Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Marine Geology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/margo

### Deep-water sedimentation processes on a glaciated margin: The Foula Wedge trough mouth fan, West of Shetland

Simona Caruso<sup>a,\*</sup>, Vittorio Maselli<sup>b</sup>, Brice Rea<sup>a</sup>, Matteo Spagnolo<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Geosciences, University of Aberdeen, Scotland AB24 3UE, UK

<sup>b</sup> Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 4R2, Canada

# A R T I C L E I N F O

Glacigenic debris flows

Basin fan deposits

Trough mouth fan

West of Shetland

Subglacial meltwater

Seismic geomorphology

Deep-water sedimentation processes

Keywords:

#### ABSTRACT

Trough Mouth Fans (TMF) are sedimentary depocenters located at glaciated continental margins and consist predominantly of glacigenic debris flow deposits. The Foula wedge is a Pleistocene TMF accumulated offshore West of Shetland over the Northeast Atlantic margin. This study presents an analysis of a 3D seismic reflection dataset imaging the distal Foula wedge basin fan deposits between 1010 and 1100 m water depth, directly downslope from a gully system which was active untill the end of the last deglaciation. Results reveal, in unprecedented detail, the basal surface of this fan system and its internal complex architecture. Features typical of both debris flow deposits and turbidites are identified, including a basin channel network with linear and diverging erosional features forming distinctive terminal lobes, stacked and backstepping. The study links the seafloor morphology of the basin fan with its subsurface geomorphology, showing connection with the downslope gully system to the east. It presents evidence for a complex distal depositional system on glaciated margins, characterised by heterogeneous sediment delivery processes and deposits. A conceptual evolution model is proposed, with a glacigenic debris flow-dominated TMF at the LGM, subsequently influenced by meltwater discharges, with deposition occurring as a function of the shelf margin and slope paleo-morphology, slope substrate composition, interaction of downslope and along slope processes and ice-margin dynamics.

#### 1. Introduction

Submarine trough mouth fans (TMFs) are sediment depocenters fed by fast-flowing ice streams formed during glacial maxima and sea level lowstands (Vorren et al., 1989; Vorren and Laberg, 1997; Ó, Cofaigh et al., 2003). TMFs accumulate over the continental shelf and slope along mid- and high-latitude glaciated margins and exhibit a fan shaped, convex-up, geometry (Vorren et al., 1989; Stoker, 1995; Vorren and Laberg, 1997; Weaver et al., 2000; Dowdeswell and Elverhoi, 2002; Batchelor and Dowdeswell, 2014). TMFs are traditionally interpreted as being composed primarily of glacigenic debris flow (GDF) deposits (Vorren et al., 1989; Vorren and Laberg, 1997). Due to the increasing availability of high-resolution bathymetric and seismic reflection data, both 2D and 3D, recent studies have revealed a more complex internal architecture and sediment heterogeneity. This has been interpreted to reflect the interaction of multiple depositional processes operating at both local and basin scales, such as suspension settling from meltwater plumes, iceberg-rafting, bottom currents, and turbidity flows (Pedrosa et al., 2011; Ó, Cofaigh et al., 2018; Rebesco et al., 2014; Batchelor and

Dowdeswell, 2015; Rydningen et al., 2016; Batchelor et al., 2017; Montelli et al., 2017; Fransner et al., 2018; Laberg et al., 2018; Pope et al., 2018; Waage et al., 2018; Bellwald et al., 2018; Bellwald et al., 2020; Newton et al., 2021).

Numerous models have been proposed to explain the emplacement of TMFs (e.g., Batchelor and Dowdeswell, 2014, 2015; Dowdeswell et al., 2016; Pope et al., 2018).Particular attention has been given to understanding the role and dynamics of downslope gullies (e.g., Ó, Cofaigh et al., 2003; Gales et al., 2013a; 2014; Waage et al., 2018), and how these may evolve into turbidite fans (e.g., Piper, 2005; Pedrosa et al., 2011; Dowdeswell et al., 2016; Laberg et al., 2018; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2018) or stacked debris flow / channel-levee deposits (e.g., Fransner et al., 2018). The differentiation between slope and basin deposits, such as GDF deposits and turbidites, and their characterisation is less explored, and there is poor understanding of their three-dimensional geometry (Davison, 2004; Stewart and Long, 2012, 2016; Fransner et al., 2018; Newton et al., 2021).

This study focuses on the distal part of the Foula wedge TMF, offshore West of Shetland in the north-eastern Atlantic Ocean (Figs. 1

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2022.106769

Received 12 September 2021; Received in revised form 28 February 2022; Accepted 4 March 2022 Available online 8 March 2022

0025-3227/© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).





<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail address: s.caruso@abdn.ac.uk (S. Caruso).



**Fig. 1.** A) Map showing the study site setting, major depocenters of glacigenic sediments, and ice flow pathways during, at least, the Late Pleistocene. The yellow polygon delineates location of Fig. 2. B) Location of study area (red box; 3D globe map from EMODnet, 2020). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

and 2). It uses 3D seismic reflection data to characterise the architecture of the basin fan deposits, located downslope from a gully system and provides new insights regarding the style of formation of this deep-water fan system. The aim is to understand the development of the fan system within the context of the glaciated margin but also to identify the main

sediment transport processes. Building upon existing literature (e.g. Stoker and Varming, 2011; Stewart and Long, 2012), this work further investigates the role of the substrate lithology on the evolution and preservation of the downslope gully and fan systems. By integrating the results with available chronological information, an updated conceptual



**Fig. 2.** A) Regional seafloor shaded relief map illuminated from the north-east encompassing the West Shetland slope (WoS) and Faroe-Shetland Channel. The study area (3D seismic data extent) is delineated by the blue polygon. Bathymetry is derived from the 3D seismic, AFEN, 2000 and EMODnet, 2020 dataset combined with bathymetry maps from Long et al., 2004 and Stewart and Long, 2016, geo-referenced and composed in PetrosysPRO. This shows seafloor morphology associated with the LGM within the study area and its vicinity. Shaded colours refer to the regional geological framework (after Stoker, 1995, Masson et al., 2003; Knutz and Cartwright, 2003; Knutz and Cartwright, 2004; Davison, 2004; Hohbein and Cartwright, 2006; Stoker and Varming, 2011; Stewart and Long, 2012). BGS borehole and sub bottom profiler line locations are also shown for reference (full details in Table 1); B) A representative downslope geoseismic section (for location see 2A) showing shallow seismic stratigraphy across the Foula wedge encompassing the study area (after Stoker, 1995; Davison, 2004; Stoker and Varming, 2011). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

S. Caruso et al.

#### Table 1

Data time and source	Value for this study
Data type and source	value for this study
<ul> <li>Data: Shallow gravity cores logs. Format: PDF. Source: Siccar Point Energy. Reference: Fugro, 2011 (Confidential). Additional information on shallow sediments in Stewart and Long, 2012.</li> <li>Data: seafloor photography still images around 204/5a-1 exploration well. Format: jpg. Source: Siccar Point Energy. Reference: Fugro, 2011 (Confidential)</li> <li>Data: Seafloor photography still images around 204/10a E exploration well. Format: jpg. Source: Siccar Point Energy. Reference: Fugro, 2011 (Confidential)</li> </ul>	Reported information and general seafloor sediment descriptions were used to support seafloor sediment interpretations as part of the seafloor morphology provinces mapping. Average locations from Fugro, 2011 images plotted on Fig. 4A. Stewart and Long (2012) study extent shown in Fig. 2A.
Point Energy. Reference: Fugro, 2017 (Confidential) Data: video files around 204/10a-5 exploration well. Format: MTS/asf. Source: Siccar Point Energy. Reference: Fugro, 2017 (Confidential)	used to ground truth seafloor sediment interpretations and supporting seafloor morphology province classification. Average locations plotted on Fig. 4A. Example image used on Fig. 4B.
(Confidential)	
Data: Regional bathymetry. Format: georeferenced depth raster (tif), gridded file, ESRIascii files. Source/ References: Atlantic Frontier Environmental Network (AFEN), 2000. Environmental Surveys of the Seafloor of the UK Atlantic Margin [CD-ROM] ISBN 09538399–0-7 now discontinued. Described in Bulat and Long, 2001. AFEN bathymetry data for this study were obtained via Fugro GB (North) Marine Limited in 2019; Open Source - General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO), 2014: https://www.gebco.net /data_and_products/gridded_bathymetry_data; Open Source - European Marine Observation and Data Network (EMODnet), 2020: https://emodnet.ec.europa.eu/en/bathymetry and https://sextant.ifremer.fr /record/bb6a87dd-e579-4036-abe1-e649cea9881a/	To provide greater regional context. Support morphology correlation with slope/shelf regional area to understand deep-water sediment delivery processes. All data were imported/converted and combined using PetrosysPRO to then compose the various bathymetry maps (Figs. 1, 2A, 12, 13 and 14) and derive the profile for Fig. 2B. Edited RGB raster were used to infill gaps or extent maps to provide greater regional context.
Data: Regional bathymetry and seafloor dip maps. Format: extracted RGB raster (tif, png). Source/ References: Davison, 2004; Long et al., 2004; Stewart and Long, 2012, 2016. Key works on legacy regional	
Data: Sparker sub bottom profiler lines. Format: jp2. Source: Open Government Licence - GeoIndex Offshore	Support correlation with slope/shelf regional seismic stratigraphy
BGS, Interactive map (under seismic reflection layer) at http://mapaps2.bgs.ac.uk/geoindex_offshore/h ome.html?_ga=2.227456346.671481726.1639228033-183223392.1589786690#. <b>Reference:</b> British Geological Survey materials ©UKRI (BGS, 1979; BGS, 1983). Key lines IDs: 1979/14#22; 1979/14#23, 1979/14#28, 1979/14#35; 1983/4#44, 1983/4#46, 1983/4#464.	and construct the generalised geoseismic section presented in Fig. 2B. Lines location displayed in Fig. 2A.
<ul> <li>Data: BGS borehole logs. Format: PDF. Source: Open Government Licence - GeoIndex Offshore   BGS, Interactive map (under Borehole layer) at: http://mapapps2.bgs.ac.uk/geoindex_offshore/home.html?ga =2.227456346.671481726.1639228033-183223392.1589786690.</li> <li>Borehole 82/11 - Document name: BGS 82_11_60N004W_105, at: http://marinedata.bgs.ac.uk/Samples /WEB/60N004W/60N004W_105.pdf; Borehole 85/01 - Document name: BGS 85_01_60N004W_206, at: http://marinedata.bgs.ac.uk/Samples/WEB/60N004W/60N004W_206,pdf; Borehole 99/03 - Document name: BGS_99_03_60N005W_173, at: http://marinedata.bgs.ac.uk/Samples/WEB/60N005W/60N005</li> <li>W_173.pdf. Reference: British Geological Survey materials @UKRI (BGS, 1982; BGS, 1985; BGS, 1999).</li> <li>Key studies for BGS boreholes 99/3 and 82/11: Davison and Stoker, 2002; Davison, 2004. Key studies for BGS borehole 85/01: Stoker, 1995.</li> </ul>	Understanding sediment type of the glacigenic deposits of the Foula and Rona wedges. Support correlation with slope/shelf regional seismic stratigraphy. Locations plotted on Figs. 1 and 2.
Data: Regional maps. Format: extracted RGB raster (tif, png). Source/Reference: Offshore Regional Reports (SEA4 - Masson et al., 2003; BGS - Ritchie et al., 2011); Stoker, 1995; Cartwright and Knutz and Cartwright, 2003; Davison, 2004; Masson et al., 2004; Hohbein and Cartwright, 2006; Stewart and Long, 2012, 2016 and a number of comprehensive glacial history reviews (Bradwell et al., 2008; Bradwell et al., 2019; Ballantyne and Small, 2019 and Hall et al., 2019)	Support correlation of the study area with regional seismic stratigraphy and geological framework of the shelf and slope west of Shetland. Maps have been extracted from relevant literature, georeferenced and digitised, when required, in PetrosysPRO. Most relevant info used to compose Figs. 1, 2A and 13.
Data: Generalised geoseisimc and seismic cross-sections. Format: extracted RGB raster (tif, png). Source/ Reference: Stoker and Varming, 2011 in Offshore Regional Report BGS - Ritchie et al., 2011; Stoker, 1995; Bulat and Long, 2001; Davison, 2004; Smallwood, 2004.	Support correlation of the study area with regional seismic stratigraphy and geological framework of the shelf and slope west of Shetland. Generalised geoseismc cross-sections have been scaled and used to construct Figs. 2B and 14. Together with the related literature on paleo-glaciological
Data: GIS database of glacial landforms of the last British–Irish Ice Sheet. Format: shape files. Source: Open source at BRITICE Glacial Map v2.0 (arcgis.com) Interactive Map: https://shefuni.maps.arcgis.com/app s/webappviewer/index.html?id=fd78b03a74bb477c906c5d4e0ba9abaf and https://www.sheffield.ac.uk/geography/research/projects/britice. Reference: Clark et al., 2018. BRITICE Glacial Map v2.0.	reconstructions helped the understanding of glacial history in the region and in particular how the ice sheet extent and flow configuration during the last glacial cycle has affected this portion of the Atlantic margin and the Foula wedge development. Used in Figs. 1 and 2A.

model for the evolution of this sector of the margin is proposed, suggesting an increased role for meltwater processes soon after the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM).

#### 2. Background

#### 2.1. Geological setting

The study area is located on the eastern side of the Faroe-Shetland Channel (Fig. 1), which is part of the Faroe-Shetland sedimentary basin. A major structural high, known as the Cambo high, represents the pre-Cretaceous basement overlain by a thick post-rift succession that includes a Cenozoic sequence of marine deposits (Stoker and Varming, 2011; Ellis and Stoker, 2014; Stoker, 2016; Hardman et al., 2019). This sequence was impacted by extensive Paleocene/lower Eocene igneous activity (Hardman et al., 2019) and successively characterised by shallow to deep-water sedimentation (Lamers and Carmichael, 1999; Stoker and Varming, 2011; Stoker et al., 2013). The late Neogene was

characterised by onshore uplift resulting in tilting of the margin with accelerated offshore subsidence. This, combined with increased sediment supply due to Pleistocene glaciations, changed the configuration of the Faroe-Shetland basin and lead to the narrower present-day basin architecture (Stoker and Varming, 2011).

#### 2.1.1. Plio-Pleistocene to present

The Late Pliocene to Pleistocene depositional history of the margin is controlled by a combination of processes resulting from glacialinterglacial cycles related to ice margin variations and relative sea level fluctuations (Kurjanski et al., 2020), and bottom currents (Knutz and Cartwright, 2003), leading to intermittent phases of erosion, deposition, and reworking (Stoker and Varming, 2011 and references therein; Stewart and Long, 2012; Bradwell et al., 2019). On the east side of the Faroe Shetland Channel, over the continental slope offshore West of Shetland, the Pleistocene sequence consists of glacial sediments deposited by an unusually dynamic ice sheet. This sequence forms coalescing sedimentary wedges hundreds of metres in thickness with a



Fig. 3. Seafloor (top unit 1) derived from the 3D seismic reflection pick: A) Bathymetry, B) Seafloor gradient, C) Seafloor seismic amplitude response, D) Seafloor seismic amplitude response in 3D view.

seaward migration of the shelf-break up to 50 km (Stoker and Varming, 2011; Stewart and Long, 2016; Ballantyne and Small, 2019; Bradwell et al., 2019). Along the south-east of the Faroe Shetland Channel this includes the prograding glacial Rona and Foula wedges and, to the far north-northeast, the North Sea Fan (Stoker, 1995; Stoker and Varming, 2011; Figs. 1 and 2). Directly to the northeast of the study area, the stratigraphy also comprises an up to 225 m thick contouritic deposit,

part of the Plio-Pleistocene West of Shetland Drift (WSD-slope) - which pinches out to less than 50 m in thickness towards the southwest (Knutz and Cartwright, 2003, Knutz and Cartwright, 2004; Hohbein and Cartwright, 2006; Fig. 1).

The study area is located in water depths ranging from 700 m to 1100 m (Figs. 1 and 2), covering the southernmost part of the distal Foula wedge basin floor fans (Stewart and Long, 2012, 2016), and the



**Fig. 4.** A) Seafloor Morphology Provinces (SMP). B) camera still photograph (Fugro, 2017) showing seafloor sediment types within SMP2, and a well-defined comet mark developed around a large boulder; the coarser sediments are associated with glaciomarine sedimentation e.g., iceberg dropstones. C) Transverse bathymetry profile (P1) showing seafloor incision cutting across SMP2. D) Downslope 3D seismic section (P2) encompassing SMP1 and SMP2 displaying the seismic character of the underlying vertical section (unit 1 and unit 2a) and showing the large bathymetric hollow present over the west edge of SMP1.

southern end of the West Shetland Drift (Knutz and Cartwright, 2003; Knutz and Cartwright, 2004). These deposits are defined by Stoker and Varming (2011) as part of the Faroe Shetland Neogene 1 (FSN-1) megasequence. On the West of Shetland slope, although the boundary remains poorly defined, pre-glacial Pliocene to mid Pleistocene Morrison 1 sequence and the glacigenic middle to upper Pleistocene sequence known as Morrison 2 are overlain by the late to post-glacial MacAulay sequence (Fig. 2B, C). Borehole data from the shelf break 82/11 (Rona wedge), slope 85/01 (Foula wedge) and the base of slope 99/3 (distal Rona wedge) (Table 1), together with legacy British Geological Survey (BGS) sub-bottom profiler lines (Fig. 2), show that the Morrison 2 sequence consists predominantly of glacigenic sediments. These include massive clay diamicton debris flow packages interbedded with thin glaciomarine and contouritic sandy-clay and sand layers underlying late glacial glaciomarine sediments deposited from suspension and postglacial hemipelagic clay with sandy-clay of the MacAulay sequence (Stoker, 1995; Stoker and Varming, 2011). The Morrison 2 sequence thins downslope, and it is locally absent, passing laterally into the lower slope/basin areas comprising the undifferentiated Plio-Pleistocene

Faroe-Shetland Channel sequence (including the WSD, as part of the FSN-1 megasequence, Stoker and Varming, 2011).

Sedimentation rates experienced during the Holocene are typically less than 1 cm/kyr and strong bottom currents, with estimated velocities up to 1.0 m/s in deep-water (Masson, 2001), control modern sediment deposition and reworking of the Pleistocene deposits (Masson, 2001; Masson et al., 2003, 2004; Stoker and Varming, 2011; Stewart and Long, 2012). The present-day seafloor morphology (Fig. 2) is therefore relict from the LGM (Stoker and Varming, 2011) and can be divided into: 1) an upper slope iceberg ploughmark zone; 2) an upper/mid slope comprising GDF lobes (as part of the Foula wedge); 3) a downslope gully system and triangular shaped glacigenic basin floor fans which extend up to 15 km into the Faroe-Shetland Channel associated with the Foula wedge (Stoker and Varming, 2011; Stewart and Long, 2012, 2016). Based on Stoker and Varming (2011), the basin floor fans form the upper part of the Morrison 2 sequence and are overlain by less than 5 m-thick deposits of the MacAulay sequence (Fig. 2).

#### 2.2. Glacial history

While a generally accepted model for the glaciation of this region exists (Clark et al., 2018; Hall et al., 2019; Ballantyne and Small, 2019; Bradwell et al., 2019 and references therein,), it lacks detailed and/or spatially continuous chronological constraints, especially in the marine realm. Extensive shelf wide glaciation around the British Isles probably occurred throughout the Pleistocene (Rea et al., 2018), but detailed information regarding the extent of the ice masses and sediment input onto the West of Shetland slope before the LGM are lacking (Ballantyne and Small, 2019; Bradwell et al., 2019). During the last glaciation, marine-terminating ice expanded across the continental shelves within the period 35–32 k yr BP (Ballantyne and Small, 2019).

The topography and bathymetry appear to have directed westward flowing ice between the Orkney and Shetland islands to the Rona and Foula wedges (Stoker and Varming, 2011, Stewart and Long, 2016; Ballantyne and Small, 2019; Bradwell et al., 2019; Hall et al., 2019; Fig. 1). The sediment sequences within the wedges, together with moraine evidence, indicate ice-proximal deposition associated with the arrival of a combined British Irish ice sheet (BIIS) and the Fennoscandian ice sheet (FIS) at, or near, the West of Shetland shelf-break between 26 and 25 k yr BP (Stoker and Varming, 2011; Clark et al., 2018; Hall et al., 2019; Ballantyne and Small, 2019; Bradwell et al., 2019). By 23 k yr BP, following separation of the BIIS and FIS an independent ice sheet/ice cap (Shetland ice cap) may have dominated over the Orkney-Shetland platform (Bradwell et al., 2019). The Shetland ice cap was highly dynamic between ~21 to 18 k yr BP, including multiple ice lobe re-advances in varying flow directions associated with high calving fluxes in a marine-influenced sector (Bradwell et al., 2019) directly to the east of the study area. The marine terminating ice sheet margin retreated to near the present-day Shetland coastline between 17.0 and 16.5 k yr BP and deglaciation of the land was completed by 15 k yr BP (Bradwell et al., 2019).

#### 3. Data and methods

#### 3.1. Available data

The 546 km<sup>2</sup> dataset used for this study is a single azimuth 3D seismic reflection full-stack time-migrated volume, acquired in 2012 and provided by Siccar Point Energy (Fig. 2). The data are zero phased and the polarity convention is such that an increase in acoustic impedance is a negative number, displayed as a black trough in the seismic sections (Fig. 3-D). The sample interval is 4 milliseconds (ms), and the in-lines and cross-lines are at 12.5 m spacing, which can be assumed equal to the horizontal resolution. The dominant frequency in the section down to 100 ms below seafloor is approximately 50 Hz yielding a theoretical vertical resolution of approximately 8 m, assuming an average formation velocity of 1600 m/s and using the ¼ wavelength Rayleigh method (Kallweit and Wood, 1982; Simm and Bacon, 2014). The bathymetric map is derived from the two-way travel time (TWT) to depth converted seafloor pick, using a reference sound velocity in water of 1467 m/s.

The regional bathymetry maps are derived from multiple datasets in Petrosys PRO which includes depth raster images, gridded bathymetry data (AFEN, 2000; GEBCO, 2014; EMODnet, 2020) and bathymetric map images extracted from published studies (e.g. Long et al., 2004; Stewart and Long, 2012, 2016) geo-referenced for this study (a fuller description wit data source and references is presented in Table 1.)

Additional data, listed and described in Table 1, were used to assist the interpretation and integration with the regional geological framework (Figs. 1, 2, 4A, 12, 13 and 14).

#### 3.1.1. Chronostratigraphy

There are no chronostratigraphic constraints available within the area of the 3D survey. Therefore, ages are derived using georeferenced

maps from published works in the region (e.g. Smallwood 2004; Hohbein and Cartwright, 2006; Stewart and Long, 2012, 2016), including offshore regional reports (SEA4 report - Masson et al., 2003; BGS report -Ritchie et al., 2011), and the latest glacial reconstructions (Clark et al., 2012; Rea et al., 2018; Hall et al., 2019; Ballantyne and Small, 2019; Bradwell et al., 2019). These were supplemented with information from BGS regional sub-bottom lines and boreholes (Table 1 and Fig. 2) from outside of the 3D survey area, and associated literature (Stoker, 1995; Davison, 2004; Stoker et al., 2013).

#### 3.2. Seismic data interpretation

Interpretation of the 3D seismic data was performed using both IHS Kingdom and Open DTect software to maximise attribute analysis. Resulting maps were compiled in Petrosys PRO software.

The seafloor seismic reflection and three other significant subsurface reflections were traced by a combination of both manually and 3D auto tracking functions. A single average formation velocity of 1600 m/s was used to convert subsurface reflections from time to depth domain.

Seismic facies analysis follows techniques described by Mitchum et al. (1977).

To enhance interpretation and visualisation of seismic attributes, including root mean square (RMS) amplitude (to accentuate patterns and give indication of sediment type), dip of maximum similarity (to enhance discontinuities and edges), relative acoustic impedance (to identify changes in physical properties), shaded relief (to display digital elevation data and allow a 3D visualisation), and frequency decomposition (to highlight thickness variation within stratigraphic units and lateral continuity), were all calculated. Following the approach proposed by Posamentier et al. (2007), multiple 3D seismic interpretation techniques (e.g., surface flattening, slicing, 3D viewer and combined maps) were used and attribute extractions were performed either within constant windows, defined by the relative picked reflections or, flat windows between a specific start and end time interval. Spectral decomposition attribute analysis was carried using OpenDtect software. The frequency range within the seismic volume was screened using the 'evaluate attribute' tool on several time slices and key surfaces and enabled the quick identification of optimal frequency bands to enhance internal structure. Red-green-blue (RGB) colour blended maps were then used to delineate seismic geomorphology supporting the interpretation of depositional processes (Posamentier et al., 2007).

This integrated approach aided the detailed mapping of individual features and discontinuities observed within the 3D volume (Brown, 1996; Chopra and Marfurt, 2005). The morphological features were mapped in Petrosys PRO and organised within individual geospatial layers and were subsequently used to perform geostatistical analysis. Linear features were exported as text files and segment coordinates were used to calculate length and bearing in MS Excel. Polygon feature statistics and dimension analysis were undertaken predominantly in Petrosys PRO. Rose plots were generated using GeoRose software.

#### 4. Results

#### 4.1. Seafloor geomorphological characterisation

Based on a range of attribute characteristics (Fig. 3), the seafloor morphology was subdivided in three Seafloor Morphology Provinces (SMP): SMP1 and SMP2 over the basin, and SMP3 over the lower continental slope (Fig. 4-A).

#### 4.1.1. Seafloor morphology province 1

SMP1 (Fig. 4A) covers approximately 137  $\text{km}^2$  and is characterised by an irregular seafloor showing local gradients up to 5° (Fig. 4B) with a generally high RMS amplitude response (Fig. 4C and D).

The 'hollow' of Bulat and Long (2005) is observed in the south-west (Fig. 4). Downslope profiles show a depression up to 10 m below the



**Fig. 5.** 3D seismic reflection data visualisation showing the shallow seismic stratigraphic units and key markers across the study area. The time slice (dip of maximum similarity seismic attribute) reveals the unit 1 complex structure which is otherwise not appreciated by using only seismic facies analysis in vertical sections. Unit 1 extent, at this level, is marked by the dashed red line. The well layered Unit 2 extends over the basin area (unit 2a) and below unit 1 where this is present. Over the lower slope unit 2 (2b) exhibits a transparent upper section with one main strong shallow reflection and a prominent high amplitude negative ('hard') event characterised by a double reflection with an undulating morphology and regularly spaced crests and troughs. The crests form linear features up slope and gently turn southwest down slope. The waves extend up to 8.5 km in a general northwest/southeast to west/east direction oblique to the present-day bathymetric contours and bottom current flow regime. Inset map: Blue polygon delineates the 3D data extent; yellow polygon indicates the cropped 3D cube displayed on the right and mauve shaded area marks unit 1 extent. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

surrounding seafloor. This represents an almost totally infilled relict erosional scarp, part of the Westray hollow complex, which has been interpreted to be formed by intense bottom-current activity following the Paleogene/Neogene compressional tectonic phases (Smallwood, 2004).

A low relief channel-like feature, 8.7 km in length and 625 m in width, is observed to the east of this depression. This feature is better imaged by the seafloor dip (Fig. 3B) and RMS (Fig. 3C and D). No direct camera/sample data are available over SMP1, but immediately to the north-east, Stewart and Long (2012) indicate generally clay-gravel sediments at the seafloor.

#### 4.1.2. Seafloor morphology province 2

SMP2 (Fig. 4A) covers approximately 342.5 km<sup>2</sup> and is characterised by a seafloor that is generally smooth with gradients less than 1°. Sediment waves are identified to the north-west and west of the hollow (SMP1) with local seafloor gradients up to 3° (Fig. 4B). Along the eastern edge of this province, seafloor gradients are locally up to 12°, associated with a linear southeast/northwest oriented incision which terminates at the boundary between SMP2 and SMP1 (P1 Fig. 4). This incision, 18 m deeper than the surrounding seafloor, has a symmetrical profile in cross section and is up to 140 m in width (Fig. 4C). It also exhibits a higher seismic RMS amplitude response than the surrounding SMP2 area (Fig. 3C).

Photographs and video from 2011 and 2017 seafloor surveys (Table 1) imaged gravelly sandy-clay with coarse gravel and occasional

pebbles, cobbles, and few sparse boulders/drop stones over the region. These photographs also reveal comet marks and smaller scale comet tails composed of coarse sandy sediments developed around single boulders (Fig. 4B).

#### 4.1.3. Seafloor morphology province 3

SMP3 (Fig. 4A) covers approximately 66.5 km<sup>2</sup> and is characterised by an undulating seafloor with elongated linear bedforms parallel to the continental slope with local dip up to 6° (Fig. 3B). Stewart and Long (2012) indicate seafloor sediments comprising predominantly sandygravels in this area.

#### 4.2. Seismic stratigraphy and geomorphology

Seismic stratigraphic units 1 and 2a and 2b are identified and correlate with the seafloor morphology provinces, where unit 1 underlies SMP1, unit 2a underlies SMP2, and unit 2b underlies SMP3 (Figs. 4D and 5). The study focuses on unit 1, while unit 2 is discussed to clarify spatial geometric relationships, seismic attributes, geological context, and substrate type.

#### 4.2.1. Unit 1

Unit 1 is observed in the basin area extending approximately 20 km laterally and 12 km into the Faroe Shetland Channel. It is likely that it extends farther basinward where it thins below data resolution.

The top of this unit corresponds to the seafloor reflection while the



Fig. 6. Unit 1: A) upper part - thickness map, B) lower part - thickness map, C) total - thickness map also showing extent of paleo-hollow; D) RGB Colour blended frequency spectrum calculated at the base of unit 1 (marker 2) derived from the 3D seismic dataset. Brown to yellow colours indicate maximum thickness and purple to white indicate thinner untis. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

bottom is marked by a high amplitude irregular reflection, marker 2 (Figs. 4 to 7). This represents an unconformity, and the strong phase reversal (opposite to the seafloor reflection) indicates a sharp decrease in acoustic impedance in the underlaying unit 2 (Fig. 7D and E). In seismic sections, unit 1 exhibits a chaotic to transparent seismic facies with discontinuous low to medium amplitude internal reflections (Fig. 7). Only one additional reflection (marker 1) can be traced through the unit, which divides it into an upper and a lower part (Figs. 6 and 7). The upper part reaches a thickness of 32 m (ca. 40 ms TWT) in localised

zones within the central section of the study area (Fig. 6A), while the lower part reaches a maximum thickness of about 75 m (ca. 95 ms TWT), towards the south-west edge where the unit infills a topographic depression (Fig. 6B). Seismic sections reveal that this depression corresponds to the large bathymetric hollow observed at the seafloor within SMP1, where it is wider and extends to the south and west beyond unit 1 and is defined here as a paleo-hollow (Figs. 6C and 7C). The edges of the paleo-hollow and the undulating seafloor observed to the west are related to either unit 2 or, a thin (below seismic resolution) unit 1



Fig. 7. Top: A) Combined unit 1 basal surface depth below seafloor map (shaded relief) over southern portion of Unit 1 (see Fig. 6 for location), showing location of cross-section profiles every 500 m along the channel feature. B) and C): show two representative base unit 1 depth profiles (P10 and P20) to highlight the irregular basal morphology (colour indicates depth below seafloor). D) represents the downslope profile of channel feature (P26) which terminates against a large depression (paleo-hollow).

 $D_1$ ) Amplitude display, showing unit 1 key markers: a negative seafloor reflection marks the top of the unit, Marker 1 - Intra unit 1 negative reflection and Marker 2 strong positive reflection at its base;  $D_2$ ) same line with interpretation overlay; E) an extended basinwards seismic profile (blue rectangle marks  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  profiles extent) showing the relative acoustic impedance attribute. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



**Fig. 8.** Left: Dip of maximum similarity seismic attribute within unit 1 calculated at A) 5 ms below seafloor; B) 20 ms below a flattened seafloor; C) base unit 1; These show a complex seismic morphology. Black colour indicates maximum discontinuity. Dashed green and red arrows indicates main channel directions to the west-southwest and north-northwest respectively. Right: RGB Colour blended frequency spectrum calculated at D) the top of unit 1 (near seafloor) showing additional morphology details. White to pink areas appear to correspond with maximum thickness of unit 1 - upper part; E) Base unit 1, F) 1508 ms TWT, G) 1524 ms TWT. E, F and G show the unit 1 complex basal morphology and structure with brown to yellow colours indicating maximum thickness, purple to white indicating thinning. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



**Fig. 9.** Seismic geomorphology mapping over shaded relief unit -1 bottom surface. Features are subdivided in Group 1, with the predominant directions of Type 1 linear features towards the west-southwest and Group 2 with predominant directions towards the north-northwest. A) Rose plot highlighting the downslope dip of Type 2 linear features showing predominantly west-southwest, west-northwest and northwest orientations. The high variation in directions is due to the radial spread of these features as they diverge within the terminal fans. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



**Fig. 10.** RMS seismic amplitude response unit -1 bottom surface. A) two-dimensional map at the base of unit 1. Red lines indicate the seismic section displayed on the right; Right side B), C) and D) represent 3D views for arbitrary seismic lines showing the different RMS amplitude responses along Type 2 incisions and Type 1 channels, compared to surrounding positive relief features. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

E) 3D view seismic sections highlighting areas of high RMS amplitude response in relation to the paleo-hollow across main channel CH-6, showing that the base of unit 1 cuts directly into the older Eocene sequence in these areas.

#### (Fig. 7D).

The combined unit 1 thickness map shows a generally thinning downslope trend, with increased thickness over the central-east edge of the area (Fig. 6C).

Seismic attribute analysis of unit 1 reveals a more complex basal morphology than that observed at the seafloor (e.g. Fig. 8A versus 8C and 8D versus 8F) and highlights an articulated network of channels and lobe/fan features developed in two predominant directions, westsouthwest and north-northwest (Fig. 8C). The architecture of these features is best appreciated using dip of maximum similarity seismic attribute time slices (either flattened or un-flattened), calculated at regular time intervals within unit 1 (Fig. 8). These time slices show a mottled to blocky seismic texture, predominant in the lower part of unit 1 (Fig. 8G). RGB spectral frequency decomposition time, and surface slices, highlight internal details and connectivity of the channels, but also give an indication of unit thickness (Figs. 6D and 8D to G).

Morphological features have been mapped and subdivided into three categories (Fig. 9): 1) Type-1 linear features, 2) Type-2 linear features and 3) lobe-shaped features, defined as terminal fans (TF), located at the end of Type-1 features.

4.2.1.1. Type 1 linear features - basin channels. Type 1 linear features



**Fig. 11.** RGB colour blended frequency spectrum time slices calculated near the unit 1 basal surface: A) at 1508 ms TWT, solid white line boxes indicate the position of the zoomed images A1 and A2, dashed white line box indicates the equivalent position marked on the underlying time slice of map B); B) at 1524 ms, solid white line boxes indicate position of the zoomed image B1), dashed white line boxes indicate equivalent positions marked on the overlaying time slice of map A). These time slices show a combined seismic response due to the vertical resolution resulting from overlaying information imaged from above and beneath the base of unit 1. The colour variation enhances the articulated basin channel network and terminal fans revealing texture and edge details and improving understanding of the spatial relationship and thickness variation in response to frequencies; this seismic attribute clearly distinguishes blocky textures as well as shallow diverging Type 2 incisions. A1) shows the blocky seismic character of unit 1 where it infills the paleo-hollow (TF-7); A2) shows a younger Type 1 channel (CH-6) clearly cutting an underlying terminal fan (TF-8) and shows terminal rims at the end of the Type 2 incisions; B1) shows the characteristic fan-like pattern of the north-westernmost TFs (Group 2). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

include ten channel-like incisions (blue lines on Figs. 9 and 12) ranging between 180 m and 810 m in width and between 1500 m and 9000 m in length. These show two predominant directions; towards the west-southwest, Group 1 and north-northwest, Group 2 (Fig. 9). Group 1 channels are characterised by knick-points (CH-4 and CH-5) and significant changes in directions (CH-6) which are not seen in Group 2, but this might simply reflect limited data coverage over the slope. Channel CH-6 appears to resemble the shape of the shallow relief channel observed at seafloor within SMP 1 (Fig. 3).

The southernmost channels (CH-1 to CH-5) show a relatively lower RMS amplitude response and a smoother cross-section compared to the other channels (Fig. 10).

Channel CH-6, the most representative example of this type of feature, shows an overall downslope shallowing and a general basinward reduction in thickness of unit 1 except for the paleo-hollow area (Fig. 7). Cross-sections highlight the irregular surface at the base of channel CH-6 and its terminal fan TF-7, with paleo-topography varying vertically (< 25 m) due to the presence of linear incisions (Type 2) running along the thalweg (Fig. 7B). The channel abruptly terminates against the paleo-hollow (Fig. 7C and E). The clear arcuate paleo-hollow edge on its east flank, observed on all seismic attribute maps, relates to the surface of basal unit 1 cutting directly into older Eocene sediments (Smallwood, 2004), rather than unit 2 (Fig. 10E).

4.2.1.2. Type 2 linear features – Basin linear scouring. Type 2 features consist of a series of sub-parallel, linear incisions of low to medium relief developed within the Type 1 channels roughly following the direction of the main channel thalweg (Fig. 9). These incisions are absent, or below resolution, in the southernmost channels of Group 1, while downstream they become narrower and divergent forming a fan-like pattern (terminal fans, Fig. 9), which are described in section 4.2.1.3.

Type 2 features have an average length of 1355 m, ranging from 30 m to 7410 m, with the shortest features formed on the terminal fans and the longest ones found along the main channels. The width varies between approximately 15 m and 65 m, with the minimum detectable width limited by the 3D data resolution.

The orientation of the Type 2 incisions is predominantly westsouthwest / east-northeast (Fig. 9B) dipping downstream, consistent with the main channels (Type 1), with several features steered to the north-northwest (northern part of Group 2) and others to the westsouthwest (Group 1 and southern portion of Group 2).

Cross-sections were extracted at regular intervals of approximately 500 m along the main channel feature CH-6 and its terminal fan to show how the morphology varies downstream (see Fig. 7A for profile locations). Type 2 incisions generally have a symmetrical V-shaped cross-section, up to 12 m deeper than the adjacent surface and become more asymmetrical down the channel and shallower across the terminal fans. Within the terminal fans the RMS amplitude is generally lower than adjacent positive relief areas while it is higher within the main channels (Fig. 10).

An isolated linear incision up to 50 m wide and 10 m deep with a northwest/southeast orientation is observed on the southeast edge of Group 1 (Fig. 9). This feature is deeper than the other Type 2 incisions, it does not follow any Type 1 channel and is characterised by a low seismic amplitude response. It appears to be cut by at least three younger eastwest oriented Type 1 channels (CH1, CH2 and CH5, Fig. 11). This narrow incision can be traced up slope where it becomes shallower and visible at the present-day seafloor as part of the extensive gully system observed up slope from the study area (see section 5.2).

4.2.1.3. Distal terminal fan/lobes features. At least 16 terminal fans/ lobes (TFs) were identified at the end of Type 1 channels, with TF-1 to TF-9 related to channel Group 1 and TF-10 to TF-16 related to channel Group 2 (Fig. 9). Some of these terminal fans/lobes are partially masked by Type 1 channels, while others may represent multiple systems as their internal morphology shows a variation in Type 2 lineation directions and relief which may indicate the presence of separate TFs (e.g., younger systems overlying older ones, section 5.2.1). However, due to limited vertical resolution, they have been mapped as single terminal fans/ lobes.

Mapped terminal fans/lobes have an aerial extent ranging between 1.2 km<sup>2</sup> to 8.5 km<sup>2</sup>, while the cross-slope width varies between 0.7 km and 2.3 km. The larger ones, such as TF-8 and TF-12, extend further downslope and exhibit the greatest number of internal Type 2 incisions. RGB colour blended frequency maps (Fig. 11) reveal the fan-like pattern of the north-westernmost TFs, which comprises numerous low-relief lineations diverging in various directions associated with systems further downstream (Fig. 11B and B1). Type 2 lineations generally end in a sub-circular depression, up to 6 m deep, delimited by a small rim (Fig. 9, orange dots, and Fig. 11A2).

#### 4.2.2. Unit 2

Unit 2 is visible across the whole study area and is bounded at the top by the seafloor reflection (where unit 1 is missing), or marker 2 and, at its base, by marker 3. Unit 2 comprises unit 2a over the basin and unit 2b over the lower slope (Fig. 5). Unit 2a is partially eroded where the overlying unit 1 is present. Unit 2a consists of moderate amplitude welllayered reflections up to approximately 80 m (100 ms TWT) in thickness (Figs. 4 and 5) and unconformably overlies an older Eocene sequence (Smallwood, 2004; Stoker and Varming, 2011). Unit 2a infills the paleohollow and where it is not eroded at the top by the unit 1 surface, to the west. The well-layered acoustic character is locally variable, exhibiting basal downlap and onlap, lateral accretion, thinning and undulating subparallel reflections (Figs. 4 and 5). Over the lower slope area, unit 2b reaches up to approximately 55 m (70 ms TWT) and the bottom section is characterised by a high amplitude negative seismic ('hard') event, exhibiting in both strike and dip sections and an undulating morphology with regular spaced crests and troughs (Fig. 5). The geometry and orientation of these features form a sediment wave field, comparable to the one identified by Hohbein (2005), on high resolution seismic 3D data, approximately 126 km to the north-east (Fig. 1), within the West of Shetland Drift (Knutz and Cartwright, 2003), which is of early Pliocene age (Hohbein and Cartwright, 2006).

#### 5. Interpretation

#### 5.1. Regional framework integration

SMP1 and associated seismic unit 1 are referred here as the glacigenic basin floor fan system - unit 1 (GBFFS-unit 1) fed by a gully system above, detailed in Stewart and Long (2012). Together they represent the middle to lower continental slope and distal basin part of the Foula wedge TMF (Stoker et al., 2006; Stoker and Varming, 2011; Stewart and Long, 2012, 2016).

SMP2, SMP3, and their associated seismic units 2a (basin) and 2b (lower slope), are interpreted to represent the undifferentiated Plio-Pleistocene FSN-1 megasequence, including the West of Shetland Drift contouritic sequence (Hohbein and Cartwright, 2006; Stewart and Long, 2012; Batchelor et al., 2021; Fig. 2). Within this sequence, Hohbein (2005) identified a 1700 km<sup>2</sup> sediment wave field of Early Pleistocene age (Hohbein and Cartwright, 2006) extending along the continental slope. Considering the extent of the WSD-slope (Fig. 1), the comparable sediment wave level observed over the study area within unit 2b (refer to Fig. 5) likely represents the southern tail of this wave field. Depths below seabed of this level may vary due to the different sedimentation rates and Faroe-Shetland channel configuration.

The late glacial to post-glacial MacAulay sequence should overlie units 1 and 2 but is thin and below 3D seismic resolution (Stoker and Varming, 2011; Fig. 2).



**Fig. 12.** Regional shaded bathymetry image derived from the AFEN (2000) dataset combined with bathymetry maps from Long et al. (2004), geo-referenced and composed in PetrosysPRO (see Fig. 2 for location) versus the basal seismic morphology showing the basin development of the downslope gully system (blue polygon marks limit of the 3D seismic extent). A) Large scale seafloor basin floor fan deposits and subdivision in relation to visible lower slope gullies, numbered from northeast to southwest. Fan numbers corresponds to gully numbers that appears to have fed them last. Fans F8 and F9 can be further subdivided based on 3D seismic attribute analysis. Relationship with the underlying seismic geomorphology is shown in insets C) and D). B) Unit 1 basal surface seismic geomorphology interpretation (refer to Fig. 11) with the overlying bathymetry showing the relationship with the seafloor morphology. Dashed blue arrows represent flow lines, associated with the main Type 1 channels originating from gully G-8, while dashed purple arrows show those originating from gully G-9; C) Frequency spectral decomposition calculated at the seafloor overlying shaded relief bathymetry. The dashed yellow polygon marks the area interpreted to represent the latest stage of the unit 1 deposition. D) Dip of maximum similarity seismic attribute at unit 1 basal surface overlaying the shaded bathymetry. The large scale basin fan interpretation also shown. Light pink and light red shaded polygons indicate areas where deposits generated from G-9 and G-8 respectively are dominant. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

#### 5.2. Glacigenic basin floor fan system - Unit 1

The lower continental slope gullies and the basin floor fan system have been numbered for simplicity from northeast to southwest and GBFFS-unit 1 includes fans F8 and F9 (Fig. 12A). Fan numbers corresponds to gully numbers that appears to have fed them last. The seafloor incision observed over the eastern edge of the study area, terminating at the GBFFS-unit 1, represents the end section of downslope gully G-10 (Fig. 12A). Basin floor fans 1–7 were delineated based on the regional bathymetry alone, while 3D seismic attribute analysis (Fig. 12C



**Fig. 13.** Schematic model of the Foula wedge post LGM; the last glacially derived morphology is preserved at present-day seafloor (1 and 2) due to the low post glacial sedimentation rate; earlier phases are recorded within the GBFFS-unit 1 and at its basal surface (2a, 2b) and are interpreted based on internal architecture, seismic geomorphology, and preservation of the gully system (1). Blue circled numbers identify the same features on different views. 1) Downslope gully system - Top right: 3D view of the regional seafloor morphology and 3D seismic reflection subsurface section, top left: 3D view of the regional seafloor gradient. Bathymetry is derived from the 3D seismic, AFEN (2000) and EMODnet (2020) dataset combined with bathymetry maps images from Stewart and Long, 2012; Stewart and Long, 2016; 2) Basin fan system including GBFFS-unit 1; 2a) and 2b) seismic geomorphology details within GBFFS-unit 1: examples of frequency spectrum decomposition showing complex basin fan architecture with distributary channels/terminal fans. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

and D) allowed differentiation of basin fan F9 from F8.

The fan boundaries show a general lateral overlapping trend to the southwest with F1, developed from gully G-1, and partially covered by younger systems emanating from gullies G-2, G-3, G-4, and G-6 (fans shaded in orange in Fig. 12A). Gully G-6 bifurcates at the slope-break and feeds two basin fans, F6' and F6", with the former being the older (Fig. 12A). The oldest basin fans were likely fed from gullies G-5, G-7 and G-10, now masked by the relatively younger fans (F4, F6 and F9), while the youngest basin fan (F8) is developed from gully G-8 (Fig. 12A).

## 5.2.1. Correlation between seafloor and unit 1 basal morphology: Sedimentation patterns

The bathymetry shows poorly defined shallow channels cutting the crests of the basin floor fans (Figs. 8A, D and 12C), including GBFFS-unit 1, giving the appearance of levée-style construction (Davison, 2004; Stewart and Long, 2012). Results from this study reveal for the first time the basal geomorphology and internal architecture of the GBFFS-unit 1 which, combined with frequency spectral decomposition calculated at the seafloor, show a far more detailed and complex structure than previously described (Figs. 8 and 12). This is characterised by an articulated channel network (Type 1), sublinear incisions (Type 2), and numerous terminal fans/lobes (TFs).

Type 1 channels, CH1 to CH5, observed within GBFFS-unit 1 relate to the basin fan F9 developed from gully G-9 and crosscut the basin extension of gully G-10; while Type 1 channels CH6 to CH10 appear to

develop from gully G-8 (Fig. 12B) and form basin fan F8.

Seismic sections across the paleo-hollow show a multi-phase infill by contouritic sediments of unit 2a (Smallwood, 2004). Subsequently channel CH-6, the youngest feeder channel, eroded and partially infilled the paleo-hollow (Fig. 10). The abrupt change in paleo-topography (Fig. 7) likely confined and accelerated the flow within the paleo-hollow, favouring basal erosion of the contouritic deposits (unit 2a) which was subsequently followed by accumulation and infilling. The blocky seismic texture observed on time slices (Fig. 11A1) could be associated with the presence of mud clasts, generated during the basal erosion (Haughton et al., 2003; Talling, 2013; Peakall et al., 2020) or due to the heterogeneous nature of the material transported by the gravity flow (CH-6) i.e. iceberg rafted debris, including boulders/drop-stones as observed at present-day seafloor (Fig. 4A).

The degree of basal erosion is also strongly related to the sediment composition of the substrate (Posamentier and Kolla, 2003; Peakall et al., 2020), which is reflected on the amplitude seismic response within the GBFFS-unit 1 (Fig. 10A). A high RMS response is interpreted to be associated with coarser infill or the presence of the more competent substrate of the underlaying Eocene sequence (Smallwood, 2004; Stoker and Varming, 2011), east of the paleo-hollow (Fig. 10E). The basal surface marks a decrease in relative acoustic impedance (Fig. 7E), suggesting a transition to a more coherent and cohesive clay/fine grained unit underneath, which is in agreement with the contouritic nature of unit 2a (Stoker and Varming, 2011). Alternatively and in areas where it

cuts directly the Eocene sequence, it may indicate over compaction at the basal surface within the overlying GBFFS-unit 1, as seen on masstransport deposits (Shipp et al., 2004; Maselli and Kneller, 2018), and/or presence of coarser grained basal lag deposits as sampled at the base of the Pleistocene section at borehole 99/3, located ~51 km south west over the Rona wedge debris flow lobes (Davison, 2004; BGS log -Table 1 and Fig. 2 for location). The latter explanation would account for the imprint of type 2 incisions which resemble groove-like features widely observed at the base of sand/sandstone layers in deep-water successions (Dzułynski and Sanders, 1962; Ricci Lucchi, 1969; Smith, 1972).

The basal reflection is therefore interpreted as a diachronous surface which shows distinct and well-defined acoustic patterns related to multiple phases of cut and fill. The apparent lack of well-defined basal infill deposits (either fine- or coarse-grained sediments) directly above this prominent reflection is probably due to the limited vertical resolution, and consequent tuning effects, of the 3D seismic dataset (Fig. 10E). The absence or reduced thickness of fine-grained basal deposits could be also attributed to winnowing of finer sediments by bottom currents enhanced during interglacial or warmer periods (Stoker, 1995; Weaver et al., 2000; Knutz and Cartwright, 2003; Knutz and Cartwright, 2004; Long et al., 2004; Davison, 2004; Hohbein and Cartwright, 2006; Long et al., 2011). In the Faroe Shetland Channel bottom currents have flowed south westerly since the Early Pliocene (Hohbein and Cartwright, 2006) and may have also promoted the southwest deflection observed in some of the Type 1 channels (green arrows in Fig. 8 C).

#### 6. Discussion

#### 6.1. Glacigenic basin floor fan system - Unit 1 internal architecture

The Faroe Shetland Channel basin floor fans are part of a system which has migrated at both local and regional scales over time. Within the study area, the two main fans F8 and F9, forming GBFFS-unit 1 (Fig. 12A), developed from two (G-8 and G-9) of the ten downslope gullies observed over the lower continental slope (Fig. 12B). Gully G-8 likely represents the last active conduit feeding fan F8 (Fig. 12C and D). In particular the area of F8 is marked by a distinct frequency spectrum decomposition response at the seabed (Fig. 12C), which indicates an increased thickness compared to the adjacent areas as part of the upper part of GBFFS-unit 1.

Cross-cutting (Type 1) channels indicate that deposits derived from the westernmost gully G-10 are masked by the imprint of the more recent events associated with gullies G-8 and G-9.

The architecture of the GBFFS-unit 1 is reminiscent of a turbidite channel-lobe system (Fig. 13). For example, the terminal fans/lobes geometry is similar in appearance to the distal fringes of the Mississippi fan hybrid dendritic channel system (Talling et al., 2010, 2012) and in the northeast they show multiple generations of diverging incisions (Type 2) with at least four back-stepping phases (Figs. 11B and 13). This retrograding stacking pattern is common to distal turbidite basin lobes like the Niger delta submarine fan (Zhang et al., 2016). The Type 2 incisions, however, also resemble the feather-like pattern identified within the North Sea Fan glacigenic debris flow deposits (Gafeira, 2009), recently re-interpreted as channel-levee deposits within a glacigenic turbidite system (Bellwald et al., 2020). Comparable linear erosional features interpreted as divergent internal furrows (here Type 2) and a series of stacked frond-likes lobes (here TFs) are observed on the mid-Norwegian paleo-slope within the mid/late Pleistocene glacigenic sequence of the Naust formation (Montelli et al., 2018). Montelli et al. (2018) interpreted the frond-shaped features as debris flow deposits developed from turbidity currents and proposed that the variation in shape and location of these deposits is related to sediment mechanical properties and local changes in bathymetry.

The GBFFS-unit 1 also has features diagnostic of other gravity-flow deposits such as a mass transport complex (Bull et al., 2009; Gafeira,

2009) or low sinuosity deep-water channelised debris flows (Posamentier and Kolla, 2003). This poses the question of what were the sediment delivery processes responsible for such complex architecture.

The narrow incisions (Type 2) observed within the main distributary channels (Type 1) are interpreted as groove scours generated by individual large clasts being transported in high energy clast-rich gravity flows (Dzułynski and Sanders, 1962; Ricci Lucchi, 1969; Allen, 1984). This appears to have been the dominant process active at that point in the development of the deep-water GBFFS-unit 1. As the linear incisions diverge downstream forming terminal fans/lobes (Fig. 11) and become progressively less incised, it is possible to infer a basinward transition towards partially unconfined flows, as proposed for diverging grooves observed at the base of debris flow-deposits offshore Indonesia (Posamentier and Kolla, 2003).

#### 6.2. Downslope sedimentation processes and deep-water deposits

Basin deposits, like the GBFFS-unit 1, are rarely investigated and are generally defined as stacked debris flow fan/lobes or channel-levee deposits. They exhibit a chaotic seismic character on sub-bottom profiler data and a hummocky top surface (Nygård et al., 2007; Stoker and Varming, 2011; Stewart and Long, 2012, 2016; Fransner et al., 2018). Using high quality 3D seismic data, similar to other studies in the Barents Sea (Laberg et al., 2010) and Norwegian regions (Gafeira, 2009; Montelli et al., 2018), this study has shown that these deposits may have an internal structure which can be used to infer the depositional processes.

A multi-generation debris floor fan system was discussed by Stewart and Long (2012, 2016), and this study shows that at least two of the downslope Gullies, G-8 and G-9, diverged into large cross-cutting distributary channels (Type 1) observed at the base of GBFFS-unit 1 and were previously unidentified (Fig. 12B and D). Each gully has acted as the primary downslope conduit multiple times before the bulk of the sediment delivery switched to another gully. The GBFFS-unit 1 basal surface, revealed here in unprecedented detail, is interpreted as the result of the erosive action by multiple gravity-driven flows, not necessarily debris flows or turbidites, and represents a diachronous surface resulting from a combination of several events. Diamictic glacigenic debris-flow deposits (like those encountered at borehole 85/1 [BGS, 1991, borehole log BGS 1985]) which formed the upper slope of the Foula wedge TMF were initially incised by gravity-driven flows. Downslope, these flows remained erosive due to the relatively steeper lower slope and less resistant substrate, consisting of cohesive fine grained contouritic sediments of the West of Shetland Drift -slope deposits (Figs. 2 and 13; Hohbein and Cartwright, 2006; Stewart and Long, 2012). This interpretation is supported by the downslope gully morphology: low sinuosity, with U-shaped low angle flanks in the upper/mid slope, becoming narrower and steeper, V-shaped, in the lower slope (Stewart and Long, 2012). A number of gullies converge downslope rather than branching out (G4 and G6). This is opposite to observations on other high latitude TMFs where gullies usually disappear/branch out in the mid slope (Gales et al., 2013a, 2013b) or transform into large, low energy turbiditic channels distally (Noormets et al., 2009; Pedrosa et al., 2011; Gales et al., 2013b; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2018; Fransner et al., 2018; Newton et al., 2021). It also contrasts the classic models of debris flows decelerating downslope forming lobes and transforming into lower density turbidity currents across the basin. The substrate lithology and the relatively high gradient of the lower slope have controlled development of the gully system (Fig. 13), the dynamics of the subsequent gravity-driven flows and the formation of the whole basin floor fan system. As these confined high energy density flows reached the basin, they still had the capacity for widespread channelised erosion (Type 1), before eventually decelerating (within the terminal fan/lobes) and gradually transforming into more dilute flows.

The deposits forming the GBFFS-unit 1 show a high degree of vertical and lateral variability which is inferred to be similar across the rest of



Fig. 14. Conceptual depositional model of the stratigraphic and glaciological evolution of the Foula wedge-TMF showing a shift in sediment delivery processes (building on Stoker, 1995, Davison, 2004; Hohbein and Cartwright, 2006; Stoker and Varming, 2011; Stewart and Long, 2012; Ballantyne and Small, 2019; Bradwell et al., 2019). Blue circled letters identify the same features on section and map views. Stage 0: Pre LGM setting dominated by along-slope currents (contour-currents) with unknown glacigenic input; Stage 1: classic GDF dominated TMF building over the upper slope; Stage 2: significant influence of meltwater pulse discharges soon after the LGM, associated with frequent ice margin fluctuations and the unusual dynamics of this margin alternating to increase/decrease the GDF and contour-current activity. This results in a complex downslope gully and basin fan system controlled by paleo-morphology and substrate composition; Stage 3: The last ice recession is dominated by meltwater generated sediment-rich gravity flows and turbid-surface plumes. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)





the basin fan system (F1 to F6, Fig. 12A). This variability is a function of the gravity flows also reworking sediments deposited by ice-rafting, bottom currents and late suspension settling. This interpretation

agrees with the lithofacies analysis from well BH 99/3 on lower slope lobes of the neighbouring Rona wedge (Davison, 2004, BGS, 1999). In summary, GBFFS-unit 1 is interpreted to consist of: 1) relatively older lag deposits/debrites generated by either a) multiple independent long runout gravity-driven flows; b) multiple debritic pulses similar to linked debrites in fluvial systems (Haughton et al., 2003, 2009; Talling et al., 2012; Peakall et al., 2020); 2) turbiditic channelised/lobe deposits derived from longitudinal gravity flow transformation, as described in many classic Pleistocene TMFs (e.g. Pedrosa et al., 2011; Laberg et al., 2018; O', Cofaigh et al., 2013, Ó, Cofaigh et al., 2018) and the ancient Bolla Bollana TMF in South Australia (Le Heron et al., 2014); 3) the youngest deposits are from lower energy turbidites/plume suspension settling forming the upper part (F8 in Fig. 12C). While short offset reprocessing of the 3D dataset could improve resolution and imaging details, thus allowing further refinement of this interpretation, borehole/core samples will be required for ground truthing.

## 6.3. Evolution of the Foula Wedge basin floor fan system and implications for glaciated margin development

Existing geological information combined with the results from this study, on sediment delivery processes that influenced the development of the Foula wedge basin floor fan, can be summarised as follows (Fig. 13): a) an upper slope comprising stacked lobate glacigenic debris flows; b) a mid slope sediment bypass zone; c) a lower slope erosional zone where gravity-driven flows incise low sinuosity gullies up to 25 km in length; d) a transition to the basin floor with sufficient gradient to sustain high-density flows carrying clast-rich sediments to the fan system in the basin.

The next sections tentatively link these observations to the existing chronology, wider paleoglacial reconstructions and regional geological framework (Figs. 13 and 14).

#### 6.3.1. Stage 0 - Pre last glacial maximum

Existing reconstructions indicate that extensive shelf-wide glaciation by the British Irish ice sheet may have started at near the beginning of the Pleistocene (Rea et al., 2018). Glacially derived sediments formed prograding wedges 50–200 m thick repeatedly during subsequent glacial cycles (Stoker, 1995; Hohbein and Cartwright, 2006; Stoker and Varming, 2011).

The pre-LGM slope and basin sequence is interpreted to consist of predominately contouritic and glaciomarine sediments of the Plio / Pleistocene Morrison 1 and lower Morrison 2 in the mid/upper slope (Stoker and Varming, 2011) and the West of Shetland Drift in the lower slope and basin (Hohbein and Cartwright, 2006; Stoker and Varming, 2011; Batchelor et al., 2021; Fig. 14).

#### 6.3.2. Stage 1 - Last glacial maximum

This portion of the margin is traditionally interpreted as the confluence zone between the British-Irish and the Fennoscandian ice sheets which reached the shelf edge approximately 26–25 k yr BP during the LGM (Bendixen et al., 2018; Clark et al., 2018; Rea et al., 2018; Hall et al., 2019; Ballantyne and Small, 2019; Bradwell et al., 2019). At this time, the upper to mid slope was probably dominated by the emplacement of massive diamicton deposits from glacigenic debris flows forming classic lobate structures over the upper to mid slope of the Foula wedge (Stoker, 1995; Stoker and Varming, 2011; Stewart and Long, 2012, 2016; Bradwell et al., 2019). This constructional phase of shelf-margin development is interpreted as a GDF-dominated TMF (Fig. 14).

#### 6.3.3. Stage 2 - Post last glacial maximum

Following the shelf-wide LGM glaciation, ice mass reduction led to disconnection of the BIIS and FIS between 23 and 21 k yr BP. This promoted the development of the dynamic Shetland ice cap, which experienced numerous ice lobe advances and ice divide reconfigurations (cross-cutting flow directions), between 23 and 20 k yr BP (Bradwell et al. (2019). The most extensive advances that, neared the shelf break, produced GDFs on the upper continental slope which alternated with

meltwater-driven sedimentation farther down the continental slope originating when the ice margin was more distal to the shelf break (Fig. 14). Subsequently, the continental slope became dominated by erosional rather than depositional processes, with high energy gravitydriven flows constrained within gullies enabling them to reach the foot of the slope and travel across the basin. Flows within the gullies comprised heterogenous sediments, derived from the upper slope diamicton (GDF), with material from iceberg rafting and suspension settling and possibly mud clasts derived from basal erosion of both lower slope and basin contouritic sequences (unit 2a and 2b).

#### 6.3.4. Stage 3 - Final ice-sheet/cap recession

A distinct meltwater pulse at  $\sim$ 19 k yr BP has been proposed which ties in with a rapid retreat of the marine terminating margin of the Shetland ice cap (Sejrup et al., 2016; Clark et al., 2018; Hjelstuen et al., 2018; Ballantyne and Small, 2019; Bradwell et al., 2019). Due to the paleo-topography, this deglaciation phase was possibly accelerated at the Foula Bight, directly up slope from the study area (Davison, 2004; Stoker and Varming, 2011, Fig. 2). The overdeepened Papa Basin linked to the Foula Bight embayment, a zone of deeper water deflecting the shelf break approximately 10 km landward (Davison, 2004, Fig. 2), would have facilitated higher ice flux and a draw-down of the ice surface thus directing the sediment-rich subglacial meltwater discharge. Periodically these were of sufficient density to initiate the high energy gravity flows responsible for the development of the youngest downslope gullies, which, as indicated by Stewart and Long (2012), can be traced back into GDF lobes deposits of the upper slope Foula Wedge. These gravity flows together with iceberg rafting became the dominant sediment delivery process to the basin (Fig. 14 stage 3).

Ultimately, both slope and basin deposits were covered by the  $\sim$ 5-mthick McAulay sequence consisting of ice-rafted and finer sediments derived by suspension settling from meltwater plumes and hemipelagic rainout. These were continuously reworked by the action of strong bottom currents (Masson, 2001; Stoker and Varming, 2011; Stewart and Long, 2012) as part of the late glacial to post-glacial deposition.

#### 6.3.5. Wider implications

According to the model presented here, at the LGM, the Foula Wedge is initially a classic GDF-dominated TMF system (Fig. 14, stage 1), building out the glacier fed sediment depocenter beyond the shelf edge (Vorren et al., 1989, Vorren and Laberg, 1997, Type 1 TMF from Pope et al., 2018). Subsequently, it becomes influenced by meltwater driven density flows associated with frequent ice margin fluctuations (Fig. 14, stage 2), which entrain sediments as they move down the continental slope and favoured long run out gravity-driven flows, similar to the Type 3 TMF (Pope et al., 2018) including the Kveithola TMF, Barents Sea (Lucchi et al., 2013).

Stage 2 marks a transfer of sediment deposition to the basin with a non-depositional/sediment by-pass zone in the mid slope (Fig. 14, stages 2 and 3). This change in erosional-depositional style may be related to the density of flows discharged across the shelf-break, being a function of meltwater and sediment volumes which varied in response to the ice sheet/ice cap dynamics (Laberg et al., 2018; Pope et al., 2018). It may also reflect more localised conditions, for example an ice margin undergoing changes due to increased calving rates, fluctuating ice margins, meltwater discharge and ice divide migrations (Stoker, 1995; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014; Dowdeswell et al., 2016) which would fit with the ice sheet/cap fluctuations in the region (Bradwell et al., 2019).

Seafloor morphological differences with the relatively larger adjacent Rona wedge system (Fig. 2), also suggest that the local paleo-slope morphology, substrate composition and the shelf configuration may have had a significant impact on subglacial water-flow paths (Clark et al., 2012; Dowdeswell et al., 2016; Stewart and Long, 2016), and consequently on the development of the gully and basin floor fan systems.

During Stage 3, when the ice margin is more distal to the shelf break,

the Foula bight embayment focuses ice and meltwater flux allowing the gravity flows, which can still erode the slope and reach the basin floor, to become the dominant sediment delivery process. The presence of cohesive contouritic deposits over the lower slope, combined with the slightly higher slope gradient, has favoured the erosion of the narrow gullies and extension of the Type 1 channels down to the basin. Based on the features identified within GBFFS-unit 1, it appears that each gully may have acted as the main downslope pathway multiple times. This doesn't exclude the possibility that a gully could have continued to be an open conduit for lower energy flows while new gullies were initiated by higher energy flows elsewhere.

This work demonstrates the heterogeneity and dynamic nature of TMFs and highlights that local factors, such as substrate composition, paleo-slope morphology, and bottom current strength, can significantly influence the behaviour of gravity flows and their deposits.

Evidence from the basin floor fans suggests a transition in sediment delivery processes controlled by meltwater-driven sedimentation, which impact the large scale and long-term evolution of TMFs. Borehole data (85/01 logs in Stoker, 1995) also indicated that gravity flow deposits are separated by units associated to along slope sedimentation, reflecting reduced glacial sediment supply to the slope and interpreted here to relate to dynamics of the ice margin, for example retreat or ice divide migration leading to reduced ice flux/iceberg calving or redirected subglacial meltwater discharge. The important role of meltwater inputs identified here agrees with others TMF studies in both mid and high latitudes, for example on the Laurentian fan (Piper et al., 2007), the Disko fan (Ó, Cofaigh et al., 2018), and the North Sea fan (Bellwald et al., 2020).

#### 7. Conclusions

- 1) 3D seismic reflection data have allowed an improved understanding of a glacigenic basin floor fan system (GBFFS-unit 1) that, together with the associated downslope gully system, forms part of the distal Foula wedge TMF, offshore West of Shetland. Seismic geomorphology reveals a subsurface distributary channel network and terminal fans resembling a deep-water turbidite lobe/channel-levee system. However, the presence of features, such as groove scours, and a distinctive blocky seismic texture, suggests that long runout debris flow/cohesive gravity flow processes operated over the basin area of the fans. This indicates a more complex depositional system than previously thought.
- 2) Integration with regional information shows that this portion of the basin fan system was fed by two of the main mid/lower-slope gullies. Both the basin fans and gullies are part of the Foula wedge TMF. The period, soon after the LGM was characterised by numerous ice margin fluctuations including rapid retreats and re-advances. It is suggested that gravity driven flows were initiated by sediment charged meltwater, which varied as function of the dynamics of the combined British-Irish and Fennoscandian ice sheets and the subsequent independent Shetland ice cap, along with the local shelf configuration and paleo-slope gradient and composition.
- 3) It is proposed that sedimentation was dominated by GDFs during the LGM. Subsequently, frequent meltwater discharge pulses generated gravity driven flows down the slope, which eroded and transported sediment. Iceberg rafting and variations in bottom currents added to the sedimentary processes dominating the Foula wedge TMF evolution soon after the LGM. The relatively high gradient of the lower slope and presence of pre-LGM contouritic deposits have facilitated clast-rich gravity flows to remain erosive and confined within deeply incised gullies to the bottom of the slope and across the basin.
- 4) The GBFFS-unit 1 clearly demonstrates the heterogeneity of basin floor fan deposits and provides new insights on the deep-water sedimentation processes and patterns operating on the paleo-slope/ basin environment associated with a mid-latitude TMF.

#### Data availability

The 3D seismic reflection data used in this study have been provided by Siccar Point Energy under a non-disclosure agreement between Siccar Point Energy and the University of Aberdeen. This dataset is not publicly accessible, so please contact the Authors to obtain information on how to access the data. Seafloor photograph presented in Fig. 4B is courtesy of Siccar Point Energy as part of the survey data package provided by Fugro (2017). AFEN (2000) bathymetry data for this study were obtained via Fugro GB (North) Marine Limited in 2019. EMODnet Bathymetry is Open Source at: http://www.emodnet-bathymetry.eu. Boreholes and sub-bottom profiles from the British Geological Survey (BGS) are available under Open Government Licence at GeoIndex Offshore | BGS, Interactive map at http://mapapps2.bgs.ac. uk/geoindex\_offshore/home.html?\_ga

= 2.227456346.671481726.1639228033 - 183223392.1589786690 #.

Glacial landforms used in Figs. 1 and 2 are Open Source at BRITICE Glacial Map v2.0 Interactive Map at https://shefuni.maps.arcgis. com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=fd78b03a74bb477c906c 5d4e0ba9abaf.

Regional topography presented in Fig. 1 is provided by EMODnet Bathymetry Consortium 2020. Bathymetric data presented in Figs. 2A, 12, 13 and 14 are derived from a combination of multiple sources, which have been geo-referenced and composed in PetrosysPRO: 1) the seafloor horizon extracted from the 3D seismic reflection data provided by Siccar Point Energy, 2) AFEN (2000) dataset, 3) EMODnet (2020) dataset, 4) Bathymetry map images extracted from Long et al. (2004) and Stewart and Long (2016).

The geoprofile presented in Fig. 2B is extrapolated and exported from bathymetry data using PetrosysPRO and subsurface interpretation is after Stoker (1995), Davison (2004), Smallwood (200), and Stoker and Varming (2011).

For all data listed above, excluding the 3D seismic dataset, refer to Table 1 for further details on data type and source links.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

All the authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Acknowledgments

We acknowledge and thank Siccar Point Energy for permission to use and present their 3D seismic reflection and well data. We are thankful to Vela Software International, IHS, and Schlumberger for granting access to their interpretation and visualisation software Petrosys PRO, Kingdom Suite, and Petrel, respectively. Thanks to Ben Kneller for his interest and insightful discussion on turbidite versus debris flow processes. The authors would like to thank the Editor Michele Rebesco and two anonymous reviewers who helped improve the quality of the manuscript.

S. Caruso has been supported by the University of Aberdeen Elphinstone PhD scholarship. V. Maselli acknowledges support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant (RGPIN-2020–04461).

#### References

- Allen, J.R.L., 1984. Sedimentary Structures: Their Character and Physical Basis. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Atlantic Frontier Environmental Network AFEN, 2000. Environmental Surveys of the Seafloor of the UK Atlantic Margin [CDROM]; ISBN 09538399–0-7. Now Discontinued. Relevant Bathymetry Data for this Study were Obtained via Fugro GB (North) Marine Limited in 2019.
- Ballantyne, C.K., Small, D., 2019. The Last Scottish Ice Sheet. Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 110, pp. 93–131.
- Batchelor, C.L., Dowdeswell, J.A., 2014. The physiography of High Arctic cross-shelf troughs. Quat. Sci. Rev. 92, 68–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. quascirev.2013.05.025.

S. Caruso et al.

Batchelor, C.L., Dowdeswell, J.A., 2015. Ice-sheet grounding-zone wedges (GZWs) on high-latitude continental margins. Mar. Geol. 363, 65–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.margeo.2015.02.001.

Batchelor, C.L., Dowdeswell, J.A., Rignot, E., 2017. Submarine landforms reveal varying rates and styles of deglaciation in North-West Greenland fjords. Mar. Geol. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2017.08.003.

Batchelor, C.L., Bellwald, B., Planke, S., Ottesen, D., Henriksen, S., Myklebust, R., Johansen, A.E., Dowdeswell, J.A., 2021. Glacial, fluvial and contour-current-derived sedimentation along the northern North Sea margin through the Quaternary. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 566, 116966 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2021.116966.

Bellwald, B., Planke, S., Piasecka, E.D., Matar, M.A., Andreassen, K., 2018. Ice-stream dynamics of the SW Barents Sea revealed by high-resolution 3D seismic imaging of glacial deposits in the Hoop area. Mar. Geol. 402, 165–183. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.margeo.2018.03.002.

Bellwald, B., Planke, S., Becker, L.W.M., Myklebust, R., 2020. Meltwater sediment transport as the dominating process in mid-latitude trough mouth fan formation. Nat. Commun. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18337-4.

Bendixen, C., Lamb, R.M., Huuse, M., Boldreel, L.O., Jensen, J.B., Clausen, O.R., 2018. Evidence for a grounded ice sheet in the Central North Sea during the early Middle Pleistocene Donian Glaciation. J. Geol. Soc. 175, 291–307. https://doi.org/10.1144/ jgs2017-073.

Bjarnadóttir, L.R., Winsborrow, M.C.M., Andreassen, K., 2014. Deglaciation of the Central Barents Sea. Quat. Sci. Rev. 92, 208–226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. quascirev.2013.09.012.

Bradwell, T., Small, S., Fabel, D., Clark, C.D., Chiverrell, R.C., Saher, M.H., Dove, D., Callard, S.L., Burke, M.J., Moreton, S.G., Madialdea, A., Batema, D., Roberts, D.H., Golledge, N.R., Finlayson, A., Morgan, S., Cofaigh, C.Ó., 2019. Pattern, style and timing of British-Irish Ice Sheet retreat: Shetland and northern North Sea sector. J. Quat. Sci. 1–42. https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.3163.

Bradwell, T., Stoker, M.S., Golledge, N.R., Wilson, C.K., Merritt, J.W., Long, D., Everest, J.D., Hestvik, O.B., Stevenson, A.G., Hubbard, A.L., Finlayson, A.G., Mathers, H.E., 2008. The northern sector of the last British Ice Sheet: maximum extent and demise. Earth Science Reviews 88 (3–4), 207–226. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.earscirev.2008.01.008.

British Geology Survey, 1991. Foula 60 N - 04 W, Quaternary Geology Sheet, 1:250000 Series.

Brown, A.R., 1996. Interpretation of Three-Dimensional Seismic Data. AAPG Memoir 42, SEG Investigation in Geophysics, p. 9.

Bulat, J., Long, D., 2001. Images of the seabed in the Faroe-Shetland Channel from commercial 3D seismic data. Marine Geophysical Researches 22, 345–367.

Bulat, J., Long, D., 2005. Images of debris fans and other deep-sea sediments on the sea bed of the Faroe-Shetland Channel based on 3D seismic data. Scott. J. Geol. 41, 81–86. https://doi.org/10.1144/sjg41010081.

Bull, S., Cartwright, J., Huuse, M., 2009. A review of kinematic indicators from masstransport complexes using 3D seismic data. Mar. Pet. Geol. 26 (2009), 1132–1151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2008.09.011.

Chopra, S., Marfurt, K.J., 2005. Seismic Attributes – a historical perspective. Geophysics 70 (5), 3SO–28SO, 32 FIGS. https://doi.org/10.1190/1.2098670.

Clark, C.D., Ely, J.C., Greenwood, S.L., Hughes, A.L.C., Meehan, R., Barr, I.D., Bateman, M.D., Bradwell, T., Doole, J., Evans, D.J.A., Jordan, C.J., Monteys, X., Pellicer, X.M., Sheehy, M., 2018. BRITICE Glacial Map, Version 2: a Map and GIS Database of Glacial Landforms of the Last British–Irish Ice Sheet. Boreas. https://doi. org/10.1111/bor.12273 (Interactive map available at: BRITICE Glacial Map v2.0 (arcgis.com) and data download from: https://www.sheffield.ac.uk/geography/ research/projects/britice. Accessed in 2020).

Clark, C.D., Hughes, A.L.C., Greenwood, S.L., Jordan, C., Sejrup, 2012. Pattern and timing of retreat of the last British-Irish Ice Sheet. Quaternary Science Reviews 44, 112–146.

Davison, S., 2004. Reconstructing the Last Pleistocene (Late Devensian) Glaciation on the Continental Margin of Northwest Britain. Ph.D. Thesis. The University of Edinburgh.Dowdeswell, J.A., Elverhoi, A., 2002. The timing of initiation of fast-flowing ice streams

during a glacial cycle inferred from glacimarine sedimentation. Mar. Geol. 188, 3–14.

Dowdeswell, J.A., Canals, M., Jakobsson, M., Todd, B.J., Dowdeswell, E.K., Hogan, K.A., 2016. The variety and distribution of submarine glacial landforms and implications for ice-sheet reconstruction. In: Atlas of Submarine Glacial Landforms: Modern, Quaternary and Ancient. Geological Society, London, Memoirs, 46, pp. 519–552. https://doi.org/10.1144/M46.183.

Dzułynski, S., Sanders, J.E., 1962. Current marks on firm mud bottoms. Trans. Connecticut Acad. Arts Sci. 42, 57–96.

Ellis, D., Stoker, M.S., 2014. The Faroe-Shetland Basin: A regional perspective from the Paleocene to the present day and its relationship to the opening of the North Atlantic Ocean. In: Cannon, S.J.C., Ellis, D. (Eds.), Hydrocarbon Exploration to Exploitation West of Shetlands. London, Special Publications, Geological Society, p. 397. https:// doi.org/10.1144/SP397.1.

European Marine Observation and Data Network (EMODnet) Portal, EMODnet Bathymetry Consortium, . EMODnet Digital Bathymetry (DTM). https://emodnet.ec. europa.eu/en/bathymetry. https://doi.org/10.12770/bb6a87dd-e579-4036-abe1e649cea9881a. https://portal.emodnet-bathymetry.eu/ (Accessed in 2020).

Fransner, O., Noormets, R., Flink, A.E., Hogan, K.A., Dowdeswell, J.A., 2018. Sedimentary processes on the continental slope off Kvitøya and Albertini troughs north of Nordaustlandet, Svalbard – the importance of structural geological setting in trough-mouth fan development. Mar. Geol. 402, 194–208. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.margeo.2017.10.008.

Fugro GB (North) Marine Limited, 2011. Cambo Environmental Survey UKCS Block 204/ 05 Report No. 00650V2.0 (Confidential). Fugro GB (North) Marine Limited, 2017. Still Images and Video Files (code 179260) Including Logs from the Environmental Site Investigation Survey Carried Out Around 204/10a-5 Well (Confidential).

Gafeira, J., 2009. Submarine Mass Movement Processes on the North Sea fan as Interpreted from 3D Seismic Data. Ph.D. Thesis. The University of Edinburgh.

Gales, J.A., Larter, R.D., Mitchell, N.C., Dowdeswell, J.A., 2013a. Geomorphic signature of Antarctic submarine gullies: Implications for continental slope processes. Mar. Geol. 337, 112–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2013.02.003.

Gales, J.A., Forwick, M., Laberg, J.S., Vorren, T.O., Larter, R.D., Graham, A.G.C., Baeten, N.J., Amundsen, H.B., 2013b. Arctic and Antarctic submarine gullies - A comparison of high latitude continental margins. Geomorphology 201, 449–461. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.07.018.

Gales, J.A., Leat, P.T., Larter, R.D., Kuhn, G., Hillenbrand, C.-D., Graham, A.G.C., Mitchell, N.C., Tate, A.J., Buys, G.B., Jokat, W., 2014. Large-scale submarine landslides, channel and gully systems on the southern Weddell Sea margin. Antarctica. Mar. Geol. 348, 73–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2013.12.002.

Hall, Mar, Merritt, J.W., Connell, E.R., Hubbard, A., 2019. Early and Middle Pleistocene environments, landforms and sediments in Scotland. Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh 110, 5–37.

Hardman, J., Schofield, N., Jolley, D., Hartley, A., Holford, S., Watson, D., 2019. Controls on the distribution of volcanism and intra-basaltic sediments in the Cambo–Rosebank region, West of Shetland. Pet. Geosci. 25, 71–89. https://doi.org/ 10.1144/petgeo2017-061.

Haughton, P.D., Barker, S.P., McCaffrey, W.D., 2003. 'Linked' debrites in sand-rich turbidite systems-origin and significance. Sedimentology 50, 459–482. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-3091.2003.00560.x.

Haughton, P., Davis, C., McCaffrey, W., Barker, S., 2009. Hybrid sediment gravity flow deposits – Classification, origin and significance. Mar. Pet. Geol. 26, 1900–1918. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2009.02.012.

Hjelstuen, B.O., Sejrup, H.P., Valvik, E., Becker, L.W.M., 2018. Evidence of an icedammed lake outburst in the North Sea during the last deglaciation. Mar. Geol. 402, 118–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2017.11.021.

Hohbein, M., 2005. Cenozoic Countorite Drifts and Palaeoceanographic Development of the Faeroe-Shetland Basin. PhD Thesis. Cardiff University.

Hohbein, M., Cartwright, J., 2006. 3D seismic analysis of the West Shetland Drift system: Implications for late Neogene palaeoceanography of the NE Atlantic. Mar. Geol. 230, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2006.03.009.

Kallweit, R.S., Wood, L.C., 1982. The limits of resolution of zero-phase wavelets. Geophysics 47 (7), 1035–1046.

Knutz, P.C., Cartwright, J.A., 2003. Seismic stratigraphy of the West Shetland Drift: Implications for late Neogene paleocirculation in the Faeroe-Shetland gateway. Paleoceanography. 18 (4), 1093.

Knutz, P.C., Cartwright, J.A., 2004. 3D anatomy of late Neogene contourite drifts and associated mass flows in the Faroe-Shetland Basin. In: Cartwright, J.A., Stewart, S.A., Lappin, M., Underhill, J.R. (Eds.), 2004.3D Seismic Technology: Application to the Exploration of Sedimentary Basins. Geological Society, London, Memoirs, 29, pp. 63–71.

Kurjanski, B., Rea, B.R., Spagnolo, M., Cornwell, D.G., Howell, J., 2020. A conceptual model for glaciogenic reservoirs: from land systems to reservoir architecture. Mar. Pet. Geol. 115, 104205 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2019.104205.

Laberg, J.S., Andreassen, K., Knies, J., Vorren, T.O., Winsborrow, M., 2010. Late Pliocene–Pleistocene development of the Barents Sea Ice Sheet. Geology 38, 107–110. https://doi.org/10.1130/G30193.1.

Laberg, J.S., Rydningen, T.A., Frowick, M., Husum, K., 2018. Depositional processes on the distal Scoresby Trough Mouth Fan (ODP Site 987): Implications for the Pleistocene evolution of the Scoresby Sund Sector of the Greenland Ice Sheet. Mar. Geol. 402, 51–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2017.11.018

Geol. 402, 51–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2017.11.018.
Lamers, E., Carmichael, S.M.M., 1999. The Paleocene Deepwater sandstone play West of Shetland. In: Fleet, A.J., Boldy, S.A.R. (Eds.), Petroleum Geology of Northwest Europe: Proceedings of the 5th Conference, pp. 645–659.

Le Heron, D.P., Busfield, M.E., Collins, A.S., 2014. Bolla Bollana boulder beds: A Neoproterozoic trough mouth fan in South Australia? Sedimentology 61, 978–995. https://doi.org/10.1111/sed.12082.

Long, D., Bulat, J., Stoker, M.S., 2004. Seabed morphology of the Faroe-Shetland Channel derived from 3D seismic datasets. In: Davies, R.J., Cartwright, J.A., Stewart, S.A., Lappin, M., Underhill, J.R. (Eds.), 2004. 3D Seismic Technology: Application to the Exploration of Sedimentary Basins. Geological Society, London, Memoirs, 29, pp. 53–61.

Long, D., Ziska, H., Musson, R., 2011. Geohazards. In: Ritchie, J.D., Ziska, H., Johnson, H., Evans, D. (Eds.), Geology of the Faroe–Shetland Basin and adjacent areas. British Geological Survey and Jaröfeingi Research Report, RR/11/01, pp. 239–253.

Lucchi, R.G., Camerlenghi, A., Rebesco, M., Colmenero-Hidalgo, E., Sierro, F.J., Sagnotti, L., Urgeles, R., Melis, R., Morigi, C., Barcena, M.-A., Giorgetti, G., Villa, G., Persico, D., Flores, J.-A., Rigual-Hernández, A.S., Pedrosa, M.T., Macri, P., Caburlotto, A., 2013. Postglacial sedimentary processes on the Storfjorden and Kveithola trough mouth fans: significance of extreme glacimarine sedimentation. Glob. Planet. Chang. 111, 309–326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gloplacha.2013.10.008.

Maselli, V., Kneller, B., 2018. Bottom currents, submarine mass failures and halokinesis at the toe of the Sigsbee Escarpment (Gulf of Mexico): contrasting regimes during lowstand and highstand conditions? Mar. Geol. 401, 36–65.

Masson, D.G., 2001. Sedimentary processes shaping the eastern slope of the Faroe–Shetland Channel. Cont. Shelf Res. 21, 825–857. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4343(00)00115-1.

Masson, D.G., Le Bas, T.P., Bett, B.J., Huehnerbach, V., Jacobs, C.L., Wynn, R.B., Department of Trade and Industry, 2003. Seafloor sediments and sedimentary processes on the outer continental shelf, continental slope and basin floor. In: Strategic Environmental Assessment: SEA 4. Consultation Document. London, Department of Trade and Industry. Available at. https://www.gov.uk/government/p ublications/strategic-environmental-assessment-4-supporting-documents

Masson, D.G., Wynn, R.B., Bett, B.J., 2004. Sedimentary environment of the Faroe-Shetland and Faroe Bank Channels, north-east Atlantic, and the use of bedforms as indicators of bottom current velocity in the deep ocean. Sedimentology 51, 1207-1241. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.2004.00668.x.

Mitchum, R.M., Vail Jr., P.R., Sangree, J.B., 1977. Seismic stratigraphy and global changes of Sea level, Part 6: Stratigraphic interpretation of seismic reflection patterns in depositional sequences. In: Payton, C.E. (Ed.), 1977. Seismic Stratigraphy applications to Hydrocarbon Exploration. AAPG Memoir, 26. https://doi.org/ 10.1306/M26490C

Montelli, A., Dowdeswell, J.A., Ottesen, D., Johansen, S.E., 2017. Ice-sheet dynamics through the Quaternary on the mid-Norwegian continental margin inferred from 3D seismic data. Mar. Pet. Geol. 80 (228), 242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. 0.2016.12.002.

Montelli, A., Dowdeswell, J.A., Ottesen, D., Johansen, S.E., 2018. Architecture and sedimentary processes on the mid-Norwegian continental slope: A 2.7 Myr record from extensive seismic evidence. Quat. Sci. Rev. 192, 185-207. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.quascirev.2018.05.034.

Newton, A.M.W., Huuse, M., Cox, D.R., Knutz, P.C., 2021. Seismic geomorphology and evolution of the Melville Bugt trough mouth fan, Northwest Greenland. Quat. Sci. Rev. 255, 106798 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2021.106798

Noormets, R., Dowdeswell, J.A., Larter, R.D., Ó Cofaigh, C., Evans, J., 2009. Morphology of the upper continental slope in the Bellingshausen and Amundsen Seas Implications for sedimentary processes at the shelf edge of West Antarctica. Mar. Geol. 258, 100-114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2008.11.011.

Nygård, A., Sejrup, H.P., Hafl Idason, H., Lekens, W.A.H., Clark, C.D., Bigg, G.R., 2007. Extreme sediment and ice discharge from marine-based ice streams: New evidence from the North Sea. Geology 35 (5), 395-398. https://doi.org/10.1130/G2336

Ó Cofaigh, C., Hogan, K.A., Jennings, A.E., Callard, S.L., Dowdeswell, J.A., Noormets, R., Evans, J., 2018. The role of meltwater in high-latitude trough-mouth fan development: the Disko Trough-Mouth Fan, West Greenland. Mar. Geol. 402, 17-32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2018.02.001.

Ó Cofaigh, C., Taylor, J., Dowdeswell, J.A., Pudsey, C.J., 2003. Palaeo-ice streams, trough mouth fans and high-latitude continental slope sedimentation, BOREAS 32. https://doi.org/10.1080/03009480310001858.

Peakall, J., Best, J., Baas, J.H., Hodgson, D.M., Clare, M.A., Talling, P.J., Dorrell, R.M., Lee, D.R., 2020. An integrated process-based model of flutes and tool marks in deep water environments: Implications for palaeohydraulics, the Bouma sequence and hybrid event beds. Sedimentology 67, 1601-1666. https://doi.org/10.11 sed.12727.

Pedrosa, M.T., Camerlenghi, A., De Mol, B., Urgeles, R., Rebesco, M., Lucchi, R.G., shipboard participants of the SVAIS and EGLACOM Cruises, 2011. Seabed morphology and shallow sedimentary structure of the Storfjorden and Kveithola trough-mouth fans (North West Barents Sea). Mar. Geol. 286, 65-81.

Piper, D.J.W., 2005. Late Cenozoic evolution of the continental margin of eastern Canada. Norwegian Journal of Geology 85, 305-318. ISSN 029-196X.

Piper, D.J.W., Shaw, J., Skene, K.I., 2007. Stratigraphic and sedimentological evidence for late Wisconsinan sub-glacial outburst floods to Laurentian Fan. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 246, 101-119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. palaeo 2006 10 02

Pope, E.L., Talling, P.J., Cofaigh, Ó.C., 2018. The relationship between ice sheets and submarine mass movements in the Nordic Seas during the Quaternary. Earth Sci. Rev. 178, 208-256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.01.007.

Posamentier, H.W., Kolla, V., 2003. Seismic geomorphology and stratigraphy of depositional elements in deep-water settings. J. Sediment. Res. 73, 367-388 (1527-1404/03/073-367).

Posamentier, H.W., Davies, R.J., Cartwright, J.A., Wood, L., 2007. Seismic geomorphology- an overview. In: Davies, R.J., Posamentier, H.W., Wood, L., Cartwright, J.A. (Eds.), 2007. Seismic Geomorphology: Applications to Hydrocarbon Exploration and Production. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 277, pp. 1–14.

Rea, B.R., Newton, A.M.W., Lamb, R.M., Harding, R., Bigg, G.R., Rose, P., Spagnolo, M., Huuse, M., Cater, J.M.L., Archer, S., Buckley, F., Halliyeva, M., Huuse, J., Cornwell, D.G., Brocklehurst, S.H., Howell, J.A., 2018. Extensive marine-terminating ice sheets in Europe from 2.5 million years ago. Sci. Adv. 4 (6) https://doi.org. 10.1126/sciadv.aar8327.

Rebesco, M., Laberg, J.S., Pedrosa, M.T., Camerlenghi, A., Lucchi, R.G., Zgur, F., 2014. Onset and growth of Trough-Mouth fans on the North-Western Barents Sea margin e implications for the evolution of the Barents Sea/Svalbard Ice Sheet. Quat. Sci. Rev. 92, 227-234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.08.015.

Ricci Lucchi, F., 1969. Considerazioni sulla formazione di alcune impronte da corrente. Giorn. Geol. 36, 363-438.

Ritchie, J.D., Ziska, H., Johnson, H., Evans, D. (Eds.), 2011. British Geological Survey Research Report, RR/11/01, Jarðfeingi Research Report, RR/11/01.

Rydningen, T.A., Laberg, J.S., Kolstad, V., 2016. Late Cenozoic evolution of highgradient trough mouth fans and canyons on the glaciated continental margin offshore Troms, northern Norway - paleoclimatic implications and sediment yield. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 128, 576-596. https://doi.org/10.1130/B31302.1.

Sejrup, H.P., Clark, C.D., Hjelstuen, B.O., 2016. Rapid ice sheet retreat triggered by ice stream debuttressing: evidence from the North Sea. Geology 44 (5), 355-358. https://doi.org/10.1130/G37652.1.

Shipp, R.C., Nott, J.A., Newlin, J.A., 2004. Physical characteristics and impact of mass transport complexes on deepwater jetted conductors and suction anchor piles. Offshore Technol. Conf. 16751.

Simm, R., Bacon, M., 2014. Seismic Amplitude, an Interpreter's Handbook. Smith, N.D., 1972. Flume experiments on the durability of mud clasts. J. Sediment. Petrol. 42 (2), 378-383

Stewart, H.A., Long, D., 2012. The timing and significance of gully incision on the eastern flank of the faroe-shetland channel and its impact on seafloor infrastructure. Eur. Assoc. Geosci. Eng. Near Surf. Geophys. 10 (4), 317-331.

Stewart, H.A., Long, D., 2016. Glacigenic debris-flows observed in 3D seismic highresolution seafloor imagery, Faroe - Shetland Channel, NE Atlantic. In: Dowdeswell, J.A., Canals, M., Jakobsson, M., Todd, B.J., Dowdeswell, E.K., Hogan, K.A. (Eds.), 2016. Atlas of Submarine Glacial Landforms: Modern, Quaternary and Ancient. Geological Society, London, Memoirs, 46, pp. 361-362. https://doi.org/10.1144/M46.94.

Stoker, M.S., 1995. The influence of glacigenic sedimentation on slope-apron development on the continental margin off Northwest Britain. In: Scrutton, R.A., Stoker, M.S., Shimmield, G.B., Tudhope, A.W. (Eds.), 1995. The Tectonics Sedimentation and Palaeoceanography of the North Atlantic Region, Geological Society Special Publication No. 90, pp. 159–177.

Stoker, M.S., 2016. Cretaceous tectonostratigraphy of the Faroe-Shetland region. Scott. J. Geol. https://doi.org/10.1144/sjg2016-004.

Stoker, M.S., Varming, T., 2011. Cenozoic (sedimentary). In: Ritchie, J.D., Ziska, H., Johnson, H., Evans, D. (Eds.), Geology of the Faroe-Shetland Basin and Adjacent Areas, British Geological Survey Research Report, RR/11/01, Jarðfeingi Research Report, RR/11/01, pp. 151-208.

Stoker, M.S., Long, D., Bulat, J., Davisonf, S., 2006. In: In Knight, P.G. (Ed.), Seismic Geomorphology and Pleistocene Ice Limits of Northwest Britain. Glacier Science and Environmental Change, pp. 160-162. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470750636

Stoker, M.S., Leslie, A.B., Smith, K., 2013. A record of Eocene (Stronsay Group) sedimentation in BGS borehole 99/3, offshore NW Britain: Implications for early post-break-up development of the Faroe-Shetland Basin. Scott. J. Geol. 49 (2), 133–148. https://doi.org/10.1144/sjg2013-001.

Talling, P.J., Wynn, R.B., Rixon, R., Schmidt, D., Sumner, E., Amy, L.A., 2010. How did submarine flows transport boulder sized mud clasts to the fringes of the Mississippi Fan? J. Sediment. Res. 80, 829-851. https://doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2010.076

Talling, P.J., 2013. Hybrid submarine flows comprising turbidity current and cohesive debris flow: deposits, theoretical and experimental analyses, and generalized models, Geosphere 9, 460–488, https://doi.org/10.1130/GES00793.1.

Talling, P.J., Sumner, E.J., Masson, D.G., Malgesini, G., 2012. Subaqueous sediment density flows: Depositional processes and deposit types. Sedimentology v. 59, 1937-2003. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.2012.01353.x. Vorren, T.O., Laberg, J.S., 1997. Trough mouth fans – paleoclimate and ice-sheet

monitors. Quat. Sci. Rev. 16, 865-881.

Vorren, T.O., Lebesbye, E., Andreassen, K. Larsen, K.B., 1989. Glacigenic sediments on a passive continental margin as exemplified by the Barents Sea. Mar. Geol. 85 (251-272), 0025-3227189.

Waage, M., Bünz, S., Bøe, R., Mienert, J., 2018. High-resolution 3D seismic exhibits new insights into the middle-late Pleistocene stratigraphic evolution and sedimentary processes of the Bear Island trough mouth fan. Mar. Geol. 403, 139-149. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.margeo.2018.05.006

Weaver, P.P.E., Wynn, R.B., Kenyon, N.H., Evans, J., 2000. Continental margin sedimentation, with special reference to north-East Atlantic margin. Int. Assoc Sedimentol. 47 (Supp. 1), 239-256.

Zhang, J.-J., Wu, S.-H., Fan, T.-E., Fan, H.-J., Jiang, L., Chen, C., Wu, Q.-Y., Lin, P., 2016. Research on the architecture of submarine-fan lobes in the Niger Delta Basin, offshore West Africa. J. Paleogeogr. 5 (3), 185-204, 00096. https://doi.org/10.101 i.jop.2016.05.005

British Geology Survey (BGS) materials ©UKRI, 1979. Sub bottom profiler lines: 1979/ 14#22; 1979/14#23, 1979/14#28, 1979/14#35. Available at GeoIndex Offshore | BGS, Interactive map. http://mapapps2.bgs.ac.uk/geoindex\_offshore/home.html? -2.227456346.671481726.1639228033-183223392.1589786690, **1979**.

British Geology Survey (BGS) materials ©UKRI, 1983. Sub bottom profiler lines: 1983/ 4#44, 1983/4#46, 1983/4#64. Available at GeoIndex Offshore | BGS, Interactive map. http://mapapps2.bgs.ac.uk/geoindex\_offshore/home.html?\_ga=2.227456 346.671481726.1639228033-183223392.1589786690, 1983.

British Geology Survey (BGS) materials ©UKRI, 1982. Borehole, BH\_ 82\_11\_60N004W\_ 105. Available at GeoIndex Offshore | BGS, Interactive map. http://mapapps2.bgs. ac.uk/geoindex\_offshore/home.html?\_ga=2.227456346.671481726.1639228033-1 83223392.1589786690, 1982.

British Geology Survey (BGS) materials ©UKRI, 1985. Borehole, BH 85/01\_60N004W\_ 206. Available at GeoIndex Offshore | BGS, Interactive map. http://mapapps2.bgs.

#### S. Caruso et al.

ac.uk/geoindex\_offshore/home.html?\_ga=2.227456346.671481726.1639228033-1 83223392.1589786690, **1985**.

- British Geology Survey (BGS) materials ©UKRI, 1999. Borehole, BH 99/03\_60N005W\_ 173. Available at GeoIndex Offshore | BGS, Interactive map. http://mapapps2.bgs. ac.uk/geoindex\_offshore/home.html?\_ga=2.227456346.671481726.1639228033-1 83223392.1589786690, 1999.
- Davison, S., Stoker, M.S., 2002. Late Pleistocene glacially-influenced deep-marine sedimentation off NW Britain: implications for the rock record.. In: Dowdeswell, J. A., Ó Cofaigh, C. (Eds.), Glacier-Influenced Sedimentation on High-Latitude

Continental Margins, 203. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, pp. 129–147.

- General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO) bathymetry dataset, 2014. Available at. https://www.gebco.net/data\_and\_products/gridded\_bathymetry\_data/, 1999 (Accessed in 2018).
- Smallwood, J.R., 2004. Tertiary inversion in the Faroe-Shetland Channel and the development of major erosional scarps. In: Davies, R.J., Cartwright, J.A., Stewart, S. A., Lappin, M., Underhill, J.R. (Eds.), 3D Seismic Technology: Application to the Exploration of Sedimentao, Basins, 29. Geological Society, London, Memoirs, pp. 187–198.