



Nuclear Estrogen Receptors in Prostate Cancer: From Genes to Function

Silvia Belluti ¹, Carol Imbriano ¹ and Livio Casarini ^{2,*}

- ¹ Department of Life Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, 41125 Modena, Italy; silvia.belluti@unimore.it (S.B.); carol.imbriano@unimore.it (C.I.)
- ² Unit of Endocrinology, Department of Biomedical, Metabolic and Neural Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Ospedale di Baggiovara, 41126 Modena, Italy
- * Correspondence: livio.casarini@unimore.it; Tel.: +39-0593962010

Simple Summary: Estrogens are steroid hormones that interact with nuclear receptors ($ER\alpha$ and $ER\beta$) and a membrane G-protein-coupled receptor (GPER) to regulate multiple physiological processes. Abnormal ERs and GPER signaling can lead to different disorders, including cancer, making them attractive drug targets. Estrogen-related pathways are implicated not only in breast cancer but also in prostate cancer, providing potential treatment opportunities. New compounds targeting ERs have led to therapeutic advancements, but cancer drug resistance remains a challenge. Genetic and biological mechanisms regulating the expression and activity of nuclear estrogen receptors in prostate cancer are discussed in this review. A comprehensive characterization of specific splice variants in prostate cancer subtypes might lead to new stratification and therapeutic opportunities.

Abstract: Estrogens are almost ubiquitous steroid hormones that are essential for development, metabolism, and reproduction. They exert both genomic and non-genomic action through two nuclear receptors (ER α and ER β), which are transcription factors with disregulated functions and/or expression in pathological processes. In the 1990s, the discovery of an additional membrane estrogen G-protein-coupled receptor augmented the complexity of this picture. Increasing evidence elucidating the specific molecular mechanisms of action and opposing effects of ER α and Er β was reported in the context of prostate cancer treatment, where these issues are increasingly investigated. Although new approaches improved the efficacy of clinical therapies thanks to the development of new molecules targeting specifically estrogen receptors and used in combination with immunotherapy, more efforts are needed to overcome the main drawbacks, and resistance events will be a challenge in the coming years. This review summarizes the state-of-the-art on ER α and ER β mechanisms of action in prostate cancer and promising future therapies.

Keywords: prostate cancer; estrogens; estrogen receptors; alternative splicing; cancer transcript variants; gene regulation; estrogen-receptor-targeting drugs

1. Introduction

Estrogens are steroid hormones primarily produced by the ovaries and placenta in females and by the adrenal cortex and testis in males. They play important modulatory and almost ubiquitary roles in physiological and pathophysiological processes by interacting with their two nuclear receptors (ER α and ER β) and a membrane G-protein-coupled receptor (GPER, also referred to as GPR30) [1]. Nuclear estrogen receptors (ERs) are ligand-dependent transcription factors that regulate gene transcription through estrogen response elements (*EREs*), facilitating normal biological functions of the ligands, while GPER modulates mainly estrogen non-genomic effects. Since abnormal ERs and GPER signaling results in multiple disorders, including cancer, these molecules are interesting targets for pharmacological therapies.



Citation: Belluti, S.; Imbriano, C.; Casarini, L. Nuclear Estrogen Receptors in Prostate Cancer: From Genes to Function. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 4653. https://doi.org/10.3390/ cancers15184653

Academic Editor: John R. Hawse

Received: 16 August 2023 Revised: 1 September 2023 Accepted: 18 September 2023 Published: 20 September 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). In this review, genetic aspects regulating the role of nuclear estrogen receptors in prostate cancer are discussed.

2. Physiology of Estrogens and Their Roles

2.1. Estrogen Biosynthesis and Physiological Functions

Estrogens are molecules known since several decades ago [2]. They are synthesized upon aromatization of androgens, and catalyzed by the cytochrome P450 aromatase (P450Aro) that is encoded by the *CYP19A1* gene [3,4]. The aromatase coding gene is located at position 15q21.1 and spans about 75 kilobases. It has multiple transcriptional start sites with individual promoters, leading to an extremely tissue-specific regulation of the transcription modulated by several hormones and other molecules [5–7]. Relatively high expression levels of aromatase transcripts are found in ovarian granulosa cells from women of a fertile age and, to a lesser extent, in the testis of men [8]. Moreover, human extragonadal sites of estrogen production were described, such as the placenta, adrenocortical cells, adipocytes and stromal cells, osteoblasts, fibroblasts and keratinocytes, smooth muscle cells, hippocampus, and hypothalamus [8]. Aromatase expression is assumed to be a rate-limiting step for estrogen synthesis, although weak androgen aromatization depending on the steroidogenic acute regulatory protein (StAR) enzyme was detected in adolescent females [9].

Estrogens are commonly known to induce the feminization of girls during adolescence, occurring under the stimuli of gonadotropins and in the absence of effective opposing action from androgens. Moreover, during the fertile age of women, estrogens are known to regulate the ovarian follicle development and growth, acting as proliferative and anti-apoptotic factors supporting oocyte maturation [10,11]. These hormones are synthesized in ovarian granulosa cells in response to follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) stimulation, which triggers aromatase activity [12,13], and supported by luteinizing hormone (LH)-induced androgen production [14,15]. Effective estrogen production is ensured with the collaborative interaction between ovarian granulosa cells, which express FSH receptors (FSHR) and surround the oocyte, together with theca cells, which have and rogenic capability and LH/choriogonadotropin (hCG) receptors (LHCGR) [16]. Finally, the placenta produces estrogens during pregnancy, primarily estriol and estradiol, by aromatizing fetal steroids of an adrenal origin [17,18]. A deficit of estrogens may lead to a range of symptoms [19,20] at different grades that, at the level of the ovary, may be identified as an irregular menstrual cycle or amenorrhea [21–23]. Also, a deficit of estrogens is classically associated with an impaired bone metabolism and increased risk of osteoporosis [24], since these hormones regulate bone cell functions and the remodeling of this tissue [25,26]. Interestingly, these effects occur even in men, since estrogen replacement therapy restores normal biochemical measures of bone metabolism in men affected by aromatase deficiency [27].

The adrenal gland is another site for estrogen production in both sexes [28]. Here, these steroids could have a role in the regulation of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system, contributing to the physiological maintenance of blood pressure and hydromineral balance [29,30]. Moreover, estrogens may support the development of the adrenal cortex during fetal life, thanks to the interplay between this structure and the placenta [31]. The adrenal contribution to circulating levels of these hormones has been debated for a long time [28], given that estrogens synthesized within extragonadal compartments do not achieve relatively high concentrations and are likely only active locally through paracrine or intracrine action.

Other sites of estrogen synthesis could produce a minor contribution to the overall serum levels of these hormones. Among all, the estrogenic potential of adipose tissue cells and of the brain is well known [32–34], since they have aromatase expression [35]. On one side, the adipose tissue works as the main storage site for these sex steroids [36,37]. On the other side, estrogens impact adipose tissue distribution via paracrine action [38], modulate adipocyte functions and metabolism [39], and are responsible for an increased breast cancer risk [40–45].

In the brain, estrogens are involved in the regulation of cognitive functions and stimulate spinogenesis and synaptogenesis in regions such as the prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, basal forebrain, thalamus, cerebellum, and brainstem [46,47]. These actions vary according to age and, in women, to the menstrual cycle stage [46]. The role of estrogen in the central nervous system is not limited to sexual dimorphic differences. Rather, these molecules are involved in the processing of sensory information, regulation of affective behavior, synaptic plasticity, learning and memory [48], and neuroprotection against inflammatory damages [49]. During the fetal life, these molecules play a key role in determining sexual differentiation of the brain and subsequent sex differences in behavior, according to the aromatase expression pattern [50,51]. Taken together, these data reveal the central role played by estrogens in regulating brain functions, outlining the need to explore their potential as molecules with neuroprotective properties [52] and in the prevention of brain pathologies such as Alzheimer's disease [53]. However, the clinical relevance of estrogen action in the brain is still largely unclear and needs further research.

2.2. Role of Estrogens in the Prostate

In men, germ cells, sperm, and Leydig and Sertoli cells display aromatase expression, and the testis is indeed one of the main estrogen-producing glands in males [54]. These cells serve as the major source of estrogens in the male reproductive tract. Therefore, estrogens are also found in the semen [55]. However, evidence demonstrating that estrogens have a major direct role in adult testicular functions is poor [56]. Pioneering data were provided by the study of a very rare case of male estrogen deficiency, which described an adult man with defects in bone and glucose metabolism, but normal pubertal development, masculinization, and sexual function [57,58]. Serum estrogen levels were elevated while testosterone concentration was normal, as an indication of an ER-coding gene disruptive mutation confirmed with a genetic analysis. Other informative results were obtained from men affected by an aromatase deficit caused by CYP19A1 gene loss-of-function mutations who, again, had normal pubertal development and masculinization in addition to metabolic and bone clinical issues [27,59]. Therefore, the role of estrogens in spermatogenesis and testicular functions is still largely unknown, although parameters of sperm quality in infertile men might be sensitive to administration of selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) [60] or estrogenic disruptors [61]. A synergistic effect with androgens, likely exerted through membrane receptors, has been proposed [62], but this matter is still unclear.

Over the years, high relevance has been given to the role of estrogens in males [63]. For instance, these hormones have a role in modulating tissue homeostasis and cell proliferation in the prostate, where they can stimulate as well as inhibit growth, depending on the predominant ER α - or ER β -mediated effects [64,65]. In general, it is assumed that excessive ER α activation is linked to aberrant proliferation, inflammation, and development of premalignant lesions, while these signals are counterbalanced by anti-proliferative effects exerted through ER β [64]. Therefore, these steroids contribute to the maintenance of prostate functions, such as the production of the seminal liquid. Several studies agree with the view that estrogens exert their action through both direct receptor-mediated action and indirect effects, by altering the whole endocrine status [66]. Informative results were provided using genetically modified mouse models, such as aromatase-knock-out (KO) or over-expressing mice (ArKO and AROM+), and the ER-KO (αERKO and βERKO). Only prostatic enlargement occurring with age was found in α ERKO mice, while β ERKO and ArKO displayed prostate hyperplasia. AROM+ even developed squamous metaplasia and had elevated serum estradiol levels, although these results were not univocally confirmed [66]. In men, aromatase is physiologically expressed in the stroma of the prostate, which is capable of local estrogen biosynthesis [67]. However, aberrant expression of the aromatase protein was found in other prostate cells, confirmed in prostate cancer (PCa) cell lines and even in cases of benign prostatic hyperplasia [7,68]. In these tissues, disregulation of aromatase expression would be due to alteration of *CYP19A1* promoter usage [67]. Given the clear estrogenic nature of certain prostate tumors, the use of aromatase inhibitors and

SERMs as an endocrine therapy for prostate cancer has been proposed since a long time ago [69,70]. The role of nuclear estrogen receptors in PCa is discussed in the next sections.

3. Nuclear Estrogen Receptors

Estrogens regulate several physiological functions mediated by their two nuclear receptors. In humans, the *ESR1* gene is located at locus 6q25.1 on chromosome 6 and encodes for the full-length ER α protein, consisting of 595 amino acids (66/67 kDa) [71,72]. The ER β protein of 530 amino acids (59 kDa) is encoded by the *ESR2* gene, which is located on chromosome 14 at locus 14q23.2 [73,74]. These molecules have similar binding affinity for the two main ligands, estradiol and estrone, and act as ligand-activated transcription factors for target genes in the cell nucleus.

As other nuclear receptors, ERs have a modular structure where multiple functional domains have specific functions, namely an N-terminal transactivation domain (NTD/AF1), a DNA-binding domain (DBD), a hinge region, a C-terminal cofactor interaction domain, and a ligand-binding domain (LBD). The NTD/AF1 contains zinc-finger domains that allow the binding and transactivation of target genes. The NTD is one of the most significant structural differences between ER α and ER β , having approximately 15% sequence homology [75]. The DBD allows ERs to bind estrogen response elements (EREs) in target genes, while the hinge region contains nuclear localization signals and can bind to molecular chaperones. The LBD/AF2 carboxyl terminus encompasses binding sites for co-activators, co-repressors, and the estrogen-binding region, together with a ligand-dependent activation domain (AF2). In particular, natural ligands bind a cavity-shaped domain of the receptor, which may be targeted by several other molecules with agonistic and antagonistic behaviors [76].

New insights into the molecular structure of ER α were provided using the resolution of its structure in a complex with DNA and co-activators using cryo-electron microscopy (EM) [77]. These data provided large advancements compared to what was carried out with the crystallographic structure resolved previously [78]. Cryo-EM depicted the molecular mechanism of action with which ER α binds the *ERE* DNA as a dimer. Then, the steroid receptor co-activator 3 (SRC-3) protein is independently recruited, via the transactivation domain, by each of the two ligand-bound ER α monomers and together with activation function 1 (AF-1). In turn, the two SRC-3s interact with different regions of one secondary co-activator (p300) protein through multiple contacts [77]. These data are of high relevance to elucidate the mechanisms with which active nuclear receptors upregulate target gene transcription.

ERs carry highly polymorphic regions that may impact the activity of estrogens. This is not surprising, since ER-coding genes are evolutionarily old and unstable, predisposing these sequences to repeated mutations over time [79], and leading to aspecificity for their ligands [80] and capability to bind even plant-derived molecules, such as flavonoids [81]. For instance, the *ESR1* gene carries single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) linked to estrogen resistance [82], breast cancer [83,84], mineral bone density [85], and cardiovascular risk factors [86]. CA nucleotide repeats were found in the genomic region containing the *ESR2* gene, suggesting it could be used as a marker of endocrine functions [87] and could be associated with blood pressure [88], Alzheimer's disease [89], risk of hypospadias [90], and osteoarthritis [91]. Five more receptor variants were identified upon screening of *ESR2* 5' and 3' untranslated regions, although no association with specific phenotypes or pathologies was found [92]. Other *ESR2* SNPs that are linked with increased transcriptional activation were related to disorders of sexual and pubertal development [93,94]. In summary, ER-coding genes are highly unstable, leading to high susceptibility to mutations and subsequent modulation or impairment of receptor functionality.

In the body, tissue-specific expression patterns (Figure 1) and the ratio between the two receptors determine the sensitivity of the target tissue to estrogens and their local effects [95]. Although these receptors are expressed almost ubiquitously, ER α is preferentially expressed in the cardiovascular and adipose tissues, thymus, mammary gland, and uterus. In contrast, ER β is prevalently expressed in the ovaries, prostate, testis, and bone, while similar expression levels could be detected in the brain, hypothalamus, pituitary, and liver [95–97]. The tissue distribution of ERs is one of the determinants to understand their differential response to agonists triggered upon interaction with the ligand-binding cavity [76]. In fact, it is well known that ER α and ER β mediate different, or even opposite, estrogen-induced conformational changes [98] that lead to distinct effects at the cellular level, depending on the site of action and receptor expression levels and sub-type [99].



Figure 1. Representation of ER α and ER β sex-specific tissue distribution. The picture is not indicative of absolute receptor expression levels.

4. Expression and Splice Isoforms of Estrogen Receptors in Prostate Cancer

4.1. Estrogen Receptor Expression in Prostate Cancer

Prostate tissues express both ER α and ER β estrogen receptors. ER β is predominantly expressed in prostate epithelial cells, particularly luminal cells [100], whereas ER α is mostly expressed in stromal cells and basal prostate epithelial cells [74,101].

Until now, it has been hypothesized that $\text{ER}\beta$ has a primarily protective effect in PCa, whereas $\text{ER}\alpha$ could be potentially oncogenic. However, the function of ERs in PCa is still debatable, as contradictory findings regarding their behavior in PCa progression are still emerging.

Using publicly available genomic datasets, the prevalence of *ESR1* and *ESR2* gene mutations or copy number variations in patients with metastatic or advanced PCa was 4% (5/150) compared to 2% (11/492) in patients with early PCa [102]. Interestingly, the prevalence of ER aberrations in patients with neuroendocrine PCa (NEPC) was considerably higher than in patients with early PCa (17% vs. 2%; p < 0.05). The most prevalent abnormality was an increased copy number. In patients with early PCa, an altered ER copy number or mutation was associated with shorter overall survival (p = 0.01), but there was no significant difference in progression-free survival [102]. In addition, a large population-based case–control investigation revealed an association between an SNP in the promoter region of the *ESR2* gene and the risk of developing PCa [103].

In high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (hg-PIN), ER α protein and mRNA levels are upregulated, and its expression spreads from basal to luminal cells. Immunohistochemistry and mRNA in situ hybridization experiments indicate that $ER\alpha$ is upregulated in high-grade Gleason score (GS) tumors compared with those with low GS, and in recurrent carcinomas, likely mediating the carcinogenic effects of estradiol. Nevertheless, contrasting results were obtained about ER α protein and mRNA expression in castration-resistant prostate cancers (CRPC) [101,104–106]. In addition, inconsistent findings were obtained concerning the transcriptional regulation of ER α . Indeed, the ESR1 gene promoter was found to be extensively methylated in PCa cell lines and tissues, with a positive correlation between methylation levels and tumor pathological grade [107,108]. In contrast, another study found no direct correlation between promoter methylation and mRNA levels of ESR1 in PCa data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) [109]. High expression levels of $ER\alpha$ protein and mRNA were associated with shorter progression-free interval and shorter biochemical recurrence-free survival, respectively. Yet, the presence of ER α protein, as determined with IHC, was also associated with the efficacy of endocrine therapy [109–115] and high ER α expression has been identified in tumor stroma cells, and this correlates with a better prognosis for PCa patients [116–118]. These contradictory results make it difficult to draw conclusions regarding ER α expression and relevance in advanced tumors.

In contrast, ERβ is partially lost in hg-PIN and downregulated in approximately 50% of localized and CRPC tumors. Decreased ERβ expression may affect both stromal and epithelial cancer cell development, and PCa tissue staining revealed that ERβ expression is inversely correlated with the progression of PCa to a high Gleason's grade. High levels of CpG methylation in the proximal promoter region of the *ESR2* gene contribute to its downregulation in PCa [119]. It is widely accepted that ERβ may inhibit the development of PCa by acting as a tumor suppressor. However, many findings have questioned this latter concept [120]. To depict a clear picture of the role of ERs in the progression of PCa, it is necessary to consider the experimental pitfalls caused by the available ER antibodies and the significance of various ER splicing variants [121–123].

4.2. ER Isoforms in Prostate Cancer

It is well known that specific splice variants of transcription factors modulate the balance between cellular processes that enhance or inhibit tumorigenesis and cancer progression [124]. This was also observed in PCa [125–127]. Human ER variants were identified in testis and prostate cells (Figure 2), as well as in breast and other cancer cell lines. In addition to the full-length ER α -66, several isoforms of ER α resulting from alternative gene splicing have been identified, including ER α -46 and ER α -36. A 46-kDa ER α isoform was cloned and described as a receptor splicing variant lacking 173 N-terminal amino acids, including AF-1 [128,129]. It resulted in a ligand-independent transactivation domain, which retains both hormone- and DNA-binding capability, and may be targeted at the cell membrane and work as a dominant-negative inhibitor of ER α activity.

The ER α -36 transcript is initiated by an alternative promoter within the first intron of the *ESR1* gene [130–132]. ER α -36 differs from ER α -66 in that it lacks both transcriptional activation domains (AF-1 and AF-2) while retaining the DNA-binding domain, partial dimerization domain, and ligand-binding domain. It features a unique 27-amino acid domain that replaces the final 138 amino acids encoded by exons 7 and 8 of the ER α -66 gene. ER α -36 is primarily expressed on the plasma membrane and in the cytoplasm, where it mediates membrane-initiated estrogen signaling effects, such as activation of the mitogenactivated protein kinase/extracellular-signal-regulated kinase (MAPK/ERK) signaling pathway, and stimulates cell growth. ER α -36 has been found in the prostate tissue of both healthy and PCa-affected individuals [102].



Figure 2. Schematic structures of estrogen receptors' (ERs) isoforms. Different functional domains are highlighted: the N-terminal transactivation domain NTD/AF-1 (A/B), the DNA-binding domain (C), a hinge region (D), and the C-terminal cofactor interaction domain AF-2 and a ligand-binding domain (LBD) (E/F).

Regarding ER β , five splicing isoforms (ER β 1, -2, -3, -4, and -5) were identified three decades ago [133,134]. Human ER β splice variants originate from the alternative splicing of exon 8, resulting in sequence variations or protein truncations in the C-terminal regions. The full-length ER β 1 (often referred to as ER β) and the truncated ER β 2 and ER β 3 have a length ranging between 495 and 530 amino acids and mainly differ from each other in helix 10 of the ligand-binding domain (LBD). ER β 4 and ER β 5 are characterized by a portion of the C-terminal LBD upstream of unique sequences.

These variations lead to the shortening of the LBD and the loss of the AF2 function. Consequently, $ER\beta1$ is the only isoform with ligand-binding ability, whereas truncated $ER\beta$ cannot bind estrogens. However, $ER\beta1$ may form heterodimers with $ER\beta4$ or $ER\beta5$ and these molecular complexes have greater transcriptional transactivation activity than the $ER\beta1$ homodimer [135,136]. All these variants are expressed almost ubiquitously, except for $ER\beta3$, which is relatively rare and is mainly restricted to the testis [134], although all of them have a tissue-specific preferential pattern. $ER\beta2$, $ER\beta3$, $ER\beta4$, and $ER\beta5$ have been detected in prostate and PCa samples. While $ER\beta2$ is expressed in both basal and luminal epithelial cells, $ER\beta5$ is almost exclusively found in the basal compartment.

Drug resistance and apoptosis in PCa have been linked to ERß splice variants [102]. In contrast to ERß1, which has tumor growth-suppressive effects, ERß2 and ERß5 promote tumor growth, inducing stem cell properties and the development of chemoresistance in PCa, whereas ERß1 might improve cancer cell responsiveness to chemotherapeutic agents [137]. Generally, multiple splice variants are co-expressed in PCa cells; hence, the expression ratio between splice variants is an important indicator of clinical treatment success.

5. Functions of Estrogen Receptors in Prostate Cancer Cells

Estrogen-regulated gene products physiologically control proper autophagy, proliferation, apoptosis, survival, differentiation, and vasodilation. Although the androgen receptor (AR) is a leading player in PCa pathogenesis and exerts its functional effect mainly through transcriptional pathways (recently reviewed in [138–140]), ERs have been linked to PCa occurrence, development, and prognoses in several studies (Table 1) and PCa risk is correlated with serum estrogen levels. This suggests that estrogen and ERs may be risk factors. Previous studies showed that ER α is upregulated during malignant transformation of the prostatic epithelium, high-grade and metastatic PCa, and CRPC, where androgendeprivation therapy increases ER α expression, suggesting its oncogenic role [101]. ER α , expressed in prostate stromal tissues, increases prostatic epithelial proliferation via growth factors like basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) [141]. In experimental carcinogenesis with testosterone and estradiol, ER α -knockout mice (KO) did not develop hg-PIN or PCa [142], suggesting that ER α promotes prostate epithelial tumors.

Table 1. The expression of ER isoforms and their roles in PCa.

Isoform	KDa	Expression in Prostate	Function in Prostate Cancer	Refs.
$ESR1$ gene: Estrogen Receptor- α				
ERα-66 (full-length, often referred to as ERα)	66–67	Highly expressed in tumor stroma; ↑ expression in epithelium in PCa; ↑ hg-PIN; ↑ high Gleason score (GS) tumors; ↑↓ (?) CRPC.	Tumor-promoting.	[100,101,104– 106,116–118]
ERα-46	46	Expressed in normal and malignant prostate tissue.	Not described in PCa.	[128,129]
ERα-36	36	Expressed in normal and malignant prostate tissue.	Not described in PCa.	[102,130–132]
ESR2 gene: Estrogen Receptor-β				
ERβ1 (full-length, often referred to as ERβ)	59–60	Mostly expressed in prostate epithelial cells; ↓ hg-PIN; ↓ localized tumors; ↓ CRPC; ↓ high Gleason score (GS) tumors.	Tumor-suppressive.	[74,101,137,143– 145]
ERβ2	55–56	Predominantly in the cytoplasm of prostate epithelial cells; ↑ in PCa, especially in the nucleus; ↑ metastatic PCa.	Tumor-promoting; promotes stem cell properties and the development of chemoresistance.	[137,143–146]
ERβ3	56	Not expressed in normal and malignant prostate cells.	Not applicable.	[134,143]
ERβ4	54	Expressed in normal and malignant prostate cells.	Not described in PCa; heterodimerizes with ERβ1 and enhances its transcriptional activity (from yeast two-hybrid and promoter luciferase assays).	[135,147]
ERβ5	53	Expressed in basal epithelial cells in benign prostate glands; ↑ metastatic PCa.	Tumor-promoting; promotes stem cell properties and the development of chemoresistance.	[135,137,143, 145,146]

 \uparrow increased expression; \downarrow decreased expression; (?) contrasting results.

Unlike ER α , ER β is mostly expressed in epithelial cells; therefore, estrogens directly affect the prostate epithelium and protect it against malignancy via ER β activity [101]. Hyperplastic alterations decrease $ER\beta$ expression in luminal prostatic epithelial cells. $ER\beta$ is decreased in high-grade PIN and lost in high-grade PCa and after and rogen-deprivation therapy (ADT), suggesting that it interferes with tumors. Grade 4/5 carcinomas have lower ER β levels than grade 3 carcinomas [100,148]. Exogenous ER β overexpression in PCa cells showed anti-proliferative, anti-invasive, and pro-apoptotic effects [149]. PCa xenograft studies showed that 17beta-estradiol supplements given to ovariectomized female mice restrict tumor establishment and growth with androgen-independent mechanisms [150], suggesting that estrogen therapy could be beneficial for CRPC. Moreover, hg-PIN occurs in ERβ-knockout (βERKO) mice due to increased proliferation, reduced apoptosis, and accumulation of poorly differentiated cells [151,152]. The above findings suggest that $ER\beta1$ is crucial for prostate health and tumor suppression. Although it is diminished in PCa above Gleason grade 3, ER β is a possible therapeutic target in the early stages of the disease. As further evidence, ER α activation in β ERKO mice triggers abnormal proliferation, inflammation, and premalignant lesions, while ERβ activation in αERKO mice is essential for prostatic stromal-epithelial cell signaling and mediates anti-proliferative effects that counteract the proliferative effects of androgens on the epithelium.

Intensive research on the expression of ER and its variants in the human prostate has revealed that ER β 1 is lost during cancer progression, although its splice variant ER β 2 is expressed in advanced PCa [144]. In PCa PC3 cells stably expressing ER β 1 or ER β 2, ER β 1 decreased proliferation and bone-metastasis-associated factors, whereas ER β 2 boosted proliferation and upregulated them [145]. Consequently, in PCa cells, ER β 2 possesses oncogenic properties that are in opposition to ER β 1 tumor-suppressing effects.

5.1. Transcriptional Activity of ERs

Many, but not all, estrogen-responsive genes include an *ERE* sequence in their regulatory regions that can be directly bound by ligand-associated ERs as homodimers or heterodimers [153–155]. To mediate transcription regulation, ERs undergo conformational changes upon ligand binding and then interact with numerous co-activators and co-repressors of transcription, such as members of the general transcription factor apparatus and chromatin-remodeling proteins [156]. The transcriptional responses can be fine-tuned to meet specific physiological requirements by recruiting certain groups of coactivators or co-repressors. In addition, ER proteins can indirectly regulate genes without *ERE*-like sequences by interacting with other transcription factors. Activator protein-1 (AP-1), nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB), stimulating protein-1 (Sp-1), activating transcription factor (ATF)-2/c-jun, ATF-2/cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB), ATF-1/CREB, and nuclear transcription factor Y (NF-Y) are examples of intermediate factors that can mediate ER recruitment to promoters or enhancers. About 35% of the annotated human estrogen-responsive genes are regulated with indirect ER-DNA interactions [157].

According to transcriptional studies [158,159], estrogen stimulation both activates and inhibits the transcription of ER target genes. Positive regulators of cell proliferation, such as growth factors and cell cycle regulators, are often upregulated genes. Many of the downregulated genes work in the opposite direction, inhibiting the cell cycle or inducing apoptosis [158]. This gene expression signature is consistent with the concept that estrogen stimulates cell survival. Moreover, recent analyses pointed out that estrogen receptor signaling could be associated with neuroendocrine-like tumors [160]. Estrogen signaling via ER α increases with PCa progression and can induce crucial oncogenic events. While the effects of ER α signaling on breast cancer have been thoroughly studied (recently reviewed in [161–163]), the function of this nuclear receptor in prostate pathophysiology is less well understood. Evidence for the presence of a functional ER α -signaling network in PCa includes ER α -mediated regulation of the oncogenic transmembrane protease, serine 2 (TMPRSS2)-Ets-related gene (ERG) fusion protein. ER α is preferentially recruited to intergenic regions of the prostate genome, as determined with a ChIP-seq analysis of global ER α binding in PCa cells [106]. Transcriptome sequencing data and binding profile comparisons revealed that ER α may control the expression of non-coding RNAs. Expression of the long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) nuclear paraspeckle assembly transcript 1 (NEAT1) is regulated with functional ER α signaling, and cancers of the prostate that originate in NEAT1-positive epithelial cells are resistant to androgen inhibitors and androgen deprivation.

Differently from ER α , several studies characterized the role of ER β as a major tumor regulator in PCa, with a role in controlling cell proliferation and metabolism through both direct and indirect mechanisms. Dey and colleagues [164] found that ER β regulates apoptosis in PCa cells by upregulating the transcription of forkhead box O3 (FOXO3a), which in turn increases the p53-upregulated modulator of apoptosis (PUMA) in a p53-independent manner and triggers apoptosis via the intrinsic pathway and caspase-9. In advanced CRPC cell lines, the upregulation of ER β levels can significantly suppress transforming growth factor β 1 (TGF- β 1) and IGF-1 expression which, in turn, reduces the expression of downstream anti-apoptotic proteins B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2) and Survivin, and induces apoptosis [165].

Epithelial hyperplasia and enhanced expression of AR-regulated genes are among the traits observed in the prostates of mice with ER β inactivation. These genes are also increased in PCa. AR and ER β are crucial co-regulatory receptor proteins in PCa, and their interactions and crosstalk in signaling have a major influence on PCa pathogenesis. Repression of AR activity by ER β is associated with tumor suppression in PCa [166]. Therefore, it is essential for PCa therapy to preserve the dynamic equilibrium between AR and ER. ER β downregulates AR signaling, inducible nitric oxide synthase (NOS), antioxidant glutathione peroxidase 3 (GPX3), and interleukin (IL)-6, thus reducing inflammation and cell proliferation. It has been established that ER β regulates AR signaling by upregulating the AR co-repressors Dachshund family transcription factor 1 and 2 (DACH1/2) and downregulating the nuclear receptor RORc, which recruits co-activators to the AR promoter and activates AR expression [167]. In line with these observations, it has been demonstrated that ER β agonists suppress AR expression in androgen-dependent metastatic vertebral cancer of the prostate (VCaP) cells, leading to lower cell survival and increased apoptosis [168].

Moreover, ER β induces anti-tumoral activity in PCa cells by increasing the expression of anti-proliferative genes like phosphatase and tensin homolog (*PTEN*), *FOXO3a*, Kruppellike factor 5 (*KLF5*), and cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A (*CDKN1A*, p21) and 1B (*CDKN1B*, p27), as well as decreasing the expression of genes like phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (*PI3K*), F-box protein p45 (*SKP2*), *c-MYC*, and cyclin E (*CCNE*), or the oncogenic *TMPRSS2-ERG* fusion. In PCa cells, ER β activation can also suppress the effects of ER α and induce cell apoptosis [101,167,169].

In PCa, maintenance of the epithelial phenotype and repression of mesenchymal traits is an important function of ER β and its ligand 5alpha-androstane-3beta,17betadiol (3beta-adiol), a dihydrotestosterone metabolite that does not bind AR, but efficiently binds ER β [170,171]. Epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) inducing stimuli, such as TGF- β and hypoxia, reduce ER β expression, and ER β loss is sufficient to establish an EMT. ER β 1 destabilizes hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1 protein through proteasomal degradation and represses HIF-1 α -mediated transcription of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-A [170]. In addition, under hypoxic circumstances, ER β 1 directly represses VEGF-A transcription via the *ERE*. In contrast, the ER β variants ER β 2 and ER β 5 can interact with and stabilize the HIF-1 α protein and induce hypoxic gene expression under normoxic conditions [172]. Moreover, the expression of metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2), VEGF, and other important proteins for invasion and migration is increased, together with the reduction in ER β expression, resulting in higher invasion and migratory capacity of PCa cells. This finding was further confirmed in a mouse model, where the volume of transplanted tumors and their capacity to metastasize were both considerably higher in the ER β -silenced nude mouse model than in the control group [146]. In the prostate, ER β expression correlates with E-cadherin levels [173], and ER β is a negative regulator of inflammation, which are well-recognized factors in carcinogenesis and metastasis. When ER β is oxidized by reactive oxygen species, DNA binding is lost and production of E-cadherin is decreased, suggesting that ER β transcriptional activity is vulnerable to oxidation arising from tissue inflammatory processes [174,175].

5.2. Non-Transcriptional ER Signaling

Estrogens control a non-transcriptional signaling pathway by binding to membranebound ER α and ER β , which rapidly regulate ion channel opening or the activation of related enzymes including Ca²⁺ mobilization, PI3K, and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK). This process does not rely on gene regulation and occurs within seconds to minutes, resulting in a rapid non-genomic effect.

Multiple estrogen receptors, including ER α , ER α -36, and ER β , have been implicated in the non-genomic responses to estrogens [176]. Extensive evidence indicates that a small reservoir of ER α exists outside of the nucleus, at or near the plasma membrane of tumor cells. A small proportion of ER α is palmitoylated in the absence of hormone stimulation, triggering ER α signaling at the plasma membrane via its interaction with caveolin-1. ER α is depalmitoylated in response to estrogen stimulation and initiates downstream phosphorylation cascades via direct interactions with multiple proteins, including Src tyrosine kinases and PI3K. Other regulatory proteins, such as the adaptor protein Crk-associated substrate (CAS, p130Cas) and the focal adhesion kinase (FAK), have been identified as components of the non-genomic complex. This complex activates the Src/MAPK and PI3K/AKT serinethreonine kinase (AKT) pathways, which regulate cell proliferation and survival. Moreover, membrane/cytoplasm-localized ERs may activate signaling cascades via Galectin-3 and non-phosphorylated β -catenin in androgen-independent prostate cancer cell lines (PC3, DU145), thus modulating proliferation, migration, invasion, and anchorage-independent growth of these cells [177].

During the cell cycle, ER β 1 and ER β 2 isoforms are differentially regulated in LNCaP cells. Unlike ER β 2, which is predominantly expressed in the G2/M phase, ER β 1 induces a cell cycle arrest in the early G1 phase in response to estradiol via a non-genomic pathway involving c-Jun N-terminal kinases (JNK). Specifically, the interaction of ER β 1 with JNK is associated with a decrease in c-Jun phosphorylation and, as a result, an inhibition of the c-Jun/AP-1 complex activity that controls cyclin D1 expression [178]. In addition, in several studies on CRPC cells, it was observed that silencing of ER β leads to phosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1 and 2 (ERK1/2), activates the ERK1/2 signaling pathway, and promotes cell proliferation, while decreasing the ratio of G0/G1 phase cells and apoptosis [74,179,180].

Rapid activation signaling of ERs in the extranuclear region activates multiple signaling pathways, including MAPK/ERK, making endocrine therapy a promising treatment for PCa by blocking pathways associated with extra-nuclear ERβ. ER-mediated transcriptional and non-transcriptional activities in PCa are summarized in Figure 3.



ER, estrogen receptors; ERE, estrogen response element; non-ERE, other transcription factor binding sites distinct from ERE

Figure 3. ERs can act through genomic and non-genomic pathways in PCa. In the genomic pathway, estrogen triggers the dimerization of ERs and their nuclear translocation to regulate the transcriptional activity of genes. ERs can directly control the transcription of genes bearing the estrogen response element (*ERE*) in their promoter region. In addition, ERs can modulate gene transcription through protein—protein interactions with other transcription factors (TF), which can mediate the recruitment of ERs at promoters without *EREs*. In the non-genomic pathway, membrane-associated ERs can participate in signal transduction and modulate the activation of key enzymes and molecular pathways, thus resulting in the indirect regulation of transcriptional programs. Known ER-regulated transcriptional programs in PCa: (I) ER α : transactivation of proliferative, apoptotic, survival, differentiation, vasodilation, autophagy, and inflammation targets; transactivation of oncogenes and non-coding RNAs. (II) ER β 1 transactivation of apoptotic, anti-proliferative, anti-invasive, and anti-inflammatory targets; repression of AR, oncogenes, and hypoxic genes. (III) ER β 2 and ER β 5—stabilization of the HIF-1 α protein and induction of hypoxic genes. Created with BioRender.com, accessed on 10 July 2023.

6. Molecules Targeting Nuclear Estrogen Receptors

Estrogens and their receptors are recognized targets for therapeutic strategies against ER-positive tumors. Several drugs capable of disrupting the molecular machinery for estrogen synthesis were developed, such as the aromatase inhibitors letrozole, anastrozole, and exemestane [181,182]. ER α -specific drugs, such as selective ER modulators (SERMs), selective ER degraders (SERDs), complete ER antagonists (CERANs), selective ER covalent antagonists (SERCAs), and proteolysis-targeting chimera (PROTAC) ER degraders, are used to counteract the effects of estrogens, especially in breast and uterus cancers, where this receptor triggers mainly proliferative signals [162]. Tamoxifen was the first nonsteroidal anti-estrogen [183]. It belongs to the SERM family and was initially developed for contraception purposes, before becoming a largely used anti-cancer agent for ER α -positive tumors of the breast and uterus. In these tissues, tamoxifen displayed mixed pharmacological behavior, acting differentially as an antagonist and an agonist, depending on ER expression levels [184,185]. In early-stage clinical trials, the anti-estrogen fulvestrant and

ER α antagonist toremifene showed potential anti-tumor activity in PCa [186]. Fulvestrant acts as a pure ER α antagonist and belongs to the broad class of SERDs [187], enhancing the degradation of the receptor by blocking the interaction between ER α and the co-regulator AF-2, although other molecular mechanisms of action were described [188,189]. This drug has greater efficacy than tamoxifen and higher binding affinity to ER α than estradiol [190]. Interestingly, fulvestrant and other anti-estrogens demonstrated potential anti-tumor activity in PCa also through ER β -dependent mechanisms [191]. This effect was exacerbated in those cells positive to ER β , but not to Er α [192], likely via combined downregulation of *ESR2* and *AR* transcripts [193,194]. These data should be interpreted carefully, since fulvestrant lead to contradicting results in clinical studies of men with castration-resistant PCa [194,195]. Moreover, increasing interest is given to GPER as a target for anti-cancer drugs [196,197], since it may drive cell proliferation via molecular mechanisms depending on nuclear ER co-expression [198–200].

Regarding the endocrine treatment of PCa, other drugs were developed or are under study, such as anti-androgens [201,202], anti-AR [203–205], AR modulators [206,207] and degraders [208,209], glucocorticoid [210] and progesterone [211] receptor modulators, gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists/antagonists [212], and steroid 17 α -monooxygenase enzyme inhibitors [213,214]. This section will describe nuclear ER-directed molecules used for clinical treatment of PCa (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Anti-estrogen molecules' mode of action. Androgens are the substrate for estrogen production, mediated by the aromatase (CYP19A1) enzyme. Estrogens bind and activate ERs, thus regulating target genes' transcription. The synthesis of estrogens may be blocked by specific inhibitors. SERDs are ER antagonists that bind the receptor, block its migration to the nucleus, and inhibit receptor-ERE interaction. SERD-ER complexes are degraded by the proteosome. PROTACs are bispecific ligands binding both ER and the E3 ubiquitin ligase, which, in turn, mediates the ubiquitination of the complex, leading to its degradation. SERM competitively binds ERs. The SERM-ER complex can interact with ERE sequences and co-repressors, inhibiting the transcription of target genes. However, this effect is tissue-specific and co-activators may be recruited in certain tissues, such as the endometrium and bone. CERANs exert antagonistic action by blocking AF1 and AF2 transcriptional activation domains via co-repressor recruitment. SERCAs covalently bind to an ER cysteine residue (C530), inhibiting the receptor activation and gene transcription. Selective ER β agonists may be used to upregulate anti-proliferative signals in prostate cancer cells.

The use of tamoxifen for the treatment of PCa has been suggested since a long time ago [215,216]. A few preclinical studies showed that tamoxifen inhibits the growth of PCa cells and patients may benefit from the use of this drug in combination with immunotherapy, TGF β , or Wingless and Int-1 (Wnt) antagonists [217]. Moreover, high-dose tamoxifen therapy was well tolerated in a heavily pretreated patient cohort with castratation-resistant PCa, where the drug demonstrated an inhibitory effect on cancer cell proliferation via suppression of phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate 5-kinase- α /AKT and matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)–9/VEGF signaling pathways [218]. Optimistic results also arose from a phase II clinical trial testing the effect of another SERM, raloxifene, in combination with the anti-androgen bicalutamide [219]. Raloxifene has a similar half-maximal inhibitory concentration compared to tamoxifen (IC₅₀: 2.9-5.7 nM and 3.0 nM, respectively [69]), hinting that the former could be suitable for clinical purposes. Another SERM, toremifene, led to a reduced fracture risk in PCa patients co-treated with denosumab, extending the list of compounds of this class potentially effective in PCa therapy, although it has a relatively high IC₅₀ (1.0 μ M) [69]. These data suggest that the clinical use of SERMs may be optimized for personalized PCa therapy, although complete regression does not occur when they are used alone. Despite these apparently positive results, clinical considerations should be carried out cautiously, since most of our knowledge about the use of SERM against PCa is based on in vitro experiments. Similar conclusions may be extended to the clinical use of fulvestrant as a treatment for PCa, taking into consideration that, in addition to $ER\alpha$, inhibition of ER β and agonistic activity at GPER were demonstrated as well [69,220,221]. This drug displays higher inhibitory activity on ER α than ER β , as demonstrated with a lower IC₅₀, which is 0.47 nM for ER α and 3.8 nM for ER β [69]. Moreover, an in vitro study performed using LNCaP PCa cells suggested that fulvestrant could inhibit AR transcripts, decreasing the response to androgens [193]. Based on these premises, the drug was tested in clinical trials in men affected by androgen-independent PCa, with contrasting results, animating the debate about its real benefits [195,195,217,222,223]. Interestingly, encouraging data came from the combinatorial use of fulvestrant and immunotherapy, although in the context of breast cancer [224–227]. However, data from the treatment of PCa are still missing. An intriguing molecular mechanism of resistance to fulvestrant may be explained with the agonistic action on GPER [228]. This receptor is overexpressed in a series of tumors, including PCa, modulating proliferative and anti-apoptotic signals [229]. The use of fulvestrant could be linked to disregulation of GPER expression and signaling, providing the basis for tumor resistance.

PROTACs are synthetic heterobifunctional molecules forming complexes between target proteins and an E3 ubiquitin ligase, leading to ubiquitination and degradation of the target via the proteasome [230]. Among the various types of PROTACs available [231], compounds against ER α were recently described as a strategy possibly effective to degrade both wild-type and mutant receptor isoforms in breast cancer [232]. These molecules displayed ER α ubiquitination and degradation within the 0.1–10 μ M range, as well as a "hook effect" at higher concentrations [233]. Interesting results were provided with in vitro studies, mainly from breast cancer cell lines, where PROTACs showed anti-proliferative effects and overall low toxicity [234]. These data encourage the use of PROTACs against PCa, given the consistent percentage of castration-resistant cases and positivity to ER α [235]. Another strategy for wild-type and mutant ER α targeting is provided by SERCAs [236,237]. These molecules exert antagonistic activity by binding covalently to an ER α -specific cysteine residue (C530) absent in other steroid hormone receptors. In preclinical studies, the SERCA H3B-6545 had consistent activity against endocrine-therapy-resistant tumors expressing wild-type or mutant ESR1 transcripts [237], stimulating its testing into an ongoing clinical trial on breast cancer patients (NCT03250676). Moreover, in ER α -positive breast cell lines, SERCAs demonstrated an increased anti-proliferative effect via synergistic activity with cyclin-dependent kinases 4 and 6 (CDK4/6) or the mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitors [236]. SERCAs are molecules developed recently. However, most of the endocrine-resistant tumors display $ER\alpha$ -dependent growth, suggesting that this novel class

of antagonists could be interesting tools to be optimized for PCa clinical treatment [238]. Finally, a class of blockers of both ligand-independent transcriptional activation function 1 and 2 (AF1 and AF2) domains of nuclear receptors are CERANs. Studies on molecules with AF1 and AF2 inhibitory activity have been performed since several decades ago [239]. More recently, the CERAN OP-1250 has been available for oral administration. OP-1250 was tested in *ESR1*-positive preclinical models, where it induced complete ER degradation and inactivation, blocking gene transcription and cell growth, and demonstrated the capability to shrink brain metastases (reviewed in [240]). OP-1250 is under testing in a clinical trial for advanced and/or metastatic hormone-receptor-positive, HER2-negative breast cancer patients (NCT04505826). Finally, dual-mechanism ER inhibitors (DMERIs) were recently developed [241]. These molecules feature two distinct ER-targeting moieties, inducing noncanonical perturbations of the receptor structure. As a result, DMERIs alter the ER ligand-binding domain and stabilize multiple, dimeric antagonist substrates, effectively antagonizing the proliferation of ER-positive breast cancer cells. This tool provides a new, exciting strategy to potentially counteract breast cancer and PCa in the future.

New frontiers for the treatment of PCa consist in the recent development of ER β agonists [242–245]. Oppositely to ER α , this receptor exerts anti-proliferative effects in the prostate, suggesting that ER β agonists may be useful tools to counteract PCa, avoiding side effects of SERM [246]. The recently developed compound 8β -VE₂ demonstrated efficacy in prolonging the life of breast cancer patients, when used in combination with tamoxifen [247]. The anti-proliferative role of this agonist was also tested in xenografted benign prostate hyperplasia and PCa tissues from men, where 8β-VE2 induced apoptosis of stromal and epithelial cells, leading to potential clinical opportunities [169]. Another ER β agonist is erteberel, which has been tested in preclinical models of glioblastoma, revealing chemotactic potential exerted through mechanisms involving IL-1 β , useful to promote innate immunity against cancer [248]. These results suggest that erteberel could be an interesting compound to be tested against PCa, but no data are still available in this regard. Finally, a recent study described the use of androgen synthesis blockers in combination with ER β agonist soy isoflavones, in men affected by PCa [249]. The combination of these drugs was suggested as potentially beneficial to sustain $ER\beta$ -dependent signals, via a mechanism counteracting the EGFR migration to the nucleus, as a stimulus supporting cell proliferation. In this case, further studies are needed to understand how phytoestrogens targeting ER β might prevent the development of tyrosine-kinase-driven cancer.

7. Conclusions

Growing evidence suggests that estrogen-related pathways are implicated not only in the development of breast cancer but also in PCa. Therefore, in addition to androgensignaling pathways, transcriptional and non-transcriptional estrogen signaling may represent an opportunity for the treatment of advanced PCa. New compounds targeting specifically ERs led to advancements in the efficacy of therapies, although there is still a long way to go and resistance in cancer therapeutics is a problem to be faced in the next few years. Immunotherapies seem to lead to intriguing perspectives, although most PCa patients fail to respond to the clinical treatment. These approaches could find benefits from the combinatorial use of molecules targeting ERs, which may improve the efficacy of immunotherapies. Moreover, a deep characterization of the expression and role of specific splice variants in PCa subtypes may represent a new opportunity for the stratification of PCa patients and the development of splice-switching oligonucleotide (SSO) therapies. **Author Contributions:** Conceptualization and writing—original draft preparation, S.B., C.I. and L.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The laboratory of C.I. was supported by AIRC (Fondazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro) under IG 2018—I.D. 21323 project—P.I. Carol Imbriano.

Acknowledgments: L.C. was supported by Le Studium Loire Valley Institute for Advanced Studies, Orléans and Tours, France through the ARD CVL Biopharmaceuticals program, funded by the Centre-Val de Loire region.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Rochira, V.; Fabbi, M.; Valassi, E.; Madeo, B.; Carani, C. Estrogens, Male Reproduction and Beyond. *Andrologie* 2000, *13*, 51–61. [CrossRef]
- Selye, H. The General Adaptation Syndrome and the Diseases of Adaptation. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 1946, 6, 117–230. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Simpson, E.R.; Mahendroo, M.S.; Means, G.D.; Kilgore, M.W.; Hinshelwood, M.M.; Graham-Lorence, S.; Amarneh, B.; Ito, Y.; Fisher, C.R.; Michael, M.D.; et al. Aromatase Cytochrome P450, the Enzyme Responsible for Estrogen Biosynthesis. *Endocr. Rev.* 1994, 15, 342–355. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Miller, W.L.; Auchus, R.J. The Molecular Biology, Biochemistry, and Physiology of Human Steroidogenesis and Its Disorders. *Endocr. Rev.* 2011, 32, 81–151. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mahendroo, M.S.; Means, G.D.; Mendelson, C.R.; Simpson, E.R. Tissue-Specific Expression of Human P-450AROM. The Promoter Responsible for Expression in Adipose Tissue Is Different from That Utilized in Placenta. J. Biol. Chem. 1991, 266, 11276–11281. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Mahendroo, M.S.; Mendelson, C.R.; Simpson, E.R. Tissue-Specific and Hormonally Controlled Alternative Promoters Regulate Aromatase Cytochrome P450 Gene Expression in Human Adipose Tissue. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1993**, *268*, 19463–19470. [CrossRef]
- 7. Stocco, C. Tissue Physiology and Pathology of Aromatase. *Steroids* 2012, 77, 27–35. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Barakat, R.; Oakley, O.; Kim, H.; Jin, J.; Ko, C.M.J. Extra-Gonadal Sites of Estrogen Biosynthesis and Function. *BMB Rep.* 2016, 49, 488–496. [CrossRef]
- Bose, H.S.; Pescovitz, O.H.; Miller, W.L. Spontaneous Feminization in a 46,XX Female Patient with Congenital Lipoid Adrenal Hyperplasia Due to a Homozygous Frameshift Mutation in the Steroidogenic Acute Regulatory Protein. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 1997, 82, 1511–1515. [CrossRef]
- Casarini, L.; Lazzaretti, C.; Paradiso, E.; Limoncella, S.; Riccetti, L.; Sperduti, S.; Melli, B.; Marcozzi, S.; Anzivino, C.; Sayers, N.S.; et al. Membrane Estrogen Receptor (GPER) and Follicle-Stimulating Hormone Receptor (FSHR) Heteromeric Complexes Promote Human Ovarian Follicle Survival. *iScience* 2020, 23, 101812. [CrossRef]
- 11. Casarini, L.; Santi, D.; Brigante, G.; Simoni, M. Two Hormones for One Receptor: Evolution, Biochemistry, Actions, and Pathophysiology of LH and HCG. *Endocr. Rev.* 2018, *39*, 549–592. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- McDonald, C.A.; Millena, A.C.; Reddy, S.; Finlay, S.; Vizcarra, J.; Khan, S.A.; Davis, J.S. Follicle-Stimulating Hormone-Induced Aromatase in Immature Rat Sertoli Cells Requires an Active Phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase Pathway and Is Inhibited via the Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Signaling Pathway. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 2006, 20, 608–618. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Erickson, G.F.; Hsueh, A.J.W. Stimulation of Aromatase Activity by Follicle Stimulating Hormone in Rat Granulosa Cells In Vivo and In Vitro. *Endocrinology* 1978, 102, 1275–1282. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Y.X.; Hsueh, A.J.W. Synergism between Granulosa and Theca-Interstitial Cells in Estrogen Biosynthesis by Gonadotropin-Treated Rat Ovaries: Studies on the Two-Cell, Two-Gonadotropin Hypothesis Using Steroid Antisera. *Biol. Reprod.* 1986, 35, 27–36. [CrossRef]
- 15. Wada, Y.; Tsuiki, A.; Fukaya, T.; Shinkawa, O.; Satoh, S.; Horiguchi, M.; Hoshiai, H.; Yajima, A. Effects of Androgen on 17 Beta-Estradiol Production by Cultured Human Granulosa Cells. *Tohoku J. Exp. Med.* **1988**, *154*, 253–260. [CrossRef]
- Millier, S.G.; Whitelaw, P.F.; Smyth, C.D. Follicular Oestrogen Synthesis: The "two-Cell, Two-Gonadotrophin" Model Revisited. Mol. Cell Endocrinol. 1994, 100, 51–54. [CrossRef]
- 17. Miller, W.L. Steroid Hormone Biosynthesis and Actions in the Materno-Feto-Placental Unit. *Clin. Perinatol.* **1998**, *25*, 799–817. [CrossRef]
- Ishimoto, H.; Jaffe, R.B. Development and Function of the Human Fetal Adrenal Cortex: A Key Component in the Feto-Placental Unit. *Endocr. Rev.* 2011, 32, 317–355. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shufelt, C.L.; Torbati, T.; Dutra, E. Hypothalamic Amenorrhea and the Long-Term Health Consequences. *Semin. Reprod. Med.* 2017, 35, 256–262. [CrossRef]
- Chen, M.; Jiang, H.; Zhang, C. Selected Genetic Factors Associated with Primary Ovarian Insufficiency. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2023, 24, 4423. [CrossRef]

- Stuenkel, C.A.; Gompel, A.; Davis, S.R.; Pinkerton, J.A.V.; Lumsden, M.A.; Santen, R.J. Approach to the Patient With New-Onset Secondary Amenorrhea: Is This Primary Ovarian Insufficiency? J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2022, 107, 825–835. [CrossRef]
- Kaplan, J.R.; Manuck, S.B. Ovarian Dysfunction, Stress, and Disease: A Primate Continuum. *ILAR J.* 2004, 45, 89–115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Golden, N.H.; Carlson, J.L. The Pathophysiology of Amenorrhea in the Adolescent. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 2008, 1135, 163–178. [CrossRef]
- Riggs, B.L.; Khosla, S.; Melton, L.J. A Unitary Model for Involutional Osteoporosis: Estrogen Deficiency Causes Both Type I and Type II Osteoporosis in Postmenopausal Women and Contributes to Bone Loss in Aging Men. J. Bone Miner. Res. 1998, 13, 763–773. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Riggs, B.L. The Mechanisms of Estrogen Regulation of Bone Resorption. J. Clin. Investig. 2000, 106, 1203–1204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. McNamara, L.M. Osteocytes and Estrogen Deficiency. Curr. Osteoporos. Rep. 2021, 19, 592–603. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Carani, C.; Qin, K.; Simoni, M.; Faustini-Fustini, M.; Serpente, S.; Boyd, J.; Korach, K.S.; Simpson, E.R. Effect of Testosterone and Estradiol in a Man with Aromatase Deficiency. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **1997**, *337*, 91–95. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wasada, T.; Akamine, Y.; Kato, K.I.; Ibayashi, H.; Nomura, Y. Adrenal Contribution to Circulating Estrogens in Woman. *Endocrinol. Jpn.* 1978, 25, 123–128. [CrossRef]
- Almeida-Pereira, G.; Rorato, R.; Reis, L.C.; Elias, L.L.K.; Antunes-Rodrigues, J. The Role of Estradiol in Adrenal Insufficiency and Its Interaction with Corticosterone on Hydromineral Balance. *Horm. Behav.* 2013, *64*, 847–855. [CrossRef]
- Caroccia, B.; Seccia, T.M.; Barton, M.; Rossi, G.P. Estrogen Signaling in the Adrenal Cortex: Implications for Blood Pressure Sex Differences. *Hypertension* 2016, 68, 840–848. [CrossRef]
- 31. Kaludjerovic, J.; Ward, W.E. The Interplay between Estrogen and Fetal Adrenal Cortex. J. Nutr. Metab. 2012, 2012, 837901. [CrossRef]
- 32. Meseguer, A.; Puche, C.; Cabero, A. Sex Steroid Biosynthesis in White Adipose Tissue. *Horm. Metab. Res.* **2002**, *34*, 731–736. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Simpson, E.R.; Merrill, J.C.; Hollub, A.J.; Graham-Lorence, S.; Mendelson, C.R. Regulation of Estrogen Biosynthesis by Human Adipose Cells. *Endocr. Rev.* 1989, 10, 136–148. [CrossRef]
- Mendelson, C.R.; Simpson, E.R. Regulation of Estrogen Biosynthesis by Human Adipose Cells in Vitro. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 1987, 52, 169–176. [CrossRef]
- 35. Simpson, E.R.; Mahendroo, M.S.; Means, G.D.; Kilgore, M.W.; Jo Corbin, C.; Mendelson, C.R. Tissue-Specific Promoters Regulate Aromatase Cytochrome P450 Expression. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **1993**, *44*, 321–330. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Mair, K.M.; Gaw, R.; MacLean, M.R. Obesity, Estrogens and Adipose Tissue Dysfunction—Implications for Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *Pulm. Circ.* **2020**, *10*, 1–21. [CrossRef]
- 37. Steiner, B.M.; Berry, D.C. The Regulation of Adipose Tissue Health by Estrogens. Front. Endocrinol. 2022, 13, 889923. [CrossRef]
- Cooke, P.S.; Heine, P.A.; Taylor, J.A.; Lubahn, D.B. The Role of Estrogen and Estrogen Receptor-α in Male Adipose Tissue. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 2001, 178, 147–154. [CrossRef]
- Kim, J.H.; Cho, H.T.; Kim, Y.J. The Role of Estrogen in Adipose Tissue Metabolism: Insights into Glucose Homeostasis Regulation. Endocr. J. 2014, 61, 1055–1067. [CrossRef]
- Simpson, E.R.; Mahendroo, M.S.; Nichols, J.E.; Bulun, S.E. Aromatase Gene Expression in Adipose Tissue: Relationship to Breast Cancer. Int. J. Fertil. Menopausal Stud. 1994, 39 (Suppl. 2), 75–83. [PubMed]
- 41. Simpson, E.R. Sources of Estrogen and Their Importance. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2003, 86, 225–230. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Zhao, H.; Zhou, L.; Shangguan, A.J.; Bulun, S.E. Aromatase Expression and Regulation in Breast and Endometrial Cancer. *J. Mol. Endocrinol.* **2016**, *57*, R19–R33. [CrossRef]
- Bulun, S.E.; Price, T.M.; Aitkens, J.; Mahendroos, M.S.; Simpso, E.R. A Link between Breast Cancer and Local Estrogen Biosynthesis Suggested by Quantification of Breast Adipose Tissue Aromatase Cytochrome P450 Transcripts Using Competitive Polymerase Chain Reaction after Reverse Transcription. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 1993, 77, 1622–1628. [CrossRef]
- 44. Wang, X.; Simpson, E.R.; Brown, K.A. Aromatase Overexpression in Dysfunctional Adipose Tissue Links Obesity to Postmenopausal Breast Cancer. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2015, 153, 35–44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Simpson, E.R. Biology of Aromatase in the Mammary Gland. J. Mammary Gland Biol. Neoplasia 2000, 5, 251–258. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hara, Y.; Waters, E.M.; McEwen, B.S.; Morrison, J.H. Estrogen Effects on Cognitive and Synaptic Health Over the Lifecourse. *Physiol. Rev.* 2015, 95, 785–807. [CrossRef]
- 47. Azcoitia, I.; Yague, J.G.; Garcia-Segura, L.M. Estradiol Synthesis within the Human Brain. *Neuroscience* **2011**, *191*, 139–147. [CrossRef]
- 48. Azcoitia, I.; Mendez, P.; Garcia-Segura, L.M. Aromatase in the Human Brain. Androg. Clin. Res. Ther. 2021, 2, 189–202. [CrossRef]
- Duncan, K.A.; Saldanha, C.J. Central Aromatization: A Dramatic and Responsive Defense against Threat and Trauma to the Vertebrate Brain. Front. Neuroendocrinol. 2020, 56, 100816. [CrossRef]

- 50. Brann, D.W.; Lu, Y.; Wang, J.; Zhang, Q.; Thakkar, R.; Sareddy, G.R.; Pratap, U.P.; Tekmal, R.R.; Vadlamudi, R.K. Brain-Derived Estrogen and Neural Function. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 2022, 132, 793–817. [CrossRef]
- 51. Spool, J.A.; Bergan, J.F.; Remage-Healey, L. A Neural Circuit Perspective on Brain Aromatase. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* 2022, 65, 100973. [CrossRef]
- Loucks, T.L.; Berga, S.L. Does Postmenopausal Estrogen Use Confer Neuroprotection? Semin. Reprod. Med. 2009, 27, 260–274. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Hiltunen, M.; Livonen, S.; Soininen, H. Aromatase Enzyme and Alzheimer's Disease. *Minerva Endocrinol.* 2006, 31, 61–73. [PubMed]
- 54. Hess, R.A. Estrogen in the Adult Male Reproductive Tract: A Review. Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol. 2003, 1, 52. [CrossRef]
- Adamopoulos, D.; Lawrence, D.M.; Vassilopoulos, P.; Kapolla, N.; Kontogeorgos, L.; McGarrigle, H.H.G. Hormone Levels in the Reproductive System of Normospermic Men and Patients with Oligospermia and Varicocele. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 1984, 59, 447–452. [CrossRef]
- 56. O'Donnell, L.; Robertson, K.M.; Jones, M.E.; Simpson, E.R. Estrogen and Spermatogenesis. *Endocr. Rev.* 2001, 22, 289–318. [CrossRef]
- 57. Smith, E.P.; Boyd, J.; Frank, G.R.; Takahashi, H.; Cohen, R.M.; Specker, B.; Williams, T.C.; Lubahn, D.B.; Korach, K.S. Estrogen Resistance Caused by a Mutation in the Estrogen-Receptor Gene in a Man. N. Engl. J. Med. 1994, 331, 1056–1061. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fukami, M.; Ogata, T. Congenital Disorders of Estrogen Biosynthesis and Action. Best Pract. Res. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2022, 3, 101580. [CrossRef]
- Morishima, A.; Grumbach, M.M.; Simpson, E.R.; Fisher, C.; Qin, K. Aromatase Deficiency in Male and Female Siblings Caused by a Novel Mutation and the Physiological Role of Estrogens. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 1995, 80, 3689–3698. [CrossRef]
- Huijben, M.; Huijsmans, R.L.N.; Lock, M.T.W.T.; de Kemp, V.F.; de Kort, L.M.O.; van Breda, J.H.M.K. Clomiphene Citrate for Male Infertility: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Andrology* 2023, 11, 987–996. [CrossRef]
- Balló, A.; Busznyákné Székvári, K.; Czétány, P.; Márk, L.; Török, A.; Szántó, Á.; Máté, G. Estrogenic and Non-Estrogenic Disruptor Effect of Zearalenone on Male Reproduction: A Review. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2023, 24, 1578. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cooke, P.S.; Walker, W.H. Nonclassical Androgen and Estrogen Signaling Is Essential for Normal Spermatogenesis. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 2022, 121, 71–81. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Russell, N.; Grossmann, M. Mechanisms in Endocrinology: Estradiol as a Male Hormone. *Eur. J. Endocrinol.* **2019**, *181*, R23–R43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Ellem, S.J.; Risbridger, G.P. The Dual, Opposing Roles of Estrogen in the Prostate. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 2009, 1155, 174–186. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nelles, J.L.; Hu, W.Y.; Prins, G.S. Estrogen Action and Prostate Cancer. *Expert Rev. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2011, 6, 437–451. [CrossRef]
 [PubMed]
- 66. Jarred, R.A.; McPherson, S.J.; Bianco, J.J.; Couse, J.F.; Korach, K.S.; Risbridger, G.P. Prostate Phenotypes in Estrogen-Modulated Transgenic Mice. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* **2002**, *13*, 163–168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Ellem, S.J.; Schmitt, J.F.; Pedersen, J.S.; Frydenberg, M.; Risbridger, G.P. Local Aromatase Expression in Human Prostate Is Altered in Malignancy. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2004, 89, 2434–2441. [CrossRef]
- Wu, Q.; Zhou, Y.; Chen, L.; Shi, J.; Wang, C.Y.; Miao, L.; Klocker, H.; Park, I.; Lee, C.; Zhang, J. Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH) Epithelial Cell Line BPH-1 Induces Aromatase Expression in Prostatic Stromal Cells via Prostaglandin E2. *J. Endocrinol.* 2007, 195, 89–94. [CrossRef]
- Lafront, C.; Germain, L.; Weidmann, C.; Audet-Walsh, É. A Systematic Study of the Impact of Estrogens and Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulators on Prostate Cancer Cell Proliferation. Sci. Rep. 2020, 10, 4024. [CrossRef]
- 70. Sciarra, F. Anti-Estrogens and Aromatase Inhibitors: Tamoxifen and Testolactone. J. Endocrinol. Investig. 1988, 11, 755–762. [CrossRef]
- Greene, G.L.; Gilna, P.; Waterfield, M.; Baker, A.; Hort, Y.; Shine, J. Sequence and Expression of Human Estrogen Receptor Complementary DNA. *Science* 1986, 231, 1150–1154. [CrossRef]
- Walter, P.; Green, S.; Greene, G.; Krust, A.; Bornert, J.M.; Jeltsch, J.M.; Staub, A.; Jensen, E.; Scrace, G.; Waterfield, M. Cloning of the Human Estrogen Receptor CDNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1985, *82*, 7889–7893. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Mosselman, S.; Polman, J.; Dijkema, R. ER Beta: Identification and Characterization of a Novel Human Estrogen Receptor. *FEBS Lett.* **1996**, 392, 49–53. [CrossRef]
- Li, J.; Liu, Q.; Jiang, C. Signal Crosstalk and the Role of Estrogen Receptor Beta (ERβ) in Prostate Cancer. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 2022, 28, e935599-1. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kumar, R.; Zakharov, M.N.; Khan, S.H.; Miki, R.; Jang, H.; Toraldo, G.; Singh, R.; Bhasin, S.; Jasuja, R. The dynamic structure of the estrogen receptor. *Res. J. Amino Acids* 2011, 2011, 812540. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Barkhem, T.; Carlsson, B.; Nilsson, Y.; Enmark, E.; Gustafsson, J.Å.; Nilsson, S. Differential Response of Estrogen Receptor Alpha and Estrogen Receptor Beta to Partial Estrogen Agonists/Antagonists. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 1998, 54, 105–112. [CrossRef]
- 77. Yi, P.; Wang, Z.; Feng, Q.; Pintilie, G.D.; Foulds, C.E.; Lanz, R.B.; Ludtke, S.J.; Schmid, M.F.; Chiu, W.; O'Malley, B.W. Structure of a Biologically Active Estrogen Receptor-Coactivator Complex on DNA. *Mol. Cell* **2015**, *57*, 1047–1058. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Shiau, A.K.; Barstad, D.; Loria, P.M.; Cheng, L.; Kushner, P.J.; Agard, D.A.; Greene, G.L. The Structural Basis of Estrogen Receptor/Coactivator Recognition and the Antagonism of This Interaction by Tamoxifen. *Cell* 1998, 95, 927–937. [CrossRef]
- Harms, M.J.; Eick, G.N.; Goswami, D.; Colucci, J.K.; Griffin, P.R.; Ortlund, E.A.; Thornton, J.W. Biophysical Mechanisms for Large-Effect Mutations in the Evolution of Steroid Hormone Receptors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2013, 110, 11475–11480. [CrossRef]
- 80. Eick, G.N.; Colucci, J.K.; Harms, M.J.; Ortlund, E.A.; Thornton, J.W. Evolution of Minimal Specificity and Promiscuity in Steroid Hormone Receptors. *PLoS Genet.* 2012, *8*, e1003072. [CrossRef]
- D'arrigo, G.; Gianquinto, E.; Rossetti, G.; Cruciani, G.; Lorenzetti, S.; Spyrakis, F. Binding of Androgen- and Estrogen-Like Flavonoids to Their Cognate (Non)Nuclear Receptors: A Comparison by Computational Prediction. *Molecules* 2021, 26, 1613. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- McInerney, E.M.; Ince, B.A.; Shapiro, D.J.; Katzenellenbogen, B.S. A Transcriptionally Active Estrogen Receptor Mutant Is a Novel Type of Dominant Negative Inhibitor of Estrogen Action. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 1996, 10, 1519–1526. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 83. Toy, W.; Shen, Y.; Won, H.; Green, B.; Sakr, R.A.; Will, M.; Li, Z.; Gala, K.; Fanning, S.; King, T.A.; et al. ESR1 Ligand-Binding Domain Mutations in Hormone-Resistant Breast Cancer. *Nat. Genet.* **2013**, *45*, 1439–1445. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Skolnick, M.H.; Thompson, E.A.; Bishop, D.T.; Cannon, L.A. Possible Linkage of a Breast Cancer-Susceptibility Locus to the ABO Locus: Sensitivity of LOD Scores to a Single New Recombinant Observation. *Genet. Epidemiol.* 1984, 1, 363–373. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Styrkarsdottir, U.; Halldorsson, B.V.; Gretarsdottir, S.; Gudbjartsson, D.F.; Walters, G.B.; Ingvarsson, T.; Jonsdottir, T.; Saemundsdottir, J.; Center, J.R.; Nguyen, T.V.; et al. Multiple Genetic Loci for Bone Mineral Density and Fractures. N. Engl. J. Med. 2008, 358, 2355–2365. [CrossRef]
- Herrington, D.M.; Howard, T.D.; Hawkins, G.A.; Reboussin, D.M.; Xu, J.; Zheng, S.L.; Brosnihan, K.B.; Meyers, D.A.; Bleecker, E.R. Estrogen-Receptor Polymorphisms and Effects of Estrogen Replacement on High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol in Women with Coronary Disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 2002, 346, 967–974. [CrossRef]
- 87. Tsukamoto, K.; Inoue, S.; Hosoi, T.; Orimo, H.; Emi, M. Isolation and Radiation Hybrid Mapping of Dinucleotide Repeat Polymorphism at the Human Estrogen Receptor Beta Locus. *J. Hum. Genet.* **1998**, *43*, 73–74. [CrossRef]
- Ogawa, S.; Emi, M.; Shiraki, M.; Hosoi, T.; Ouchi, Y.; Inoue, S. Association of Estrogen Receptor Beta (ESR2) Gene Polymorphism with Blood Pressure. J. Hum. Genet. 2000, 45, 327–330. [CrossRef]
- Forsell, C.; Enmark, E.; Axelman, K.; Blomberg, M.; Wahlund, L.O.; Gustafsson, J.Å.; Lannfelt, L. Investigations of a CA Repeat in the Oestrogen Receptor Beta Gene in Patients with Alzheimer's Disease. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 2001, *9*, 802–804. [CrossRef]
- 90. Beleza-Meireles, A.; Kockum, I.; Lundberg, F.; Söderhäll, C.; Nordenskjöld, A. Risk Factors for Hypospadias in the Estrogen Receptor 2 Gene. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2007, 92, 3712–3718. [CrossRef]
- Fytili, P.; Giannatou, E.; Papanikolaou, V.; Stripeli, F.; Karachalios, T.; Malizos, K.; Tsezou, A. Association of Repeat Polymorphisms in the Estrogen Receptors Alpha, Beta, and Androgen Receptor Genes with Knee Osteoarthritis. *Clin. Genet.* 2005, 68, 268–277. [CrossRef]
- Rosenkranz, K.; Hinney, A.; Ziegler, A.; Hermann, H.; Fichter, M.; Mayer, H.; Siegfried, W.; Young, J.K.; Remschmidt, H.; Hebebrand, J. Systematic Mutation Screening of the Estrogen Receptor Beta Gene in Probands of Different Weight Extremes: Identification of Several Genetic Variants. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 1998, 83, 4524–4527. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lang-Muritano, M.; Sproll, P.; Wyss, S.; Kolly, A.; Hürlimann, R.; Konrad, D.; Biason-Lauber, A. Early-Onset Complete Ovarian Failure and Lack of Puberty in a Woman With Mutated Estrogen Receptor β (*ESR2*). *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2018, 103, 3748–3756. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Baetens, D.; Güran, T.; Mendonca, B.B.; Gomes, N.L.; De Cauwer, L.; Peelman, F.; Verdin, H.; Vuylsteke, M.; Van Der Linden, M.; Atay, Z.; et al. Biallelic and Monoallelic *ESR2* Variants Associated with 46,XY Disorders of Sex Development. *Genet. Med.* 2018, 20, 717–727. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Biason-Lauber, A.; Lang-Muritano, M. Estrogens: Two Nuclear Receptors, Multiple Possibilities. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 2022, 554, 111710. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 96. Chen, P.; Li, B.; Ou-Yang, L. Role of Estrogen Receptors in Health and Disease. Front. Endocrinol. 2022, 13, 839005. [CrossRef]
- 97. Paterni, I.; Granchi, C.; Katzenellenbogen, J.A.; Minutolo, F. Estrogen Receptors Alpha (ERα) and Beta (ERβ): Subtype-Selective Ligands and Clinical Potential. *Steroids* **2014**, *90*, 13–29. [CrossRef]
- Brzozowski, A.M.; Pike, A.C.W.; Dauter, Z.; Hubbard, R.E.; Bonn, T.; Engström, O.; Öhman, L.; Greene, G.L.; Gustafsson, J.Å.; Carlquist, M. Molecular Basis of Agonism and Antagonism in the Oestrogen Receptor. *Nature* 1997, 389, 753–758. [CrossRef]
- Paech, K.; Webb, P.; Kuiper, G.G.J.M.; Nilsson, S.; Gustafsson, J.Å.; Kushner, P.J.; Scanlan, T.S. Differential Ligand Activation of Estrogen Receptors ERalpha and ERbeta at AP1 Sites. *Science* 1997, 277, 1508–1510. [CrossRef]
- 100. Fixemer, T.; Remberger, K.; Bonkhoff, H. Differential Expression of the Estrogen Receptor Beta (ERβ) in Human Prostate Tissue, Premalignant Changes, and in Primary, Metastatic, and Recurrent Prostatic Adenocarcinoma. *Prostate* 2003, 54, 79–87. [CrossRef]

- 101. Bonkhoff, H. Estrogen Receptor Signaling in Prostate Cancer: Implications for Carcinogenesis and Tumor Progression. *Prostate* **2018**, *78*, 2–10. [CrossRef]
- Qu, L.G.; Wardan, H.; Davis, I.D.; Iddawela, M.; Sluka, P.; Pezaro, C.J. Circulating Oestrogen Receptor Mutations and Splice Variants in Advanced Prostate Cancer. *BJU Int.* 2019, 124 (Suppl. S1), 50–56. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Thellenberg-Karlsson, C.; Lindström, S.; Malmer, B.; Wiklund, F.; Augustsson-Bälter, K.; Adami, H.O.; Stattin, P.; Nilsson, M.; Dahlman-Wright, K.; Gustafsson, J.Å.; et al. Estrogen Receptor Beta Polymorphism Is Associated with Prostate Cancer Risk. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2006, 12, 1936–1941. [CrossRef]
- 104. Latil, A.; Biè, I.; Vidaud, D.; Lidereau, R.; Berthon, P.; Cussenot, O.; Vidaud, M. Evaluation of Androgen, Estrogen (ER and ER), and Progesterone Receptor Expression in Human Prostate Cancer by Real-Time Quantitative Reverse Transcription-Polymerase Chain Reaction Assays. *Cancer Res.* 2001, *61*, 1919–1926. [PubMed]
- 105. Sehgal, P.D.; Bauman, T.M.; Nicholson, T.M.; Vellky, J.E.; Ricke, E.A.; Tang, W.; Xu, W.; Huang, W.; Ricke, W.A. Tissue-Specific Quantification and Localization of Androgen and Estrogen Receptors in Prostate Cancer. *Hum. Pathol.* 2019, 89, 99–108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 106. Chakravarty, D.; Sboner, A.; Nair, S.S.; Giannopoulou, E.; Li, R.; Hennig, S.; Mosquera, J.M.; Pauwels, J.; Park, K.; Kossai, M.; et al. The Oestrogen Receptor Alpha-Regulated LncRNA NEAT1 Is a Critical Modulator of Prostate Cancer. *Nat. Commun.* 2014, 5, 5383. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 107. Li, L.C.; Shiina, H.; Deguchi, M.; Zhao, H.; Okino, S.T.; Kane, C.J.; Carroll, P.R.; Igawa, M.; Dahiya, R. Age-Dependent Methylation of ESR1 Gene in Prostate Cancer. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2004**, *321*, 455–461. [CrossRef]
- 108. Li, L.C.; Chui, R.; Nakajima, K.; Oh, B.R.; Au, H.C.; Dahiya, R. Frequent Methylation of Estrogen Receptor in Prostate Cancer: Correlation with Tumor Progression. *Cancer Res.* **2000**, *60*, 702–706.
- 109. Hu, C.; Liu, Y.; Jiang, S.; Chen, H.; Xu, H.; Hu, J.; Li, C.; Xia, H. The Variable Association between Expression and Methylation of Estrogen Receptors and the Survival of Patients with Different Tumors. *Clin. Transl. Med.* **2020**, *10*, e49. [CrossRef]
- Clemons, J.; Michael Glodé, L.; Gao, D.; Flaig, T.W. Low-Dose Diethylstilbestrol for the Treatment of Advanced Prostate Cancer. Urol. Oncol. Semin. Orig. Investig. 2013, 31, 198–204. [CrossRef]
- 111. Shamash, J.; Powles, T.; Sarker, S.J.; Protheroe, A.; Mithal, N.; Mills, R.; Beard, R.; Wilson, P.; Tranter, N.; O'Brien, N.; et al. A Multi-Centre Randomised Phase III Trial of Dexamethasone vs Dexamethasone and Diethylstilbestrol in Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: Immediate vs Deferred Diethylstilbestrol. Br. J. Cancer 2011, 104, 620–628. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Grenader, T.; Plotkin, Y.; Gips, M.; Cherny, N.; Gabizon, A. Diethylstilbestrol for the Treatment of Patients with Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: Retrospective Analysis of a Single Institution Experience. *Oncol. Rep.* 2014, *31*, 428–434. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 113. Stein, M.; Goodin, S.; Doyle-Lindrud, S.; Silberberg, J.; Kane, M.; Metzger, D.; Eddy, S.; Shih, W.; DiPaola, R.S. Transdermal Estradiol in Castrate and Chemotherapy Resistant Prostate Cancer. *Med. Sci. Monit.* **2012**, *18*, CR260–CR264. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Konishi, N.; Nakaoka, S.; Hiasa, Y.; Kitahori, Y.; Ohshima, M.; Samma, S.; Okajima, E. Immunohistochemical Evaluation of Estrogen Receptor Status in Benign Prostatic Hypertrophy and in Prostate Carcinoma and the Relationship to Efficacy of Endocrine Therapy. Oncology 1993, 50, 259–263. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Olczak, M.; Orzechowska, M.J.; Bednarek, A.K.; Lipiński, M. The Transcriptomic Profiles of ESR1 and MMP3 Stratify the Risk of Biochemical Recurrence in Primary Prostate Cancer beyond Clinical Features. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2023, 24, 8399. [CrossRef]
- 116. Yeh, C.R.; Slavin, S.; Da, J.; Hsu, I.; Luo, J.; Xiao, G.Q.; Ding, J.; Chou, F.J.; Yeh, S. Estrogen Receptor α in Cancer Associated Fibroblasts Suppresses Prostate Cancer Invasion via Reducing CCL5, IL6 and Macrophage Infiltration in the Tumor Microenvironment. *Mol. Cancer* 2016, *15*, 7. [CrossRef]
- 117. Slavin, S.; Yeh, C.-R.; Da, J.; Yu, S.; Miyamoto, H.; Messing, E.M.; Guancial, E.; Yeh, S. Estrogen Receptor α in Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts Suppresses Prostate Cancer Invasion via Modulation of Thrombospondin 2 and Matrix Metalloproteinase 3. *Carcinogenesis* **2014**, *35*, 1301–1309. [CrossRef]
- 118. Grindstad, T.; Skjefstad, K.; Andersen, S.; Ness, N.; Nordby, Y.; Al-Saad, S.; Fismen, S.; Donnem, T.; Khanehkenari, M.R.; Busund, L.T.; et al. Estrogen Receptors α and β and Aromatase as Independent Predictors for Prostate Cancer Outcome. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 33114. [CrossRef]
- 119. Nojima, D.; Li, L.-C.; Dharia, A.; Perinchery, G.; Ribeiro-Filho, L.; Yen, T.-S.B.; Dahiya, R. CpG Hypermethylation of the Promoter Region Inactivates the Estrogen Receptor-Gene in Patients with Prostate Carcinoma. *Cancer* **2001**, *92*, 2076–2083. [CrossRef]
- 120. Božović, A.; Mandušić, V.; Todorović, L.; Krajnović, M. Estrogen Receptor Beta: The Promising Biomarker and Potential Target in Metastases. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 1656. [CrossRef]
- 121. Di Zazzo, E.; Galasso, G.; Giovannelli, P.; Di Donato, M.; Bilancio, A.; Perillo, B.; Sinisi, A.A.; Migliaccio, A.; Castoria, G. Estrogen Receptors in Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition of Prostate Cancer. *Cancers* **2019**, *11*, 1418. [CrossRef]
- 122. Andersson, S.; Sundberg, M.; Pristovsek, N.; Ibrahim, A.; Jonsson, P.; Katona, B.; Clausson, C.M.; Zieba, A.; Ramström, M.; Söderberg, O.; et al. Insufficient Antibody Validation Challenges Oestrogen Receptor Beta Research. *Nat. Commun.* 2017, *8*, 15840. [CrossRef]

- 123. Gustafsson, J.A.; Strom, A.; Warner, M. Update on ERbeta. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2019, 191, 105312. [CrossRef]
- 124. Belluti, S.; Rigillo, G.; Imbriano, C. Transcription Factors in Cancer: When Alternative Splicing Determines Opposite Cell Fates. *Cells* **2020**, *9*, 760. [CrossRef]
- 125. Belluti, S.; Semeghini, V.; Rigillo, G.; Ronzio, M.; Benati, D.; Torricelli, F.; Reggiani Bonetti, L.; Carnevale, G.; Grisendi, G.; Ciarrocchi, A.; et al. Alternative Splicing of NF-YA Promotes Prostate Cancer Aggressiveness and Represents a New Molecular Marker for Clinical Stratification of Patients. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* **2021**, *40*, 362. [CrossRef]
- 126. Munkley, J.; Livermore, K.; Rajan, P.; Elliott, D.J. RNA Splicing and Splicing Regulator Changes in Prostate Cancer Pathology. *Hum. Genet.* **2017**, *136*, 1143. [CrossRef]
- 127. Del Giudice, M.; Foster, J.G.; Peirone, S.; Rissone, A.; Caizzi, L.; Gaudino, F.; Parlato, C.; Anselmi, F.; Arkell, R.; Guarrera, S.; et al. FOXA1 Regulates Alternative Splicing in Prostate Cancer. *Cell Rep.* **2022**, *40*, 111404. [CrossRef]
- 128. Flouriot, G.; Brand, H.; Denger, S.; Metivier, R.; Kos, M.; Reid, G.; Sonntag-Buck, V.; Gannon, F. Identification of a New Isoform of the Human Estrogen Receptor-Alpha (HER-α) That Is Encoded by Distinct Transcripts and That Is Able to Repress HER-α Activation Function 1. *EMBO J.* 2000, 19, 4688–4700. [CrossRef]
- 129. Denger, S.; Reid, G.; Koš, M.; Flouriot, G.; Parsch, D.; Brand, H.; Korach, K.S.; Sonntag-Buck, V.; Gannon, F. ERα Gene Expression in Human Primary Osteoblasts: Evidence for the Expression of Two Receptor Proteins. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 2001, 15, 2064–2077. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Z.Y.; Zhang, X.; Shen, P.; Loggie, B.W.; Chang, Y.; Deuel, T.F. Identification, Cloning, and Expression of Human Estrogen Receptor-A36, a Novel Variant of Human Estrogen Receptor-A66. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2005, 336, 1023–1027. [CrossRef]
- Lee, L.M.J.; Cao, J.; Deng, H.; Chen, P.; Gatalica, Z.; Wang, Z.Y. ER-A36, a Novel Variant of ER-α, Is Expressed in ER-Positive and -Negative Human Breast Carcinomas. *Anticancer Res.* 2008, 28, 479–484.
- 132. Wang, Z.Y.; Zhang, X.T.; Shen, P.; Loggie, B.W.; Chang, Y.C.; Deuel, T.F. A Variant of Estrogen Receptor-{alpha}, HER-{alpha}36: Transduction of Estrogen- and Antiestrogen-Dependent Membrane-Initiated Mitogenic Signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2006, 103, 9063–9068. [CrossRef]
- 133. Kim, C.K.; Torcaso, A.; Asimes, A.; Chung, W.C.J.; Pak, T.R. Structural and Functional Characteristics of Estrogen Receptor Beta (ERβ) Splice Variants: Implications for the Aging Brain. *J. Neuroendocrinol.* **2018**, *30*, e12488. [CrossRef]
- 134. Moore, J.T.; McKee, D.D.; Slentz-Kesler, K.; Moore, L.B.; Jones, S.A.; Horne, E.L.; Su, J.L.; Kliewer, S.A.; Lehmann, J.M.; Willson, T.M. Cloning and Characterization of Human Estrogen Receptor β Isoforms. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1998, 247, 75–78. [CrossRef]
- Leung, Y.K.; Mak, P.; Hassan, S.; Ho, S.M. Estrogen Receptor (ER)-Beta Isoforms: A Key to Understanding ER-Beta Signaling. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2006, 103, 13162–13167. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, C.; Dahlman-Wright, K.; Gustafsson, J.A. Estrogen Receptor Beta: An Overview and Update. *Nucl. Recept. Signal.* 2008, 6, e003. [CrossRef]
- 137. Faria, M.; Shepherd, P.; Pan, Y.; Chatterjee, S.S.; Navone, N.; Gustafsson, J.-Å.; Strom, A.; Faria, M.; Shepherd, P.; Pan, Y.; et al. The Estrogen Receptor Variants B2 and B5 Induce Stem Cell Characteristics and Chemotherapy Resistance in Prostate Cancer through Activation of Hypoxic Signaling. *Oncotarget* 2018, 9, 36273–36288. [CrossRef]
- Formaggio, N.; Rubin, M.A.; Theurillat, J.P. Loss and Revival of Androgen Receptor Signaling in Advanced Prostate Cancer. Oncogene 2021, 40, 1205–1216. [CrossRef]
- 139. Leach, D.A.; Fernandes, R.C.; Bevan, C.L. Cellular Specificity of Androgen Receptor, Coregulators, and Pioneer Factors in Prostate Cancer. *Endocr. Oncol.* 2022, 2, R112–R131. [CrossRef]
- 140. Özturan, D.; Morova, T.; Lack, N.A. Androgen Receptor-Mediated Transcription in Prostate Cancer. Cells 2022, 11, 898. [CrossRef]
- 141. Lau, K.M.; To, K.F. Importance of Estrogenic Signaling and Its Mediated Receptors in Prostate Cancer. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2016, 17, 1434. [CrossRef]
- 142. Ricke, W.A.; McPherson, S.J.; Bianco, J.J.; Cunha, G.R.; Wang, Y.; Risbridger, G.P. Prostatic Hormonal Carcinogenesis Is Mediated by in Situ Estrogen Production and Estrogen Receptor Alpha Signaling. *FASEB J.* **2008**, *22*, 1512–1520. [CrossRef]
- 143. Leung, Y.K.; Lam, H.M.; Wu, S.; Song, D.; Levin, L.; Cheng, L.; Wu, C.L.; Ho, S.M. Estrogen Receptor B2 and B5 Are Associated with Poor Prognosis in Prostate Cancer, and Promote Cancer Cell Migration and Invasion. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* 2010, 17, 675. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 144. Omoto, Y.; Iwase, H. Clinical Significance of Estrogen Receptor β in Breast and Prostate Cancer from Biological Aspects. *Cancer Sci.* 2015, 106, 337. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dey, P.; Jonsson, P.; Hartman, J.; Williams, C.; Ström, A.; Gustafsson, J.Å. Estrogen Receptors B1 and B2 Have Opposing Roles in Regulating Proliferation and Bone Metastasis Genes in the Prostate Cancer Cell Line PC3. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 2012, 26, 1991–2003. [CrossRef]
- 146. Lombardi, A.P.G.; Vicente, C.M.; Porto, C.S. Estrogen Receptors Promote Migration, Invasion and Colony Formation of the Androgen-Independent Prostate Cancer Cells PC-3 Through β-Catenin Pathway. Front. Endocrinol. 2020, 11, 527553. [CrossRef]
- 147. Stevens, J.H.; Bano, A.; Bensaoula, L.; Strom, A.M.; Gustafsson, J.-Å. Estrogen Receptor β Isoforms Regulate Chemotherapy Resistance and the Cancer Stem Cell Population in Prostate Cancer Cells. *Receptors* 2023, 2, 176–190. [CrossRef]

- 148. Leav, I.; Lau, K.M.; Adams, J.Y.; McNeal, J.E.; Taplin, M.E.; Wang, J.; Singh, H.; Ho, S.M. Comparative Studies of the Estrogen Receptors β and α and the Androgen Receptor in Normal Human Prostate Glands, Dysplasia, and in Primary and Metastatic Carcinoma. Am. J. Pathol. 2001, 159, 79–92. [CrossRef]
- 149. Cheng, J.; Lee, E.J.; Madison, L.D.; Lazennec, G. Expression of Estrogen Receptor β in Prostate Carcinoma Cells Inhibits Invasion and Proliferation and Triggers Apoptosis. *FEBS Lett.* **2004**, *566*, 169–172. [CrossRef]
- Corey, E.; Quinn, J.E.; Emond, M.J.; Buhler, K.R.; Brown, L.G.; Vessella, R.L. Inhibition of Androgen-Independent Growth of Prostate Cancer Xenografts by 17beta-Estradiol. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2002, *8*, 1003–1007.
- 151. Weihua, Z.; Mäkelä, S.; Andersson, L.C.; Salmi, S.; Saji, S.; Webster, J.I.; Jensen, E.V.; Nilsson, S.; Warner, M.; Gustafsson, J.Å. A Role for Estrogen Receptor β in the Regulation of Growth of the Ventral Prostate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2001, *98*, 6330–6335. [CrossRef]
- 152. Imamov, O.; Morani, A.; Shim, G.J.; Omoto, Y.; Thulin-Andersson, C.; Warner, M.; Gustafsson, J.Å. Estrogen Receptor β Regulates Epithelial Cellular Differentiation in the Mouse Ventral Prostate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2004**, *101*, 9375–9380. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, V.; Chambon, P. The Estrogen Receptor Binds Tightly to Its Responsive Element as a Ligand-Induced Homodimer. *Cell* 1988, 55, 145–156. [CrossRef]
- 154. Cowley, S.M.; Hoare, S.; Mosselman, S.; Parker, M.G. Estrogen Receptors a and b Form Heterodimers on DNA. J. Biol. Chem. 1997, 272, 19858–19862. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 155. Jakacka, M.; Ito, M.; Weiss, J.; Chien, P.-Y.; Gehm, B.D.; Jameson, J.L. Estrogen Receptor Binding to DNA Is Not Required for Its Activity through the Nonclassical AP1 Pathway. *Pediatrics* 2001, 276, 13615–13621. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- McKenna, N.J.; Lanz, R.B.; O'Malley, B.W. Nuclear Receptor Coregulators: Cellular and Molecular Biology. *Endocr. Rev.* 1999, 20, 321–344. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 157. O'Lone, R.; Frith, M.C.; Karlsson, E.K.; Hansen, U. Genomic Targets of Nuclear Estrogen Receptors. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 2004, 18, 1859–1875. [CrossRef]
- 158. Frasor, J.; Danes, J.M.; Komm, B.; Chang, K.C.N.; Richard Lyttle, C.; Katzenellenbogen, B.S. Profiling of Estrogen Up- and down-Regulated Gene Expression in Human Breast Cancer Cells: Insights into Gene Networks and Pathways Underlying Estrogenic Control of Proliferation and Cell Phenotype. *Endocrinology* 2003, 144, 4562–4574. [CrossRef]
- 159. Sheikh, M.S.; Shao, Z.-M.; Chen, J.-C.; Li, X.-S.; Hussain, A.; Fontana, J.A. Expression of Estrogen Receptors in Estrogen Receptor– Negative Human Breast Carcinoma Cells: Modulation of Epidermal Growth Factor-receptor (EGF-R) and Transforming Growth Factor α (TGFα) Gene Expression. *J. Cell. Biochem.* **1994**, *54*, 289–298. [CrossRef]
- Ostano, P.; Mello-grand, M.; Sesia, D.; Gregnanin, I.; Peraldo-neia, C.; Guana, F.; Jachetti, E.; Farsetti, A.; Chiorino, G. Gene Expression Signature Predictive of Neuroendocrine Transformation in Prostate Adenocarcinoma. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 1078. [CrossRef]
- 161. Khan, M.Z.I.; Uzair, M.; Nazli, A.; Chen, J.Z. An Overview on Estrogen Receptors Signaling and Its Ligands in Breast Cancer. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2022**, 241, 114658. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 162. Rej, R.K.; Thomas, J.E.; Acharyya, R.K.; Rae, J.M.; Wang, S. Targeting the Estrogen Receptor for the Treatment of Breast Cancer: Recent Advances and Challenges. J. Med. Chem. 2023, 66, 8339–8381. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 163. Tecalco-Cruz, A.C.; Macías-Silva, M.; Ramírez-Jarquín, J.O.; Ramírez-Jarquín, U.N. Decoding the Therapeutic Implications of the ERα Stability and Subcellular Distribution in Breast Cancer. *Front. Endocrinol.* **2022**, *13*, 867448. [CrossRef]
- 164. Dey, P.; Ström, A.; Gustafsson, J.A. Estrogen Receptor β Upregulates FOXO3a and Causes Induction of Apoptosis through PUMA in Prostate Cancer. Oncogene 2013, 33, 4213–4225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 165. Xiao, L.; Xiao, M.; Zou, M.; Xu, W. Estrogen Receptor β Inhibits Prostate Cancer Cell Proliferation through Downregulating TGF-B1/IGF-1 Signaling. Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol. 2017, 10, 8569. [PubMed]
- 166. Chaurasiya, S.; Widmann, S.; Botero, C.; Lin, C.Y.; Gustafsson, J.Å.; Strom, A.M. Estrogen Receptor β Exerts Tumor Suppressive Effects in Prostate Cancer through Repression of Androgen Receptor Activity. *PLoS ONE* 2020, *15*, e0226057. [CrossRef]
- 167. Wu, W.F.; Maneix, L.; Insunza, J.; Nalvarte, I.; Antonson, P.; Kere, J.; Yu, N.Y.L.; Tohonen, V.; Katayama, S.; Einarsdottir, E.; et al. Estrogen Receptor β, a Regulator of Androgen Receptor Signaling in the Mouse Ventral Prostate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2017, 114, E3816–E3822. [CrossRef]
- 168. Gehrig, J.; Kaulfuß, S.; Jarry, H.; Bremmer, F.; Stettner, M.; Burfeind, P.; Thelen, P.; Gehrig, J.; Kaulfuß, S.; Jarry, H.; et al. Prospects of Estrogen Receptor β Activation in the Treatment of Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *Oncotarget* 2017, *8*, 34971–34979. [CrossRef]
- 169. McPherson, S.J.; Hussain, S.; Balanathan, P.; Hedwards, S.L.; Niranjan, B.; Grant, M.; Chandrasiri, U.P.; Toivanen, R.; Wang, Y.; Taylor, R.A.; et al. Estrogen Receptor–β Activated Apoptosis in Benign Hyperplasia and Cancer of the Prostate Is Androgen Independent and TNFα Mediated. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2010, 107, 3123–3128. [CrossRef]
- 170. Mak, P.; Leav, I.; Pursell, B.; Bae, D.; Yang, X.; Taglienti, C.A.; Gouvin, L.M.; Sharma, V.M.; Mercurio, A.M. ERβ Impedes Prostate Cancer EMT by Destabilizing HIF-1α and Inhibiting VEGF-Mediated Snail Nuclear Localization: Implications for Gleason Grading. *Cancer Cell* **2010**, *17*, 319–332. [CrossRef]

- 171. Guerini, V.; Sau, D.; Scaccianoce, E.; Rusmini, P.; Ciana, P.; Maggi, A.; Martini, P.G.V.; Katzenellenbogen, B.S.; Martini, L.; Motta, M.; et al. The Androgen Derivative 5alpha-Androstane-3beta,17beta-Diol Inhibits Prostate Cancer Cell Migration through Activation of the Estrogen Receptor Beta Subtype. *Cancer Res.* **2005**, *65*, 5445–5453. [CrossRef]
- 172. Dey, P.; Velazquez-Villegas, L.A.; Faria, M.; Turner, A.; Jonsson, P.; Webb, P.; Williams, C.; Gustafsson, J.-Å.; Ström, A.M. Estrogen Receptor B2 Induces Hypoxia Signature of Gene Expression by Stabilizing HIF-1α in Prostate Cancer. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0128239. [CrossRef]
- Nelson, A.W.; Tilley, W.D.; Neal, D.E.; Carroll, J.S. Estrogen Receptor Beta in Prostate Cancer: Friend or Foe? *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* 2014, 21, T219–T234. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 174. Grubisha, M.J.; Defranco, D.B. Local Endocrine, Paracrine and Redox Signaling Networks Impact Estrogen and Androgen Crosstalk in the Prostate Cancer Microenvironment. *Steroids* **2013**, *78*, 538–541. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 175. Grubisha, M.J.; Cifuentes, M.E.; Hammes, S.R.; DeFranco, D.B. A Local Paracrine and Endocrine Network Involving TGFβ, Cox-2, ROS, and Estrogen Receptor β Influences Reactive Stromal Cell Regulation of Prostate Cancer Cell Motility. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 2012, 26, 940–954. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 176. Thiebaut, C.; Vlaeminck-Guillem, V.; Trédan, O.; Poulard, C.; Le Romancer, M. Non-Genomic Signaling of Steroid Receptors in Cancer. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 2021, 538, 111453. [CrossRef]
- 177. Souza, D.S.; Macheroni, C.; Pereira, G.J.S.; Vicente, C.M.; Porto, C.S. Molecular Regulation of Prostate Cancer by Galectin-3 and Estrogen Receptor. *Front. Endocrinol.* **2023**, *14*, 1124111. [CrossRef]
- 178. Hurtado, A.; Pinós, T.; Barbosa-Desongles, A.; López-Avilés, S.; Barquinero, J.; Petriz, J.; Santamaria-Martínez, A.; Morote, J.; De Torres, I.; Bellmunt, J.; et al. Estrogen Receptor Beta Displays Cell Cycle-Dependent Expression and Regulates the G1 Phase through a Non-Genomic Mechanism in Prostate Carcinoma Cells. *Anal. Cell. Pathol.* 2008, 30, 349–365. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, Z.; Yu, H.; Kong, Q.; Liu, C.; Tian, Y.; Zeng, X.; Li, D. Effect of ERβ-Regulated ERK1/2 Signaling on Biological Behaviors of Prostate Cancer Cells. Am. J. Transl. Res. 2017, 9, 2775.
- 180. Silva, R.D.S.; Lombardi, A.P.G.; de Souza, D.S.; Vicente, C.M.; Porto, C.S. Activation of Estrogen Receptor Beta (ERβ) Regulates the Expression of N-Cadherin, E-Cadherin and β-Catenin in Androgen-Independent Prostate Cancer Cells. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 2018, 96, 40–50. [CrossRef]
- Augusto, T.V.; Georgina, C.D.S.; Rodrigues, C.M.P.; Teixeira, N.; Amaral, C. Acquired Resistance to Aromatase Inhibitors: Where We Stand! *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* 2018, 25, R283–R301. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 182. Ma, C.X.; Reinert, T.; Chmielewska, I.; Ellis, M.J. Mechanisms of Aromatase Inhibitor Resistance. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2015, *15*, 261–275. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 183. Jordan, V.C. Tamoxifen: A Most Unlikely Pioneering Medicine. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2003, 2, 205–213. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zwart, W.; Griekspoor, A.; Berno, V.; Lakeman, K.; Jalink, K.; Mancini, M.; Neefjes, J.; Michalides, R. PKA-Induced Resistance to Tamoxifen Is Associated with an Altered Orientation of ERalpha towards Co-Activator SRC-1. *EMBO J.* 2007, 26, 3534–3544. [CrossRef]
- 185. Ali, S.; Buluwela, L.; Coombes, R.C. Antiestrogens and Their Therapeutic Applications in Breast Cancer and Other Diseases. *Annu. Rev. Med.* **2011**, *62*, 217–232. [CrossRef]
- 186. Shiota, M.; Fujimoto, N.; Kashiwagi, E.; Eto, M. The Role of Nuclear Receptors in Prostate Cancer. Cells 2019, 8, 602. [CrossRef]
- 187. Wakeling, A.E.; Dukes, M.; Bowler, J. A Potent Specific Pure Antiestrogen with Clinical Potential. Cancer Res. 1991, 51, 3867–3873.
- 188. Movérare-Skrtic, S.; Börjesson, A.E.; Farman, H.H.; Sjögren, K.; Windahl, S.H.; Lagerquist, M.K.; Andersson, A.; Stubelius, A.; Carlsten, H.; Gustafsson, J.-Å.; et al. The Estrogen Receptor Antagonist ICI 182,780 Can Act Both as an Agonist and an Inverse Agonist When Estrogen Receptor α AF-2 Is Modified. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2014, 111, 1180–1185. [CrossRef]
- 189. Zhao, L.; Zhou, S.; Gustafsson, J.Å. Nuclear Receptors: Recent Drug Discovery for Cancer Therapies. *Endocr. Rev.* 2019, 40, 1207–1249. [CrossRef]
- 190. Burris, T.P.; Solt, L.A.; Wang, Y.; Crumbley, C.; Banerjee, S.; Griffett, K.; Lundasen, T.; Hughes, T.; Kojetin, D.J. Nuclear Receptors and Their Selective Pharmacologic Modulators. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **2013**, *65*, 710–778. [CrossRef]
- 191. Raza, S.; Meyer, M.; Goodyear, C.; Hammer, K.D.P.; Guo, B.; Ghribi, O. The Cholesterol Metabolite 27-Hydroxycholesterol Stimulates Cell Proliferation via ERβ in Prostate Cancer Cells. *Cancer Cell Int.* **2017**, *17*, 52. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lau, K.-M.; Laspina, M.; Long, J.; Ho, S.-M. Expression of Estrogen Receptor (ER)-and ER-in Normal and Malignant Prostatic Epithelial Cells: Regulation by Methylation and Involvement in Growth Regulation 1. *Cancer Res.* 2000, 60, 3175–3182. [PubMed]
- Bhattacharyya, R.S.; Krishnan, A.V.; Swami, S.; Feldman, D. Fulvestrant (ICI 182,780) down-Regulates Androgen Receptor Expression and Diminishes Androgenic Responses in LNCaP Human Prostate Cancer Cells. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2006, 5, 1539–1549. [CrossRef]
- 194. Gasent Blesa, J.M.; Alberola Candel, V.; Giner Marco, V.; Giner-Bosch, V.; Provencio Pulla, M.; Laforga Canales, J.B. Experience with Fulvestrant Acetate in Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer Patients. *Ann. Oncol.* **2010**, *21*, 1131–1132. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 195. Chadha, M.K.; Ashraf, U.; Lawrence, D.; Tian, L.; Levine, E.; Silliman, C.; Escott, P.; Payne, V.; Trump, D.L. Phase II Study of Fulvestrant (Faslodex) in Castration Resistant Prostate Cancer. *Prostate* 2008, *68*, 1461–1466. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 196. Prossnitz, E.R.; Barton, M. The G Protein-Coupled Oestrogen Receptor GPER in Health and Disease: An Update. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* 2023, 19, 407–424. [CrossRef]

- 197. Rekha, P.; Gupta, A.; Goud, K.S.; Biswas, B.; Bhattar, S.; Vijayakumar, G.; Selvaraju, S. GPER Induces Mitochondrial Fission through P44/42 MAPK—Drp1 Pathway in Breast Cancer Cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2023, 643, 16–23. [CrossRef]
- 198. Kampa, M.; Lappano, R.; Grande, F.; Rizzuti, B.; Maggiolini, M.; Castanas, E.; Jacquot, Y. Promising Perspectives of the Antiproliferative GPER Inverse Agonist ERα17p in Breast Cancer. *Cells* **2023**, *12*, 653. [CrossRef]
- 199. Pal, U.; Manjegowda, M.C.; Singh, N.; Saikia, S.; Philip, B.S.; Jyoti Kalita, D.; Kumar Rai, A.; Sarma, A.; Raphael, V.; Modi, D.; et al. The G-Protein-Coupled Estrogen Receptor, a Gene Co-Expressed with ERα in Breast Tumors, Is Regulated by Estrogen-ERα Signalling in ERα Positive Breast Cancer Cells. *Gene* 2023, 877, 147548. [CrossRef]
- 200. Czogalla, B.; Partenheimer, A.; Jeschke, U.; von Schönfeldt, V.; Mayr, D.; Mahner, S.; Burges, A.; Simoni, M.; Melli, B.; Benevelli, R.; et al. β-Arrestin 2 Is a Prognostic Factor for Survival of Ovarian Cancer Patients Upregulating Cell Proliferation. *Front. Endocrinol.* 2020, *11*, 554733. [CrossRef]
- 201. Tran, C.; Ouk, S.; Clegg, N.J.; Chen, Y.; Watson, P.A.; Arora, V.; Wongvipat, J.; Smith-Jones, P.M.; Yoo, D.; Kwon, A.; et al. Development of a Second-Generation Antiandrogen for Treatment of Advanced Prostate Cancer. *Science* 2009, 324, 787–790. [CrossRef]
- Ricci, F.; Buzzatti, G.; Rubagotti, A.; Boccardo, F. Safety of Antiandrogen Therapy for Treating Prostate Cancer. *Expert. Opin. Drug* Saf. 2014, 13, 1483–1499. [CrossRef]
- 203. Fizazi, K.; Massard, C.; Bono, P.; Jones, R.; Kataja, V.; James, N.; Garcia, J.A.; Protheroe, A.; Tammela, T.L.; Elliott, T.; et al. Activity and Safety of ODM-201 in Patients with Progressive Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer (ARADES): An Open-Label Phase 1 Dose-Escalation and Randomised Phase 2 Dose Expansion Trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2014, 15, 975–985. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 204. Wong, Y.N.S.; Ferraldeschi, R.; Attard, G.; De Bono, J. Evolution of Androgen Receptor Targeted Therapy for Advanced Prostate Cancer. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **2014**, *11*, 365–376. [CrossRef]
- 205. Culig, Z. Targeting the Androgen Receptor in Prostate Cancer. Expert. Opin. Pharmacother. 2014, 15, 1427–1437. [CrossRef]
- 206. Dalton, J.T.; Mukherjee, A.; Zhu, Z.; Kirkovsky, L.; Miller, D.D. Discovery of Nonsteroidal Androgens. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1998**, 244, 1–4. [CrossRef]
- 207. Schmidt, A.; Meissner, R.S.; Gentile, M.A.; Chisamore, M.J.; Opas, E.E.; Scafonas, A.; Cusick, T.E.; Gambone, C.; Pennypacker, B.; Hodor, P.; et al. Identification of an Anabolic Selective Androgen Receptor Modulator That Actively Induces Death of Androgen-Independent Prostate Cancer Cells. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2014, 143, 29–39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 208. Loddick, S.A.; Ross, S.J.; Thomason, A.G.; Robinson, D.M.; Walker, G.E.; Dunkley, T.P.J.; Brave, S.R.; Broadbent, N.; Stratton, N.C.; Trueman, D.; et al. AZD3514: A Small Molecule That Modulates Androgen Receptor Signaling and Function in Vitro and in Vivo. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2013, *12*, 1715–1727. [CrossRef]
- 209. Yu, Z.; Cai, C.; Gao, S.; Simon, N.I.; Shen, H.C.; Balk, S.P. Galeterone Prevents Androgen Receptor Binding to Chromatin and Enhances Degradation of Mutant Androgen Receptor. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2014**, *20*, 4075–4085. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kach, J.; Long, T.M.; Selman, P.; Tonsing-Carter, E.Y.; Bacalao, M.A.; Lastra, R.R.; De Wet, L.; Comiskey, S.; Gillard, M.; VanOpstall, C.; et al. Selective Glucocorticoid Receptor Modulators (SGRMs) Delay Castrate-Resistant Prostate Cancer Growth. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2017, *16*, 1680–1692. [CrossRef]
- 211. Taplin, M.E.; Manola, J.; Oh, W.K.; Kantoff, P.W.; Bubley, G.J.; Smith, M.; Barb, D.; Mantzoros, C.; Gelmann, E.P.; Balk, S.P. A Phase II Study of Mifepristone (RU-486) in Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer, with a Correlative Assessment of Androgen-Related Hormones. *BJU Int.* 2008, 101, 1084–1089. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 212. Labrie, F.; Bélanger, A.; Luu-The, V.; Labrie, C.; Simard, J.; Cusan, L.; Gomez, J.; Candas, B. Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Agonists in the Treatment of Prostate Cancer. *Endocr. Rev.* **2005**, *26*, 361–379. [CrossRef]
- Thakur, A.; Roy, A.; Ghosh, A.; Chhabra, M.; Banerjee, S. Abiraterone Acetate in the Treatment of Prostate Cancer. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 2018, 101, 211–218. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 214. Norris, J.D.; Ellison, S.J.; Baker, J.G.; Stagg, D.B.; Wardell, S.E.; Park, S.; Alley, H.M.; Baldi, R.M.; Yllanes, A.; Andreano, K.J.; et al. Androgen Receptor Antagonism Drives Cytochrome P450 17A1 Inhibitor Efficacy in Prostate Cancer. J. Clin. Investig. 2017, 127, 2326–2338. [CrossRef]
- 215. Horton, J.; Rosenbaum, C.; Cummings, F.J. Tamoxifen in Advanced Prostate Cancer: An ECOG Pilot Study. *Prostate* **1988**, 12, 173–177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 216. El-Arini, M.O. Response to Tamoxifen in Drug-Resistant Prostatic Carcinoma. Lancet 1979, 2, 588. [CrossRef]
- 217. Tong, D. Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulators Contribute to Prostate Cancer Treatment by Regulating the Tumor Immune Microenvironment. J. Immunother. Cancer 2022, 10, e002944. [CrossRef]
- 218. Semenas, J.; Wang, T.; Sajid Syed Khaja, A.; Firoj Mahmud, A.K.M.; Simoulis, A.; Grundström, T.; Fällman, M.; Persson, J.L. Targeted Inhibition of ERα Signaling and PIP5K1α/Akt Pathways in Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *Mol. Oncol.* 2021, 15, 968–986. [CrossRef]
- 219. Ho, T.H.; Nunez-Nateras, R.; Hou, Y.X.; Bryce, A.H.; Northfelt, D.W.; Dueck, A.C.; Wong, B.; Stanton, M.L.; Joseph, R.W.; Castle, E.P. A Study of Combination Bicalutamide and Raloxifene for Patients With Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *Clin. Genitourin. Cancer* 2017, *15*, 196–202.e1. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 220. Lai, A.C.; Crews, C.M. Induced Protein Degradation: An Emerging Drug Discovery Paradigm. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 2017, 16, 101–114. [CrossRef]
- 221. Barton, M. Position Paper: The Membrane Estrogen Receptor GPER--Clues and Questions. Steroids 2012, 77, 935–942. [CrossRef]

- Gasent Blesa, J.M.; Alberola Candel, V. PSA Decrease with Fulvestrant Acetate in a Hormone-Resistant Metastatic Prostate Cancer Patient. Onkologie 2010, 33, 57–59. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 223. Féchon, A.; Droz, J.P. Do We Really Need New Trials on Fulvestrant in Prostate Cancer? Onkologie 2010, 33, 12–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 224. Turner, N.C.; Slamon, D.J.; Ro, J.; Bondarenko, I.; Im, S.-A.; Masuda, N.; Colleoni, M.; DeMichele, A.; Loi, S.; Verma, S.; et al. Overall Survival with Palbociclib and Fulvestrant in Advanced Breast Cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2018, 379, 1926–1936. [CrossRef]
- 225. Cristofanilli, M.; Turner, N.C.; Bondarenko, I.; Ro, J.; Im, S.A.; Masuda, N.; Colleoni, M.; DeMichele, A.; Loi, S.; Verma, S.; et al. Fulvestrant plus Palbociclib versus Fulvestrant plus Placebo for Treatment of Hormone-Receptor-Positive, HER2-Negative Metastatic Breast Cancer That Progressed on Previous Endocrine Therapy (PALOMA-3): Final Analysis of the Multicentre, Double-Blind, Phase 3 Randomised Controlled Trial. *Lancet Oncol.* **2016**, *17*, 425–439. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 226. Márquez-Garbán, D.C.; Deng, G.; Comin-Anduix, B.; Garcia, A.J.; Xing, Y.; Chen, H.W.; Cheung-Lau, G.; Hamilton, N.; Jung, M.E.; Pietras, R.J. Antiestrogens in Combination with Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in Breast Cancer Immunotherapy. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2019, 193, 105415. [CrossRef]
- 227. Slamon, D.J.; Neven, P.; Chia, S.; Fasching, P.A.; De Laurentiis, M.; Im, S.A.; Petrakova, K.; Val Bianchi, G.; Esteva, F.J.; Martín, M.; et al. Phase III Randomized Study of Ribociclib and Fulvestrant in Hormone Receptor-Positive, Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-Negative Advanced Breast Cancer: MONALEESA-3. J. Clin. Oncol. 2018, 36, 2465–2472. [CrossRef]
- 228. Giessrigl, B.; Schmidt, W.M.; Kalipciyan, M.; Jeitler, M.; Bilban, M.; Gollinger, M.; Krieger, S.; Jäger, W.; Mader, R.M.; Krupitza, G. Fulvestrant Induces Resistance by Modulating GPER and CDK6 Expression: Implication of Methyltransferases, Deacetylases and the HSWI/SNF Chromatin Remodelling Complex. *Br. J. Cancer* 2013, 109, 2751–2762. [CrossRef]
- 229. Jung, J. Role of G Protein-Coupled Estrogen Receptor in Cancer Progression. Toxicol. Res. 2019, 35, 209–214. [CrossRef]
- 230. Burslem, G.M.; Crews, C.M. Small-Molecule Modulation of Protein Homeostasis. Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 11269–11301. [CrossRef]
- 231. Negi, A.; Kesari, K.K.; Voisin-Chiret, A.S. Estrogen Receptor-α Targeting: PROTACs, SNIPERs, Peptide-PROTACs, Antibody Conjugated PROTACs and SNIPERs. *Pharmaceutics* **2022**, *14*, 2523. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lin, X.; Xiang, H.; Luo, G. Targeting Estrogen Receptor α for Degradation with PROTACs: A Promising Approach to Overcome Endocrine Resistance. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2020, 206, 112689. [CrossRef]
- Sakamoto, K.M.; Kim, K.B.; Verma, R.; Ransick, A.; Stein, B.; Crews, C.M.; Deshaies, R.J. Development of Protacs to Target Cancer-Promoting Proteins for Ubiquitination and Degradation. *Mol. Cell. Proteom.* 2003, 2, 1350–1358. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 234. Gonzalez, T.L.; Hancock, M.; Sun, S.; Gersch, C.L.; Larios, J.M.; David, W.; Hu, J.; Hayes, D.F.; Wang, S.; Rae, J.M. Targeted Degradation of Activating Estrogen Receptor α Ligand-Binding Domain Mutations in Human Breast Cancer. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 2020, 180, 611–622. [CrossRef]
- 235. Savarese, D.M.; Halabi, S.; Hars, V.; Akerley, W.L.; Taplin, M.E.; Godley, P.A.; Hussain, A.; Small, E.J.; Vogelzang, N.J. Phase II Study of Docetaxel, Estramustine, and Low-Dose Hydrocortisone in Men with Hormone-Refractory Prostate Cancer: A Final Report of CALGB 9780. Cancer and Leukemia Group B. J. Clin. Oncol. 2001, 19, 2509–2516. [CrossRef]
- 236. Puyang, X.; Furman, C.; Zheng, G.Z.; Wu, Z.J.; Banka, D.; Aithal, K.; Agoulnik, S.; Bolduc, D.M.; Buonamici, S.; Caleb, B.; et al. Discovery of Selective Estrogen Receptor Covalent Antagonists for the Treatment of ERαWT and ERαMUT Breast Cancer. *Cancer Discov.* 2018, *8*, 1176–1193. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 237. Furman, C.; Puyang, X.; Zhang, Z.; Wu, Z.J.; Banka, D.; Aithal, K.B.; Albacker, L.A.; Hao, M.H.; Irwin, S.; Kim, A.; et al. Covalent ERα Antagonist H3B-6545 Demonstrates Encouraging Preclinical Activity in Therapy-Resistant Breast Cancer. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2022, 21, 890–902. [CrossRef]
- 238. Furman, C.; Hao, M.H.; Prajapati, S.; Reynolds, D.; Rimkunas, V.; Zheng, G.Z.; Zhu, P.; Korpal, M. Estrogen Receptor Covalent Antagonists: The Best Is Yet to Come. *Cancer Res.* 2019, *79*, 1740–1745. [CrossRef]
- Berry, M.; Metzger, D.; Chambon, P. Role of the Two Activating Domains of the Oestrogen Receptor in the Cell-Type and Promoter-Context Dependent Agonistic Activity of the Anti-Oestrogen 4-Hydroxytamoxifen. *EMBO J.* 1990, *9*, 2811–2818. [CrossRef]
- Pagliuca, M.; Donato, M.; D'Amato, A.L.; Rosanova, M.; Russo, A.O.M.; Scafetta, R.; De Angelis, C.; Trivedi, M.V.; André, F.; Arpino, G.; et al. New Steps on an Old Path: Novel Estrogen Receptor Inhibitors in Breast Cancer. *Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol.* 2022, 180, 103861. [CrossRef]
- 241. Min, J.; Nwachukwu, J.C.; Min, C.K.; Njeri, J.W.; Srinivasan, S.; Rangarajan, E.S.; Nettles, C.C.; Guillen, V.S.; Ziegler, Y.; Yan, S.; et al. Dual-Mechanism Estrogen Receptor Inhibitors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2021**, *118*, e2101657118. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 242. Sareddy, G.R.; Nair, B.C.; Gonugunta, V.K.; Zhang, Q.G.; Brenner, A.; Brann, D.W.; Tekmal, R.R.; Vadlamudi, R.K. Therapeutic Significance of Estrogen Receptor β Agonists in Gliomas. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **2012**, *11*, 1174–1182. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 243. Schüler-Toprak, S.; Häring, J.; Inwald, E.C.; Moehle, C.; Ortmann, O.; Treeck, O. Agonists and Knockdown of Estrogen Receptor β Differentially Affect Invasion of Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Cells in Vitro. BMC Cancer 2016, 16, 951. [CrossRef]
- 244. Ruddy, S.C.; Lau, R.; Cabrita, M.A.; McGregor, C.; McKay, B.C.; Murphy, L.C.; Wright, J.S.; Durst, T.; Pratt, M.A.C. Preferential Estrogen Receptor β Ligands Reduce Bcl-2 Expression in Hormone-Resistant Breast Cancer Cells to Increase Autophagy. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2014, 13, 1882–1893. [CrossRef]

- 245. Schüler-Toprak, S.; Moehle, C.; Skrzypczak, M.; Ortmann, O.; Treeck, O. Effect of Estrogen Receptor β Agonists on Proliferation and Gene Expression of Ovarian Cancer Cells. *BMC Cancer* **2017**, *17*, 319. [CrossRef]
- 246. Warner, M.; Huang, B.; Gustafsson, J.A. Estrogen Receptor β as a Pharmaceutical Target. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **2017**, *38*, 92–99. [CrossRef]
- 247. Honma, N.; Horii, R.; Iwase, T.; Saji, S.; Younes, M.; Takubo, K.; Matsuura, M.; Ito, Y.; Akiyama, F.; Sakamoto, G. Clinical Importance of Estrogen Receptor-Beta Evaluation in Breast Cancer Patients Treated with Adjuvant Tamoxifen Therapy. J. Clin. Oncol. 2008, 26, 3727–3734. [CrossRef]
- 248. Sareddy, G.R.; Li, X.; Liu, J.; Viswanadhapalli, S.; Garcia, L.; Gruslova, A.; Cavazos, D.; Garcia, M.; Strom, A.M.; Gustafsson, J.A.; et al. Selective Estrogen Receptor β Agonist LY500307 as a Novel Therapeutic Agent for Glioblastoma. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 24185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 249. Wu, W.F.; Wang, L.; Spetsieris, N.; Boukovala, M.; Efstathiou, E.; Brössner, C.; Warner, M.; Gustafsson, J.A. Estrogen Receptor β and Treatment with a Phytoestrogen Are Associated with Inhibition of Nuclear Translocation of EGFR in the Prostate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2021**, *118*, e2011269118. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.