This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

L^p continuity of wave operators in Z / Cuccagna, S.. - In: JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS. - ISSN 0022-247X. - STAMPA. - 354:2(2009), pp. 594-605. [10.1016/j.jmaa.2009.01.028]

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

07/11/2026 05:20

(Article begins on next page)

arXiv:0809.2752v1 [math.FA] 16 Sep 2008

L^P CONTINUITY OF WAVE OPERATORS IN $\mathbb{Z}$

Scipio Cuccagna

Abstract. We recover for discrete Schrödinger operators on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}$, stronger analogues of the results by Weder [W1] and by D'Ancona & Fanelli [DF] on $\mathbb{R}$.

§1 Introduction

We consider the discrete Schrödinger operator

$$(Hu)(n) = -(\Delta u)(n) + q(n)u(n) \tag{1.1}$$

with the discrete Laplacian Δ in $\mathbb{Z}$, $(\Delta u)(n) = u(n+1) + u(n-1) - 2u(n)$ and a potential $q = \{q(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with $q(n) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all n. In $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ the spectrum is $\sigma(-\Delta) = [0, 4]$. Let for $\langle n\rangle = \sqrt{1+n^2}$

$$\ell^{p,\sigma} = \ell^{p,\sigma}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{u = \{u_n\} : \|u\|^p_{\ell^{p,\sigma}} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \langle n\rangle^{p\sigma}|u(n)|^p < \infty\} \text{ for } p \in [1,\infty)$$
$$\ell^{\infty,\sigma} = \ell^{\infty,\sigma}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{u = \{u(n)\} : \|u\|_{\ell^{\infty,\sigma}} = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \langle n\rangle^{\sigma}|u(n)| < \infty\}.$$

We set $\ell^p = \ell^{p,0}$. If $q \in \ell^{1,1}$ then H has at most finitely many eigenvalues, see the Appendix. The eigenvalues are simple and are not contained in $[0, 4]$, see for instance Lemma 5.3 [CT]. We denote by $P_c(H)$ the orthogonal projection in ℓ^2 on the space orthogonal to the space generated by the eigenvectors of H. $P_c(H)$ defines a projection in ℓ^p for any $p \in [1,\infty]$, see Lemma 2.6 below. We set $\ell^p_c(H) := P_c(H)\ell^p$. By $q \in \ell^1$, q is a trace class operator. Then, by Pearson's Theorem, see Theorem XI.7[RS], the following two limits exist in ℓ^2, for $w \in \ell^2_c(H)$ and $u \in \ell^2$:

$$Wu = \lim_{t\to+\infty} e^{itH}e^{it\Delta}u\,, \quad Zw = \lim_{t\to+\infty} e^{-it\Delta}e^{-itH}w. \tag{1.2}$$

The operators W and Z intertwine $-\Delta$ acting in ℓ_2 with H acting in $\ell^2_c(H)$. Our main result is the following:

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

Theorem 1.1. *Consider the operators W initially defined in $\ell^2 \cap \ell^p$ and Z initially defined in $\ell^2(H) \cap \ell^p$.*

(1) Assume H does not have resonances in 0 and 4. Then for $q \in \ell^{1,1}$ the operators extend into isomorphisms $W : \ell^p \to \ell^p_c(H)$ and $Z : \ell^p_c(H) \to \ell^p$ for all $1 < p < \infty$.

(2) Assume H has resonances in 0 and/or 4. Then the above conclusion is true for $q \in \ell^{1,2}$.

(3) Assume that $q \in \ell^{1,2+\sigma}$ with $\sigma > 0$. Then W and Z extend into isomorphisms also for $p = 1, \infty$ exactly when both 0 and 4 are resonances and the transmission coefficient $T(\theta)$, defined for $\theta \in \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, satisfies $T(0) = T(\pi) = 1$.

Remark 1. W extends into a bounded operator for $p = 1, \infty$ when the sum of the operators (3.1)–(3.4) is bounded and this can happen only for $T(0) = T(\pi) = 1$.

Remark 2. We do not know if Claim 3 holds with $\sigma = 0$.

Remark 3. $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = 4$ is a resonance exactly if $Hu = \lambda u$ admits a nonzero solution in ℓ^∞. We say that H is generic if both 0 and 4 are not resonances.

Remark 4. Since $Z = W^*$, by duality it will be enough to consider W.

Theorem 1.1 provides dispersive estimates for solutions of the Klein Gordon equation $u_{tt} + Hu + m^2 u = 0$. In particular in the case of Claim 3, we obtain the optimal $\ell^1 \to \ell^\infty$ estimate, thanks also to [SK] which deals with the $H = -\Delta$ case. The result for $T(0) = 1$ by [W1] proved crucial to us for a nonlinear problem in [C]. There is a close analogy between the theories in $\mathbb{Z}$ and in $\mathbb{R}$. Claims 1 and 2 in Theorem 1.1 are analogous to the result in [DF] for $\mathbb{R}$ while claim 3 is related to analysis in [W1]. Our proof mixes the approach in [W1] with estimates [CT], which in turn is inspired by [GS,DT]. Some effort is spent proving formulas for which we do not know references in the discrete case. The main theme here and in [CT], is that cases $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ are very similar. In particular one can see in [CT] a theory of Jost functions in $\mathbb{Z}$ very similar to the one for $\mathbb{R}$, following the treatment in [DT]. The present paper is inspired by various recent papers on dispersion theory for the group e^{itH}, see [SK,KKK,PS,CT]. In particular the bound $|e^{it\Delta}(n,m)| \leq C\langle t\rangle^{-1/3}$ was proved in [SK]. The bound $|P_c(H)e^{itH}(n,m)| \leq C\langle t\rangle^{-1/3}$ was proved in [PS] for $q \in \ell^{1,\sigma}(\mathbb{Z})$ with $\sigma > 4$ and for H without resonances. This result was extended by [CT] to $q \in \ell^{1,1}$ for H without resonances and to $q \in \ell^{1,2}$ if 0 or 4 is a resonance. [CT] is able produce for $\mathbb{Z}$ essentially the same argument introduced in [GS] for $\mathbb{R}$, thanks to a a theory of Jost functions in $\mathbb{Z}$ which is basically the same of that for $\mathbb{R}$. Here we recall that [GS] for Schrödinger operators on $\mathbb{R}$ improves an earlier result in [W2]. Theorem 1.1 is the natural transposition to $\mathbb{Z}$, with some improvements, of the theory of wave operators for $\mathbb{R}$ in [W1,GY,DF]. We simplify the argument in [DF] for claims (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.1 and, for claim (3), we use weaker decay hypotheses on the potential than [W1].

We end with some notation. Given an operator A we set $R_A(z) = (A - z)^{-1}$. $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the set of functions $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $f(n)$ rapidly decreasing as $|n| \nearrow \infty$. For $u \in \ell^2$ we set $F_0[u](\theta) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{-in\theta} u(n)$. We set $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. $2\mathbb{Z}$ is the set of even integers; $2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ is the set of odd integers. We set

$$\eta(\mu) = \sum_{\nu=\mu}^{\infty} |q(\nu)| \text{ and } \gamma(\mu) = \sum_{\nu=\mu}^{\infty} (\nu - \mu)|q(\nu)|.$$

Given $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ we set $\widehat{f}(\nu) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\nu\theta} f(\theta) d\sigma$, with $d\sigma = d\theta/\sqrt{2\pi}$.

§2 Fourier transform associated to H

We recall that the resolvent $R_{-\Delta}(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash[0, 4]$ has kernel

$$R_{-\Delta}(m, n, z) = \frac{-i}{2\sin\theta} e^{-i\theta|n-m|}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

with θ a solution to $2(1 - \cos\theta) = z$ in $D = \{\theta : -\pi \le \Re\theta \le \pi,\ \Im\theta < 0\}$. In [CT] it is detailed the existence of functions $f_\pm(n, \theta)$ with

$$Hf_\pm(\mu, \theta) = zf_\pm(\mu, \theta) \text{ with } \lim_{\mu\to\pm\infty} \left[f_\pm(\mu, \theta) - e^{\mp i\mu\theta}\right] = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

We have

$$f_\pm(\mu, \theta) = e^{\mp in\theta} - \sum_{\nu=\mu}^{\pm\infty} \frac{\sin(\theta(\mu - \nu))}{\sin\theta} q(\nu) f_\pm(\nu, \theta). \tag{2.2}$$

Define $m_\pm$ by $f_\pm(n, \theta) = e^{\mp in\theta} m_\pm(n, \theta)$. Lemma 5.1 [CT] implies that for fixed n

$$m_\pm(n, \theta) = 1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} B_\pm(n, \nu) e^{-i\nu\theta}. \tag{2.3}$$

In Lemma 5.2 [CT] it is proved:

Lemma 2.1. *For $q \in \ell^{1,1}$ and setting $B_+(n, 0) = 0$ for all n, we have*

$$B_+(n, 2\nu) = \sum_{l=0}^{\nu-1} \sum_{j=n+\nu-l}^{\infty} q(j) B_+(j, 2l + 1)$$

$$B_+(n, 2\nu - 1) = \sum_{l=n+\nu}^{\infty} q(l) + \sum_{l=0}^{\nu-1} \sum_{j=n+\nu-l}^{\infty} q(j) B_+(j, 2l).$$

We have for $n \ge 0$ the estimate $|B_+(n, \nu)| \le \chi_{[1,\infty)}(\nu) e^{\gamma(0)} \eta(\nu)$. Similarly for $n \le 0$ we have $|B_-(n, \nu)| \le \chi_{[1,\infty)}(\nu) e^{\widetilde{\gamma}(0)} \widetilde{\eta}(\nu)$ with $\widetilde{\gamma}(\mu)$ and $\widetilde{\eta}(\mu)$ defined like $\gamma(\mu)$ and $\eta(\mu)$ but with $q(\nu)$ replaced by $q(-\nu)$.

Lemma 2.1 implies what follows, see the proof of Lemma 5.10 [CT]:

Lemma 2.2. *If $q \in \ell^{1,1+\sigma}$ for $\sigma \geq 0$, then $\|B_\pm(n,\cdot)\|_{\ell^{1,\sigma}} \leq C_\sigma \|q\|_{\ell^{1,1+\sigma}}$ for $\pm n \geq 0$.*

We recall that for two given functions $u(n)$ and $v(n)$ their Wronskian is $[u,v](n) = u(n+1)v(n) - u(n)v(n+1)$. If u and v are solutions of $Hw = zw$ then $[u,v]$ is constant. In particular we set $W(\theta) := [f_+(\theta), f_-(\theta)]$ and $W_1(\theta) := [f_+(\theta), \overline{f}_-(\theta)]$. By an argument in Lemma 5.10 [CT] we have:

Lemma 2.3. *If for $\sigma \geq 0$ we have $q \in \ell^{1,1+\sigma}$, then $W(\theta), W_1(\theta) \in \ell^{1,\sigma}$.*

Lemma 5.4 [CT] states:

Lemma 2.4. *Let $q \in \ell^{1,1}$. For $\theta \in [-\pi,\pi]$ we have $\overline{f_\pm(n,\theta)} = f_\pm(n,-\theta)$ and for $\theta \neq 0, \pm\pi$ we have*

$$f_\mp(n,\theta) = \frac{1}{T(\theta)}\overline{f_\pm(n,\theta)} + \frac{R_\pm(\theta)}{T(\theta)} f_\pm(n,\theta) \tag{1}$$

where $T(\theta)$ and $R_\pm(\theta)$ are defined by (1) and satisfy:

$$[\overline{f_\pm(\theta)}, f_\pm(\theta)] = \pm 2i \sin\theta, \tag{2}$$

$$T(\theta) = \frac{-2i\sin\theta}{W(\theta)}\,, \quad R_+(\theta) = -\frac{\overline{W}_1(\theta)}{W(\theta)}\,, \quad R_+(\theta) = -\frac{W_1(\theta)}{W(\theta)} \tag{3}$$

$$\overline{T(\theta)} = T(-\theta)\,,\ \overline{R_\pm(\theta)} = R_\pm(-\theta), \tag{4}$$

$$|T(\theta)|^2 + |R_\pm(\theta)|^2 = 1\,, \quad T(\theta)\overline{R_\pm(\theta)} + R_\mp(\theta)\overline{T(\theta)} = 0. \tag{5}$$

Lemma 5.5 [CT] states:

Lemma 2.5.
(1) For $\theta \in [-\pi,\pi]\backslash\{0,\pm\pi\}$ we have $W(\theta) \neq 0$. We have $|W(\theta)| \geq 2|\sin\theta|$ for all $\theta \in [-\pi,\pi]$ and in the generic case $|W(\theta)| > 0$.
(2) For $j = 0,1$ and $q \in \ell^{1,1+j}$ then $W(\theta)$ and $W_1(\theta)$ are in $C^j[-\pi,\pi]$.
(3) If $q \in \ell^{1,2}$ and $W(\theta_0) = 0$ for a $\theta_0 \in \{0,\pm\pi\}$, then $\dot{W}(\theta_0) \neq 0$. In particular if $q \in \ell^{1,2}$, then $T(\theta) = -2i\sin\theta/W(\theta)$ can be extended continuously in $\mathbb{T}$.

We have the following result:

Lemma 2.6. *Assume that $q \in \ell^{1,1}$ if H is generic and $q \in \ell^{1,2}$ if H has a resonance at 0 or at 4. Then the following statements hold.*
(1) H has finitely many eigenvalues.
(2) If λ is an eigenvalue, then $\dim\ker(H-\lambda) = 1$.
(3) If there are eigenvalues they are in $\mathbb{R}\backslash[0,4]$.
(4) Let $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues and $\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_n$ corresponding eigenvectors with $\|\varphi_j\|_{\ell^2} = 1$. Then for fixed $C > 0$ and $a > 0$ we have $|\varphi_j(\nu)| \leq Ce^{-a|\nu|}$ for all $j = 1,\ldots,n$ and for all $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(5) Let $P_d(H) := \sum_j \varphi_j \langle \quad, \varphi_j \rangle$. Then $P_d(H)$ and $P_c(H) := 1 - P_d(H)$ are bounded operators in ℓ^p for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.

Proof. (1) is proved in the Appendix. (2) and (3) are in Lemma 5.3 [CT]. (5) follows from (4). (4) follows from the fact that by the proof in Lemma 5.3 [CT] there are constants $A(\pm, j)$ such that $\varphi_j(\nu) = A(\pm, j) f_\pm(\nu, \theta_j)$, with $\theta_j \in D$ such that $\lambda_j = 2(1 - \cos(\theta_j))$. The fact that $\lambda_j \notin [0, 4]$ implies $\Im(\theta_j) < 0$ for all j.

By Lemmas 5.6-9 [CT] we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_c(H)u &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^4 \left[R_H^+(\lambda) - R_H^-(\lambda) \right] u d\lambda = \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K(n, \nu, \theta) d\theta u(\nu) \text{ with}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(n, \nu, \theta) &= f_-(n, \theta) f_+(\nu, \theta) \frac{\sin(\theta)}{W(\theta)} \text{ for } \nu > n \\
K(n, \nu, \theta) &= f_+(n, \theta) f_-(\nu, \theta) \frac{\sin(\theta)}{W(\theta)} \text{ for } \nu \leq n.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}
$$

Consider now plane waves defined as follows:

Definition 2.7. *We consider the following functions:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(\nu, \theta) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} T(\theta) e^{-i\nu\theta} m_+(\nu, \theta) \text{ for } \theta \geq 0 \\
\psi(\nu, \theta) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} T(-\theta) e^{-i\nu\theta} m_-(\nu, -\theta) \text{ for } \theta < 0 \ .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.8. *The kernel $P_c(H)(\mu, \nu)$ of $P_c(H)$ can be expressed as*

$$
P_c(H)(\mu, \nu) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{\psi(\mu, \theta)} \psi(\nu, \theta) d\theta. \tag{1}
$$

Proof. We assume $\mu \geq \nu$. By (2.4-5)

$$
P_c(H)(\mu, \nu) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{\pi} \left[\frac{f_-(\nu, \theta) f_+(\mu, \theta)}{W(\theta)} - \frac{f_-(\nu, -\theta) f_+(\mu, -\theta)}{W(-\theta)} \right] \sin(\theta) \, d\theta.
$$

We have by Lemma 2.4

$$\overline{f_\pm(n,\theta)} = f_\pm(n,-\theta)\,,\ \overline{T(\theta)} = T(-\theta)\,,\ \overline{R_\pm(\theta)} = R_\pm(-\theta),$$
$$f_-(\nu,-\theta) = T(\theta)f_+(\nu,\theta) - R_-(\theta)f_-(\nu,\theta),$$
$$f_+(\mu,\theta) = \overline{T(\theta)f_-(\mu,\theta) - R_+(\theta)f_+(\mu,\theta)}.$$

Substituting the last two lines in the square bracket in the integral,

$$[\cdots] = \frac{\overline{T(\theta)f_-(\mu,\theta)}f_-(\nu,\theta)}{W(\theta)} - \frac{T(\theta)f_+(\nu,\theta)f_+(\mu,-\theta)}{W(-\theta)} \tag{2}$$
$$- \overline{f_+(\mu,\theta)}f_-(\nu,\theta)\left[\frac{\overline{R_+(\theta)}}{W(\theta)} - \frac{R_-(\theta)}{W(-\theta)}\right].$$

The last line is zero by (5) Lemma 2.4 and by

$$-i\sin(\theta)\left[\frac{\overline{R_+(\theta)}}{W(\theta)} - \frac{R_-(\theta)}{W(-\theta)}\right] = (T\overline{R_+} + \overline{T}R_-)(\theta) = 0.$$

We have by $T(\theta) = -i\sin(\theta)/W(\theta)$

$$\mathrm{rhs}(2) = \frac{1}{2\pi}|T(\theta)|^2\overline{f_+(\mu,\theta)}f_+(\nu,\theta) + \frac{1}{2\pi}|T(\theta)|^2\overline{f_-(\mu,\theta)}f_-(\nu,\theta).$$

This yields formula (1) for $\mu \geq \nu$. For $\mu < \nu$ the argument is similar.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $F[u](\theta) := \sum_n \psi(n,\theta)u(n)$. Then:*
(1) $F : \ell^2_c(H) \to L^2(\mathbb{T})$ is an isometric isomorphism.
(2) $F^*[f](n) := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{\psi(n,\theta)}f(\theta)d\theta$ is the inverse of F.
(3) $F[Hu](\theta) = 2(1-\cos\theta)F[u](\theta)$.

$F[u](\theta)$ is a generalization of Fourier series expansions $F[u_0](\theta)$. Lemma 2.9 is a consequence of Lemma 2.8 except for the fact that we could have $F(\ell^2_c(H)) \subsetneqq L^2(\mathbb{T})$. The fact $F(\ell^2_c(H)) = L^2(\mathbb{T})$ follows from $F_0(\ell^2) = L^2(\mathbb{T})$, from the fact that W and Z in (1.2) are isomorphisms between ℓ^2 and $\ell^2_c(H)$ and from Lemma 2.10 below. In the next section the following formula will be important:

Lemma 2.10. *For the operator in (1.2) we have $W = F^*F_0$.*

We have, for $u, v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $v \in L^2_c(H)$

$$\langle Wu, v\rangle_{\ell^2} - \langle u, v\rangle_{\ell^2} = i\lim_{\epsilon\searrow 0}\int_0^\infty \langle e^{itH}qe^{it\Delta}u, v\rangle_{\ell^2}e^{-\epsilon t}dt.$$

We have for $L^2 = L^2(\mathbb{T})$

$\langle e^{itH} q e^{it\Delta} u, v\rangle_{\ell^2} = \langle e^{i2t(1-\cos\theta)} F[q e^{it\Delta} u], F[v]\rangle_{L^2} = \langle F[q e^{it(\Delta+2(1-\cos\theta)} u], F[v]\rangle_{L^2}.$

Then

$$i\int_0^\infty \langle e^{itH} q e^{it\Delta} u, v\rangle_{\ell^2} e^{-\epsilon t} dt = \langle F[q R_{-\Delta}(2-2\cos\theta + i\epsilon)u], F[v]\rangle_{L^2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle Wu, v\rangle_{\ell^2} - \langle u, v\rangle_{\ell^2} = \\
&= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta\, \overline{F[v]}(\theta) \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi(\nu,\theta) q(\nu) (R^+_{-\Delta}(2-2\cos\theta)u)(\nu) = \\
&\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta\, \overline{F[v]}(\theta) \sum_{\nu'\in\mathbb{Z}} u(\nu') \frac{-i}{2\sin|\theta|} \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{-i|\theta|\ |\nu-\nu'|} q(\nu)\psi(\nu,\theta). \qquad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

We claim we have

$$\psi(\mu,\theta) = e^{-i\mu\theta}/\sqrt{2\pi} + \frac{i}{2\sin\theta} \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{-i\theta\ |\nu-\mu|} q(\nu)\psi(\nu,\theta) \text{ for } \theta > 0 \qquad (2)$$

$$\psi(\mu,\theta) = e^{-i\mu\theta}/\sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{i}{2\sin\theta} \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{i\theta\ |\nu-\mu|} q(\nu)\psi(\nu,\theta) \text{ for } \theta < 0. \qquad (3)$$

Assuming (2)–(3)

$$\langle Wu, v\rangle_{\ell^2} - \langle u, v\rangle_{\ell^2} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{\nu'\in\mathbb{Z}} d\theta\, \overline{F[v]}(\theta) u(\nu') \left[e^{-i\nu'\theta}/\sqrt{2\pi} - \psi(\nu',\theta)\right]$$

$$= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta\, \overline{F[v]}(\theta) \left[F_0[u](\theta) - F[u](\theta)\right] = \langle F^* F_0 u, v\rangle_{\ell^2} - \langle u, v\rangle_{\ell^2}.$$

This yields $W = F^* F_0$. Now we focus on (2) and (3). For $\theta > 0$ it is possible to rewrite (2.2) as follows, for some constant $A(\theta)$,

$$f_+(\mu,\theta) = e^{-i\mu\theta} A(\theta) - R^+_{-\Delta}(2-2\cos\theta) q f_+(\cdot,\theta)(\mu). \qquad (4)$$

Using (2.2) for f_- we obtain $-2i\sin(\theta)A(\theta) = [f_+(\theta), f_-(\mu,\theta)]$. Hence $A(\theta) = 1/T(\theta)$. So multiplying (4) by $T(\theta)/\sqrt{2\pi}$ we obtain (2). We have for $\theta < 0$

$$f_-(\mu,\theta) = e^{i\mu\theta} B(\theta) - R^-_{-\Delta}(2-2\cos\theta) q f_-(\cdot,\theta)(\mu) \qquad (5)$$

for some constant $B(\theta)$. One checks that $-2i\sin(\theta)B(\theta) = [f_+(\theta), f_-(\mu,\theta)]$. Hence $B(\theta) = 1/T(\theta)$. So multiplying (5) by $T(\theta)/\sqrt{2\pi}$ we obtain

$$\frac{T(\theta)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} f_-(\mu,\theta) = \frac{e^{i\mu\theta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - R^-_{-\Delta}(2-2\cos\theta) q \frac{T(\theta)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} f_-(\cdot,\theta)(\mu).$$

Taking complex conjugate we obtain (3).

§3 Bounds on W

It is not restrictive to consider $\chi_{[0,\infty]}(n)Wu(n)$ instead of $Wu(n)$. Indeed the proof for $\chi_{(-\infty,0)}(n)Wu(n)$ is similar. Claims 1 and 2 in Theorem 1.1 are a consequences of Lemma 3.1 below. We follow [W1], exploiting at some crucial points results proved in [CT] and inspired by [GS]. We set $n_\pm(\mu,\theta) := m_\pm(\mu,\theta) - 1$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $q \in \ell^{1,1}$ in the generic case and $q \in \ell^{1,2}$ in the non generic case. Then $\|\chi_{[0,\infty]}Wu\|_{\ell^p} \le C_p\|u\|_{\ell^p} \quad \forall\, p \in (1,\infty)$.*

Proof. Recall $F_0^*[n_\pm(\mu,\cdot)](\nu) = B_\pm(\mu,\nu)$. Furthermore in Lemma 5.10 [CT] it is proved that $F_0^*[T] \in \ell^1$. One can prove similarly that also $F_0^*[R_\pm] \in \ell^1$. For $d\sigma = d\theta/\sqrt{2\pi}$ and by $\overline{m_\pm}(\mu,\theta) = m_\pm(\mu,-\theta)$, $\overline{T}(\theta) = T(-\theta)$, we consider

$$Wf(\mu) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{\psi(\mu,\theta)}F_0[f](\theta)d\theta = \int_0^{\pi} T(-\theta)e^{i\mu\theta}m_+(\mu,-\theta)F_0[f](\theta)d\sigma$$
$$+ \int_{-\pi}^{0} T(\theta)e^{i\mu\theta}m_-(\mu,\theta)F_0[f](\theta)d\sigma.$$

We consider only $\mu \ge 0$. We substitute $n_\pm(\mu,\theta) := m_\pm(\mu,\theta)-1$ and $T(\theta)m_-(\mu,\theta) = m_+(\mu,-\theta) + e^{-2i\mu\theta}R_+(\theta)m_+(\mu,\theta)$ obtaining

$$\chi_{[0,\infty]}(\mu)Wf(\mu) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\mu\theta}T(-\theta)\frac{1+\mathrm{sign}(\theta)}{2}F_0[f](\theta)d\sigma$$
$$+ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\mu\theta}\frac{1-\mathrm{sign}(\theta)}{2}F_0[f](\theta)d\sigma + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\mu\theta}R_+(\theta)\frac{1-\mathrm{sign}(\theta)}{2}F_0[f](\theta)d\sigma$$
$$+ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\mu\theta}T(-\theta)n_+(\mu,-\theta)\frac{1+\mathrm{sign}(\theta)}{2}F_0[f](\theta)d\sigma$$
$$+ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\mu\theta}n_+(\mu,-\theta)\frac{1-\mathrm{sign}(\theta)}{2}F_0[f](\theta)d\sigma$$
$$+ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\mu\theta}R_+(\theta)n_+(\mu,\theta)\frac{1-\mathrm{sign}(\theta)}{2}F_0[f](\theta)d\sigma.$$

We have $\chi_{[0,\infty]}(\mu)Wf(\mu) = \widetilde{W}_1f(\mu)+\widetilde{W}_2f(\mu)$ where, for $W_j = 2\sqrt{2\pi}\widetilde{W}_j$ for $j = 1, 2$:

$$W_1f(\mu) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\mu\theta}T(-\theta)F_0[f](\theta)d\theta + \sqrt{2\pi}f + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\mu\theta}R_+(\theta)F_0[f](\theta)d\theta$$
$$+ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\mu\theta}\left(T(-\theta)+1\right)n_+(\mu,-\theta)F_0[f](\theta)d\theta + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\mu\theta}R_+(\theta)n_+(\mu,\theta)F_0[f](\theta)d\theta;$$

$$W_2 f(\mu) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\mu\theta} \left(T(-\theta) - 1\right) m_+(\mu, -\theta) \mathrm{sign}(\theta) F_0[f](\theta) d\theta -$$
$$- \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\mu\theta} R_+(\theta) m_+(\mu, \theta) \mathrm{sign}(\theta) F_0[f](\theta) d\theta.$$

W_1 is bounded for $p \in [1, \infty]$. Indeed for example,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \chi_{[0,\infty)}(\cdot) F_0^* \left[R_+(\theta) n_+(\mu, \theta) F_0[f](\theta) \right] (-\cdot) \right\|_{\ell^p} \leq \\ &\left\| \chi_{[0,\infty)}(\cdot) \left(\left| F_0^* \left[R_+ \right] \right| * \chi_{[1,\infty)} e^{\gamma(0)} \eta * |f| \right) (-\cdot) \right\|_{\ell^p} \\ &\leq e^{\gamma(0)} \gamma(0) \| F_0^* \left[R_+ \right] \|_{\ell^1} \| f \|_{\ell^p}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $|B_+(\mu, \nu)| \leq \chi_{[1,\infty)}(\nu) e^{\gamma(0)} \eta(\nu)$ for $\mu \geq 0$. Other terms of W_1 can be treated similarly. By the same argument W_2 is bounded for $p \in (1, \infty)$. For W_2 we cannot include $p = 1, \infty$ because $\mathrm{sign}(\theta)$ is the symbol of the Calderon-Zygmund operator

$$\mathcal{H} v(\nu) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\nu\theta} F_0[v](\theta) \, d\sigma = \frac{2i}{\pi} \sum_{\nu' \in \nu + 2\mathbb{Z} + 1} \frac{v(\nu')}{\nu - \nu'}$$

which is unbounded in ℓ^1 and in ℓ^∞. So the proof of Lemma 3.1 is completed.

Consider now $W_2 f(\mu) = \chi_{[0,\infty]}(\mu) W_2 f(\mu)$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $q \in \ell^{1,2+\sigma}$ with $\sigma > 0$. Then W_2 extends into a bounded operator also for $p = 1, \infty$ exactly when both 0 and 4 are resonances and the transmission coefficient $T(\theta)$ defined in $\mathbb{T}$ satisfies $T(0) = T(\pi) = 1$.*

Proof. We consider a partition of unity $1 = \chi + (1 - \chi)$ on $\mathbb{T}$ with χ even, $\chi = 1$ near 0 and $\chi = 0$ near π. Correspondingly we have $W_2 = U_1 + U_2$ with U_1 written below and U_2 given by the same formula with χ replaced by $1 - \chi$. We focus on U_1. We have $U_1 = U_{11} + U_{12}$ with for $\mu \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &U_{11} f(\mu) = U_{111} f(\mu) + U_{112} f(\mu) \\ &U_{111} f(\mu) = m_+(\mu, 0) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\mu\theta} \left(T(-\theta) - T(0)\right) \mathrm{sign}(\theta) \chi(\theta) F_0[f](\theta) d\theta \\ &- m_+(\mu, 0) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\mu\theta} \left(R_+(\theta) - R_+(0)\right) \mathrm{sign}(\theta) F_0[f](\theta) d\theta \\ &U_{112} f(\mu) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\mu\theta} \left(T(-\theta) - 1\right) \left(n_+(\mu, -\theta) - n_+(\mu, 0)\right) \mathrm{sign}(\theta) \chi(\theta) F_0[f](\theta) d\theta \\ &- \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\mu\theta} R_+(\theta) \left(n_+(\mu, \theta) - n_+(\mu, 0)\right) \mathrm{sign}(\theta) \chi(\theta) F_0[f](\theta) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

and

(3.1)

$$U_{12}f(\mu) = \chi_{[0,\infty)}(\mu)\,(T(0)-1)\,m_+(\mu,0)\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\mu\theta}\mathrm{sign}(\theta)\chi(\theta)F_0[f](\theta)$$
$$- \chi_{[0,\infty)}(\mu)R_+(0)m_+(\mu,0)\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\mu\theta}\mathrm{sign}(\theta)\chi(\theta)F_0[f](\theta)d\theta$$
$$= \chi_{[0,\infty)}(\mu)\,(T(0)-1)\,m_+(\mu,0)(\mathcal{H}f)(-\mu) - \chi_{[0,\infty)}(\mu)R_+(0)m_+(\mu,0)(\mathcal{H}f)(\mu).$$

We have:

Lemma 3.3. *$U_{12} \in B(L^p, L^p)$ for all $p \in [1,\infty]$ if and only if*

(1) $$T(0) - 1 + R_+(0) = 0.$$

Proof. We have $m_+(\mu,0) \to 1$ for $\mu \nearrow \infty$ if $q \in \ell^{1,1}$. We have $(\mathcal{H}f)(-\mu) = (\mathcal{H}f(-\cdot))(\mu)$. Set $\widehat{\chi} = F_0^*(\chi)$. Then $U_{12} \in B(L^p, L^p)$ for $p = 1, \infty$ exactly if

(2) $$\chi_{\mathbb{N}}(\mu)\,(T(0) - 1 + R_+(0))\,\mathcal{H}(\widehat{\chi} * f)(\mu) \in \ell^p \text{ for all } f \text{ even in } \ell^p$$

(3) $$\chi_{\mathbb{N}}(\mu)\,(T(0) - 1 - R_+(0))\,\mathcal{H}(\widehat{\chi} * f)(\mu) \in \ell^p \text{ for all } f \text{ odd in } \ell^p.$$

We show that (2) requires (1). We have $\widehat{\chi} * \chi_{\{0\}} = \widehat{\chi}$ and

$$(\mathcal{H}\widehat{\chi})(\mu) = \frac{2i}{\pi\mu}\sum_{\nu\in\mu+2\mathbb{Z}+1}\widehat{\chi}(\nu) - \frac{2i}{\pi}\sum_{\nu\in\mu+2\mathbb{Z}+1}\left[\frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{\mu-\nu}\right]\widehat{\chi}(\nu).$$

The second term on the right is in $\ell^1([1,\infty)$ but the first is $i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi\mu}}$, which is not in $\ell^1([1,\infty)$. Hence we need equality (1). So (2) requires (1). We now show that (3) occurs always. It is enough to prove $\mathcal{H}f \in \ell^p$ for all f odd. We have

$$\sum_{\nu\in\mu+2\mathbb{Z}+1}\frac{1}{\mu-\nu}f(\nu) = 2\sum_{\nu\in\mu+2\mathbb{Z}+1}^{\nu>0}\frac{\nu}{\mu^2-\nu^2}f(\nu).$$

So

$$\|\mathcal{H}f\|_{\ell^1} \lesssim \sum_{\nu>0}|f(\nu)|\sum_{\mu\in\nu+2\mathbb{Z}+1}\frac{\nu}{|\mu^2-\nu^2|} \le C\|f\|_{\ell^1}$$

for a fixed $C < \infty$.

Our next step is to show in Lemma 3.4 that $U_{111} \in B(L^p, L^p)$ for all $p \in [1,\infty]$. In Lemma 3.5 that $U_{112} \in B(L^p, L^p)$ for all $p \in [1,\infty]$. Hence $U_1 \in B(L^p, L^p)$ for all $p \in [1,\infty]$ exactly if $U_{12} \in B(L^p, L^p)$ for all $p \in [1,\infty]$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $q \in \ell^{1,2+\sigma}$ with $\sigma > 0$. Then $U_{111} \in B(L^p, L^p)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.*

Proof. If for $g = (R_+(\theta) - R_+(0))\,\mathrm{sign}(\theta)\chi(\theta)$ and $f = (T(\theta) - T(0))\,\mathrm{sign}(\theta)\chi(\theta)$ we have $F_0^* f$ and $F_0^* g \in \ell^1$, then by $|m_+(\mu, 0)| \leq C$ for all $\mu \geq 0$, we get Lemma 3.3. Here consider only $F_0^* f$ only, since the proof for $F_0^* g$ is similar. We have for $\widetilde{\chi}(\theta)$ another even smooth cutoff function in $\mathbb{T}$ with $\widetilde{\chi} = 1$ on the support of χ and $\widetilde{\chi} = 0$ near π,

$$\chi(\theta)T(\theta) = -2i\frac{\chi(\theta)\sin(\theta)}{\widetilde{\chi}(\theta)W(\theta)}.$$

By Lemma 2.3 we have $F_0^* W \in \ell^{1,1+\sigma}$. By the argument in Lemma 5.10 [CT] we have $F_0^* \left[\frac{W(\theta)}{\sin(\theta)}\right] \in \ell^{1,\sigma}$. Then $F_0^* \left[\chi(\theta)T(\theta)\right] \in \ell^{1,\sigma}$ by Wiener's Lemma: case $\sigma = 0$ is stated in 11.6 [R]; for $\sigma > 0$ one can provide $\ell^{1,\sigma}$ with a structure of commutative Banach algebra (changing the norm to an equivalent one, 10.2 [R]) and then repeat the argument in 11.6 [R].

Consider now $A(\theta) = (T(\theta) - T(0))\,\chi(\theta)$. We have $F_0^* \left[A\right] \in \ell^{1,\sigma}$ and $A(0) = A(\pi) = 0$. We have

$$\widehat{f}(\nu) = \frac{2i}{\pi} \sum_{\mu \in \nu + 2\mathbb{Z}+1} \frac{1}{\nu - \mu}\widehat{A}(\mu).$$

We consider

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{f}(\nu)| \leq I + II + III$$

with

$$I = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{|\mu| \leq |\nu|/2, \mu \in \nu + 2\mathbb{Z}+1} \frac{\widehat{A}(\mu)}{\nu - \mu} \right|,$$

$$II = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{|\nu|/2 \leq |\mu| \leq 2|\nu|} \frac{|\widehat{A}(\mu)|}{\langle \nu - \mu \rangle},\ III = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{|\mu| \geq 2|\nu|} \frac{|\widehat{A}(\mu)|}{\langle \nu - \mu \rangle}.$$

We see immediately that

$$III \lesssim \|\widehat{A}\|_{\ell^{1,\sigma}} \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \nu \rangle^{-1-\sigma} < \infty.$$

We have

$$II \lesssim \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mu \rangle^{\sigma} |\widehat{A}(\mu)| \sum_{|\nu| \leq 2|\mu|} \langle \nu - \mu \rangle^{-1} \langle \mu \rangle^{-\sigma} \lesssim \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mu \rangle^{\sigma} |\widehat{A}(\mu)| < \infty.$$

We write

$$\sum_{|\mu| \leq |\nu|/2, \mu \in \nu + 2\mathbb{Z}+1} \frac{\widehat{A}(\mu)}{\nu - \mu} = \sum_{|\mu| \leq |\nu|/2, \mu \in \nu + 2\mathbb{Z}+1} \frac{\widehat{A}(\mu)}{\nu} +$$

$$\sum_{|\mu| \leq |\nu|/2, \mu \in \nu + 2\mathbb{Z}+1} \frac{\mu}{(\nu - \mu)\nu}\widehat{A}(\mu)\ .$$

Notice

$$\sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{|\mu|\le|\nu|/2}\frac{|\mu\widehat{A}(\mu)|}{\langle\nu-\mu\rangle\langle\nu\rangle}\lesssim\sum_{\mu\in\mathbb{Z}}|\mu\widehat{A}(\mu)|\sum_{|\nu|\ge2|\mu|}\langle\nu\rangle^{-2}\lesssim\|\widehat{A}\|_{\ell^1}<\infty.$$

The fact that $A(0)=0$ implies $\sum\widehat{A}(\mu)=0$. The fact that $A(\pi)=0$ implies $\sum(-1)^\mu\widehat{A}(\mu)=0$. Hence

$$\sum_{\mu\in2\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{A}(\mu)=\sum_{\mu\in2\mathbb{Z}+1}\widehat{A}(\mu)=0.$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{|\mu|\le|\nu|/2,\mu\in\nu+2\mathbb{Z}+1}\widehat{A}(\mu)=-\sum_{|\mu|>|\nu|/2,\mu\in\nu+2\mathbb{Z}+1}\widehat{A}(\mu).$$

Then

$$\sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}\left|\sum_{|\mu|\le|\nu|/2,\mu\in\nu+2\mathbb{Z}+1}\frac{\widehat{A}(\mu)}{\nu}\right|=\sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}\left|\sum_{|\mu|>|\nu|/2,\mu\in\nu+2\mathbb{Z}+1}\frac{\widehat{A}(\mu)}{\nu}\right|.$$

This can be bounded with the same argument of III. Hence we have shown $\widehat{f}\in\ell^1$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $q\in\ell^{1,1+\sigma}$ with $\sigma>0$. Then $U_{112}\in B(L^p,L^p)$ for all $p\in[1,\infty]$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the previous one. Let $g(\mu,\theta)=A(\mu,\theta)\mathrm{sign}(\theta)$ with $A(\mu,\theta)=(n_+(\mu,\theta)-n_+(\mu,0))\,\chi(\theta)$. Set $\widehat{g}(\mu,\cdot)=F^*[g(\mu,\cdot)]$ and $\widehat{A}(\mu,\cdot)=F^*[A(\mu,\cdot)]$. It is enough to show that there exists $b(\nu)$ in ℓ^1 such that $|\widehat{g}(\mu,\nu)|\le b(\nu)$ for all $\mu\ge0$ and all $\nu\in\mathbb{Z}$. Notice that $F^*[n_+(\mu,\cdot)-n_+(\mu,0)](\nu)=\chi_{(0,\infty)}(\nu)B_+(\mu,\nu)$ for $\nu\neq0$ and $=-n_+(\mu,0)$ for $\nu=0$. By Lemma 2.1 we have $|B_+(\mu,\nu)|\le e^{\gamma(0)}\chi_{(0,\infty)}(\nu)\eta(\nu)$. Hence $|\widehat{A}(\mu,\nu)|\le h(\nu)$ for all $\mu\ge0$ and all $\nu\in\mathbb{Z}$, with $h\in\ell^{1,\sigma}$.

We have

$$\widehat{g}(\mu,\nu)=\frac{2i}{\pi}\sum_{\nu'-\nu\in2\mathbb{Z}+1}\frac{1}{\nu-\nu'}\widehat{A}(\mu,\nu')=\frac{2i}{\pi}(I+II+III)$$

with

$$I=\sum_{|\nu'|\le|\nu|/2,\nu'\in\nu+2\mathbb{Z}+1}\frac{\widehat{A}(\mu,\nu')}{\nu-\nu'},$$

$$II=\sum_{|\nu|/2<|\nu'|\le2|\nu|,\nu'\in\nu+2\mathbb{Z}+1}\frac{\widehat{A}(\mu,\nu')}{\nu-\nu'},$$

$$III=\sum_{|\nu'|>2|\nu|,\nu'\in\nu+2\mathbb{Z}+1}\frac{\widehat{A}(\mu,\nu')}{\nu-\nu'}.$$

We have

$$|III(\mu,\nu)| \lesssim \|h\|_{\ell^{1,\sigma}} \langle\nu\rangle^{-1-\sigma}.$$

We have

$$|II(\mu,\nu)| \lesssim \alpha(\nu) := \sum_{|\nu|/2<|\nu'|\leq 2|\nu|} \frac{|h(\nu')|}{\langle \nu-\nu'\rangle}.$$

We write

$$\sum_{|\nu'|\leq|\nu|/2,\nu'\in\nu+2\mathbb{Z}+1} \frac{\widehat{A}(\mu,\nu')}{\nu-\nu'} = I_1 + I_2$$

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{|\nu'|\leq|\nu|/2,\nu'\in\nu+2\mathbb{Z}+1} \widehat{A}(\mu,\nu')\,, \quad I_2 = \sum_{|\nu'|\leq|\nu|/2,\nu'\in\nu+2\mathbb{Z}+1} \frac{\nu'}{(\nu-\nu')\nu}\widehat{A}(\mu,\nu').$$

We have

$$I_1(\mu,\nu) = -\frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{|\nu'|>|\nu|/2,\nu'\in\nu+2\mathbb{Z}+1} \widehat{A}(\mu,\nu')$$

and so

$$|I_1(\mu,\nu)| \lesssim \|h\|_{\ell^{1,\sigma}} \langle\nu\rangle^{-1-\sigma}.$$

Finally

$$|I_2(\mu,\nu)| \lesssim \beta(\nu) := \sum_{|\nu'|\leq|\nu|/2,} \frac{\langle\nu'\rangle}{\langle\nu-\nu'\rangle\langle\nu\rangle} h(\nu')$$

Then there is a function $b(\nu)$ in ℓ^1 such that $|\widehat{g}(\mu,\nu)| \leq b(\nu)$ of the form $b(\nu) = C(\alpha(\nu)+\beta(\nu)+\langle\nu\rangle^{-1-\sigma})$.

By repeating the previous arguments one has:

Lemma 3.6. *For $q \in \ell^{1,2+\sigma}$ with $\sigma > 0$ the operator W extends into a bounded operator in ℓ^p for $p = 1, \infty$ when operators (3.1)–(3.4) are bounded. Here (3.1) has been defined above while (3.2)–(3.4) are defined as follows, for $\chi+\chi_1$ a smooth partition of unity in $\mathbb{T}$ with $\chi = 1$ near 0 and $\chi = 0$ near π:*

$$\begin{aligned} V_2 f(\mu) &= \chi_{[0,\infty)}(\mu)\,(T(\pi)-1)\,m_+(\mu,0)\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\mu\theta}\, sign(\theta)\chi_1(\theta)F_0[f](\theta) \\ &- \chi_{[0,\infty)}(\mu)R_+(\pi)m_+(\mu,0)\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\mu\theta}\, sign(\theta)\chi_1(\theta)F_0[f](\theta)d\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_3 f(\mu) &= \chi_{(-\infty,0)}(\mu)\,(1-T(0))\,m_-(\mu,0)\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\mu\theta}\, sign(\theta)\chi(\theta)F_0[f](\theta) \\ &+ \chi_{(-\infty,0)}(\mu)R_-(0)m_-(\mu,0)\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\mu\theta}\, sign(\theta)\chi(\theta)F_0[f](\theta)d\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_4 f(\mu) &= \chi_{(-\infty,0)}(\mu)\,(1-T(0))\,m_-(\mu,0)\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\mu\theta}\,sign(\theta)\chi_1(\theta)F_0[f](\theta)\\
&+\chi_{(-\infty,0)}(\mu)R_-(0)m_-(\mu,0)\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\mu\theta}\,sign(\theta)\chi_1(\theta)F_0[f](\theta)d\theta.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.4}
$$

We have:

Lemma 3.7. *$W \in B(\ell^p,\ell^p)$ for $p=1,\infty$ exactly when $T(0)=T(\pi)=1$.*

Proof. If $T(0)=T(\pi)=1$ we have $V_j=0$ for all j. Then $W\in B(\ell^p,\ell^p)$ for $p=1,\infty$. Viceversa $W\in B(\ell^1,\ell^1)$ implies $V_j\in B(\ell^1,\ell^1)$ for all j. If $V_3\in B(\ell^1,\ell^1)$ then, proceeding as in Lemma 3.3,

$$1-T(0)-R_-(0)=1-T(0)+R_+(0)=0.$$

This together with (1) in Lemma 3.3 implies $T(0)=1$. The implication $T(\pi)=1$ is obtained similarly.

§A Appendix: finite number of eigenvalues

We will prove:

Lemma A.1. *If $q\in\ell^{1,1}$ the total number of eigenvalues of H is $\leq 4+\|\nu q(\nu)\|_{\ell^1}$.*

Let $q_-(\nu)=\min(0,q(\nu))$. We recall that if we have $(-\Delta+q)u=\lambda u$, then if we define v by $v(\nu)=(-1)^\nu u(\nu)$ we have $(-\Delta-q)v=(4-\lambda)v$. Hence Lemma 6.1 is a consequence of:

Lemma A.2. *If $q\in\ell^{1,1}$ the total number of eigenvalues of H inside $(-\infty,0)$ is $\leq 2+\|\nu q_-(\nu)\|_{\ell^1}$.*

Proof. For $\lambda\leq 0$ we set $u(\nu,\lambda)=f_+(\nu,\theta)$, where $\lambda=2(1-\cos(\theta))$. Notice that $u(\nu,\lambda)\in\mathbb{R}$. We denote by $X(\lambda)$ the set of those ν such that either $u(\nu,\lambda)=0$ or $u(\nu,\lambda)u(\nu+1,\lambda)<0$. We denote by $N(\lambda)$ the cardinality of $X(\lambda)$. Notice that by the min-max principle the operator $\widetilde{H}=-\Delta-q_-$ has at least as many negative eigenvalues as H. So, to prove our Lemma 6.2 it is not restrictive to assume $q(\nu)=q_-(\nu)=-|q(\nu)|$ for all ν in Lemma A.3 below. We have:

Lemma 6.3. *We have $N(0)\leq 2+\|\nu q_-(\nu)\|_{\ell^1}$.*

Proof. We assume $N(0)>1$. Let $\nu_0,\nu_1\in X(0)$ be two consecutive elements, with $\nu_0<\nu_1$. For $u(\nu)=u(\nu,0)$ we have

$$u(\nu)=u(\nu_0)+(u(\nu_0+1)-u(\nu_0))(\nu-\nu_0)-\sum_{j=\nu_0}^{\nu-1}(j-\nu_0)|q(j)|u(j).$$

It is not restrictive below to assume $A := u(\nu_0+1)-u(\nu_0) > 0$. Then $u(\nu_1+1) < 0$ or $u(\nu_1) = 0$. In the first case, we have

$$0 > u(\nu_0+1) - u(\nu_1+1) = A(\nu_1-\nu_0)\left(1 - \sum_{j=\nu_0}^{\nu_1}(j-\nu_0)|q(j)|\right).$$

This implies

(1) $\displaystyle\sum_{j=\nu_0+1}^{\nu_1}(j-\nu_0)|q(j)| \geq 1$. By a similar argument $\displaystyle\sum_{j=\nu_0}^{\nu_1-1}(\nu_1-j)|q(j)| \geq 1$.

(1) holds also if $u(\nu_1) = 0$. So for $\nu_0 < \nu_1 < ... < \nu_n$ consecutive elements in $X(0)$,

$$\text{we have } \sum_{j=\nu_0+1}^{\nu_n}(j-\nu_0)|q(j)| \geq n \text{ and } \sum_{j=\nu_0}^{\nu_n-1}(\nu_n-j)|q(j)| \geq n.$$

Then $q \in \ell^{1,1}$ implies $N(0) < \infty$. If $X(0)$ is formed by

$$\nu_0 < ... < \nu_n (< 0 \leq) \mu_0 < ... < \mu_m$$

then

$$n \leq \sum_{j=\nu_0}^{\nu_n-1}(\nu_n-j)|q(j)| \leq \sum_{j=\nu_0}^{\nu_n-1}|j||q(j)|$$

and

$$m \leq \sum_{j=\mu_0+1}^{\mu_m}(j-\mu_0)|q(j)| \leq \sum_{j=\mu_0+1}^{\mu_m}|j||q(j)|.$$

So $n+m \leq \|\nu q(\nu)\|_{\ell^1}$. Then $N(0) \leq 2 + \|\nu q(\nu)\|_{\ell^1}$. This yields Lemma 6.2.

Notice that

$$\langle Hu, u\rangle = \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}}|u(\nu+1)-u(\nu)|^2 + \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}}q(\nu)|u(\nu)|^2.$$

If H has negative eigenvalues, there is a minimal one λ_0. Then we have $u(\nu,\lambda_0) = |u(\nu,\lambda_0)| > 0$ for all ν by the min-max principle and by the fact that $u(\nu,\lambda_0) = e^{i\nu\theta}m_+(\nu,\theta_0)$ where $m_+(\nu,\theta) \to 1$ for $|\nu| \nearrow \infty$ by (1) Lemma 5.1 [CT]. Notice that by this argument it is easy to conclude that $N(\lambda) < \infty$ for any $\lambda < 0$.

Next we have the following discrete version of the Sturm oscillation theorem, see Lemma 4.4 [T].

Lemma A.4. *$N(\lambda)$ is increasing for $\lambda \leq 0$.*

Lemmas A.4 and A.3 yield Lemma A.2.

References

[C] S.Cuccagna, *On asymptotic stability in 3D of kinks for the ϕ^4 model*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), 2581-2614.

[CT] S.Cuccagna, M.Tarulli, *On asymptotic stability of standing waves of discrete Schrödinger equation in $\mathbb{Z}$.*

[DF] P.D'Ancona, L.Fanelli, *L^p boundedness of the wave operator for the one dimensional Schrödinger operator*, Comm. Math. Phys. **268** (2006), 415–438.

[DT] P.Deift, E.Trubowitz, *Inverse scattering on the line*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **32** (1979), 121–251.

[GY] Galtabiar, K.Yajima, *L^p boundedness of wave operators for one dimensional Schrödinger operators*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokio **7** (2000), 221 – 240.

[KKK] A.Komech, E.Kopylova, M.Kunze, *Dispersive estimates for 1D discrete Schrödinger and Klein Gordon equations*, Appl. Mat. **85** (2006), 1487–1508.

[GSc] M.Goldberg, W.Schlag, *Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in dimensions one and three*, Comm. Math. Phys. **251** (2004), 157–178.

[PS] D.E.Pelinovsky,A. Stefanov, *On the spectral theory and dispersive estimates for a discrete Schrödinger equation in one dimension.*

[RS] M.Reed, B.Simon, *Methods of mathematical Physics*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1979.

[R] W.Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, Higher Math. Series, McGraw-Hill, 1973.

[T] G.Teschl, *Jacobi Operators and Completely Integrable Nonlinear Lattices*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, AMS, 2000.

[SK] A. Stefanov, P.G.Kevrekidis, *Asymptotic behaviour of small solutions for the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger and Klein–Gordon equations*, Nonlinearity **18** (2005), 1841–1857.

[W1] R. Weder, *The $W^{k,p}$ continuity of the Schrödinger wave operators on the line*, Comm. Math. Phys. **208** (1999), 507–520.

[W2] ———, *$L^p \to L^{p'}$ estimates for the Schrödinger equation on the line and inverse scattering for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a potential*, J. Funct. Anal. **170** (2000), 37–68.

DISMI University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, via Amendola 2, Padiglione Morselli, Reggio Emilia 42100 Italy

E-mail address: cuccagna.scipio@unimore.it