

\\ 270 \\

Volume of Trade and Revelation of Information

by

Tito Pietra*
Paolo Siconolfi**

April 1999

* Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia
Dipartimento di Economia Politica
Via Berengario, 51
41100 Modena (Italia)
e-mail: tpietra@unimo.it

** Columbia University
Graduate School of Business
Uris Hall, New York
N.Y. 10027
e-mail: tpietra@unimo.it
e-mail: atella@uniroma2.it

The first author acknowledges the financial support of the Italian C.N.R.. The second author acknowledges the generous financial support of the Graduate School of Business -Columbia University.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study the existence of partially revealing *rational expectation equilibria* (REE) in a general equilibrium economy with ex-ante asymmetric information and a continuum of states of private information. The dimension of the set of states of private information (at least $(C+1)(C+2)$, where $(C+1)$ is the number of commodities) is larger than the dimension of the "market information" (equal to $(2C+1)$).

Our purpose is to give a relatively simple setting to study some properties of partially revealing REE. To this aim, we drastically simplify the model by assuming the existence of two types of identical agents, perfectly informed and completely uninformed.

We depart from the classical framework (see, in particular, Allen (1981, 1982) and Jordan (1982)) with respect to an essential feature: Agents observe both market prices and the volume of trade (i.e., the sum over the agents of the positive excess demand of the individual commodities).

The inclusion of the volume of trade among the market signals has a crucial role. Information on the volume of trade is readily available on many markets (in particular, on financial markets). Casual empiricism suggests that it is exploited by the agents to formulate expectations (again, this phenomenon seems to be very common in financial markets). Hence, we believe that it is important to analyze the consequences of this kind of information on the set of equilibria.

If the volume of trade is one of the market signals, the two-types of agents framework is very restrictive. In this setting, to observe the volume of trade at the equilibrium is equivalent to observe the absolute value of each (type of) agent's excess demand. The analysis of more general models with heterogeneous agents (in terms of endowments, preferences and, more relevant, in terms of structures of

private information) is still an open issue.

The basic idea of the paper is to exploit the particular nature of the informational structure to obtain, for each observed price-volume pair, the set of states of private information which are compatible with the behavior of the informed agents. Then, the excess demand function of the uninformed agents is well-defined even out of equilibrium. Equilibria of the full communication economy (FCE, i.e., the economy where each agent is fully informed) can be supported as REE (Theorem 1). Generically, there are also REE (in fact, a continuum of REE) which are not equilibria of the FCE and which are *partially revealing* (Theorem 2 and 3).

Our restrictions on agents' preferences and on the informational structures are strong. The first kind of restrictions could probably be relaxed at the cost of some technical complications. Instead, for the argument of our proofs to work, it is essential that one subset of agents is fully informed and that all the non-fully informed agents have the same private information. To simplify, we assume that they are completely uninformed.

In the general equilibrium literature, there are only a few results on the existence of partially revealing REE. Jordan (1982) shows that, generically, there are partially revealing equilibria arbitrarily close to the fully revealing ones. The equilibrium map is discontinuous on a dense set of states of private information. Allen (1983) studies a class of economies where REE prices partially reveal information. However, each agent, observing the equilibrium price and his own private signal, has full information at the equilibrium. Ausubel (1990) is closer to our result. However, we consider a more general state space, without the specific structure which plays an important role in his construction (in Ausubel, the state space is $\{H,L\} \times [0,1]$).

More recently, several papers have studied the existence of partially revealing (or nonrevealing) equilibria in sequential economies where assets are nominal (Polemarchakis and Siconolfi (1993) and Rohi (1995)) or where sunspots matter (Dutta and Morris (1997) and Pietra and Siconolfi (1997)). In all these papers, the set of states of private information has finite cardinality. The existence of nonrevealing REE rests crucially on the indeterminacy of equilibria induced by the existence of an incomplete set of nominal assets or by the endogeneity of the probability law on the extrinsic events. Strictly related is also the literature on *noisy* REE: See, for instance, Grossman (1977), Grossman and Stiglitz (1980) and, more recently, DeMarzo and Skiadas (1996).

2. The Model

We consider an economy with two types of agents: *informed* and *uninformed*. There is a continuum (say, the interval $[0,1]$) of identical agents of each type. A generic informed agent is denoted by a subscript i , a generic uninformed agent by a subscript n . The behavior of agents of type h , $h = i, n$, is identical a.e. on the set $[0,1]$. Hence, to simplify notation, we will use the subscript h to denote both the behavior of a single agent of type h and the average behavior of agents of type h and we will omit the integration over the agents of type h . Clearly, all the statements concerning agents' behavior should be interpreted as true a.e. on the set $[0,1]$, even if not explicitly stated.

Let (S, \mathcal{F}, μ) be the probability space, where S is a compact manifold without boundary, without loss of generality, the sphere of dimension J . \mathcal{F} is the Borel σ -field of subsets of S , μ the Lebesgue measure on the space (S, \mathcal{F}) . A particular element of S is $s \equiv (s_0, \dots, s_J)$. For each j , (S_j, \mathcal{F}_j) is a Borel space, where \mathcal{F}_j is the Borel set and μ_j the Lebesgue measure on (S_j, \mathcal{F}_j) . Given the collection μ_j , μ is

the product measure on $(\prod_{j=0}^J S_j, \mathcal{F}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_J) \equiv (S, \mathcal{F})$. We will also use the notation $s \equiv (s(1), s(2)) \equiv ((s_0, \dots, s_K), (s_{K+1}, \dots, s_J)) \in S(1) \times S(2) \equiv \prod_{j=0}^K S_j \times \prod_{j=K+1}^J S_j$ where both $(S(1), \mathcal{F}(1), \mu(1))$ and $(S(2), \mathcal{F}(2), \mu(2))$ are Borel spaces while $\mu(1)$ and $\mu(2)$ are the product measures on $(S(1), \mathcal{F}(1), \mu(1))$ and $(S(2), \mathcal{F}(2), \mu(2))$ induced by the collection μ_j .

For each s , there are $(C+1)$ commodities, indexed by $c = 0, \dots, C$. Agent h 's consumption vector is $x_h(s) \equiv (x_h^0(s), \dots, x_h^C(s))$. Excess demand is $z_h(s)$. Throughout the analysis, endowments (e_i, e_w) are fixed and known with certainty by all the agents.

For each s , prices are denoted by $p(s) \equiv (p^0, \dots, p^C) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{C+1}$. The set of prices is $\mathbf{P} \equiv \{ p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{C+1} \mid p^0 \equiv 1 \}$.

For each h , preferences are described by a utility function $U_h(x_h; s)$, $U_h: \mathbb{R}_{++}^{C+1} \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We assume that $U_h \in C^3$ on $\mathbb{R}_{++}^{C+1} \times S$ (i.e., U_h is thrice continuously differentiable in both the consumption vector and the realization s). Moreover, for each $s \in S$, U_h is strictly monotone and differentially strictly concave in x_h and satisfies the usual boundary conditions: the set $\{x_h \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{C+1} \mid U_h(x_h; s) \geq U_h(x_h^*; s)\}$ is closed in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{C+1} for each $x_h^* \gg 0$.

Let U be the space of the economies given by a utility profile for each type of agent, and endow U with the C^3 compact-open topology, turning it into a metric space.

Before trade takes place, informed agents receive a *private signal* $s \in S$, i.e., they know the actual realization of the economy. Uninformed agents don't receive any private signal, but they observe market prices and the volume of trade and they can use these *market signals* to update their ex-ante probability measure on the set S .

Define $V(p, s) \equiv \sum_h \max \{z_h(p, s), 0\}$ the volume of trade, a vector in \mathbb{R}_+^{C+1} .

A REE is a measurable function $(P, V): (S, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow ((\mathbf{P} \times \mathbb{R}_+^{C+1}), (\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{P}) \otimes \mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^{C+1})))$ where $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{P})$ and $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^{C+1})$ are the Borel σ -field on \mathbf{P} and \mathbb{R}_+^{C+1} , respectively. The function $(P, V)(\cdot)$ induces a sub- σ -field $\mathcal{F}_{p,v}$ of \mathcal{F} : Hence, for agent n , to observe a particular realization of the map $(P, V)(\cdot)$ is equivalent to know that the true state of the world lies in a particular element of \mathcal{F} .

We use a further (strong) assumption:

Assumption S: There is a subcollection $s(1)$ of $(C+1)(C+2) = K$ signals (without loss of generality the first ones) such that, for each h and each $(x_h, s) \in D \times S$, with $D = \{x_h \in \mathbb{R}_+^{C+1} \mid x_i \leq 2(e_i + e_u)\}$, the $(K \times K)$ -dimensional matrix $[D_{x_h, s(1)}^2 U_h(x_h, s)^T, D_{x_h, s(1)}^3 U_h(x_h, s)]$ has full rank K .

Assumption S is clearly satisfied by an open set of economies.

In the proofs of several results, we exploit locally linear perturbations of the utility function, constructed as follows: For each h , let B_h^1 and B_h^2 be open (possibly empty) sets such that $\text{cl} B_h^k \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{C+1}$, for $k = 1, 2$. Also, assume that, given B_h^k , for $k = 1, 2$, there is an open set $B_h^{\varepsilon k}$ which satisfies $\text{cl} B_h^k \subset B_h^{\varepsilon k} \subset \text{cl} B_h^{\varepsilon k} \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{C+1}$ and $\text{cl} B_h^{\varepsilon 1} \cap \text{cl} B_h^{\varepsilon 2} = \emptyset$. Given $U \in \mathbf{U}$, sets B_h^k and $B_h^{\varepsilon k}$, for $k = 1, 2$, and vectors $\delta_h^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{C+1}$ and $\delta_h^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{C+1}$, define U^δ the economy where, for each h , U_h^δ is obtained by replacing U_h with the function

$$U_h^\delta(x_h; s) \equiv U_h(x_h; s) + \Theta^1(x_h, B_h^{\varepsilon 1})(\delta_h^1 x_h) + \Theta^2(x_h, B_h^{\varepsilon 2})(\delta_h^2 x_h),$$

where, for each k , $\Theta^k(x_h, B_h^{\varepsilon k})$ is a smooth "bump" functions, $\Theta^k: \mathbb{R}_+^{C+1} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, which takes the value 1 if

$x_h \in B_h^k$ and the value 0 if $x_h \notin \text{cl}B_h^k$. Evidently, if $U_h \in U^*$, $U_h^\delta \in U^*$ for δ_h^1 and δ_h^2 small enough. This parameterization allows us to use independent perturbations of the utility function on the disjoint sets B_h^k , for $k = 1, 2$. The precise nature of the set B_h^k considered would depend upon the issue considered. In some instances, $B_h^k \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{C+1}$ (i.e., we perturb in the consumption space), in others $B_h^k \subset S$.

2.1 Individual behavior and equilibrium

If $(P, V): (S, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P} \times \mathbb{R}_+^{C+1}$ is the price-volume map, let (p, v) to denote a particular realization of the map. Also, let $F: \mathbf{E} \subset \mathbf{P} \times \mathbb{R}_+^{C+1} \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{F})$ be the measurable map which associates with each realization (p, v) a measurable subset of S .

Given s and (p, v) , agent i chooses a vector $z_i(p, s)$ solving the optimization problem

$$[i] \quad \max U_i(e_i + z_i; s) \text{ subject to } \quad pz_i(s) = 0.$$

Given the map $F(\cdot)$ and the *observable* vector $(p, v) \in \mathbf{P} \times \mathbb{R}_+^{C+1}$, agent n chooses a vector $z_n(p, v)$ solving the optimization problem

$$[n] \quad \max E(U_n(e_n + z_n; s) \mid s \in F(p, v)) \quad \text{subject to} \quad pz_n = 0.$$

Definition 1: A rational expectations equilibrium (REE) is a function $(P, V): (S, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P} \times \mathbb{R}_+^{C+1}$

and a correspondence $F: (P, V)(S) \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{F})$, $F(p, v) \equiv (P, V)^{-1}(p, v)$, such that:

- i. a.e., $z_i(p, s)$ is the optimal solution to [i], given (p, v) ;

- ii. a.e., $z_n(p,v)$ is the optimal solution to $[u]$ given (p,v) and $F(\cdot)$;
- iii. a.e., $V(p,s) = \max \{0, z_i(p,v,s)\} + \max \{0, z_n(p,v)\}$;
- iv. a.e., $z_i(p,s) + z_n(p,v) = 0$,

Bear in mind that all the conditions above must hold a.e. on the set S (of course, i and ii also hold a.e. on the set $[0, 1]$ of agents of each type). Also, notice that, generically, each state of private information is associated with a unique (p,v) , so that each realization (p,v) unambiguously identifies the set of possible states of private information.

We associate with each economy U with asymmetric information a *full communication economy* (FCE) U , the economy with the same fundamentals and where both types of agents know the actual value of the realization s (as in Radner (1979)).

Definition 2: A REE is *fully revealing* (for short, is a FRE) if, a.e. $P(s) = \Pi(s)$, where $\Pi(s)$ is an equilibrium of the associated FCE at state s . A REE is *partially revealing* (for short, is a PRE) otherwise.

In Definition 2 an equilibrium is a FRE if its allocation is an equilibrium allocation of the associated FCE. Evidently, at a FRE, a given realization (p,v) can be associated with more than a single realization s . However, given (p,v) , the optimal behavior of each agent is identical at s and s' .

3. The auxiliary economy

The canonical argument for the existence of REE is not constructive. It considers a fictitious economy where agents are endowed with an arbitrarily given information structure and behave “naively”, i.e., they do not extract information from the knowledge of the equilibrium price function. Usually, this fictitious economy is a Walrasian economy whose equilibria exist under standard assumption. The equilibrium price function of the fictitious economy, $P(\cdot)$, induces an information structure. If $P(\cdot)$, together with the information structure of the original economy, induces, for all the agents, the arbitrarily given information structure of the fictitious economy, then the map $P(\cdot)$ is a REE equilibrium of the original economy.

This argument requires to guess the informational content of a REE and then to assign it exogenously in the fictitious economy. Walrasian equilibria are the obvious candidate when dealing with fully revealing REE. However, in the case of “higher dimensional” information and partial revelation, it is typically impossible to guess what the informational content of the “market signals” will be at a partial revealing equilibrium. Hence, we are forced to follow a constructive approach.

Consider our original economy. Suppose that agent n exploits the observation of the price and volume realizations, but not of the price-volume equilibrium function. In other words, having observed a realization (p, v) , agent n reconstructs the set of states that could have generated v at prices p , i.e., he considers as possible only the states which, given p and i 's utility function, are compatible with $|z_i(\cdot)| = v$. For each (p, v) , this is a closed and, therefore, measurable subset of S . This procedure is well-defined even out of equilibrium. Moreover, the set of states it generates adjusts continuously to changes in prices. Exploiting this construction, we can compute an equilibrium for each realization of s using a fixed point

argument. Hence, we can generate, modulo the choice of a selection, a *naive equilibrium function* $(P, V)(\cdot)$. We call $(P, V)(\cdot)$ *naive*, since individuals do not extract information from the equilibrium map, but only from its realizations. Is $(P, V)(\cdot)$ a REE? Unfortunately, the answer is, in general, negative: $(P, V)(\cdot)$ is, by construction, typically, a function but there are (open sets of) distinct realizations (p, v) and (p', v') such that $(P, V)^{-1}(p, v) \cap (P, V)^{-1}(p', v') \neq \emptyset$. Equivalently, there are (open sets of) states s and s' such that $(P, V)(s) \neq (P, V)(s')$, but $s \in (P, V)^{-1}(p', v')$. Obviously, when the uninformed agent observes $(P, V)(s')$, he should rule out the possibility that state s realized (since $(P, V)(s) \neq (P, V)(s')$) and, hence, should not condition his expectations on the set $(P, V)^{-1}(p, v)$, but on a proper subset.

Suppose now that the naive equilibrium function $(P, V)(\cdot)$ enjoys the additional property that, typically in S , $(P, V)(s) = (p, v) \neq (p', v') = (P, V)(s')$ implies $(P, V)^{-1}(p, v) \cap (P, V)^{-1}(p', v') = \emptyset$. Then, $(P, V)(\cdot)$ is a REE. Hence, our problem is to construct a *naive equilibrium* map whose inverse images do not intersect (or they intersect on a negligible subset of S). The original economy can not be used for such a task. To solve the problem, we introduce a fictitious economy which we call *auxiliary*.

In the auxiliary economy, uninformed individuals observe, together with the price, the informed trader's excess demand realization and some additional information. We will show that, typically, at a *naive equilibrium*, excess demand and volume functions induce the same sigma algebra on a full Lebesgue measure subset of S or that, equivalently, volume and excess demand are, typically, informationally equivalent. Still, we have to explain why we switch from volume to excess demand. As already argued, the main obstacle in constructing a REE from a naive equilibrium function $(P, V)(\cdot)$ is that the naive equilibrium function may self-intersect. In general, also the naive equilibrium function, $(P, Z_i)(\cdot)$, constructed by letting the uninformed individual observe the i 's excess demand realization, self-intersects. However,

the Z-REE (REE of the economies where individuals observe excess demand realizations in addition to prices) enjoy the following property: Let $(P, Z_i, L)(\cdot)$ be the L-REE function of an economy where individuals in addition to the realization (p, z_i) observe the realization of some additional variable ℓ and, as in any REE, exploit the knowledge of the function $(P, Z_i, L)(\cdot)$ to refine their private information. Then, the same equilibrium function $(P, Z_i)(\cdot)$ defines (as shown in Lemma 1) a Z-REE of an economy where only (p, z_i) is observed. Evidently, this implies the well known result of existence of fully revealing Z-REE, $(P, Z_i)(\cdot)$. However, for an appropriate choice of the map $L(\cdot)$, it allows us to construct naive equilibria which do not self-intersect and that do not convey full information, i.e., partially revealing L-REE.

To summarize, the essence of our argument is to exploit three key facts:

- i. naive equilibrium functions which do not self-intersect are L-REE functions;
- ii. any L-REE function $(P, Z_i, L)(\cdot)$ induces a Z-REE function $(P, Z_i)(\cdot)$;
- iii. volume and excess demand are, typically, informationally equivalent. Hence, a Z-REE is a REE of the actual economy.

Before, defining in details the auxiliary economy, we prove formally fact ii.

Let $(P, Z_i, L)(\cdot)$ be an L-REE function, where $P(\cdot)$ and $Z_i(\cdot)$ associate with each realization of s a price and an informed trader's excess demand vector, while $L(\cdot)$ is any additional variable dependent on the realizations of s (for instance, some coordinates of the state realization). Given $s^* \in S$ and $(P, Z_i, L)(s^*) = (p^*, z_i^*, \ell^*)$, let

$$[n1] \quad z_n((P, Z_i, L), s^*) = \operatorname{argmax} E(U_n(e_n + z_n; s) | s \in (P, Z_i, L)^{-1}(p^*, z_i^*, \ell^*)) \text{ subject to } p^* z_n = 0.$$

Also, for $s^* \in S$ and $(P, Z_i)(s^*) = (p^*, z_i^*)$, let

$$[n2] \quad z_n((P, Z_i), s^*) = \operatorname{argmax} E(U_n(e_n + z_n; s) \mid s \in (P, Z_i)^{-1}(p^*, z_i^*)) \text{ subject to } p^* z_n = 0.$$

Observe that the set $(P, Z_i, L)^{-1}(p^*, z_i^*, \ell^*)$ will, in general, be smaller than the set $(P, Z_i)^{-1}(p^*, z_i^*)$.

Lemma 1: Let $(P, Z_i, L)(\cdot)$ be an L-REE. For each $(p^*, z_i^*) \in (P, Z_i)(S)$, let

$$F(p^*, z_i^*) \equiv \cup_{\ell} \{ s \in S \mid s \in (P, Z_i, L)^{-1}(p^*, z_i^*, \ell) \}.$$

Then, $z_n((P, Z_i, L), s^*) = z_n((P, Z_i), s^*)$ for each $s^* \in S$ and $((P, Z_i), F(\cdot))$ is a Z-REE.

Proof of Lemma 1: If for each $(p^*, z_i^*) \in (P, Z_i)(S)$ and $(p^*, z_i^*, \ell^*) \in (P, Z_i, L)(S)$, $(P, Z_i, L)^{-1}(p^*, z_i^*, \ell^*) = (P, Z_i)^{-1}(p^*, z_i^*)$ there is nothing to prove.

Hence, suppose that, for some (p^*, z_i^*, ℓ^*) , $(P, Z_i, L)^{-1}(p^*, z_i^*, \ell^*) \subset (P, Z_i)^{-1}(p^*, z_i^*)$. Let s' and $s'' \in (P, Z_i)^{-1}(p^*, z_i^*)$ be any pair of states satisfying $L(s') = \ell^1 \neq L(s'') = \ell^2$. Clearly, $(P, Z_i, L)^{-1}(p^*, z_i^*, \ell^1) \cup (P, Z_i, L)^{-1}(p^*, z_i^*, \ell^2) \subset (P, Z_i)^{-1}(p^*, z_i^*)$. Since $(P, Z_i, L)(\cdot)$ is an L-REE and $(P, Z_i)(s') = (P, Z_i)(s'') = (p^*, z_i^*)$, we have $z_n((P, Z_i, L), s') = z_n((P, Z_i, L), s'') = -z_n$. Therefore, since age 0 et n is an expected utility maximizer and $(P, Z_i, L)^{-1}(p^*, z_i^*, \ell^1) \cap (P, Z_i, L)^{-1}(p^*, z_i^*, \ell^2) = \emptyset$, the solutions to the programming problems [n1] and [n2] above coincide. ■

Evidently, this step depends heavily on the two types of agents assumption.

We now establish the existence of L-REE for the auxiliary economy. Our starting point are the naive equilibria. As explained above, in a naive equilibrium of the auxiliary economy, uninformed traders ignore the equilibrium functions. We specify the map $L(\cdot)$ defining the auxiliary economy as follows: Uninformed agents update their information after observing the price, p , the excess demand function of the informed trader, his Lagrange multiplier λ_i (in fact, which is equivalent, the gradient of his utility function, $D_x U_i(e_i+z_i;s)$) and his Hessian matrix, $D_x^2 U_i(e_i+z_i;s)$. Hence, with reference to the previous section, the map $L(\cdot)$ coincides with $(D_x U_i(e_i+z_i(p^*,s^*);s^*), D_x^2 U_i(e_i+z_i(s^*)))$.

Consider a fixed signal s^* and let $z_i^* = z_i(p^*,s^*)$ be the optimal solution to problem [i] at prices p^* .

Define

$$\Delta_i^*(p^*,s^*) = (D_x U_i(e_i+z_i(p^*,s^*);s^*), D_x^2 U_i(e_i+z_i(p^*,s^*);s^*))$$

and

$$H(p^*,s^*) \equiv \{ s \in S \mid ((D_x U_i(e_i+z_i(p^*,s);s), D_x^2 U_i(e_i+z_i(p^*,s);s)) = \Delta_i^*(p^*,s^*)) \}.$$

Given assumption S, $H(p^*,s^*)$ is a smooth, connected manifold of dimension $(S-(C+1)(C+2))$. By construction, $z_i(p^*,s)$ is s -invariant on the set $H(p^*,s^*)$. $D_p z_i(\cdot)$ is also s -invariant because

$$D_p z_i(\cdot) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} D_x^2 U_i & -p \\ -p^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \text{diag}(\lambda_i) \\ z_i^T \end{bmatrix}$$

In the auxiliary economy, the uninformed trader solve

$$[n''] \quad z_n(p^*, s^*) = \operatorname{argmax} E(U_n(e_n + z_n; s) | s \in H(p^*, s^*)) \text{ subject to } p^* z_n = 0.$$

Evidently, given s^* , $z_n(p, s^*)$, $z_i(p, s^*)$ and $\Delta_i(p, s^*)$ are continuous functions of p . Hence, a standard fixed point argument suffices to establish the existence of a *naive equilibrium* price vector $p(s)$, for each s^* . For given s , let $\zeta''(p, s, U) = z_i(p, s, U_i) + z_n(p, \Delta_i, U_n)$. Also, let $\zeta(p, s, U)$ be the aggregate excess demand function for all commodities but commodity 0 and let $\zeta_U(p, s)$ be $\zeta(p, s, U)$ for given U .

To summarize,

Lemma 2: Under the maintained assumption, for each $s \in S$, there is a *naive equilibrium*, i.e. a $p(s)$ such that $\zeta(p(s), s, U) = 0$.

At a naive equilibrium, $D_p \zeta(\cdot)$ is s -invariant on the set $H(\cdot)$. Evidently, equilibria with non-zero determinant of $D_p \zeta(\cdot)$ are locally isolated and continuous. We now show that equilibria associated with signal in a connected component of the set of regular economies do not self-intersect.

A preliminary result concerns the differentiability of the excess demand functions in the auxiliary economy.

Lemma 3: Under the maintained assumptions, for each s and each $p \in \mathbf{P}$,

- i. $z_i(p, s, U_i)$ is a smooth function of (p, s) and continuous in U_i .
- ii. $\operatorname{rank} D_{s(1)} [\zeta_i(p, s, U_i), D_x^2 U_i(e_i + z_i; s)] = (C+1)^2 + C$.
- iii. $z_n(p, \Delta_i, U_n)$ is a smooth function of (p, Δ_i) and continuous in U_n .

Proof of Lemma 3: i. Straightforward.

ii. Let $\lambda_i(p,s,U_i)$ be the Lagrange multiplier of optimization problem associated with the optimal solution of problem [i]. $D_{s(1)}[z_i(p,s,U_i), \lambda_i(p,s,U_i), D_x^2 U_i(e_i+z_i;s)] =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_x^2 U_i(\cdot) & -p \\ -p^T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ I_{(C+1)(C+1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_{xs(1)}^2 U_i(\cdot) \\ 0 \\ D_{xss(1)}^3 U_i(\cdot) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $I_{(C+1)(C+1)}$ is the $(C+1)(C+1)$ -dimensional identity matrix.

The matrix $D_{s(1)}[\zeta_i(p,s,U_i), D_x^2 U_i(x_i;s)]$ is obtained from $D_{s(1)}[z_i(p,s,U_i), \lambda_i(p,s,U_i), D_x^2 U_i(x_i;s)]$ deleting rows 1 and $(C+2)$, i.e., deleting these columns from the left-hand matrix and these rows form the right-hand matrix. By assumption S, the right-hand matrix so obtained has full rank $(C+1)^2+C$. Hence, $\text{rank } D_{s(1)}[\zeta_i(p,s,U_i), D_x^2 U_i(x_i;s)] = (C+1)(C+1) + \text{rank } A$, where A is the top left submatrix in the left-hand matrix. Evidently, $\text{rank } A = C$ (A is obtained eliminating two columns from a square matrix of full rank $(C+2)$). Hence, $\text{rank } D_{s(1)}[\zeta_i(p,s,U_i), D_x^2 U_i(x_i;s)] = (C+1)^2+C$.

iii. Consider optimization problem [n']. Without loss of generality, restrict the analysis to some compact set $X \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{C+1}$. Hence, the first order conditions of problem [n'] are necessary and sufficient for an optimal solution. Then, the result follows by a standard argument. ■

The next result is that naive equilibria are, generically regular equilibria.

Lemma 4: For $U \in U'$, an open and dense subset of U , there is an open, dense subset $S(U) \subset S$ (of

full Lebesgue measure) such that, for each $s \in S(U)$, $|D_p \zeta(p,s)| \neq 0$ at each equilibrium p of the *naive economy*.

Proof of Lemma 4: It follows by a standard transversality argument applied to the auxiliary economy after setting $z_n(p,s,U_n) = \operatorname{argmax} E(U_n(e_n+z_n;s) | s \in H(p,s))$.

Consider the map $\zeta(p,s,U)$. It is straightforward to check that

$$\det D_p \zeta(\cdot, \cdot) \neq 0.$$

Hence, by the transversality theorem, there is an open dense set U' such that, for each $U \in U'$, $\zeta_U(p,s) \neq 0$. It follows that there is a set $S(U)$ (with $\mu(S(U)) = 1$) such that $\zeta_{U,s}(p,s) \neq 0$. Hence $\det D_p \zeta(p,s,U) \neq 0$ at each naive equilibrium of $s \in S(U)$. ■

Consider $U \in U'$. By Lemma 4 and a standard argument, we can pick a selection of the equilibrium map which is continuous on each connected component of $S(U)$, $S^k(U)$. The crucial fact is that if two signals s'' , s' are in the same connected component of the regular economies (and are associated with distinct price-excess demand pairs), then $H(p',s') \cap H(p'',s'') = \emptyset$.

Lemma 5: For each $U \in U'$, if $s, s' \in S^k(U)$, for some k , and $(P_1(s'), \Delta_1(P_1(s'), s')) \neq (P_1(s), \Delta_1(P_1(s), s))$, then $H(P_1(s), s) \cap H(P_1(s'), s') = \emptyset$.

Proof of Lemma 5: Given a connected component, say $S^1(U)$, of regular economies, pick a continuous selection $P_1(s)$ of the equilibrium map. By definition of function, $H(P_1(s), s) \cap H(P_1(s'), s') = \emptyset$ on the set

$S^1(U)$.

Assume, by contradiction, that $H(P_1(s),s) \cap H(P_1(s'),s') = s''$ for some $s'' \notin S^1(U)$. Consider the two paths from s and s' to s'' defined by $H(P_1(s),s)$ and $H(P_1(s'),s')$. Let $C(U)$ be the set of critical economies. Notice that, by construction, at each point $s^c \in H(P_1(s),s) \cap C(U)$ (or $s^c \in H(P_1(s'),s') \cap C(U)$) at the equilibrium $P_1(s)$, $|D_p \zeta(P_1(s),s^c)| \neq 0$. Hence, the map $P_1(s)$ can be extended as a function to all the connected components $S^k(U)$ such that $S^k(U) \cap H(P_1(s),s) \neq \emptyset$ for some $s \in S^1(U)$ ■

The last two Lemmat immediately imply the following.

Proposition 1: Under the maintained assumptions, there is an open and dense set of economies U^0 such that, for each $U \in U^0$ there is a Z-REE $((P,Z_i)(\cdot),F(\cdot))$.

Proof of Proposition 1: For each regular s and for each equilibrium selection $P_k(s)$, define the non-empty set $A_k(s) \equiv \{s'' \in S^k(U) \mid s'' \in H(P_k(s),s) \text{ or } s'' \notin H(P_k(s),s) \text{ and } H(P_k(s),s) \cap H(P_k(s''),s'') = \emptyset\}$.

Let $\mu_k(s) = \mu(A_k(s))$ and $\mu(A(s)) = \max_k \mu(A_k(s))$. For critical economies, set $\mu(A(s)) = 0$. Finally, let $s^* \in \arg \sup \mu(s)$. Evidently, $\mu(s^*) > 0$.

Finally, for $s \notin A(s^*)$, set $(P(s),Z(s))$ be the equilibrium realization in the full communication economy.

This is a Z-REE. In fact, if $s \notin A(s^*)$, then necessarily $(P(s),Z(s)) \neq (P(s'),Z(s'))$ for each $s' \in A(s^*)$.

Otherwise, one would have $s \in H(P(s'),Z(s'))$ for some $s' \in A(s^*)$. ■

Remark: By repeating the construction (restricting the analysis to $s \notin A(s^*)$), we could enlarge the

set of signals such that the associated equilibrium is (potentially) partially revealing. However, it does not seem to be possible to show that (generically) for a measure 1 set of signals the equilibrium is partially revealing.

3.1 Existence of a REE of the Original Economy

We now show that generically, at an equilibrium, the map $(P, Z_i)(s)$ is informationally equivalent to the map $(P, |Z_i|)(s) \equiv (P, V)(s)$.

We establish the result for all the equilibria. A fortiori, it holds for signals in the set $A(s^*)$. Let s and s' be two distinct states whose equilibria satisfy $(P, Z_i)(s) \neq (P, Z_i)(s')$ while $(P, V)(s) = (P, V)(s')$. By definition of the map $V(\cdot)$, given two arbitrary excess demand vectors z_i and z'_i , $z_i \neq z'_i$ and $V = V'$ if and only if $|z_i| = |z'_i|$. Since we are going to use the transversality theorem, this last expression is not suitable for differential analysis. Let C be the set of commodity indexes and $C(C)$ the set of nonempty subsets of C which always contains $c = 0$. Since $C(C)$ is finite, we denote by $C(k)$, $k = 1, \dots, \#C(C)$, one of its elements. Then, $z_i \neq z'_i$ and $V = V'$ if and only if there exists k such that the following system of equations has a solution

$$z_i^c = z_i'^c, \text{ for } c \in C(k), \quad z_i^c + z_i'^c = 0 \text{ for } c \in C \setminus C(k).$$

Denote this system by $Q(z_i, z'_i, k)$, $k = 1, \dots, \#C(C)$ and observe that $D_z Q = I$ for each k .

Define a realization of the equilibrium map $(P, Z_i)(s)$ *confounding* if there is another s' such that $(P, Z_i)(s) \neq (P, Z_i)(s')$, while $(P, V)(s) = (P, V)(s')$.

Let

$$B(U) \equiv \{ (p, \zeta_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{2C} \mid (p, \zeta_i) = (p, z_i^1(p, s), \dots, z_i^C(p, s)) \text{ for some } s \in A(U) \}.$$

Under the maintained assumptions, since p is not required to be an equilibrium price, $B(U)$ is an open set, hence a smooth manifold. Moreover the correspondence $F(p, z_i)$ (or, equivalently the correspondence $F(p, \zeta_i)$, is well-defined on this set.

Define now as $\zeta_n(p, \zeta_i, U_n)$ the map given by the last C coordinates of $z_n(p, F(p, \zeta_i), \Sigma, U_n)$.

Let $M = (m, m')$ be a pair of disjoint and closed (relative to $B(U)$) balls contained in $B(U)$ with rational radius and rational center. Let \mathcal{M} be the countable collections of pairs (m, m') .

Proposition 2: Under the maintained assumptions, there is a residual set of economies, $U^1 \subset U$, such that, for each $U \in U^1$, there is an open, dense set of full Lebesgue measure, $S'(U)$, such that, for each pair $s, s' \in S'(U)$ such that $(P, Z_i)(s) \neq (P, Z_i)(s')$, $(P, V)(s) \neq (P, V)(s')$.

Proof of Proposition 2: Pick $(m, m') = M \in \mathcal{M}$. For $k = 1, \dots, \#C(C)$, define the maps

$$\Psi^k(p, p', \zeta_i, \zeta_i', U) = (\zeta_n(p, \zeta_i, U_n) + \zeta_i, \zeta_n(p', \zeta_i', U_n) + \zeta_i', p - p', Q(\zeta_i, \zeta_i', k)),$$

$$\Psi^k: M \times U^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4C}.$$

Evidently, if (p, ζ_i) is a realization of a Z-REE at some s , then $\zeta_n(p, \zeta_i, U_n) + \zeta_i = 0$. Moreover, if $\Psi^k(p, p', \zeta_i, \zeta_i', U_n) = 0$, for some k , then (p, ζ_i) is confounding.

We are going to show that, given M , for each U in a generic subset of U^0 , $U(\mathbf{M})$, $B(U)$ contains at most a countable number of *confounding* equilibria (p, ζ_i) . This follows by a standard transversality argument. We will conclude the proof by iterating the argument on the countable collection of pairs M and taking intersections of $U(\mathbf{M})$.

By definition of the two sets m and m' , if $\Psi(p, p', \zeta_i, \zeta_i, U_n) = 0$, we can *independently* perturb n 's utility function on two disjoint neighborhoods $B(-\zeta_i + e_n)$ and $B(-\zeta_i - e_n)$ proceeding as follows.

Consider a vector $(p, p', \zeta_i, \zeta_i, U_n)$ such that $\Psi(p, p', \zeta_i, \zeta_i, U_n) = 0$. Then,

$$D_{(p, p', \zeta_i, \zeta_i, U_n)} \Psi^k(\cdot) \begin{bmatrix} D_{p, n}(\cdot) & D_{\zeta_i, n}(\cdot) & D_{\zeta_i, n}(\cdot) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & D_{\zeta_i, n}(\cdot) \\ I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where I is the C -dimensional identity matrix..

Since $\text{rank } D_{\zeta_i, n}(\cdot) = C$, at each $(p, p', \zeta_i, \zeta_i, U_n)$ such that $\Psi(p, p', \zeta_i, \zeta_i, U_n) = 0$, $\text{rank } D_{(p, \zeta_i, \delta, \delta)} \Psi^k(\cdot) = 4C$, for all K . Hence, $\Psi^k \pitchfork 0$. Therefore, there are open and dense subset $U(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{k}) \subset U^0$ such that $\Psi^k|_U \pitchfork 0$. Select $U \in U(\mathbf{M}) \equiv \bigcap_k U(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{k})$, an open and dense subset of U .

Since $\Psi^k: M \subset \mathbb{R}^{4C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4C}$ and $U \in U(\mathbf{M})$, $(\dots, \Psi^k|_U^{-1}(0), \dots)$ is (at most) countable, given, say, by a collection (p_j, ζ_j) , $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $S(M, U) = \bigcup_j H(p_j, \zeta_j)$. Since $\mu(H(p_j, \zeta_j)) = 0$ for each j and since the collection is countable, $\mu(S(M, U)) = 0$.

Repeat the procedure for each $M(t) \in \mathbf{M}$, $t = 1, 2, \dots$ and define the sets $U(\mathbf{M}(t))$ and $S(M(t), U)$ in the obvious way. Then, let $U^1 \equiv \bigcap_t U(\mathbf{M}(t))$ and $S'(U) \equiv S \setminus (\bigcup_t S(M(t), U))$. Given that the collection \mathbf{M} is countable, U^1 is a residual set while, for $U \in U^1$, $S'(U)$ is open, dense and of full Lebesgue measure.

Consider an economy $U \in \mathbf{U}^1$ and the associated set $S'(U)$. By construction, for each pair s and $s' \in S^*(U)$, if $(P, Z_i)(s) \neq (P, Z_i)(s')$, then $(P, V)(s) \neq (P, V)(s')$, i.e. the set $(P, Z_i)(S'(U))$ does not contain any *confounding* realization of the equilibrium. ■

To complete the construction, we need to show that Z is informationally equivalent to V for the equilibria of the full communication economy and that, generically, no confusion may arise among equilibria associated with signals in the set $A(s^*)$ and in $S \setminus A(s^*)$ (i.e., to signals with associated partially revealing equilibrium price versus signals with associated fully revealing equilibrium).

Moreover, we need to show that the equilibria associated with s in $A(U)$ are not (typically) fully revealing.

First, we establish the well-known fact that this class of economies always has a FRE.

Theorem 1: Under the maintained assumptions, there is a residual set of economies $\mathbf{U}^2 \subset \mathbf{U}$ such that, for each $U \in \mathbf{U}^2$, there is a FRE. Moreover, the FRE map $((\Pi, V)(.), F(.))$ is continuous at each $s \in S(U)$, an open and dense subset of S of full Lebesgue measure.

Proof of Theorem 1: Consider an economy U and the associated FCE. Under the maintained assumptions, the FCE has an equilibrium correspondence, say $\psi(.)$, defined on the set S . In the FCE, all the excess demand functions depend just on (p, s) . Hence, we will use the natural notation.

First, observe that, by a standard argument, there is an open, dense set of economies, $\mathbf{U}^* \subset \mathbf{U}$, such that, for each $U \in \mathbf{U}^*$, there is an open, dense subset of S , of full Lebesgue measure $S^*(U)$ such that the equilibrium price correspondence of the FCE, $\psi(.)$, is continuous and has a finite number of elements for

each $s \in S^*(U)$, i.e., locally, $\psi(\cdot) = \Pi_1(\cdot) \cup \dots \cup \Pi_k(\cdot)$.

The issue is: Can we support a continuous selection of $\psi(\cdot)$, that we denote with $\Pi(\cdot)$, as a REE of the actual economy?

Let π be a value taken on by $\Pi(\cdot)$ for some s .

Given $\Pi(\cdot)$, define the correspondence $F(\pi, v) \equiv \{ s \in S^1(U) \mid s \in (\Pi, V)^{-1}(\pi, |z_i(\Pi(s), s)|) \}$, defined on the set $(\Pi(\cdot), z_i(\Pi(\cdot), \cdot))(S^*)$. Let $V(\cdot) = |z_i(\Pi(s), s)|$.

We need to show that $((\Pi, V)(\cdot), F(\cdot))$ is a FRE.

The argument is essentially analogous to the one we exploited in the proof of Proposition 2. Hence, we just outline how to modify that proof.

Given s^* , define the correspondences $H_i(\pi, \zeta_i) \equiv \{ s \in S^1(U) \mid \zeta_i(\pi, s) = \zeta_i(\pi, s^*) \}$ and $H_n(\pi, \zeta_n) \equiv \{ s \in S^1(U) \mid \zeta_n(\pi, s) = \zeta_n(\pi, s^*) \}$.

Let $H(\pi, \zeta_i) \equiv H_i(\pi, \zeta_i) \cap H_n(\pi, \zeta_n)$, so that both $\zeta_i(\pi, s)$ and $\zeta_n(\pi, s)$ are s -invariant on $H(\pi, \zeta_i)$.

As in Proposition 2, define the open set

$$B(U) \equiv \{ (\pi, \zeta_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{2C} \mid (\pi, \zeta_i) = (\pi, z_i^1(\pi, s), \dots, z_i^C(\pi, s)) \text{ for some } s \in S^*(U) \}.$$

Evidently, $H(\pi, \zeta_i)$ is well-defined on $B(U)$. As above, let $M = (m, m')$ be a pair of disjoint and closed (relative to $B(U)$) balls contained in $B(U)$ with rational radius and rational center. Let \bar{M} be the countable collections of pairs (m, m') .

As in Proposition 2, pick $(m, m') = M \in \bar{M}$ and, for $k = 1, \dots, \#C(C)$, define the maps

$$\Psi^k(p, p', \zeta_i, \zeta_i, U) = (\zeta_n(\pi, \zeta_i, U_n) + \zeta_i, \zeta_n(\pi', \zeta_i, U_n) + \zeta_i, \pi - \pi', Q(\zeta_i, \zeta_i, k)),$$

where $\Psi^k: M \times U^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4C}$.

Evidently, if (π, ζ_i) is a realization of a FRE at some s , then $\zeta_n(\pi, \zeta_i, U_n) + \zeta_i = 0$. Moreover, if $\Psi^k(\pi, \pi', \zeta_i, \zeta_i, U_n) = 0$, for some k , then (p, ζ_i) is confounding.

The remaining of the proof is identical to the one of Proposition 2. ■

Remark: Given the relationship between FRE and equilibria of the FCE, self-intersections are not an issue here, because the starting point is the *function* $\Pi(\cdot)$, an arbitrary (but - generically - continuous) selection out of the equilibrium price correspondence in the FCE.

We can now show that the Z-equilibria of Proposition 1 are actually REE.

Theorem 2: Under the maintained assumptions, there is a residual set of economies $U^1 \subset U$ such that, for each $U \in U^1$, the Z-equilibrium of Proposition 1 is a REE. Moreover, the REE map $((P, V)(\cdot), F(\cdot))$ is continuous at each $s \in S(U)$, an open and dense subset of S of full Lebesgue measure.

Proof of Theorem 2: It follows from the same argument of Proposition 1 and Theorem 1 after one defines the map $\Psi^k(p, p', \zeta_i, \zeta_i, U) = (\zeta_n(p, \zeta_i, U_n) + \zeta_i, \zeta_n(\pi, \zeta_i, U_n) + \zeta_i, p - \pi', Q(\zeta_i, \zeta_i, k))$ where the first map $\zeta_n(p, \zeta_i, U_n) + \zeta_i$ refers to the equilibria considered in Proposition 1 while $\zeta_n(\pi, \zeta_i, U_n) + \zeta_i$ refers to the equilibria of the full communication economy. ■

To conclude, we need to establish that the equilibria of Theorem 2 are (generically) PRE. The crucial difference between these equilibria and the ones of Theorem 1 is that in Theorem 1 the starting point is the set of states of information where the *equilibrium of the FCE is s-invariant*. In Theorem 2, instead, the set $H(p, \zeta_i)$ (hence, the set $F(p, v)$) is (a subset of) the set of states of private information such that, given p , the *informed agent's excess demand is s-invariant*. Except for economies in a null set, the two constructions lead to distinct equilibria (Theorem 3): Hence, generically, the equilibrium of Theorem 2 is a PRE, i.e., at least on some subset of S of positive measure, its equilibrium allocation does not coincide with any equilibrium allocation of the FCE.

Theorem 3: Under the maintained assumptions, there is a residual set of economies $U^3 \subset U^1 \cap U^2$ such that, for each $U \in U^3$, there is a PRE. Moreover, for each $U \in U^3$, the PRE map is continuous on an open, dense subset $S^3(U)$ of full Lebesgue measure.

Proof of Theorem 3: Let $U' \equiv U^1 \cap U^2$. Remember that $A(U)$ is the set of signals such that the equilibrium constructed in Proposition 1 and Theorem 2 is (potentially) non fully revealing.

Given $U \in U^*$, pick an open ball $B(U)$. Consider the FCE. Pick $s \in A(U)$ for each $U \in B(U)$ (this can be done for $B(U)$ small enough) and consider $(\Pi, V)(s)$. Define the open balls $B'(s)$, $B^{\epsilon^1}(s)$, $B''(s)$, $B^{\epsilon''}(s)$, $B(x_n)$ and $B^{\epsilon}(x_n)$ with the following properties:

- a. $x_n(\Pi(s), s, U_n) \in B(x_n)$ for each $U \in B(U)$ and $s \in S^*(U)$. Moreover, $\text{cl}B^{\epsilon}(x_n) \subset \mathbb{R}_{++}^{C+1}$;
- b. For each $U \in B(U)$, $B'(s) \cap F(\Pi(s), V(S)) \neq \emptyset$ and $B''(s) \cap F(\Pi(s), V(S)) \neq \emptyset$, $\text{cl}B^{\epsilon^1}(s) \cap \text{cl}B^{\epsilon''}(s) = \emptyset$.

Let $\Theta^s(s, B'(s))$, $\Theta^s(s, B''(s))$ and $\Theta^x(x_n, B(x_n))$ be smooth bump functions taking the value 1 if s

$\in B(s)$, the value 0 if $s \notin B^\varepsilon(s)$. Bear in mind that one can take $\Theta^\varepsilon(s, B^\varepsilon(s))$ to be a non-negative function (e.g., Hirsch [1976, p.17]).

Replace the utility function U_n with the function

$$U_n^\delta(e_n + z_n; s) = U_n(e_n + z_n; s) + \delta \Theta^\varepsilon(x_n, B(x_n)) [\Theta^\varepsilon(s, B^\varepsilon(s)) \sum_{c>1} a'_c x_n^c + \Theta^\varepsilon(s, B^\varepsilon(s)) \sum_{c>1} a''_c x_n^c].$$

Clearly, given strictly positive $(C+1)$ -dimensional vectors a' and a'' (and the "bump" functions), $(U_n^\delta, U_i) \in U^1 \cap U^2$ for each δ small enough.

Define the map $\Psi: P \times P \times \text{cl}B^\varepsilon(s) \times \text{cl}B^\varepsilon(s) \times B(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3C}$, defined by

$$\Psi(\pi, p, s, U) = (\zeta_n(\pi, s, U_n) + \zeta_i(\pi, s, U_i), \zeta_n(p, H(s), s, U_n) + \zeta_i(p, s, U_i), \pi - p).$$

Suppose that, at a zero of $\Psi(\pi, p, s, U)$, for some $s \in \text{cl}B^\varepsilon(s)$, $\zeta_n(\pi, s, U_n) = \zeta_n(p, H(s), s, U_n)$.

The Jacobian of $\Psi(\pi, p, s, U)$ contains the submatrix

$$D_{(\pi, a, a')} \Psi(\pi, p, s, U) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} D_\pi \zeta(\pi, s, U) & D_a \zeta(\pi, s, U) & 0 \\ 0 & D_a \zeta(p, s, U) & D_a \zeta(p, s, U) \\ -I & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is straightforward to check that the matrices $D_a \zeta(\pi, s, U)$ and $D_a \zeta(p, s, U)$ have full rank C .

Therefore, the submatrix above has full rank and $\Psi \neq 0$. Hence, for some open and dense subset of $B(U, B'(U))$, $\Psi_U \neq 0$. This in turn implies that, for $U \in B'(U)$ there is an open, dense set of full Lebesgue measure $S^3(U)$ such that $\Psi_{U,s} \neq 0$. This implies $\Psi_{U,s}^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$. ■

4. On the "number" of FRE and PRE

The set of FRE exhibits indeterminacy of the set of equilibrium allocations whenever, at some $s \in S^1(U)$, the FCE has multiple equilibria. To compare the "number" of PRE and FRE abstracting from this trivial indeterminacy, in this last section we assume:

Assumption U: For each economy $U \in \mathbf{U}$ and each $s \in S$, there is a unique equilibrium of the FCE economy.

For this class of economies, typically, there is still a continuum of PRE, parameterized by a variable $\lambda \in (0, \eta)$ for some $\eta > 0$ (it will be evident that richer parameterizations would be possible). To be precise, we now show that, for each pair of equilibria $(P_\lambda, V_\lambda)(\cdot)$ and $(P_{\lambda'}, V_{\lambda'})(\cdot)$ with $\lambda \neq \lambda'$, λ and λ' small enough, the two PRE allocations are different on some non-zero measure subset $S' \subset S$. Hence, that there is a nonzero dimensional family of distinct PRE which are continuous a.s..

Theorem 4: Assume that $C > 2$. Then, under the maintained assumptions, there is a residual subset of $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U}' \subset \mathbf{U}$, such that, for each $U \in \mathbf{U}'$, and for each $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ there is a PRE, $(P_\lambda, V_\lambda)(\cdot)$. Moreover, there is an open and dense subset of $(0, \eta] = \mathbf{O}$, such that, for each pair λ and $\lambda' \in \mathbf{O}$, with $\lambda \neq \lambda'$, $(P_\lambda, V_\lambda)(\cdot) \neq (P_{\lambda'}, V_{\lambda'})(\cdot)$ on some open, dense subset $S'(U) \subset S(U)$ of full Lebesgue measure.

Proof of Theorem 4: In this proof (in repeating the construction of Theorem 2), we replace, for each (p, s) , the set $H(p, s)$ with the set $H(p, s) \cap B_\lambda(s)$, where $B_\lambda(s)$ is the open ball of radius λ centered on s . Evidently, for λ small enough, $H(p, s) \neq H(p, s) \cap B_\lambda(s)$. Evidently, for each λ there is a PRE, say

$(P_\lambda, V_\lambda)(s)$.

Bear in mind that we have established that for all the economies in an open, dense set U^0 there is a Z-REE defined on an open, dense set of full Lebesgue measure $S^0(U)$. For each economy in U^0 , the set $S^0(U)$ can be expressed as the union of a countable collection of disjoint, connected components. In the sequel we will outline the proof for a particular connected component of the set $S^0(U)$. Notice that, by construction, each component $S^0(U)$ is obtained as the union of a disjoint collection of manifolds of dimension $[S-(C+1)(C+2)]$ and it can be easily parameterized by a $(C+1)(C+2)$ -dimensional (connected) surface in $S(U)$, say the surface K .

For each economy U , let $L(p,s)$ be the Least Upper Bound of the radius of the sets $B_\epsilon(s)$ such that $H(p,s) \subset B_\epsilon(s)$. Evidently, for each (p,s) , $L(p,s) > 0$. Set $\eta = \min_{(p,s)} L(p,s)/2$. Then, for each (p,s) , $H(p,s) \neq B_\lambda(s) \cap H(p,s) \equiv H_\lambda(s)$ for each $\lambda \in [0, \eta]$. Also, the equilibrium associated with $\lambda = 0$ is clearly a FRE.

Consider the countable collection \mathcal{A} of pairs of compact balls (Λ, Λ') of rational radius contained in $[0, \eta]$. Let λ be a typical element of Λ and λ' a typical element of Λ' . Define the map

$$\Xi(p, p', \lambda, \lambda', s, U) = (\zeta_n(p, H_\lambda(p, s)) + \zeta_i(p, s), \zeta_n(p', H_{\lambda'}(p', s)) + \zeta_i(p', s), p - p').$$

Evidently, if $\Xi(p, p', \lambda, \lambda', s, U) = 0$, then the equilibria associated with λ and λ' entail the same equilibrium allocation at state s . We now show that, typically in economy space, for a generic set of states $S(\Lambda, \Lambda')$, $\Xi^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$.

Without loss of generality, assume that $\lambda < \lambda'$ so that, at each p , $H_\lambda(p, s) \subset H_{\lambda'}(p, s)$ and $H_\lambda(p, s) \neq H_{\lambda'}(p, s)$.

Pick any pair of open sets with the following properties: B is the union of the collection of open balls of radius λ centered on each $s \in K$. Without loss of generality, assume that, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $\text{cl}B^\varepsilon \subset S$. Let B' be any open ball such that, for each $s \in K$, $H_\lambda(P(s),s) \cap B' \neq \emptyset$, $\text{cl}B^{\varepsilon'} \subset S$ and $\text{cl}B^\varepsilon \cap \text{cl}B^{\varepsilon'} = \emptyset$. Clearly, such a pair of sets exists. Hence, we can perturb U_n on the set B' without affecting $z_n(p, H_\lambda(p,s))$. Therefore, at each $(p,p',\lambda,\lambda',s,U)$ such that $\Xi(p,p',\lambda,\lambda',s,U) = 0$,

$$D_{(p,p',\lambda,\lambda',s,U)} \Xi(p,p',\lambda,\lambda',s,U) = \begin{bmatrix} D_{p'}(\cdot) & 0 & D_{\lambda'}(\cdot) & 0 \\ 0 & D_p(\cdot) & D_{\lambda'}(\cdot) & D_{2\lambda'}(\cdot) \\ I & -I & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, $\Xi(p,p',\lambda,\lambda',s,U) \neq 0$. Therefore, there is an open, dense set $U(\Lambda, \Lambda')$ such that, for each $U \in U(\Lambda, \Lambda')$, $\Xi_U \neq 0$. This means that, for each $U \in U(\Lambda, \Lambda')$, there is an open, dense set of full Lebesgue measure $S(\Lambda, \Lambda')$ such that, for each $s \in S(\Lambda, \Lambda')$, $\Xi_{Us} \neq 0$. Given that $\Xi_{Us}: \mathbb{R}_{++}^{2C+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{++}^{3C}$ and that $C > 2$, $\Xi_{Us}^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$.

By iterating the procedure for each $(\Lambda, \Lambda') \in \mathcal{Q}$ and taking the intersection of the corresponding $U(\Lambda, \Lambda')$ sets of economies, we establish the claim for the Z-REE. Then, the argument of Theorem 2 applies here. ■

5. An Example

Consider an economy with two agents, three commodities and three signals, s_1, s_2 and s_3 , with $s_j \in (0,1), j = 1, \dots, 3$. To simplify as much as possible the computations, assume that both agents have quasi-linear preferences: $U_i(\cdot) = (s_1+s_2)\ln x_i^0 + (s_2+s_3)\ln x_i^1 + x_i^2$ and $U_n(\cdot) = s_1 \ln x_n^0 + s_3 \ln x_n^1 + x_n^2$. Also assume

that $e_n = (1, 1, 1)$. While, of course, quasi-linear utility functions have very peculiar properties, we can not see any reason why they should have an essential effect on the results discussed here.

a. **FRE**

A straightforward computations shows that, in the FCE, the unique equilibrium is $P(s) = ((2s_1 + s_2)/2, (s_2 + 2s_3)/2, 1)$. Agent i 's equilibrium allocation is $z_i(s) = (s_2/(2s_1 + s_2), s_2/(s_2 + 2s_3), -s_2)$. The FRE is given by the map $(P, |z_i|)(\cdot)$. Indeed, given that $V^2(s) = s_2$, knowledge of the map $(P, V)(\cdot)$ and observation of the realization (p, v) always allow agent n to infer the true realization s .

b. **PRE**

For expositional easiness, we will proceed by "conjecturing" an information structure (for agent n) which exhibits partial information and we will show that it is consistent with the information revealed by equilibrium prices and volume. We now exhibit a one-dimensional family of FRE (whose allocations differ on a generic set of states) obtained, essentially, using the trick exploited in Theorem 3.

Pick λ such that $0 < \lambda < 1$. We first construct REE restricting the analysis to the following two possible cases:

- a. $s_1 > s_3$;
- b. $s_1 < s_3$.

Evidently, the set S' of s satisfying one of the previous conditions is a generic subset of S .

Case a: Set $E(s_1) = s_1 - \lambda(s_1 - s_2)$. The restrictions described above then imply that $E(s_2) = s_2 + \lambda(s_1 - s_2)$

and $E(s_3) = s_3 - \lambda(s_1 - s_2)$. Notice that $0 < E(s_j) < 1$ for each j . Given the map $E^a(\cdot)$, the "candidate" equilibrium price-allocation functions are given by

$$P^a(\cdot) = ((s_1 + (s_1 + s_2) - \lambda(s_1 - s_2))/2, ((s_2 + s_3) + s_3 - \lambda(s_1 - s_2))/2, 1)$$

$$Z_1^a(\cdot) = (((s_2 + \lambda(s_1 - s_2))/(s_1 + (s_1 + s_2) - \lambda(s_1 - s_2))), ((s_2 + \lambda(s_1 - s_2))/((s_2 + s_3) + s_3 + \lambda(s_1 - s_2))),$$

$$(s_1 + s_3 - 2\lambda(s_1 - s_2))).$$

It is easy to check that $(P^a, |Z_1^a|)(\cdot)$ would be a REE if we would restrict s to the manifold $s_1 > s_3$. It is also easy to check that different values of λ induce different allocations.

Case b: Set $E(s_3) = s_3 - \lambda(s_3 - s_2)$. Then, $E(s_2) = s_2 + \lambda(s_3 - s_2)$ and $E(s_1) = s_1 - \lambda(s_3 - s_2)$. Given the map $E(\cdot)$, the "candidate" equilibrium price-allocation functions are given by

$$P^b(\cdot) = (((s_1 + (s_1 + s_2) - \lambda(s_3 - s_2))/2, ((s_2 + s_3) + s_3 - \lambda(s_3 - s_2))/2, 1)$$

$$Z_1^b(\cdot) = (((s_2 + \lambda(s_3 - s_2))/(s_1 + (s_1 + s_2) - \lambda(s_3 - s_2))), ((s_2 + \lambda(s_3 - s_2))/((s_2 + s_3) + s_3 + \lambda(s_3 - s_2))),$$

$$(s_1 + s_3 - 2\lambda(s_3 - s_2))).$$

It is easy to check that $(P^b, |Z_1^b|)(\cdot)$ would be a REE if we would restrict s to the manifold $s_1 < s_3$. It is also easy to check that different values of λ induce different allocations.

Consider now the entire space of states of private information. We first show that the map $(P, Z_1)(\cdot)$ obtained "gluing" $(P^a, Z_1^a)(\cdot)$ and $(P^b, Z_1^b)(\cdot)$ is a Z-REE. Denote by s a typical element of the subset of S considered in case a and by s' a typical element of the subset of S considered in case b. We just need to show that there are no s, s' such that $(P^a, Z_1^a)(s) = (P^b, Z_1^b)(s')$. Bear in mind that observation of a realization (p, z_1) is equivalent to the observation of $(s_1 + s_2)$ and $(s_2 + s_3)$. Hence, if $(P^a, Z_1^a)(s) = (P^b, Z_1^b)(s')$, $(s_1 + s_2) =$

$(s_1'+s_2')$ and $(s_2+s_3) = (s_2'+s_3')$. Moreover, inspection $(P^a, Z_1^a)(\cdot)$ and $(P^b, Z_1^b)(\cdot)$ and an obvious simplification implies that $(P^a, Z_1^a)(s) = (P^b, Z_1^b)(s')$ if and only if

$$\text{i. } s_1 - \lambda(s_1 - s_2) = s_1' - \lambda(s_3' - s_2')$$

$$\text{ii. } s_3 - \lambda(s_1 - s_2) = s_3' - \lambda(s_3' - s_2')$$

However, i and ii can never be simultaneously satisfied because subtracting ii from i, we obtain $(s_1 - s_3) = (s_1' - s_3')$, while, by construction, $(s_1 - s_3) > 0$ and $(s_1' - s_3') < 0$. Hence, the map constructed above is a Z-REE. The same observation also establishes that the given map is a REE: If $(s_1 + s_2) = (s_1' + s_2')$ and $(s_2 + s_3) = (s_2' + s_3')$ and $p^0(s) = p^0(s')$, then $p^1(s') > p^1(s)$.

References

1. Allen, B., Generic existence of completely revealing equilibria for economies with uncertainty when prices convey information, *Econometrica* **49** (1981), 1173-99.
2. Allen, B., A class of monotone economies in which rational expectations equilibria exist but price do not reveal all information, *Econ. Letters* **7** (1981), 227-232.
3. Allen, B., Strict rational expectations equilibria with diffuseness, *J. Econ. Theory*, 1982, **27**, 20-46.
4. Allen, B., Utility perturbations and the equilibrium price set, *J. Math. Econ.*, 1981, **8**, 277-307.
5. Ausubel, L., Partially-revealing rational expectations equilibrium in a competitive economy, *J. Econ. Theory* **50** (1990), 93-126.
6. DeMarzo, P., and C. Skiadas, Aggregation, determinacy and informational efficiency for a class of economies with asymmetric information, Mimeo, 1996.
7. Dutta, J., and S. Morris, The revelation of information and self-fulfilling beliefs, *J. Econ. Theory*, 1997.
8. Grossman, S.J., The existence of future markets with noisy rational expectations and informational externalities, *R. Econ. Studies* **44** (1977), 431-449.
9. Grossman, S.J., and J.E. Stiglitz, On the impossibility of informationally efficient markets, *Am. Econ. Review* **70** (1980), 393-408.
10. Hirsch, M.V., "Differential Topology", Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1976.
11. Jordan, J.S., The generic existence of rational expectations equilibrium in the higher dimensional case, *J. Econ. Theory* **26** (1982), 224-243.
12. Jordan, J.S., and R. Radner, Rational expectations in microeconomic models: An overview, *J. Econ. Theory* **26** (1982), 201-223.
13. Pietra, T., and P. Siconolfi, Extrinsic Uncertainty and the Informational Role of Prices, *J. Econ. Theory*, 1997.

14. Polemarchakis, H.M., and P. Siconolfi, Asset markets and the information revealed by prices, *Econ. Theory* **3** (1993), 645-662.
15. Radner, R., Rational expectations equilibrium: Generic existence and the information revealed by prices, *Econometrica* **47** (1979), 655-678.
16. Rahi, R., Partially Revealing Rational Expectations Equilibria with Nominal Assets, *J.Math. Econ.* **24** (1995), 137-146.

1. Maria Cristina Marcuzzo [1985] "Yvan Violet Robinson (1903-1983)", pp. 134
2. Sergio Lugaresi [1986] "Le imposte nelle teorie del sovrappiù", pp. 26
3. Massimo D'Angelillo e Leonardo Paggi [1986] "PCI e socialdemocrazie europee. Quale riformismo?", pp. 158
4. Gian Paolo Caselli e Gabriele Pastrello [1986] "Un suggerimento hobsoniano su terziario ed occupazione: il caso degli Stati Uniti 1960/1983", pp. 52
5. Paolo Bosi e Paolo Silvestri [1986] "La distribuzione per aree disciplinari dei fondi destinati ai Dipartimenti, Istituti e Centri dell'Università di Modena: una proposta di riforma", pp. 25
6. Marco Lippi [1986] "Aggregations and Dynamic in One-Equation Econometric Models", pp. 64
7. Paolo Silvestri [1986] "Le tasse scolastiche e universitarie nella Legge Finanziaria 1986", pp. 41
8. Mario Forni [1986] "Storie familiari e storie di proprietà. Itinerari sociali nell'agricoltura italiana del dopoguerra", pp. 165
9. Sergio Paba [1986] "Gruppi strategici e concentrazione nell'industria europea degli elettrodomestici bianchi", pp. 56
10. Nerio Naldi [1986] "L'efficienza marginale del capitale nel breve periodo", pp. 54
11. Fernando Vianello [1986] "Labour Theory of Value", pp. 31
12. Piero Ganugi [1986] "Risparmio forzato e politica monetaria negli economisti italiani tra le due guerre", pp. 40
13. Maria Cristina Marcuzzo e Annalisa Rosselli [1986] "The Theory of the Gold Standard and Ricardo's Standard Comodity", pp. 30
14. Giovanni Solinas [1986] "Mercati del lavoro locali e carriere di lavoro giovanili", pp. 66
15. Giovanni Bonifati [1986] "Saggio dell'interesse e domanda effettiva. Osservazioni sul cap. 17 della General Theory", pp. 42
16. Marina Murat [1986] "Betwin old and new classical macroeconomics: notes on Lejonhufvud's notion of full information equilibrium", pp. 20
17. Sebastiano Brusco e Giovanni Solinas [1986] "Mobilità occupazionale e disoccupazione in Emilia Romagna", pp. 48
18. Mario Forni [1986] "Aggregazione ed esogeneità", pp. 13
19. Sergio Lugaresi [1987] "Redistribuzione del reddito, consumi e occupazione", pp. 17
20. Fiorenzo Sperotto [1987] "L'immagine neopopulista di mercato debole nel primo dibattito sovietico sulla pianificazione", pp. 34
21. M. Cecilia Guerra [1987] "Benefici tributari nel regime misto per i dividendi proposto dalla commissione Sarcinelli: una nota critica", pp. 9
22. Leonardo Paggi [1987] "Contemporary Europe and Modern America: Theories of Modernity in Comparative Perspective", pp. 38
23. Fernando Vianello [1987] "A Critique of Professor Goodwin's 'Critique of Sraffa'", pp. 12
24. Fernando Vianello [1987] "Effective Demand and the Rate of Profits. Some Thoughts on Marx, Kalecki and Sraffa", pp. 41
25. Anna Maria Sala [1987] "Banche e territorio. Approccio ad un tema geografico-economico", pp. 40
26. Enzo Mingione e Giovanni Mottura [1987] "Fattori di trasformazione e nuovi profili sociali nell'agricoltura italiana: qualche elemento di discussione", pp. 36
27. Giovanna Procacci [1988] "The State and Social Control in Italy During the First World War", pp. 18
28. Massimo Matteuzzi e Annamaria Simonazzi [1988] "Il debito pubblico", pp. 62
29. Maria Cristina Marcuzzo (a cura di) [1988] "Richard F. Kahn. A discipline of Keynes", pp. 118
30. Paolo Bosi [1988] "MICROMOD. Un modello dell'economia italiana per la didattica della politica fiscale", pp. 34
31. Paolo Bosi [1988] "Indicatori della politica fiscale. Una rassegna e un confronto con l'aiuto di MICROMOD", pp. 25
32. Giovanna Procacci [1988] "Protesta popolare e agitazioni operaie in Italia 1915-1918", pp. 45
33. Margherita Russo [1988] "Distretto Industriale e servizi. Uno studio dei trasporti nella produzione e nella vendita delle piastrelle", pp. 157
34. Margherita Russo [1988] "The effect of technical change on skill requirements: an empirical analysis", pp. 28
35. Carlo Grillenzoni [1988] "Identification, estimations of multivariate transfer functions", pp. 33
36. Nerio Naldi [1988] "'Keynes' concept of capital", pp. 40
37. Andrea Ginzburg [1988] "locomotiva Italia?", pp. 30
38. Giovanni Mottura [1988] "La 'persistenza' secolare. Appunti su agricoltura contadina ed agricoltura familiare nelle società industriali", pp. 40
39. Giovanni Mottura [1988] "L'anticamera dell'esodo. I contadini italiani della 'restaurazione contrattuale' fascista alla riforma fondiaria", pp. 40
40. Leonardo Paggi [1988] "Americanismo e riformismo. La socialdemocrazia europea nell'economia mondiale aperta", pp. 120
41. Annamaria Simonazzi [1988] "Fenomeni di isteresi nella spiegazione degli alti tassi di interesse reale", pp. 44
42. Antonietta Bassetti [1989] "Analisi dell'andamento e della casualità della borsa valori", pp. 12
43. Giovanna Procacci [1989] "State coercion and worker solidarity in Italy (1915-1918): the moral and political content of social unrest", pp. 41
44. Carlo Alberto Magni [1989] "Reputazione e credibilità di una minaccia in un gioco bargaining", pp. 56
45. Giovanni Mottura [1989] "Agricoltura familiare e sistema agroalimentare in Italia", pp. 84
46. Mario Forni [1989] "Trend, Cycle and 'Fortuitous cancellation': a Note on a Paper by Nelson and Plosser", pp. 4
47. Paolo Bosi, Roberto Golinelli, Anna Stagni [1989] "Le origini del debito pubblico e il costo della stabilizzazione", pp. 26
48. Roberto Golinelli [1989] "Note sulla struttura e sull'impiego dei modelli macroeconomici", pp. 21
49. Marco Lippi [1989] "A Short Note on Cointegration and Aggregation", pp. 11
50. Gian Paolo Caselli e Gabriele Pastrello [1989] "The Linkage between Tertiary and Industrial Sector in the Italian Economy: 1951-1988. From an External Dependence to an International One", pp. 40
51. Gabriele Pastrello [1989] "Francois quesnay: dal Tableau Zig-zag al Tableau Formule: una ricostruzione", pp. 48
52. Paolo Silvestri [1989] "Il bilancio dello stato", pp. 34
53. Tim Mason [1990] "Tre seminari di storia sociale contemporanea", pp. 26
54. Michele Lalla [1990] "The Aggregate Escape Rate Analysed through the Queueing Model", pp. 23
55. Paolo Silvestri [1990] "Sull'autonomia finanziaria dell'università", pp. 11
56. Paola Bertolini, Enrico Giovannetti [1990] "Uno studio di 'filiera' nell'agroindustria. Il caso del Parmigiano Reggiano", pp. 164

57. Paolo Bosi, Roberto Golinelli, Anna Stagni [1990] "Effetti macroeconomici, settoriali e distributivi dell'armonizzazione dell'IVA", pp. 24
58. Michele Lalla [1990] "Modelling Employment Spells from Emilia Labour Force Data", pp. 18
59. Andrea Ginzburg [1990] "Politica Nazionale e commercio internazionale", pp. 22
60. Andrea Giommi [1990] "La probabilità individuale di risposta nel trattamento dei dati mancanti", pp. 13
61. Gian Paolo Caselli e Gabriele Pastrello [1990] "The service sector in planned economies. Past experiences and future prospectives", pp. 32
62. Giovanni Solinas [1990] "Competenze, grandi industrie e distretti industriali, Il caso Magneti Marelli", pp. 23
63. Andrea Ginzburg [1990] "Debito pubblico, teorie monetarie e tradizione civica nell'Inghilterra del Settecento", pp. 30
64. Mario Forni [1990] "Incertezza, informazione e mercati assicurativi: una rassegna", pp. 37
65. Mario Forni [1990] "Misspecification in Dynamic Models", pp. 19
66. Gian Paolo Caselli e Gabriele Pastrello [1990] "Service Sector Growth in CPE's: An Unsolved Dilemma", pp. 28
67. Paola Bertolini [1990] "La situazione agro-alimentare nei paesi ad economia avanzata", pp. 20
68. Paola Bertolini [1990] "Sistema agro-alimentare in Emilia Romagna ed occupazione", pp. 65
69. Enrico Giovannetti [1990] "Efficienza ed innovazione: il modello "fondi e flussi" applicato ad una filiera agro-industriale", pp. 38
70. Margherita Russo [1990] "Cambiamento tecnico e distretto industriale: una verifica empirica", pp. 115
71. Margherita Russo [1990] "Distretti industriali in teoria e in pratica: una raccolta di saggi", pp. 119
72. Paolo Silvestri [1990] "La Legge Finanziaria. Voce dell'enciclopedia Europea Garzanti", pp. 8
73. Rita Paltrinieri [1990] "La popolazione italiana: problemi di oggi e di domani", pp. 57
74. Enrico Giovannetti [1990] "Illusioni ottiche negli andamenti delle Grandezze distributive: la scala mobile e l' 'appiattimento' delle retribuzioni in una ricerca", pp. 120
75. Enrico Giovannetti [1990] "Crisi e mercato del lavoro in un distretto industriale: il bacino delle ceramiche. Sez. I", pp. 150
76. Enrico Giovannetti [1990] "Crisi e mercato del lavoro in un distretto industriale: il bacino delle ceramiche. Sez. II", pp. 145
78. Antonietta Bassetti e Costanza Torricelli [1990] "Una riqualificazione dell'approccio bargaining alla selezioni di portafoglio", pp. 4
77. Antonietta Bassetti e Costanza Torricelli [1990] "Il portafoglio ottimo come soluzione di un gioco bargaining", pp. 15
79. Mario Forni [1990] "Una nota sull'errore di aggregazione", pp. 6
80. Francesca Bergamini [1991] "Alcune considerazioni sulle soluzioni di un gioco bargaining", pp. 21
81. Michele Grillo e Michele Polo [1991] "Political Exchange and the allocation of surplus: a Model of Two-party competition", pp. 34
82. Gian Paolo Caselli e Gabriele Pastrello [1991] "The 1990 Polish Recession: a Case of Truncated Multiplier Process", pp. 26
83. Gian Paolo Caselli e Gabriele Pastrello [1991] "Polish firms: Pricate Vices Pubblis Virtues", pp. 20
84. Sebastiano Brusco e Sergio Paba [1991] "Connessioni, competenze e capacità concorrenziale nell'industria della Sardegna", pp. 25
85. Claudio Grimaldi, Rony Hamau, Nicola Rossi [1991] "Non Marketable assets and households' Portfolio Choice: a Case of Study of Italy", pp. 38
86. Giulio Righi, Massimo Baldini, Alessandra Brambilla [1991] "Le misure degli effetti redistributivi delle imposte indirette: confronto tra modelli alternativi", pp. 47
87. Roberto Fanfani, Luca Lanini [1991] "Innovazione e servizi nello sviluppo della meccanizzazione agricola in Italia", pp. 35
88. Antonella Caiumi e Roberto Golinelli [1992] "Stima e applicazioni di un sistema di domanda Almost Ideal per l'economia italiana", pp. 34
89. Maria Cristina Marcuzzo [1992] "La relazione salari-occupazione tra rigidità reali e rigidità nominali", pp. 30
90. Mario Biagioli [1992] "Employee financial participation in enterprise results in Italy", pp. 50
91. Mario Biagioli [1992] "Wage structure, relative prices and international competitiveness", pp. 50
92. Paolo Silvestri e Giovanni Solinas [1993] "Abbandoni, esiti e carriera scolastica. Uno studio sugli studenti iscritti alla Facoltà di Economia e Commercio dell'Università di Modena nell'anno accademico 1990/1991", pp. 30
93. Gian Paolo Caselli e Luca Martinelli [1993] "Italian GPN growth 1890-1992: a unit root or segmented trend representatin?", pp. 30
94. Angela Politi [1993] "La rivoluzione fraintesa. I partigiani emiliani tra liberazione e guerra fredda, 1945-1955", pp. 55
95. Alberto Rinaldi [1993] "Lo sviluppo dell'industria metalmeccanica in provincia di Modena: 1945-1990", pp. 70
96. Paolo Emilio Mistrulli [1993] "Debito pubblico, intermediari finanziari e tassi d'interesse: il caso italiano", pp. 30
97. Barbara Pistoresi [1993] "Modelling disaggregate and aggregate labour demand equations. Cointegration analysis of a labour demand function for the Main Sectors of the Italian Economy: 1950-1990", pp. 45
98. Giovanni Bonifati [1993] "Progresso tecnico e accumulazione di conoscenza nella teoria neoclassica della crescita endogena. Una analisi critica del modello di Romer", pp. 50
99. Marcello D'Amato e Barbara Pistoresi [1994] "The relationship(s) among Wages, Prices, Unemployment and Productivity in Italy", pp. 30
100. Mario Forni [1994] "Consumption Volatility and Income Persistence in the Permanent Income Model", pp. 30
101. Barbara Pistoresi [1994] "Using a VECM to characterise the relative importance of permanent and transitory components", pp. 28
102. Gian Paolo Caselli and Gabriele Pastrello [1994] "Polish recovery form the slump to an old dilemma", pp. 20
103. Sergio Paba [1994] "Imprese visibili, accesso al mercato e organizzazione della produzione", pp. 20
104. Giovanni Bonifati [1994] "Progresso tecnico, investimenti e capacità produttiva", pp. 30
105. Giuseppe Marotta [1994] "Credit view and trade credit: evidence from Italy", pp. 20
106. Margherita Russo [1994] "Unit of investigation for local economic development policies", pp. 25
107. Luigi Brighi [1995] "Monotonicity and the demand theory of the weak axioms", pp. 20
108. Mario Forni e Lucrezia Reichlin [1995] "Modelling the impact of technological change across sectors and over time in manufacturing", pp. 25
109. Marcello D'Amato and Barbara Pistoresi [1995] "Modelling wage growth dynamics in Italy: 1960-1990", pp. 38
110. Massimo Baldini [1995] "INDIMOD. Un modello di microsimulazione per lo studio delle imposte indirette", pp. 37

111. Paolo Bosi [1995] "Regionalismo fiscale e autonomia tributaria: l'emersione di un modello di consenso", pp. 38
112. Massimo Baldini [1995] "Aggregation Factors and Aggregation Bias in Consumer Demand", pp. 33
113. Costanza Torricelli [1995] "The information in the term structure of interest rates. Can stochastic models help in resolving the puzzle?" pp. 25
114. Margherita Russo [1995] "Industrial complex, pôle de développement, distretto industriale. Alcune questioni sulle unità di indagine nell'analisi dello sviluppo." pp. 45
115. Angelika Moryson [1995] "50 Jahre Deutschland. 1945 - 1995" pp. 21
116. Paolo Bosi [1995] "Un punto di vista macroeconomico sulle caratteristiche di lungo periodo del nuovo sistema pensionistico italiano." pp. 32
117. Gian Paolo Caselli e Salvatore Curatolo [1995] "Esistono relazioni stimabili fra dimensione ed efficienza delle istituzioni e crescita produttiva? Un esercizio nello spirito di D.C. North." pp. 11
118. Mario Forni e Marco Lippi [1995] "Permanent income, heterogeneity and the error correction mechanism." pp. 21
119. Barbara Pistoiesi [1995] "Co-movements and convergence in international output. A Dynamic Principal Components Analysis" pp. 14
120. Mario Forni e Lucrezia Reichlin [1995] "Dynamic common factors in large cross-section" pp. 17
121. Giuseppe Marotta [1995] "Il credito commerciale in Italia: una nota su alcuni aspetti strutturali e sulle implicazioni di politica monetaria" pp. 20
122. Giovanni Bonifati [1995] "Progresso tecnico, concorrenza e decisioni di investimento: una analisi delle determinanti di lungo periodo degli investimenti" pp. 25
123. Giovanni Bonifati [1995] "Cambiamento tecnico e crescita endogena: una valutazione critica delle ipotesi del modello di Romer" pp. 21
124. Barbara Pistoiesi e Marcello D'Amato [1995] "La riservatezza del banchiere centrale è un bene o un male? Effetti dell'informazione incompleta sul benessere in un modello di politica monetaria." pp. 32
125. Barbara Pistoiesi [1995] "Radici unitarie e persistenza: l'analisi univariata delle fluttuazioni economiche." pp. 33
126. Barbara Pistoiesi e Marcello D'Amato [1995] "Co-movements in European real outputs" pp. 20
127. Antonio Ribba [1996] "Ciclo economico, modello lineare-stocastico, forma dello spettro delle variabili macroeconomiche" pp. 31
128. Carlo Alberto Magni [1996] "Repeatable and una tantum real options a dynamic programming approach" pp. 23
129. Carlo Alberto Magni [1996] "Opzioni reali d'investimento e interazione competitiva: programmazione dinamica stocastica in optimal stopping" pp. 26
130. Carlo Alberto Magni [1996] "Vaghezza e logica fuzzy nella valutazione di un'opzione reale" pp. 20
131. Giuseppe Marotta [1996] "Does trade credit redistribution thwart monetary policy? Evidence from Italy" pp. 20
132. Mauro Dell'Amico e Marco Trubian [1996] "Almost-optimal solution of large weighted eiquit problems" pp. 30
133. Carlo Alberto Magni [1996] "Un esempio di investimento industriale con interazione competitiva e avversione al rischio" pp. 20
134. Margherita Russo, Peter Börkey, Emilio Cubel, François Lévêque, Francisco Mas [1996] "Local sustainability and competitiveness: the case of the ceramic tile industry" pp. 66
135. Margherita Russo [1996] "Camionetto tecnico e relazioni tra imprese" pp. 190
136. David Avra Lane, Irene Poli, Michele Lalla, Alberto Roverato [1996] "Lezioni di probabilità e inferenza statistica" pp. 288
137. David Avra Lane, Irene Poli, Michele Lalla, Alberto Roverato [1996] "Lezioni di probabilità e inferenza statistica - Esercizi svolti -" pp. 302
138. Barbara Pistoiesi [1996] "Is an Aggregate Error Correction Model Representative of Disaggregate Behaviours? An example" pp. 24
139. Luisa Malaguti e Costanza Torricelli [1996] "Monetary policy and the term structure of interest rates" , pp. 30
140. Mauro Dell'Amico, Martine Labbé, Francesco Maffioli [1996] "Exact solution of the SONET Ring Loading Problem", pp. 20
141. Mauro Dell'Amico, R.J.M. Vaessens [1996] "Flow and open shop scheduling on two machines with transportation times and machine-independent processing times in NP-hard, pp. 10
142. M. Dell'Amico, F. Maffioli, A. Sciomechen [1996] "A Lagrangean Heuristic for the Pirze Collecting Travelling Salesman Problem", pp. 14
143. Massimo Baldini [1996] "Inequality Decomposition by Income Source in Italy - 1987 - 1993", pp. 20
144. Graziella Bertocchi [1996] "Trade, Wages, and the Persistence of Underdevelopment" pp. 20
145. Graziella Bertocchi and Fabio Canova [1996] "Did Colonization matter for Growth? An Empirical Exploration into the Historical Causes of Africa's Underdevelopment" pp. 32
146. Paola Bertolini [1996] "La modernization de l'agriculture italienne et le cas de l'Emilie Romagne" pp. 20
147. Enrico Giovannetti [1996] "Organisation industrielle et développement local: le cas de l'agroindustrie in Emilie Romagne" pp. 18
148. Maria Elena Bontempi e Roberto Golinelli [1996] "Le determinanti del leverage delle imprese: una applicazione empirica ai settori industriali dell'economia italiana" pp. 31
149. Paola Bertolini [1996] "L'agriculture et la politique agricole italienne face aux recents scenarios", pp. 20
150. Enrico Giovannetti [1996] "Il grado di utilizzo della capacità produttiva come misura dei costi di transazione: una rilettura di 'Nature of the Firm' di R. Coase", pp. 75
151. Enrico Giovannetti [1996] "Il I° ciclo del Diploma Universitario Economia e Amministrazione delle Imprese", pp. 25
152. Paola Bertolini, Enrico Giovannetti, Giulia Santacaterina [1996] "Il Settore del Verde Pubblico. Analisi della domanda e valutazione economica dei benefici", pp. 35
153. Giovanni Solinas [1996] "Sistemi produttivi del Centro-Nord e del Mezzogiorno. L'industria delle calzature", pp. 55
154. Tindara Addabbo [1996] "Married Women's Labour Supply in Italy in a Regional Perspective", pp. 85
155. Paolo Silvestri, Giuseppe Catalano, Cristina Bevilacqua [1996] "Le tasse universitarie e gli interventi per il diritto allo studio: la prima fase di applicazione di una nuova normativa" pp. 159
156. Sebastiano Brusco, Paolo Bertossi, Margherita Russo [1996] "L'industria dei rifiuti urbani in Italia", pp. 25
157. Paolo Silvestri, Giuseppe Catalano [1996] "Le risorse del sistema universitario italiano: finanziamento e governo" pp. 400
158. Carlo Alberto Magni [1996] "Un semplice modello di opzione di differimento e di vendita in ambito discreto", pp. 10
159. Tito Pietra, Paolo Siconolfi [1996] "Fully Revealing Equilibria in Sequential Economies with Asset Markets" pp. 17
160. Tito Pietra, Paolo Siconolfi [1996] "Extrinsic Uncertainty and the Informational Role of Prices" pp. 42
161. Paolo Bertella Farnetti [1996] "Il negro e il rosso. Un precedente non esplorato dell'integrazione afroamercana negli Stati Uniti" pp. 26
162. David Lane [1996] "Is what is good for each best for all? Learning from others in the information contagion model" pp. 18

163. Antonio Ribba [1996] "A note on the equivalence of long-run and short-run identifying restrictions in cointegrated systems" pp. 10
164. Antonio Ribba [1996] "Scomposizioni permanenti-transitorie in sistemi cointegrati con una applicazione a dati italiani" pp. 23
165. Mario Forni, Sergio Paba [1996] "Economic Growth, Social Cohesion and Crime" pp. 20
166. Mario Forni, Lucrezia Reichlin [1996] "Let's get real: a factor analytical approach to disaggregated business cycle dynamics" pp. 25
167. Marcello D'Amato e Barbara Pistoiesi [1996] "So many Italies: Statistical Evidence on Regional Cohesion" pp. 31
168. Elena Bonfiglioli, Paolo Bosi, Stefano Toso [1996] "L'equità del contributo straordinario per l'Europa" pp. 20
169. Graziella Bertocchi, Michael Spagat [1996] "Il ruolo dei licei e delle scuole tecnico-professionali tra progresso tecnologico, conflitto sociale e sviluppo economico" pp. 37
170. Gianna Boero, Costanza Torricelli [1997] "The Expectations Hypothesis of the Term Structure of Interest Rates: Evidence for Germany" pp. 15
171. Mario Forni, Lucrezia Reichlin [1997] "National Policies and Local Economies: Europe and the US" pp. 22
172. Carlo Alberto Magni [1997] "La trappola del Roe e la tridimensionalità del Van in un approccio sistemico", pp. 16
173. Mauro Dell'Amico [1997] "A Linear Time Algorithm for Scheduling Outforests with Communication Delays on Two or Three Processor" pp. 18
174. Paolo Bosi [1997] "Aumentare l'età pensionabile fa diminuire la spesa pensionistica? Ancora sulle caratteristiche di lungo periodo della riforma Dini" pp. 13
175. Paolo Bosi e Massimo Matteuzzi [1997] "Nuovi strumenti per l'assistenza sociale" pp. 31
176. Mauro Dell'Amico, Francesco Maffioli e Marco Trubian [1997] "New bounds for optimum traffic assignment in satellite communication" pp. 21
177. Carlo Alberto Magni [1997] "Paradossi, inverosimiglianze e contraddizioni del Van: operazioni certe" pp. 9
178. Barbara Pistoiesi e Marcello D'Amato [1997] "Persistence of relative unemployment rates across italian regions" pp. 25
179. Margherita Russo, Franco Cavedoni e Riccardo Pianesani [1997] "Le spese ambientali dei Comuni in provincia di Modena, 1993-1995" pp. 23
180. Gabriele Pastrello [1997] "Time and Equilibrium, Two Elusive Guests in the Keynes-Hawtrey-Robertson Debate in the Thirties" pp. 25
181. Luisa Malaguti e Costanza Torricelli [1997] "The Interaction Between Monetary Policy and the Expectation Hypothesis of the Term Structure of Interest rates in a N-Period Rational Expectation Model" pp. 27
182. Mauro Dell'Amico [1997] "On the Continuous Relaxation of Packing Problems - Technical Note" pp. 8
183. Stefano Bordini [1997] "Prova di Idoneità di Informatica Dispensa Esercizi Excel 5" pp. 49
184. Francesca Bergamini e Stefano Bordini [1997] "Una verifica empirica di un nuovo metodo di selezione ottima di portafoglio" pp. 22
185. Gian Paolo Caselli e Maurizio Battini [1997] "Following the tracks of atkinson and micklewright the changing distribution of income and earnings in poland from 1989 to 1995". pp. 21
186. Mauro Dell'Amico e Francesco Maffioli [1997] "Combining Linear and Non-Linear Objectives in Spanning Tree Problems" pp. 21
187. Gianni Ricci e Vanessa Debbia [1997] "Una soluzione evolutiva in un gioco differenziale di lotta di classe" pp. 14
188. Fabio Canova e Eva Ortega [1997] "Testing Calibrated General Equilibrium Model" pp. 34
189. Fabio Canova [1997] "Does Detrending Matter for the Determination of the Reference Cycle and the Selection of Turning Points?" pp. 35
190. Fabio Canova e Gianni De Nicolò [1997] "The Equity Premium and the Risk Free Rate: A Cross Country, Cross Maturity Examination" pp. 41
191. Fabio Canova e Angel J. Ubide [1997] "International Business Cycles, Financial Market and Household Production" pp. 32
192. Fabio Canova e Gianni De Nicolò [1997] "Stock Returns, Term Structure, Inflation and Real Activity: An International Perspective" pp. 33
193. Fabio Canova e Morten Ravn [1997] "The Macroeconomic Effects of German Unification: Real Adjustments and the Welfare State" pp. 34
194. Fabio Canova [1997] "Detrending and Business Cycle Facts" pp. 40
195. Fabio Canova e Morten O. Ravn [1997] "Crossing the Rio Grande: Migrations, Business Cycle and the Welfare State" pp. 37
196. Fabio Canova e Jane Marinnan [1997] "Sources and Propagation of International Output Cycles: Common Shocks or Transmission?" pp. 41
197. Fabio Canova e Albert Marcet [1997] "The Poor Stay Poor: Non-Convergence Across Countries and Regions" pp. 44
198. Carlo Alberto Magni [1997] "Un Criterio Strutturalista per la Valutazione di Investimenti" pp. 17
199. Stefano Bordini [1997] "Elaborazione Automatica dei Dati" pp. 60
200. Paolo Bertella Farnetti [1997] "The United States and the Origins of European Integration" pp. 19
201. Paolo Bosi [1997] "Sul Controllo Dinamico di un Sistema Pensionistico a Ripartizione di Tipo Contributivo" pp. 17
202. Paola Bertolini [1997] "European Union Agricultural Policy: Problems and Perspectives" pp. 18
203. Stefano Bordini [1997] "Supporti Informatici per la Ricerca delle soluzioni di Problemi Decisionali" pp. 30
204. Carlo Alberto Magni [1997] "Paradossi, Inverosimiglianze e Contraddizioni del Van: Operazioni Aleatorie" pp. 10
205. Carlo Alberto Magni [1997] "Tir, Roe e Van: Distorsioni linguistiche e Cognitive nella Valutazione degli Investimenti" pp. 17
206. Gisella Facchinetti, Roberto Ghiselli Ricci e Silvia Muzzioli [1997] "New Methods For Ranking Triangular Fuzzy Numbers: An Investment Choice" pp. 9
207. Mauro Dell'Amico e Silvano Martello [1997] "Reduction of the Three-Partition Problem" pp. 16
208. Carlo Alberto Magni [1997] "IRR, ROE and NPV: a Systemic Approach" pp. 20
209. Mauro Dell'Amico, Andrea Lodi e Francesco Maffioli [1997] "Solution of the cumulative assignment problem with a well-structured tabu search method" pp. 25
210. Carlo Alberto Magni [1997] "La definizione di investimento e criterio del Tir ovvero: la realtà inventata" pp. 16
211. Carlo Alberto Magni [1997] "Critica alla definizione classica di investimento: un approccio sistemico" pp. 17
212. Alberto Roverato [1997] "Asymptotic prior to posterior analysis for graphical gaussian models" pp. 8
213. Tindara Addabbo [1997] "Povertà nel 1995 analisi statica e dinamica sui redditi familiari" pp. 64
214. Gian Paolo Caselli e Franca Manghi [1997] "La transizione da piano a mercato e il modello di Ising" pp. 15
215. Tindara Addabbo [1998] "Lavoro non pagato e reddito esteso: un'applicazione alle famiglie italiane in cui entrambi i coniugi sono lavoratori dipendenti" pp. 54

216. Tindara Addabbo [1998] "Probabilità di occupazione e aspettative individuali" pp 36
217. Lara Magnani [1998] "Transazioni, contratti e organizzazioni: una chiave di lettura della teoria economica dell'organizzazione" pp 39
218. Michele Lalla, Rosella Molinari e Maria Grazia Modena [1998] "La progressione delle carriere: i percorsi in cardiologia" pp 46
219. Lara Magnani [1998] "L'organizzazione delle transazioni di subfornitura nel distretto industriale" pp 40
220. Antonio Ribba [1998] "Recursive VAR orderings and identification of permanent and transitory shocks" pp 12
221. Antonio Ribba [1998] "Granger-causality and exogeneity in cointegrated Var models" pp 5
222. Luigi Brighi e Marcello D'Amato [1998] "Optimal Procurement in Multiproduct Monopoly" pp 25
223. Paolo Bosi, Maria Cecilia Guerra e Paolo Silvestri [1998] "La spesa sociale nel comune Modena" Rapporto intermedio pp 37
224. Mario Forni e Marco Lippi [1998] "On the Microfoundations of Dynamic Macroeconomics" pp 22
225. Roberto Ghiselli Ricci [1998] "Nuove Proposte di Ordinamento di Numeri Fuzzy. Una Applicazione ad un Problema di Finanziamento" pp 7
226. Tommaso Minerva [1998] "Internet Domande e Risposte" pp 183
227. Tommaso Minerva [1998] "Elementi di Statistica Computazione. Parte Prima: Il Sistema Operativo Unix ed il Linguaggio C" pp. 57
228. Tommaso Minerva and Irene Poli [1998] "A Genetic Algorithms Selection Method for Predictive Neural Nets and Linear Models" pp. 60
229. Tommaso Minerva and Irene Poli [1998] "Building an ARMA Model by using a Genetic Algorithm" pp. 60
230. Mauro Dell'Amico e Paolo Toth [1998] "Algorithms and Codes for Dense Assignment Problems: the State of the Art" pp 35
231. Ennio Cavazzuti e Nicoletta Pacchiarotti [1998] "How to play an hotelling game in a square town" pp 12
232. Alberto Roverato e Irene Poli [1998] "Un algoritmo genetico per la selezione di modelli grafici" pp 11
233. Marcello D'Amato e Barbara Pistoresi [1998] "Delegation of Monetary Policy to a Central Banker with Private Information" pp 15.
234. Graziella Bertocchi e Michael Spagat [1998] "The Evolution of Modern Educational Systems. Technical vs. General Education, Distributional Conflict, and Growth" pp 31
235. André Dumas [1998] "Le système monétaire Européen" pp 24.
236. Gianna Boero, Gianluca Di Lorenzo e Costanza Torricelli [1998] "The influence of short rate predictability and monetary policy on tests of the expectations hypothesis: some comparative evidence" pp 30
237. Carlo Alberto Magni [1998] "A systemic rule for investment decisions: generalizations of the traditional DCF criteria and new conceptions" pp 30
238. Marcello D'Amato e Barbara Pistoresi [1998] "Interest Rate Spreads Between Italy and Germany: 1995-1997" pp 16
239. Paola Bertolini e Alberto Bertacchini [1998] "Il distretto di lavorazioni carni suine in provincia di Modena" pp 29
240. Costanza Torricelli e Gianluca Di Lorenzo [1998] "Una nota sui fondamenti matematico-finanziari della teoria delle aspettative della struttura della scadenza" pp. 15
241. Christophe Croux, Mario Forni e Lucrezia Reichlin [1998] "A Measure of Comovement for Economic Indicators: Theory and Empirics" pp 23.
242. Carlo Alberto Magni [1998] "Note sparse sul dilemma del prigioniero (e non solo) pp 13.
243. Gian Paolo Caselli [1998] The future of mass consumption society in the former planned economies: a macro approach pp 21.
244. Mario Forni, Marc Hallin, Marco Lippi e Lucrezia Reichlin [1998] "The generalized dynamic factor model: identification and estimation" pp 35.
245. Carlo Alberto Magni [1998] "Pictures, language and research: the case of finance and financial mathematics" pp 35.
246. Luigi Brighi [1998] "Demand and generalized monotonicity" pp 21.
247. Mario Forni e Lucrezia Reichlin [1998] "Risk and potential insurance in Europe" pp 20.
248. Tommaso Minerva, Sandra Paterlini e Irene Poli [1998] "A Genetic Algorithm for predictive Neural Network Design (GANND). A Financial Application" pp 12.
249. Gian Paolo Caselli Maurizio Battini [1998] "The Changing Distribution of Earnings in Poland from 1989 to 1996" pp. 9.
250. Mario Forni, Sergio Paba [1998] "Industrial Districts, Social Environment and Local Growth" Evidence from Italy pp. 27.
251. Lara Magnani [1998] "Un'analisi del distretto industriale fondata sulla moderna teoria economica dell'organizzazione" pp. 46.
252. Mario Forni, Lucrezia Reichlin [1998] "Federal Policies and Local Economies: Europe and the US" pp. 24.
253. Luigi Brighi [1998] "A Case of Optimal Regulation with Multidimensional Private Information" pp 20.
254. Barbara Pistoresi, Stefania Luppi [1998] "Gli investimenti diretti esteri nell'America Latina e nel Sud Est Asiatico: 1982-1995" pp 27.
255. Paola Mengoli, Margherita Russo [1998] "Technical and Vocational Education and Training in Italy: Structure and Changes at National and Regional Level" pp 25.
256. Tindara Addabbo [1998] "On-the-Job Search a Microeconomic Analysis on Italian Data" pp. 29.
257. Lorenzo Bertucelli [1999] "Il paternalismo industriale: una discussione storiografica" pp.21.
258. Mario Forni e Marco Lippi [1999] "The generalized dynamic factor model: representation theory" pp. 25.
259. Andrea Ginzburg e Annamaria Simonazzi [1999] "Foreign debt cycles and the 'Gibson Paradox': an interpretative hypothesis" pp. 38.
260. Paolo Bosi [1999] "La riforma della spesa per assistenza dalla Commissione Onofri ad oggi: una valutazione in corso d'opera" pp. 56.
261. Marcello D'Amato e Barbara Pistoresi [1999] "Go and soothe the row. Delegation of monetary policy under private information" pp. 23.
262. Michele Lalla [1999] "Sampling, Maintenance, and Weighting Schemes for Longitudinal Surveys: a Case Study of the Textile and Clothing Industry" pp. 27.
263. Pederzoli Chiara e Torricelli Costanza [1999] "Una rassegna sui metodi di stima del Value at Risk (Var)".
264. Paolo Bosi, Maria Cecilia Guerra e Paolo Silvestri [1999] "La spesa sociale di Modena. La valutazione della condizione economica" pp 74.
265. Graziella Bertocchi e Michael Spagat [1999] "The Politics Co-optation" pp 14.
266. Giovanni Bonifati [1999] "The Capacity to Generate Investment. An analysis of the long-term determinants of investment" pp.22.
267. Tindara Addabbo e Antonella Caiumi [1999] "Extended Income and Inequality by Gender in Italy" pp. 40.
268. Antonella Caiumi e Federico Perali [1999] "Children and Intra-household Distribution of Resources: An Estimate of the Sharing Rule of Italian Households" pp.24
269. Vincenzo Atella, Antonella Caiumi e Federico Perali [1999] "Una scala di equivalenza non vale l'altra" pp.23.

