclassification leading our estimates toward the null. Second, we were not able to account for environmental conditions such as temperature, wind stability, or atmospheric inversions. It is difficult to ascertain the direction of misclassification which occurred in both cases and controls, and thus this would lead to nondifferential misclassification resulting in underestimation of our results. We excluded participants (approximately 6.4-7.6% of total) with missing values on covariates and conducted a complete case analysis, which might introduce selection bias. Lastly, families might move to different areas after birth with different levels of industrial pollution, which may also contribute to the risk of childhood cancer. It is estimated that 20-34.5% of children moved in the first year of life (Ling et al., 2019; Urayama et al., 2009). Furthermore, our group previously reported moderate to strong correlations between pesticide exposure between birth and the first year of life (Ling et al., 2019) (Pearson correlation coefficients: 0.62-0.95). Therefore, our findings should be interpreted with caution as it may reflect exposures in early life.

Our study may contribute to a better understanding of the role of solvents from industrial sources in the etiology of childhood cancer. Excess risks of rhabdomyosarcoma and brain tumors (i.e., ependymoma and medulloblastoma) might be associated with maternal residential exposure to industrial 1,1,1-TCA and CS_2 in pregnancy, respectively.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Yixin Chen: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Software, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation. Darcy Van Deventer: Writing – review & editing. Roch Nianogo: Writing – review & editing, Validation. Marco Vinceti: Writing – review & editing. Wei Kang: Writing – review & editing, Validation. Myles Cockburn: Writing – review & editing, Resources. Noah Federman: Writing – review & editing. Julia E. Heck: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Data curation, Conceptualization.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grants from the National Institute of Health (R03CA252684, R21ES018960 and R21ES019986). Ms. Yixin Chen was partially supported by National Cancer Institute, Grant T32CA009142.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2024.114388.

References

American Cancer Society, 2023. Cancer Facts & Figures 2023. American Cancer Society, Atlanta, GA.

Aschengrau, A., Weinberg, J.M., Janulewicz, P.A., Gallagher, L.G., Winter, M.R., Vieira, V.M., Webster, T.F., Ozonoff, D.M., 2009. Prenatal exposure to tetrachloroethylene-contaminated drinking water and the risk of congenital anomalies: a retrospective cohort study. Environ. Health 8, 1–16.

Aschengrau, A., Winter, M.R., Gallagher, L.G., Vieira, V.M., Butler, L.J., Fabian, M.P., Carwile, J.L., Wesselink, A.K., Mahalingaiah, S., Janulewicz, P.A., 2020. Reproductive and developmental health effects of prenatal exposure to tetrachloroethylene-contaminated drinking water. Environ. Sci. Proc. Impact. 22, 555–566.

Y. Chen et al.

Askins, L., Orimoloye, H.T., Deng, C., Hansen, J., Olsen, J., Ritz, B., Janzen, C., Heck, J. E., 2023. Preeclampsia, antihypertensive medication use in pregnancy and risk of childhood cancer in offspring. Cancer Causes Control 35, 1–11.

Beauchamp, R., Bus, J.S., Popp, J.A., Boreiko, C.J., Goldberg, L., McKenna, M.J., 1983. A critical review of the literature on carbon disulfide toxicity. Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 11, 169–278.

Bell, M.L., Belanger, K., 2012. Review of research on residential mobility during pregnancy: consequences for assessment of prenatal environmental exposures. J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol. 22, 429–438.

Brown Dzubow, R., Makris, S., Siegel Scott, C., Barone Jr, S., 2010. Early lifestage exposure and potential developmental susceptibility to tetrachloroethylene. Birth Defect. Res. B: Dev. Reprod. Toxicol. 89, 50–65.

Buckley, J.D., Robison, L.L., Swotinsky, R., Garbrant, D.H., LeBeau, M., Manchester, P., Nesbit, M.E., Odom, L., Peters, J.M., Woods, W.G., 1989. Occupational exposures of parents of children with acute nonlymphocytic leukemia: a report from the Childrens Cancer Study Group. Cancer Res. 49, 4030–4037.

Cai, S.X., Bao, Y.S., 1981. Placental transfer, secretion into mother milk of carbon disulphide and the effects on maternal function of female viscose rayon workers. Ind. Health 19, 15–29.

Carozza, S., Puumala, S., Chow, E., Fox, E., Horel, S., Johnson, K., McLaughlin, C., Reynolds, P., Von Behren, J., Mueller, B., 2010. Parental educational attainment as an indicator of socioeconomic status and risk of childhood cancers. Br. J. Cancer 103, 136–142.

Chakraborty, J., 2004. The geographic distribution of potential risks posed by industrial toxic emissions in the US. J. Environ. Sci. Health A Tox. Hazard Subst. Environ. Eng. 39, 559–575.

Choi, H.S., Shim, Y.K., Kaye, W.E., Ryan, P.B., 2006. Potential residential exposure to toxics release inventory chemicals during pregnancy and childhood brain cancer. Environ. Health Perspect. 114, 1113–1118.

Chuang, W.-L., Huang, C.-C., Chen, C.-J., Hsieh, Y.-C., Kuo, H.-C., Shih, T.-S., 2007. Carbon disulfide encephalopathy: cerebral microangiopathy. Neurotoxicology 28, 387–393.

Conley, J.F., 2011. Estimation of exposure to toxic releases using spatial interaction modeling. Int. J. Health Geogr. 10, 1–12.

Cutter, S.L., Solecki, W.D., 1996. Setting environmental justice in space and place: acute and chronic airborne toxic releases in the southeastern United States. Urban Geogr. 17, 380–399.

Ding, N., Xiang, Y., Jiang, H., Zhang, W., Liu, H., Li, Z., 2011. Carbon disulfide inhibits neurite outgrowth and neuronal migration of dorsal root ganglion in vitro. Int. J. Neurosci. 121, 649–654.

Environmental Protection Agency, 2012. Toxic Release Inventory National Analysis Overview.

Fagliano, J., Berry, M., Kohler, B., Klotz, J., Imtiaz, R., Abe, T., 2003. Case-Control Study of Childhood Cancers. In: Dover Township (Ocean County), New Jersey. New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services, New Jersey, pp. 1–26.

Fell, D.B., Dodds, L., King, W.D., 2004. Residential mobility during pregnancy. Paediatr. Perinat. Epidemiol. 18, 408–414.

García-Pérez, J., Gómez-Barroso, D., Tamayo-Uria, I., Ramis, R., 2019. Methodological approaches to the study of cancer risk in the vicinity of pollution sources: the experience of a population-based case–control study of childhood cancer. Int. J. Health Geogr. 18, 1–18.

García-Pérez, J., López-Abente, G., Gómez-Barroso, D., Morales-Piga, A., Romaguera, E. P., Tamayo, I., Fernández-Navarro, P., Ramis, R., 2015. Childhood leukemia and residential proximity to industrial and urban sites. Environ. Res. 140, 542–553.

García-Pérez, J., Morales-Piga, A., Gómez-Barroso, D., Tamayo-Uria, I., Romaguera, E.P., Fernández-Navarro, P., Lopez-Abente, G., Ramis, R., 2016a. Risk of neuroblastoma and residential proximity to industrial and urban sites: a case-control study. Environ. Int. 92, 269–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2016.04.023.

García-Pérez, J., Morales-Piga, A., Gómez-Barroso, D., Tamayo-Uria, I., Romaguera, E.P., López-Abente, G., Ramis, R., 2016b. Residential proximity to environmental pollution sources and risk of rare tumors in children. Environ. Res. 151, 265–274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2016.08.001.

García-Pérez, J., Morales-Piga, A., Gómez, J., Gómez-Barroso, D., Tamayo-Uria, I., Romaguera, E.P., Fernández-Navarro, P., López-Abente, G., Ramis, R., 2016c. Association between residential proximity to environmental pollution sources and childhood renal tumors. Environ. Res. 147, 405–414. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envres.2016.02.036.

Gelbke, H.-P., Göen, T., Mäurer, M., Sulsky, S.I., 2009. A review of health effects of carbon disulfide in viscose industry and a proposal for an occupational exposure limit. Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 39, 1–126.

Gold, L.S., De Roos, A.J., Waters, M., Stewart, P., 2008. Systematic literature review of uses and levels of occupational exposure to tetrachloroethylene. J. Occup. Environ. Hyg. 5, 807–839.

Goldberg, D.W., Wilson, J.P., Knoblock, C.A., Ritz, B., Cockburn, M.G., 2008. An effective and efficient approach for manually improving geocoded data. Int. J. Health Geogr. 7, 1–20.

Guo, Y., Ma, Y., Chen, G., Cheng, J., 2016. The effects of occupational exposure of carbon disulfide on sexual hormones and semen quality of male workers from a chemical fiber factory. J. Occup. Environ. Med. 58, e294–e300. https://doi.org/10.1097/ JOM.00000000000823.

Heck, J.E., Lombardi, C.A., Cockburn, M., Meyers, T.J., Wilhelm, M., Ritz, B., 2013a. Epidemiology of rhabdoid tumors of early childhood. Pediatr. Blood Cancer 60, 77–81. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.24141.

Heck, J.E., Wu, J., Lombardi, C., Qiu, J., Meyers, T.J., Wilhelm, M., Cockburn, M., Ritz, B., 2013b. Childhood cancer and traffic-related air pollution exposure in pregnancy and early life. Environ. Health Perspect. 121, 1385–1391. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1306761.

Hendryx, M., Luo, J., 2013. Cancer hospitalizations in rural–urban areas in relation to carcinogenic discharges from Toxics Release Inventory facilities. Int. J. Environ. Health Res. 23, 155–169.

Huang, C.-C., Chu, C.-C., Chen, R.-S., Lin, S.-K., Shih, T.-S., 1996. Chronic carbon disulfide encephalopathy. Eur. Neurol. 36, 364–368.

IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, 2012. In: Pharmaceuticals, IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, 100A.

IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, 2014. Trichloroethylene, tetrachloroethylene, and some other chlorinated agents. IARC Monogr. Eval. Carcinog. Risks Hum. 106 (1).

Infante-Rivard, C., Siemiatycki, J., Lakhani, R., Nadon, L., 2005. Maternal exposure to occupational solvents and childhood leukemia. Environ. Health Perspect. 113, 787–792.

International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2022. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane and Four Other Industrial Chemicals.

Kalkbrenner, A.E., Windham, G.C., Zheng, C., McConnell, R., Lee, N.L., Schauer, J.J., Thayer, B., Pandey, J., Volk, H.E., 2018. Air toxics in relation to autism diagnosis, phenotype, and severity in a US family-based study. Environ. Health Perspect. 126, 037004.

Krstev, S., Peruničić, B., Farkić, B., Banićević, R., 2003. Neuropsychiatric effects in workers with occupational exposure to carbon disulfide. J. Occup. Health 45, 81–87.

Lavigne, É., Bélair, M.-A., Do, M.T., Stieb, D.M., Hystad, P., van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R. V., Crouse, D.L., Crighton, E., Chen, H., 2017. Maternal exposure to ambient air pollution and risk of early childhood cancers: a population-based study in Ontario, Canada. Environ. Int. 100, 139–147.

Liew, Z., Olsen, J., Cui, X., Ritz, B., Arah, O.A., 2015. Bias from conditioning on live birth in pregnancy cohorts: an illustration based on neurodevelopment in children after prenatal exposure to organic pollutants. Int. J. Epidemiol. 44, 345–354.

Ling, C., Heck, J.E., Cockburn, M., Liew, Z., Marcotte, E., Ritz, B., 2019. Residential mobility in early childhood and the impact on misclassification in pesticide exposures. Environ. Res. 173, 212–220.

Lowengart, R.A., Peters, J.M., Cicioni, C., Buckley, J., Bernstein, L., Preston-Martin, S., Rappaport, E., 1987. Childhood leukemia and parents' occupational and home exposures. JNCI: J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 79, 39–46.

Lupo, P.J., Symanski, E., Chan, W., Mitchell, L.E., Waller, D.K., Canfield, M.A., Langlois, P.H., 2010. Differences in exposure assignment between conception and delivery: the impact of maternal mobility. Paediatr. Perinat. Epidemiol. 24, 200–208.

Malagoli, C., Costanzini, S., Heck, J.E., Malavolti, M., De Girolamo, G., Oleari, P., Palazzi, G., Teggi, S., Vinceti, M., 2016. Passive exposure to agricultural pesticides and risk of childhood leukemia in an Italian community. Int. J. Hyg Environ. Health 219, 742–748.

Marques, M.M., de Gonzalez, A.B., Beland, F.A., Browne, P., Demers, P.A., Lachenmeier, D.W., Bahadori, T., Barupal, D.K., Belpoggi, F., Comba, P., 2019. Advisory group recommendations on priorities for the IARC Monographs. Lancet Oncol. 20, 763–764.

Mikoczy, Z., Schütz, A., Hagmar, L., 1994. Cancer incidence and mortality among Swedish leather tanners. Occup. Environ. Med. 51, 530–535.

Oksuzyan, S., Crespi, C., Cockburn, M., Mezei, G., Kheifets, L., 2012. Birth weight and other perinatal characteristics and childhood leukemia in California. Cancer Epidemiol. 36, e359–e365.

Park, A.S., Ritz, B., Ling, C., Cockburn, M., Heck, J.E., 2017. Exposure to ambient dichloromethane in pregnancy and infancy from industrial sources and childhood cancers in California. Int. J. Hyg Environ. Health 220, 1133–1140.

Pastor Jr, M., Sadd, J.L., Morello-Frosch, R., 2004. Waiting to inhale: the demographics of toxic air release facilities in 21st-century California. Soc. Sci. Q. 85, 420–440.

Pennington, A.F., Strickland, M.J., Klein, M., Zhai, X., Russell, A.G., Hansen, C., Darrow, L.A., 2017. Measurement error in mobile source air pollution exposure estimates due to residential mobility during pregnancy. J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol. 27, 513–520.

Pereira, G., Bracken, M.B., Bell, M.L., 2016. Particulate air pollution, fetal growth and gestational length: the influence of residential mobility in pregnancy. Environ. Res. 147, 269–274.

Printemps, N., Le Magueresse-Battistoni, B., Mhaouty-Kodja, S., Viguié, C., Michel, C., 2022. How to differentiate general toxicity-related endocrine effects from endocrine disruption: systematic review of carbon disulfide data. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 23, 3153.

Ritz, B., Wilhelm, M., Hoggatt, K.J., Ghosh, J.K.C., 2007. Ambient air pollution and preterm birth in the environment and pregnancy outcomes study at the University of California, Los Angeles. Am. J. Epidemiol. 166, 1045–1052.

Rossides, M., Kampitsi, C.-E., Talbäck, M., Mogensen, H., Wiebert, P., Feychting, M., Tettamanti, G., 2022. Risk of cancer in children of parents occupationally exposed to hydrocarbon solvents and engine exhaust fumes: a register-based nested case-control study from Sweden (1960–2015). Environ. Health Perspect. 130, 077002.

Sallmén, M., Lindbohm, M.L., Kyyrönen, P., Nykyri, E., Anttila, A., Taskinen, H., Hemminki, K., 1995. Reduced fertility among women exposed to organic solvents. Am. J. Ind. Med. 27, 699–713.

Schmidt, L.S., Schüz, J., Lähteenmäki, P., Träger, C., Stokland, T., Gustafson, G., Hjalgrim, L., Schested, A., Johansen, C., Schmiegelow, K., 2010. Fetal growth, preterm birth, neonatal stress and risk for CNS tumors in children: a Nordic population-and register-based case-control study. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 19, 1042–1052.

Y. Chen et al.

International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health 259 (2024) 114388

- Schüz, J., Kaletsch, U., Meinert, R., Kaatsch, P., Michaelis, J.r., 2000. Risk of childhood leukemia and parental self-reported occupational exposure to chemicals, dusts, and fumes: results from pooled analyses of German population-based case-control studies. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 9, 835–838.
- Sharma, A., Choudhary, S., Patel, B., Kumar, S., 2022. Carbon disulfide (CS2) exposure and human reproductive health-A narrative overview. J. Infertil. Reprod. Biol. 10, 22–27.
- Shrestha, A., Ritz, B., Wilhelm, M., Qiu, J., Cockburn, M., Heck, J.E., 2014. Prenatal exposure to air toxics and risk of Wilms' tumor in 0-5 year old children. J. Occup. Environ. Med. 56, 573.
- Shu, X.O., Stewart, P., Wen, W.-Q., Han, D., Potter, J.D., Buckley, J.D., Heineman, E., Robison, L.L., 1999. Parental occupational exposure to hydrocarbons and risk of acute lymphocytic leukemia in offspring. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 8, 783–791.
- Spector, L.G., Pankratz, N., Marcotte, E.L., 2015. Genetic and nongenetic risk factors for childhood cancer. Pediatr. Clin. 62, 11–25.
- Steliarova-Foucher, E., Stiller, C., Lacour, B., Kaatsch, P., 2005. International classification of childhood cancer. Cancer 103, 1457–1467.
- Sun, D.-Q., Li, A.-W., Li, J., Li, D.-G., Li, Y.-X., Gong, M.-Z., 2009. Changes of lipid peroxidation in carbon disulfide-treated rat nerve tissues and serum. Chem. Biol. Interact. 179, 110–117.
- Sung, T.-L., Wang, J.-D., Chen, P.-C., 2008. Increased risk of cancer in the offspring of female electronics workers. Reprod. Toxicol. 25, 115–119.
- Suttorp, M.M., Siegerink, B., Jager, K.J., Zoccali, C., Dekker, F.W., 2015. Graphical presentation of confounding in directed acyclic graphs. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant. 30, 1418–1423.
- United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service, 2013. Rural-urban Continuum Codes, USDA ERS.
- Urayama, K.Y., Von Behren, J., Reynolds, P., Hertz, A., Does, M., Buffler, P.A., 2009. Factors associated with residential mobility in children with leukemia: implications for assigning exposures. Ann. Epidemiol. 19, 834–840.

- Van Steensel-Moll, H., Valkenburg, H., Van Zanen, G., 1985. Childhood leukemia and parental occupation: a register-based case-control study. Am. J. Epidemiol. 121, 216–224.
- Vinceti, M., Rothman, K.J., Crespi, C.M., Sterni, A., Cherubini, A., Guerra, L., Maffeis, G., Ferretti, E., Fabbi, S., Teggi, S., 2012. Leukemia risk in children exposed to benzene and PM 10 from vehicular traffic: a case–control study in an Italian population. Eur. J. Epidemiol. 27, 781–790.
- Volk, J., Heck, J.E., Schmiegelow, K., Hansen, J., 2019. Parental occupational exposure to diesel engine exhaust in relation to childhood leukaemia and central nervous system cancers: a register-based nested case-control study in Denmark 1968–2016. Occup. Environ. Med. 76, 809–817.
- Wakeford, R., 1995. The risk of childhood cancer from intrauterine and preconceptional exposure to ionizing radiation. Environ. Health Perspect. 103, 1018–1025.
- Wakeford, R., 2013. The risk of childhood leukaemia following exposure to ionising radiation—a review. J. Radiol. Prot. 33, 1.
- Wesselink, A.K., Hatch, E.E., Wise, L.A., Rothman, K.J., Vieira, V.M., Aschengrau, A., 2018. Exposure to tetrachloroethylene-contaminated drinking water and time to pregnancy. Environ. Res. 167, 136–143.
- Wilcosky, T.C., Checkoway, H., Marshall, E.G., Tyroler, H.A., 1984. Cancer mortality and solvent exposures in the rubber industry. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 45, 809–811.
- Yost, K., Perkins, C., Cohen, R., Morris, C., Wright, W., 2001. Socioeconomic status and breast cancer incidence in California for different race/ethnic groups. Cancer Causes Control 12, 703–711.
- Zahnd, W.E., James, A.S., Jenkins, W.D., Izadi, S.R., Fogleman, A.J., Steward, D.E., Colditz, G.A., Brard, L., 2018. Rural–urban differences in cancer incidence and trends in the United States. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 27, 1265–1274.
- Zhou, S.Y., Liang, Y.X., Chen, Z.Q., Wang, Y.L., 1988. Effects of occupational exposure to low-level carbon disulfide (CS2) on menstruation and pregnancy. Ind. Health 26, 203–214.