

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Destabilizers of the thymidylate synthase homodimer accelerate its proteasomal degradation and inhibit cancer growth / Costantino, Luca; Ferrari, Stefania; Santucci, Matteo; MH Salo-Ahen, Outi; Carosati, Emanuele; Franchini, Silvia; Lauriola, Angela; Pozzi, Cecilia; Trande, Matteo; Gozzi, Gaia; Saxena, Puneet; Cannazza, Giuseppe; Losi, Lorena; Cardinale, Daniela; Venturelli, Alberto; Quotadamo, Antonio; Linciano, Pasquale; Tagliazucchi, Lorenzo; Moschella, Maria Gaetana; Guerrini, Remo; Pacifico, Salvatore; Luciani, Rosaria; Genovese, Filippo; Henrich, Stefan; Alboni, Silvia; Santarem, Nuno; Cordeiro Da Silva, Anabela; Giovannetti, Elisa; J Peters, Godefridus; Pinton, Paolo; Rimessi, Alessandro; Cruciani, Gabriele; M Stroud, Robert; C Wade, Rebecca; Mangani, Stefano; Marverti, Gaetano; D'Arca, Domenico; Ponterini, Glauco; Costi, Maria Paola. - In: ELIFE. - ISSN 2050-084X. - 11:(2022), pp. 1-104. [10.7554/eLife.73862]

*Terms of use:*

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

02/05/2026 10:55

(Article begins on next page)

02/05/2026 10:55

1 Destabilizers of the thymidylate synthase homodimer  
2 accelerate its proteasomal degradation and inhibit cancer  
3 growth.  
4

5 **Authors:** Luca Costantino<sup>1‡</sup>, Stefania Ferrari<sup>1‡</sup>, Matteo Santucci<sup>1‡</sup>, Outi M. H. Salo-Ahen<sup>3&</sup>,  
6 Emanuele Carosati<sup>4,x</sup>, Silvia Franchini<sup>1</sup>, Angela Lauriola<sup>2±</sup>, Cecilia Pozzi<sup>5</sup>, Matteo Trande<sup>1±</sup>,  
7 Gaia Gozzi<sup>1</sup>, Puneet Saxena<sup>1#</sup>, Giuseppe Cannazza<sup>1</sup>, Lorena Losi<sup>1</sup>, Daniela Cardinale<sup>6</sup>, Alberto  
8 Venturelli<sup>1</sup>, Antonio Quotadamo<sup>1</sup>, Pasquale Linciano<sup>1</sup>, Lorenzo Tagliazucchi<sup>1</sup>, Maria Gaetana  
9 Moschella<sup>1</sup>, Remo Guerrini<sup>7</sup>, Salvatore Pacifico<sup>7</sup>, Rosaria Luciani<sup>1</sup>, Filippo Genovese<sup>1</sup>, Stefan  
10 Henrich<sup>3</sup>, Silvia Alboni<sup>1</sup>, Nuno Santarem<sup>8</sup>, Anabela da Silva Cordeiro<sup>8,9</sup>, Elisa Giovannetti<sup>10,11</sup>,  
11 Godefridus J Peters<sup>10^</sup>, Paolo Pinton<sup>12</sup>, Alessandro Rimessi<sup>12</sup>, Gabriele Cruciani<sup>4</sup>, Robert M.  
12 Stroud<sup>13,†</sup>, Rebecca C. Wade<sup>3,14,15,†</sup>, Stefano Mangani<sup>5†</sup>, Gaetano Marverti<sup>2</sup>, Domenico D'Arca<sup>2\*</sup>,  
13 Glauco Ponterini<sup>1\*</sup> & Maria Paola Costi<sup>1\*</sup>.

14  
15 **Affiliations:**

16 <sup>1</sup> Department of Life Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, 41125 Modena, Italy.

17 <sup>2</sup> Department of Biomedical, Metabolic and Neural Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio  
18 Emilia, 41125 Modena, Italy.

19 <sup>3</sup> Molecular and Cellular Modeling Group, Heidelberg Institute for Theoretical Studies, 69118  
20 Heidelberg, Germany.

21 <sup>4</sup> Department of Chemistry, Biology and Biotechnology, University of Perugia, 06123, Perugia,  
22 Italy.

23 <sup>5</sup> Department of Biotechnology, Chemistry and Pharmacy, University of Siena, 53100 Siena,  
24 Italy.

25 <sup>6</sup> Respiratory, Critical Care & Anesthesia UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health  
26 London, U.K.

27 <sup>7</sup> Department of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Science, University of Ferrara, 41121 Ferrara,  
28 Italy.

29 <sup>8</sup> IBMC I3S, 4200-135 Porto, Portugal.

30 <sup>9</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Porto, Portugal

31 <sup>10</sup> Department of Medical Oncology, Amsterdam University Medical Center, Cancer Center  
32 Amsterdam, 1081HV, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

33 <sup>11</sup> CancerPharmacology Lab, Fondazione Pisana per la Scienza, 56017 Pisa, Italy.

34 <sup>12</sup> Dept. of Medical Sciences and Laboratory for Technologies of Advanced Therapies (LTTA),  
35 University of Ferrara, 44121 Ferrara, Italy.

36 <sup>13</sup> Biochemistry and Biophysics Department, University of California San Francisco, San  
37 Francisco, CA 94158, USA.

38 <sup>14</sup> Interdisciplinary Center for Scientific Computing (IWR), Heidelberg University, 69120  
39 Heidelberg, Germany.

40 <sup>15</sup> Center for Molecular Biology (ZMBH), DKFZ-ZMBH Alliance, Heidelberg University, 69120  
41 Heidelberg, Germany.

42

43 <sup>&</sup>current address: Pharmaceutical Sciences Laboratory/Structural Bioinformatics Laboratory,  
44 Faculty of Science and Engineering, Pharmacy/Biochemistry, ÅboAkademi University,  
45 Tykistökatu 6A, FIN-20520 Turku, Finland.

46 <sup>X</sup> Current address: AbocaS.p.A, loc. Aboca, 52037, Sansepolcro (AR), Italy.

47 <sup>±</sup>current address: Department of Biotechnology, University of Verona, 37134 Verona.

48 <sup>#</sup>current address: Excelra Knowledge Solutions, Plot No. 79, IDA Mallapur, Nacharam,  
49 Hyderabad-500076 India.

50 <sup>^</sup> second affiliation Department of Biochemistry, Medical University of Gdansk, Gdansk, Poland  
51

52 <sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed: Maria Paola Costi,  
53 mariapaola.costi@unimore.it,

54 Glauco Ponterini, glauco.ponterini@unimore.it, Domenico D'Arca domenico.darca@unimore.it.

55 <sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work as senior authors.

56 <sup>‡</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work as first authors.

57

58 **Abstract:** Drugs that target human thymidylate synthase (hTS), a dimeric enzyme, are widely  
59 used in anti-cancer therapy. However, treatment with classical substrate-site-directed TS  
60 inhibitors induces over-expression of this protein and development of drug resistance. We thus  
61 pursued an alternative strategy that led us to the discovery of TS-dimer destabilizers. These  
62 compounds bind at the monomer-monomer interface and shift the dimerization equilibrium of  
63 both the recombinant and the intracellular protein toward the inactive monomers. A structural,  
64 spectroscopic, and kinetic investigation has provided evidence and quantitative information on  
65 the effects of the interaction of these small molecules with hTS. Focusing on the best among  
66 them, **E7**, we have shown that it inhibits hTS in cancer cells and accelerates its proteasomal  
67 degradation, thus causing a decrease in the enzyme intracellular level. **E7** also showed a superior  
68 anticancer profile to fluorouracil in a mouse model of human pancreatic and ovarian cancer.  
69 Thus, over sixty years after the discovery of the first TS prodrug inhibitor, fluorouracil, **E7**  
70 breaks the link between TS inhibition and enhanced expression in response, providing a strategy  
71 to fight drug-resistant cancers.

72

73

74

## 75 **Introduction**

76 Small molecule able to bind at specific pockets of the monomer/monomer interface of a dimeric  
77 protein may perturb the dimeric assembly to the limit of disrupting it, and thus alter metabolic  
78 pathways associated with the cellular functions of the monomeric and dimeric protein forms  
79 (Taddia et al., 2015). Such a drastic structural change of the protein may open the way to  
80 unexpected events, such as a higher liability to intracellular degradation of the protein monomers  
81 relative to the dimers.

82 Human thymidylate synthase (hTS) is an obligate, stable homodimer ( $K_d = 80$  nM (Genovese et  
83 al., 2010)) with two active sites, each including residues from both monomers (Costi et al.,  
84 2005). As a dimeric enzyme, it provides the sole *de-novo* pathway to deoxythymidylate (dTMP)  
85 synthesis in human cells by catalyzing the reductive methylation of deoxyuridylate (dUMP) to  
86 dTMP using methylenetetrahydrofolate (mTHF) as the one-carbon methyl donor (Costi et al.,  
87 2005; Carreras and Santi, 1995). By interacting with its own and other mRNAs, this protein  
88 regulates its own levels and those of other proteins involved in apoptotic processes, including  
89 bcl2, c-myc, and p53 (Brunn et al., 2014; Jennings and Willis, 2015). Its inhibition is usually  
90 achieved with compounds that bind at the protein active-site, competing either with the dUMP  
91 substrate, such as 5-fluorodeoxyuridine 5'-monophosphate (FdUMP), or with the folate cofactor,  
92 such as raltitrexed (RTX) and pemetrexed (PMX) (Carreras and Santi, 1995; Jennings and  
93 Willis, 2015) (Figure 1A,B). However, these drugs induce cells to develop drug resistance


94 associated with increased hTS levels which eventually leads to therapy failure (Peters, 2018). A  
95 drastic change of strategy, based on the design of new compounds with different mechanisms of  
96 action, is thus necessary (Voeller et al., 2002; Cardinale et al., 2011). Here we report the  
97 discovery of molecules that bind at the hTS monomer/monomer interface and, despite their small  
98 size, markedly shift the monomer-dimer equilibrium of the enzyme towards the inactive  
99 monomeric form. Acting as destabilizers of the hTS dimer, they not only inhibit the activity of  
100 this obligate homodimeric enzyme but also favor its intracellular degradation and, hence,  
101 decrease its level.

102 Using a tethering approach in which sulfhydryl-containing fragments were initially identified by  
103 reaction with cysteine residues inserted by mutation around the target site, we identified  
104 fragments anchored by disulfide bond formation at the interfacial mutant cysteine residues. We  
105 then employed molecular modeling and medicinal chemistry to modify the fragments and  
106 develop inhibitors able to bind WT hTS guided solely by their affinities for the interface region.  
107 For these small molecules, we have developed a model able to quantitatively account both for the  
108 dissociation of the hTS dimer, observed spectroscopically, and for the corresponding activity  
109 inhibition. We have also shown that their ability to induce the TS-dimer dissociation in cells lies  
110 below their efficacy in inhibiting growth of colon, ovarian and pancreatic cancer cells. One of  
111 our hTS-dimer destabilizers, compound **E7**, induced apoptosis in cancer cells and a decrease of  
112 hTS levels due to enhanced proteasomal degradation of the enzyme monomers with respect to  
113 the dimers. Remarkably, in a mouse model of orthotopic pancreatic cancer, this dimer disrupter  
114 caused a higher reduction of cancer growth and had lower toxicity than 5-fluorouracil (**5-FU**),  
115 the prodrug of 5-FdUMP. Like what we observed *in vitro*, the molecular analysis of exported  
116 treated cancer tissues demonstrated decreased hTS protein and mRNA levels. These findings

117 establish E7 as a new lead with an hTS dimer-disruptive ability and link the dimer-to-monomer  
118 equilibrium shift of this protein to its faster intracellular degradation.

119

120



121

122 **Figure 1. Drug target sites on hTS: the active-site (A,B) and the Y202 pocket (C-F).** Drugs

123 that are directed to the active site of hTS can mimic either the cofactor MTHF or the substrate

124 dUMP and bind in their binding pockets. **A**, hTS (in cartoon with the A and B subunits colored

125 white and grey, respectively) inhibited by the cofactor analogue pemetrexed (PMX, in sticks,

126 purple carbons), which occupies the cofactor binding pocket by establishing a  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction

127 with the dUMP substrate (in sticks, green carbons) (PDB ID: 1JU6). The substrate and cofactor  
128 binding sites are represented as green and orange surfaces, respectively. The catalytic cysteine,  
129 C195, is highlighted in sticks. **B**, The substrate analogue 5-fluoro-2'-deoxyuridine  
130 monophosphate (**FdUMP**) (in sticks, purple carbons) binds inside the catalytic cavity, mainly  
131 filling the substrate binding pocket (PDB ID: 3H9K, hTS variant R163K). **C**, Location of F59,  
132 Y202 and CME202 residues at the hTS dimer interface by superimposition of native hTS and  
133 hTS-C195S-Y202C double mutant structures (CME: S,S(2-hydroxyethyl)cysteine; PDB-ID:  
134 3N5G, 4O1X). **D**, Surface of the Y202 pocket (cyan) filled by F59' from the opposite monomer  
135 (cyan sticks). The surface of Y202 is highlighted in green (PDB-ID: 3N5G). **E**, Surface of the  
136 C202 pocket (cyan) filled by F59' from the opposite monomer (cyan sticks) in the C195S-  
137 Y202C double mutant (PDB-ID: 4O1X). The surface of C202 (oxidized as CME) is highlighted  
138 in green. **F**, Superimposition of the hTS-C195S-Y202C and hTS-Y202C crystal structures with  
139 the catalytic loop in hTS-Y202C (inactive conformation, in orange) and in hTS-C195S-Y202C  
140 (active conformation, in violet); notice the different orientations of the catalytic residue couples  
141 S195/SCH195 and S195'/SCH195' (PDB-ID: 4O1X, 4O1U) (SCH: S-methyl-thio-cysteine). The  
142 one-letter code is used for the standard amino-acids, while for the chemically modified amino-  
143 acid, a conventional 3-letter code is used as described above.

144

## 145 **Results**

146

### 147 **Choice of the ligand binding-site on the target protein surface.**

148 To target the monomer-monomer interface and dissociate the hTS dimer, we applied the  
149 'cysteine tethering' approach to fragment-based drug design (Erlanson et al., 2000). We  
150 investigated the crystal structures of the active and inactive hTS (PDB-IDs 1HVY and 1YPV,

151 respectively) and carried out molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of the monomeric and  
152 dimeric forms of the enzyme to explore the monomer-monomer interface of homodimeric hTS  
153 and identify targetable binding pockets and residues suitable to be mutated to cysteine for the  
154 tethering experiments (*Supplementary file 1*). We selected the ‘Y202 pocket’, which  
155 accommodates the side-chain of F59’ from the other monomer, as the most promising region to  
156 target (Figure 1C-F, Figure 2A-B, *Figure 1-figure supplement*) (Salo-Ahen et al., 2015).

157 In *Figure 1-figure supplement 1 in panel a-d* shows Y202 and K47 pockets at the hTS monomer-monomer interface  
158 (PDB ID: 1HVY, A chain) are represented. **a**, Surface presentation of the hTS monomer, with residue F59’ (in  
159 orange, shown as sticks) from the opposite monomer binding in the Y202 pocket (PDB ID: 1HVY, chain A).  
160 Crystallographic water molecules found in the Y202 pocket region are shown as red spheres. **b**, Cartoon  
161 representation of the hTS monomer with F59’ (in orange, shown as sticks) from the opposite monomer binding in  
162 the Y202 pocket (PDB ID: 1HVY, chain A). Residues forming the Y202 pocket are shown in sticks. Atom colour  
163 code: carbon – grey/orange; nitrogen – blue; oxygen – red. **c**, Surface representation of the K47 pocket region in the  
164 hTS monomer (PDB ID: 1HVY, chain A), with residue Y202’ (in orange, shown as sticks) from the opposite  
165 monomer, binding in the K47 subpocket K47b (green) adjacent to the K47a subpocket (pink). **d**, Cartoon and sticks  
166 presentation of the K47 pocket region in the hTS monomer with residue Y202 (in orange, shown as sticks) from the  
167 opposite monomer, binding in the K47b subpocket (PDB ID: 1HVY, chain A). Residues K47, S57 and D254 form a  
168 dividing wall that is part of both sub-pockets K47a (pink) and K47b (green), (see also Table S1). Atom color code:  
169 carbon – grey/orange/green/pink; nitrogen – blue; oxygen – red.

170  
171 This pocket lies in the perimeter space of the intermonomer interface and is accessible in the  
172 dimeric form of the protein. It is large enough ( $22 \text{ \AA}^3$ ) to accommodate a phenyl group and has  
173 several smaller crevices around it. During the MD simulations of monomeric hTS, the sidechain  
174 of Y202 moved away leaving a larger pocket and behaving like a gate. We therefore made the  
175 Y202C mutant and screened for compounds able to form a covalent disulfide bond with C202  
176 from a library of organic disulfides. We also mutated the active site cysteine to serine to prevent


177 the selection of compounds that bound at the active site (C195S). We made mutants with single  
178 point mutations at C195S and Y202C to test their functional and structural properties  
179 (*Supplementary file 1, Figure 1-figure supplement 1*) as well as the double mutant, hTS C195S-  
180 Y202C. This was used for the ligand selection according to the above-described tethering  
181 approach. As expected from removal of the catalytic C195 residue, this double mutant was  
182 inactive, but X-ray crystallography showed that it takes the active conformation of hTS (Figure  
183 1F, *Figure 2-figure supplement 1, Supplementary file 2A,B*).

184 *Figure 2-figure supplement 1* shows the X-ray crystallographic structure of hTS-Y202C and hTS C195S-Y202C. **a-**  
185 **c**, Unbiased omit map (blue wired map contoured at  $3.0 \sigma$ ), showing the S,S(2-hydroxyethyl)cysteine (CME)  
186 chemical modification occurring at the mutated residue C202 (panel **a**), and the mutated residue S195 (panel **b**) in  
187 the hTS double mutant C195S-Y202C. Panel **c** reports the omit map (contoured at  $3.0 \sigma$ ) showing the S,S(2-  
188 hydroxyethyl)cysteine (CME) chemical modification occurring at the mutated residue C202 in the single hTS  
189 Y202C mutant. The mutated serine and the CME residues are represented as sticks. The hTS C195S-Y202C  
190 structure is drawn in violet whereas the single mutant structure is coloured in orange (cartoon representation). **d-e**,  
191 H-bond interactions (represented as dashed lines) involving the CME202 residue in hTS Y202C subunits A (panel  
192 **d**) and B (panel **e**). Interacting residues are represented as sticks and water molecules as red spheres. Residues  
193 belonging to the A subunit are coloured in yellow while those of the B subunit are coloured in orange. **f-g**, two  
194 views of the surface of the hTS Y202C dimer. The two symmetry-related subunits are shown in violet (subunit A)  
195 and orange (subunit B), while the CME and S-methyl-thio-cysteine (SCH) residues are represented as spheres. Only  
196 SCH43 (panel **f**), appears fully solvent accessible, with CME202, partially visible in its pocket, just below SCH43.  
197 Panel **g**, shows that SCH180 is partially solvent accessible, while SCH195, CME199 and C210 are buried to  
198 different extents below the hTS surface. **h-j**, surface representations showing the solvent accessibility of C180  
199 residues in wt hTS and hTS double mutant (C195S-Y202C). The protein is shown in a transparent surface and the  
200 C180-C180' residues from two facing subunits are represented by labeled blue-violet spheres. **h**, wt hTS dimer in  
201 inactive conformation (PDB ID: 3N5G); **i**, wt hTS in active conformation (PDB ID: 2RDA); **j**, C195S-Y202C hTS  
202 mutant in active conformation (PDB ID: 4O1X). PISA server analysis indicates that C180-C180' are buried in all  
203 three structures. However, as it can be appreciated by comparing the three figures, the active conformation increases

204 the shielding of the facing C180-C180' residues from solvent as their buried surface goes from about 30 Å<sup>2</sup> (h) to  
 205 50-68 Å<sup>2</sup> (i-j). Also, the crevice between subunits is much larger in inactive vs. active conformation (from about 15  
 206 x 6 Å in inactive to about 5 x 5 Å in active conformation).

207  
 208 We employed the C195S-Y202C double mutant to capture compounds that, driven by some  
 209 affinity for the region near residue 202, formed a covalent disulfide with C202 *Supplementary*  
 210 *file 3A*. These compounds were selected by their stability towards reduction by β-  
 211 mercaptoethanol (BME), and the C202-S-S-small-compound disulfides formed were identified  
 212 by two mass spectrometric (MS) experiments, MALDI-TOF and ESI-QTOF (*Supplementary file*  
 213 *3B,C*).

214



215

216 **Figure 2. Disulfide library design and screening on the hTS C195S-Y202C variant.**

217 **A**, Crystal structure of the hTS C195S-Y202C dimer (in the cartoon, the A and B subunits are  
218 colored pale blue and grey, respectively; the catalytic loops, in active conformation, are  
219 highlighted in cyan and pink, respectively) showing the positions of the cysteine residues (in  
220 sticks, carbon atoms are colored cyan and pink in subunits A and B, respectively. Compounds  
221 **A5**, **A10**, **A20** and the reference compound N-tosyl-D-prolinecysteamine (**TPC**) (Appendix 2-  
222 figure 1) were selected through the tethering experiments. They are indicated close to the peptide  
223 sequence found in the mass spectrometry identification process (*Supplementary file 3B,C*). Their  
224 chemical structures are reported in *Supplementary file 3A*. **B**, Sequence of the hTS C195S-  
225 Y202C variant and cysteine-containing peptides generated by trypsin digestion. Cysteine  
226 residues are displayed in red (the residue number is reported below each cysteine) and the  
227 mutated S195 (the catalytic residue) in blue. Three cysteine-containing peptides were generated  
228 by proteolytic cleavage of the hTS C195S-Y202C variant: peptide I177-R185 and peptide R176-  
229 R185 containing C180 and peptide D186-R215, containing C199, C202 and C210. Ligand (Lig)-  
230 bound cysteines and those alkylated as carbamidomethyl (Cam)-cysteines are  
231 displayed. **C**, Screening cascade from the 6 million compounds from the ZINC database (Irwin  
232 and Shoichet, 2005) to the final 4 fragments expected to bind in the Y202 pocket. **D**, **E**,  
233 Representative MS spectra in the hTS-binding evaluation step. Maldi (**D**) and ESI (**E**) MS  
234 spectra for the reference compound **TPC** (Erlanson et al., 2000). The three labeled peaks are due  
235 to hTS C195S-Y202C and to the protein bound to BME and one and two molecules of TPC.

236




237 **Mass-spectrometric ligand selection.**

238 To identify molecules able to covalently bind near Y202, we designed a library of commercially  
239 available compounds from the ZINC database (Irwin and Shoichet, 2005) (Figure 2C). We first  
240 selected 1066 disulfide compounds that were either symmetric (RSSR) or asymmetric ( $R_1SSR_2$ )  
241 and had drug-like or fragment-like properties. 1066 molecules were screened for their molecular  
242 properties (PCA, MW, logP, size) and 55 were evaluated with the MS techniques. Thirteen out  
243 of the 55 compounds were found to bind the protein according to the results of both MS  
244 techniques (Figures 2D, 2E, *Appendix 1, Supplementary file 3A,B,C*). Among them, 7  
245 compounds, **A5**, **A6**, **A10**, **A15**, **A20**, **A38** and **TPC**, were selected based on the feasibility of  
246 their chemical modification and were further analyzed by a bottom-up MALDI-TOF and ESI-  
247 QTOF MS proteomic analysis to identify the cysteine residues they had bound (*Supplementary*  
248 *file 3C*). The 7 compounds bound either peptides (I177-R185) IIMCAWNPR (sequence A) or  
249 (R176-R185) RIIMCAWNPR (sequence B), both containing the C180 residue of the digested  
250 protein (*Supplementary file 3C*). Four molecules, **A5**, **A10**, **A20** and **TPC** also bound peptide  
251 (D186-R215) DLPLMALPPSHALCQFCVVNSELSCLYQR (sequence C) (*Supplementary file*  
252 *3C*), containing the engineered Y202C together with the native C199 and C210. In agreement  
253 with the described computational and crystallographic data (see above), Y202C is the most  
254 exposed of these three cysteines and is thus easily available for disulfide-bond formation with the  
255 RS fragments, being therefore suitable for tethering experiments. Other reasons to select the  
256 Y202 pocket are that Y202 is not predicted to be part of the mRNA binding region and has the  
257 capability to interact with various functional groups. Hence, despite the difficulties in the  
258 identification of the precise cysteine to which the fragments could bind, all the above arguments  
259 support the choice of the Y202 pocket at the hTS interface as a suitable binding site for further

260 structure-based studies. Therefore, we considered the fragments **A5**, **A10**, **A20** and **TPC** for  
261 further medicinal chemistry modifications (Figure 3A).

262

263



264

265

266

267 **Figure 3. From hits to dimer-destabilizing compounds.** **A**, Structures of the compounds  
268 identified in MS studies (in red the fragments used for the virtual screening approach to the  
269 design of the compound library without the disulfide bonds). **B**, Virtual screening cascade and  
270 chemical structures of the identified compounds, **B12** and **B26**. **C**, Detail of the binding mode of  
271 compound **C3** (orange sticks) with the hTS interface residues R64, Y202 and R175 (positive and  
272 negative potential regions are colored in blue and red, respectively) obtained with docking  
273 methods. **D**, Stereo view of the superimposition of the calculated binding mode of **C3** onto the  
274 first monomer (white cartoon) with the opposite monomer overlapping (lime cartoon). Atom  
275 color code: nitrogen – blue; oxygen – red; sulphur – yellow. **E**, Results of anion exchange  
276 chromatography showing co-elution experiments of **C3** with hTS dimer and monomer through  
277 anion exchange chromatography. Absorbances at 280 (blue) and 350 nm (red) of  
278 chromatographic fractions obtained by loading a 50  $\mu$ M hTS and 4  $\mu$ M **C3** solution on an anion-  
279 exchange AEX HiPrep Q HP 16/10mm column. (*Figure 3-figure supplement 1, Figure 3-figure*  
280 *supplement 1-Source data 1*).

281 *Figure 3-figure supplement 1* reports the anion-exchange chromatograms obtained with a 280 nm detection (blue  
282 traces) by loading on an AEX HiPrep Q HP 16/10mm, Cytiva, column. **A**: hTS 300 $\mu$ L, 50 $\mu$ M. **B** hTS + 250  $\mu$ M  
283 dUMP and RTX. **C**: hTS +4 $\mu$ M **C3**. **D**: hTS +40  $\mu$ M **C3**. **E**: hTS + 80  $\mu$ M**C3**. Green traces: NaCl gradient (% of  
284 buffer 'B'), red traces: conductivity (mS/cm). The insets are the UV-Vis spectra of the indicated species.

285

286 **From low-affinity compounds to TS homodimer destabilizers.**

287 Starting from the four fragments identified by tethering/MS (**TPC**, **A5**, **A10**, **A20**) (Figure 3A),  
288 we performed an analogue search that yielded a dataset of 331,600 commercially available  
289 compounds (Specs dataset, www.specs.net) potentially able to bind non-covalently at the Y202  
290 pocket of the monomer/monomer interface. The initial dataset was progressively reduced to  
291 5,774 candidates using MW, structural and chemical criteria (Figure 3B). These were then  
292 docked into the Y202 pocket with the software FLAP (Cross et al., 2010) using a receptor-based  
293 pharmacophore model built from the X-ray crystal structure of hTS (PDB: 1HVY). A final set of  
294 26 molecules (**B1-B26**, *Supplementary file 4A*) was selected to be tested for their ability to  
295 inhibit recombinant hTS and destabilize its dimeric form using, respectively, a kinetic and a  
296 spectroscopic, FRET (Förster resonance energy transfer)-based assays (Ponterini et al., 2016).  
297 For the FRET-based assay (Genovese et al., 2010), we conjugate one protein monomer with red-  
298 emitting tetramethylrhodamine (T) and the other with green-emitting fluorescein (F). Only when  
299 the monomers are close to each other, i.e., combined to form a dimer, F-to-T excitation energy  
300 transfer occurs, a FRET signal is detected, and its efficiency determined. We can thus investigate  
301 the dimer/monomer equilibrium of hTS, characterize it by the corresponding dissociation  
302 equilibrium constant and evaluate the effect of a tested compound thereupon.

303 Among the 26 compounds selected by VS, **B12** and **B26** (Figure 3B, *Supplementary file 4A*),  
304 caused low but reproducible decreases in the efficiency of FRET ( $\Delta\Phi_{\text{FRET}} = -0.13$  and  $\Delta\Phi_{\text{FRET}} = -$   
305  $0.02$ , respectively), thus indicating some ability to perturb the enzyme dimeric assembly. In the  
306 docked poses, interactions were established by **B12** with Y202 through the *o*-chloro-phenyl ring  
307 and with R175 through the *p*-nitro-pyridyl ring, and by **B26** with Y202 through the piperidin-1-  
308 yl ring and with R175 through the quinoline ring (Figure 3C, D).

309 Next, we designed and synthesized 4 sets of compounds (**C-F**) (*Supplementary file 4*) to develop  
310 **B26** into biologically active compounds that exhibited the ability to both disrupt the dimer and  
311 inhibit recombinant hTS (*Appendix 2-figure 2-7*). The most relevant compounds were **C2**, **C3**  
312 (*Figure 3*), **C4**, **E5**, **E6** and **E7** (*Supplementary file 4B-E*). The former three, differing only for  
313 the position of the carboxylic group on the phenyl ring, featured IC<sub>50</sub> values between 5.25 μM  
314 and 246 μM (*Supplementary file 4*) and were selected for a quantitative mechanistic analysis of  
315 FRET and inhibition data with recombinant hTS (see the next paragraph). It was however  
316 compounds **E5**, **E6** and **E7** that proved to be the most interesting to continue our study. They  
317 featured IC<sub>50</sub> values against recombinant hTS of 40, 10 and 7 μM respectively, and decreased  
318 FRET efficiency by -0.24 at 50μM (**E5**, **E6**) and -0.1 at 10 μM (**E7**, *Supplementary file 4*).  
319 Compound **E7**, that was the most active on cells, was determined to be a racemic mixture of two  
320 enantiomers that equilibrated with a half-life of 56 min (*Appendix 3, Appendix 3-figure 1*,  
321 *Appendix 3-figure 2*).

322  
323 *Appendix 3-Figure 1* shows the 2D structure of the **E7** enantiomers.  
324

325  
326 Additional evidence of the ability of compounds **C3** and **E5** to bind hTS and destabilize its  
327 dimers was provided by anion-exchange (AE) chromatographic experiments. Two bands,  
328 separated by ca. 2 minutes, both corresponding to fractions characterized by the absorption  
329 spectrum of hTS, were found in the AE chromatogram based on absorbance at 280 nm (*Figure*  
330 *3-figure supplement 1A*). The relative area of the second band was only about 3.5% that of the  
331 first one and decreased further (*Figure 3-figure supplement 1B*) when the protein was loaded  
332 together with dUMP and Raltitrexed, both at 250 μM, a concentration more than one order of  
333 magnitude larger than their dissociation constants from hTS. Because binding of hTS to its

334 nucleotidic substrate stabilizes the active, dimeric form of the enzyme, and consistently with the  
335 expectations based on protein size and the correlated elution times, we attribute the two bands to  
336 the hTS dimers the first one, and monomers the second. In keeping with this assignment, when  
337 hTS was loaded on the AE column after a 1 h incubation with compound **C3** at three  
338 concentrations, namely 4, 40 and 80  $\mu$ M, the monomer band was delayed by additional 3-4  
339 minutes relative to the dimer band in the chromatograms (*Figure 3-figure supplement 1A* and  
340 *Figure 3-figure supplement 1C-E*) and its relative area increased markedly, from 0.035 to 0.08,  
341 to 0.24 and to 1.09, respectively. Co-elution, hence stable non covalent binding of the **C3**  
342 inhibitor with hTS, was established by observing that the fractions containing the hTS dimers  
343 and monomers also exhibited absorption at 350 nm (*Figure 3E*), a wavelength at which no  
344 absorption comes from the protein. Accumulation of compound **C3** in these fractions was  
345 confirmed by identifying and quantifying it in the AE chromatographic fractions by high-  
346 resolution mass spectrometry (*Supplementary file 5, Figure 3-figure supplement 2, Figure 3-  
347 figure supplement 2-Source data 1*).

348  
349 *Figure 3-figure supplement 2* shows the profile of the variation in concentration of compound **C3** in the AE  
350 chromatographic fractions determined by high-resolution MS (UltiMate 3000 UHPLC tandem Orbitrap Q-Ex).  
351 Comparison with *Figure 3E* shows that most ligand is co-eluted with the hTS monomer, around a 25 min retention  
352 time. The much larger amount of compound **C3** in the protein monomer fractions (23-27 min) relative to the dimer  
353 containing fractions (18-22 min) possibly results from preferential co-precipitation of the ligand with the protein  
354 dimers relative to the monomers prior to injection into the mass spectrometer.

355  
356 Absorbances at 350 nm in *Figure 3E* indicated similar concentrations of the compound in the  
357 fractions corresponding to the protein dimers and monomers, in spite of the fact that the 280 nm

358 dimer chromatographic band was much more intense than the monomer band. This finding  
359 suggests a somewhat larger affinity of the ligand for the latter. Consistently, parallel MS  
360 experiments in which 4  $\mu\text{M}$  **E5** was loaded on the AE column with hTS identified this ligand  
361 mainly in the fractions containing the protein monomers (see additional information in the  
362 *Supplementary file 5*. So, overall, the results synthesized here show that these small compounds  
363 co-elute with hTS, thus proving beyond doubt that they remain bound to it, possibly to the  
364 monomer more tightly than to the dimer, throughout the AE chromatographic runs. Also, they  
365 qualitatively show the ability of these ligands to de-stabilize the hTS dimers in favor of the  
366 monomers.

#### 367 **Mechanistic analysis of dissociative inhibition.**

368  
369 The nitrothiophenyl derivative, **C3** and its isomers, **C2** and **C4** (*Supplementary file 4B*), are  
370 structurally similar to compound **E7** (*Supplementary file 4D*) and are much more soluble in  
371 water. So, they were used for quantitatively investigating the molecular mechanistic basis of  
372 their ability to both inhibit recombinant hTS and disrupt its dimer. The three isomeric  
373 compounds showed different inhibitory potencies against 300 nM hTS, with  $\text{IC}_{50}$  values of  
374 5.25, 83 and 246  $\mu\text{M}$ , respectively. Consistently, **C3** caused the largest decrease in FRET  
375 efficiency ( $\Delta\Phi_{\text{FRET}}$ ) at concentrations lower than 50  $\mu\text{M}$  (Figure 4A, Figure 4B, *Figure 4-Source*  
376 *data 1*).

377 The dependence of  $\Phi_{\text{FRET}}$ , that in our conditions is a direct measure of the mole fraction of hTS  
378 dimers, on each inhibitor concentration (Figure 4B) was fitted according to the dimer-monomer  
379 equilibrium model sketched in Figure 4C. This was analyzed as reported in the *Appendix 3*. We  
380 searched for evidence of the disruptive character of compound **C3** by investigating the


381 dependence of its dose-effect curves on total protein concentration,  $E_T$  (Figure 4D, *Figure 4-*  
382 *Source data 1*).

383 As expected for a dissociative inhibition mechanism, the limit values of  $\Phi_{\text{FRET}}$  at high **C3**  
384 concentrations were consistent with a 5-fold increase in the equilibrium dissociation constant for  
385 the protein bound to **C3** with respect to the free protein (Figure 4E, *Figure 4-Source data 1*).

386 From the common limit values of  $\Phi_{\text{FRET}}$  at high concentrations (Figure 4B), we conclude that,  
387 when saturating hTS, compounds **C2-C4** have similar effects on the dimer stability. The five-fold  
388 increase in the protein-dimer dissociation equilibrium constant and the corresponding decrease in  
389  $\Delta G^\circ$  for dimer disruption, from -40.5 to about -36.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta\Delta G^\circ = -4$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, are evidence of  
390 the dimer-destabilizing ability of compounds **C2-C4**. On the other hand, their different abilities  
391 to decrease the FRET efficiency at lower concentrations can be attributed to different affinities  
392 for the protein dimers, with  $K_I$ 's of  $2.8 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $2 \times 10^{-5}$  M, for **C2**, **C3** and **C4**,  
393 respectively. Thus, the observed overall effect of such an inhibitor result from a combination of  
394 affinity for the protein and ability to destabilize its dimeric assembly by impairing crucial  
395 attractive inter-monomer interactions.

396 Binding of compounds **C2-C4** at the Y202 pocket of the hTS monomer/monomer interface is  
397 supported by computational docking (Figure 3C, D). In the hTS dimer, the aromatic ring of the  
398 Y202 residue forms a  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction with the phenyl ring of the F59' residue from the opposite  
399 subunit. Such a ring is replaced by the phenyl ring of the benzoic acid portions of **C2-C4** in their  
400 modeled complexes with hTS. Thus, these compounds mimic some of the residue side chains  
401 from the opposite monomer in the dimer, providing similar interactions in this region. This  
402 finding is supported by the higher affinities of the inhibitors for the hTS monomer ( $K_I = 10^{-6}$  M)  
403 than for the dimer ( $K_I' = 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$  M), as obtained by fitting of the FRET data in Figure 4B.

404 The reliability of our docking virtual experiments was strengthened by their ability to explain the  
 405 observed higher affinity for hTS of compound **C3** relative to its isomers, **C2** and **C4**. While the  
 406 nitro-groups of all these ligands can make head-to-head hydrogen-bonding interactions with R64  
 407 (Figure 3C-D), only the *para* carboxylic group of compound **C3** arranges properly to establish an  
 408 additional H-bond with R175. Instead, the *meta* and *ortho* carboxylic groups of **C2** and **C4** do  
 409 not have the correct orientations for interacting with this arginine residue.  
 410



411  
 412 **Figure 4. Spectroscopic and mechanistic analysis of hTS dissociative inhibition for**  
 413 **compounds C2, C3 and C4. A, Emission spectra of fluorescein(F)- and tetramethylrhodamine**  
 414 **(T)-labelled hTS ( $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 450 \text{ nm}$ ): the decreasing emission contribution of T relative to F with**

415 increasing concentrations of **C3** (0, 4.5, 9.5, 15, 25, 100  $\mu\text{M}$ ) parallels the decrease in the hTS  
416 dimer mole fraction. **B**, Dependence of the observed FRET efficiency on the concentrations of  
417 three inhibitors (**C3**, black; **C4**, blue; **C2**, red); the hTS dimer concentration was 100 nM. The  
418 lines represent the best fittings of the experimental results to Equation S3 in the *Appendix 4*. The  
419 most significant fitting parameters are reported. **C**, Cartoon, and standard chemical  
420 representations of the dissociative inhibition mechanism of hTS. Blue shapes represent enzyme  
421 monomers (M) in the active conformation bound to dUMP; red dots indicate a dissociative  
422 inhibitor (I), yellow hexagons, the folate substrate (S), green octagons, the product. **D**,  
423 Dependence of FRET efficiency on the concentration of **C3** with total protein concentrations  
424 ( $E_T$ ) of 490 nM (blue circles), 250 nM (green circles), 100 nM (red circles) and 50 nM (black  
425 circles). The horizontal segments represent the values of the efficiency in the limit of high  
426 inhibitor concentration. At  $E_T = 50$  nM and  $I_T = 5$   $\mu\text{M}$  the vertical arrow indicates the evolution  
427 of the measured value of the FRET efficiency 5, 7 and 12 minutes after inhibitor addition (grey  
428 and black circles). **E**, Analysis of the hTS monomer-dimer equilibrium according to eq.  $\Phi_{\text{FRET}} =$   
429  $1 - 0.5(\Phi_{\text{FRET}}/E_T)^{1/2}K^{1/2}$  (Genovese et al., 2010) and corresponding equilibrium constants without  
430 **C3** (white circles,  $K = K_D$ ) and at saturating **C3** concentrations (black circles,  $K = K''_D$ ,  $\Phi_{\text{FRET}} =$   
431 FRET efficiency). **F**, Dependence of the initial reaction rate ( $v$ ) on mTHF concentration (free  
432 substrate, [S], and total,  $S_T$ ) at four different **C3** concentrations (from top to bottom, [I]= 0, 12,  
433 24 and 36  $\mu\text{M}$ ). The fitting curves represent equation  $v = x_r^2 S_T D$  derived in the *Appendix 4* and  
434 computed with  $E_T$  (total enzyme dimer concentration) = 300 nM,  $k=k'=0.9$   $\text{s}^{-1}$ ,  $k''=0.1$   $\text{s}^{-1}$ ,  
435  $K_1=K_2=10^{-5}$  M,  $K_3= 2 \times 10^{-5}$  M,  $K_I= 10^{-6}$  M,  $K_I'= K_I''= 10^{-5}$  M,  $x = [M_2]^{1/2}$  and using for  $x_r$  the  
436 only acceptable root of the quadratic equation in panel 4F (*Figure 4-Source data 2*).

437

438 As for the mechanism of inhibition of hTS enzyme activity by compounds **C2-C4**, we show that  
439 the scheme in Figure 4C can account for the dependence of the initial reaction rate ( $v$ ) on the  
440 substrate concentration at different inhibitor concentrations with very reasonable fitting  
441 parameters (Figure 4F). Simple observation of the dependence of  $v$  on **[C3]** with total enzyme  
442 concentration ( $E_T$ ) 300 nM (Figure 4F and *Figure 4-Source data 2*), indicates a regular decrease  
443 with increasing **[C3]** to a limiting rate that is about 1/5 the rate in the absence of inhibitor. On the  
444 other hand, the  $E_T$ -dependent limiting value of  $\Phi_{\text{FRET}}$  was about half the full one (Figure 4B).  
445 This difference in the limit behaviors of  $v$  and  $\Phi_{\text{FRET}}$  suggests that, when bound to the inhibitor,  
446 the dimeric enzyme is less catalytically active than when free. Or, in terms of the  
447 dissociative/noncompetitive model in Figure 4C,  $K_2$  and  $K_3$  are larger than  $K_1$ , or  $k'$  and/or  $k''$   
448 are smaller than  $k$ . The curves that go through the kinetic data in Figure 4F are plots of eq.  $v =$   
449  $x_T^2 S_T D$  that was obtained by fast-equilibrium solution of the scheme in Figure 4C (for the  
450 derivation of the equation and the meanings of the symbols therein, see the *Appendix 4*).  
451 Remarkably, the fitting parameters, provided in the caption, are consistent with the results of the  
452 FRET-based analysis of the equilibria in Figure 4C and the limit behaviors of the initial rate and  
453 of  $\Phi_{\text{FRET}}$ . More in detail: i)  $k''$  is much smaller than  $k$  and  $k'$ , i.e.,  $M_2I_2$  is almost catalytically  
454 inactive, a feature typical of noncompetitive inhibition; ii)  $K_3$  is larger than  $K_{1,2}$ , i.e., inhibitor  
455 binding reduces the enzyme affinity for MTHF; iii)  $K_I'$  and  $K_I''$  remain one order of magnitude  
456 larger than  $K_I$ ; i.e., when saturated with dUMP, the enzyme dimer shows lower affinity for the  
457 inhibitor than the monomer; iv) using the relationships among the equilibrium constants, we  
458 estimate that  $K_D'/K_D = K_I'/K_I \approx 10$  and  $K_D''/K_D' = K_I''/K_I \approx 10$ , i.e., the kinetic results confirm a  
459 progressive dissociation of the enzyme dimer to monomers upon subsequent additions of **C3**.

460 The latter is therefore confirmed to be both a dimer disrupter and a dissociative inhibitor, the two  
461 roles being intimately connected with each other.

462


### 463 **The dimer destabilizers engage hTS in cancer cells.**

464  
465 To gain evidence that our hTS dimer destabilizers engage this enzyme in cells, we resorted to  
466 fluorescence microscopy. For this experiment, we selected compound **E5** to be incorporated in  
467 the final conjugated probe because its chemical precursor, **E3**, has a reactive carboxylic group  
468 (*Supplementary file 4D*) and is therefore suitable for probe conjugation chemistry. **E6** and **E7** do  
469 not have the same suitable precursors. Using a short ether linker, we conjugated it to fluorescein  
470 isothiocyanate (FITC), a green-emitting probe that favors cell internalization (*Appendix 2-figure*  
471 *8-10*). The **E5-(O<sub>2</sub>Oc)-CAM-FITC (E5-FITC)** conjugate is permeable to the cells. It proved  
472 slightly less active at inhibiting recombinant hTS than **E5** ( $IC_{50S} = 40$  and  $100 \mu M$ , for **E5** and  
473 **E5-FITC**, respectively), likely because the probe tail caused some steric hindrance for its  
474 binding to the enzyme. For these experiments, we ectopically expressed in HT-29 cells either  
475 Wild Type (WT) hTS or a mutant with a tetracysteine motif (CCPGCC, TC) inserted near the N-  
476 terminus (TS-TC) (Ponterini et al., 2016). The tetracysteine motif tightly binds ReAsH, a  
477 rhodamine-based diarsenical probe. The ReAsH/TC-TS complex emits red fluorescence with  
478 much higher efficiency than the unbound probe. HT-29 cells (transfected with either WT TS or  
479 TC-TS) were treated with ReAsH and **E5-FITC**. In the ReAsH-TC-hTS/**E5-FITC** complex,  
480 fluorescein transferred excitation energy to rhodamine thus signaling formation of the complex  
481 with a FRET signal. Indeed, our image analysis (Figure 5) showed that cells expressing hTS-TC  
482 produced a significantly higher ( $P < 0.0001$ ) average FRET signal ( $4222 \pm 2128$ ) than control

483 cells that expressed WT TS ( $1250 \pm 1178$ ), thus proving the occurrence of intracellular hTS/E5  
484 engagement.

485

486



487

488 **Figure 5. Fluorescence microscopy analysis of hTS dissociative inhibition.** Representative  
489 fluorescence microscopy images of TS-TC- and WT-TS-expressing HT-29 cells stained with  
490 red-emitting ReAsH and incubated with green-emitting **E5-FITC**. From left to right: green-  
491 emission and red-emission channels, and FRET efficiencies depicted according to the colour  
492 scale (%) in the right bars. Right: comparison of the average FRET areas for cells overexpressing  
493 TS with (TS\_TC) or without (TS\_Wt) ReAsH binding sites, obtained using PixFRET within  
494 ImageJ. Each dot represents the total FRET area of an individual field with at least 10 cells. The  
495 statistical analysis was performed using unpaired two tailed t-test (P value >0,0001) using Prism  
496 8 for windows (version 3.1.1).

497

498 **Cancer cell growth inhibition by hTS dimer destabilizers.**

499 The compounds that both inhibited recombinant hTS and decreased the FRET efficiency, i.e.,  
500 **C2-C5, C9, C10, C13, D5-D9, D12, E1** and **E3-E7**, were selected to test cancer-cell growth  
501 inhibition. They were tested as racemates, employing **5-FU**, metabolized to **FdUMP** inside cells,  
502 as a reference compound. Tests were performed on several model cancer cell lines. Because **5-**  
503 **FU** is a key therapeutic in the pancreatic-cancer first-line regimen FOLFIRINOX, we chose three  
504 pancreatic adenocarcinoma primary cell cultures, PDAC-2, PDAC-5 and LPc167, which express  
505 low, intermediate and high TS levels, respectively (Funel et al., 2008, Firuzi et al., 2019). These  
506 cell lines showed a similar trend in the TSmRNA levels ( $3.93\pm 0.58$ ,  $11.49\pm 1.39$ ,  $49.02\pm 2.76$ ,  
507 respectively) (*Figure 6-figure supplement 1, Figure 6-figure supplement 1-Source data 1*). These  
508 cultures were obtained from radically-resected patients which reflected the histopathological and  
509 genomic features of human PDACs. Noteworthy, **E7** was almost as equally active against both  
510 PDAC2 and PDAC5 cells. Both were sensitive to **5-FU** (*Supplementary file 6A*). The LPc167  
511 primary culture, which expressed high levels of TS was resistant to **5-FU** but still sensitive to **E7**  
512 (*Figure 6-figure supplement 2, Figure 6-figure supplement 2-Source data 1*).

513 *Figure 6-figure supplement 2* shows the dose-response curve of Pancreatic cancer cells LPc167 growth inhibition  
514 for compounds E7, E5 and 5FU.

515 Other models used in our investigation were two ovarian cancer cell lines, IGROV1 and  
516 TOV112D, and two gynecological cancer cell lines that feature high hTS levels resulting from  
517 cross-resistance to cisplatin (C13\*, A2780/CP) as well as the corresponding cisplatin-sensitive  
518 lines (2008, A2780) (Cardinale et al., 2011) (*Figure 6A, Figure 6 - figure supplement 3, Figure 6*  
519 *- figure supplement 3-Source data 1, Supplementary file 6B*).

520 *Figure 6 - figure supplement 3* shows the dose-response curves for the inhibition of ovarian cancer cells by E5, E6,  
521 E7 and 5FU and other compounds.

522 Since **5-FU** is always included in the treatment of colorectal cancer, we added three epithelial  
523 colorectal cancer cell lines, HCT116, HT29 and LoVo, which exhibit different sensitivities to **5-**  
524 **FU** (Bracht et al., 2010). We observed similar results in all tested cell lines. While most  
525 compounds produced weak effects, we could determine IC<sub>50</sub> values for compounds **E5-E7**  
526 (*Figure 6-figure supplement 4, Figure 6-figure supplement 4-Source data 1. Supplementary file*  
527 *6A*).

528 *Figure 6-figure supplement 4* shows the cell survival percentages as the mean ± S.E.M. of three separate  
529 experiments performed in duplicate. **a** is referred to HCT116 cells. **B** is referred to HT29 cells and **c** is referred to  
530 LoVo cells. Data indicate mean values and standard deviation from three experiments performed in duplicate. P  
531 values were calculated with two-sided Student's t-test and ANOVA followed by the Tukey's multiple comparison. \*  
532 p < 0.05; \*\* p < 0.01; \*\*\* p < 0.001, n=3.

533  
534 **E7** was the most interesting among these compounds, being active on all the cancer cells tested  
535 with IC<sub>50</sub> values between 10 and 37 μM after 72 hours of exposure. It showed a lower or, in a  
536 few cases, comparable activity with respect to **5-FU**.

537 A crucial issue remains the intracellular mechanism of action of these dimer destabilizers.  
538 Among the classical active-site inhibitors of hTS, **5-FU** forms a stable ternary complex with the  
539 MTHF cofactor and the hTS protein and induces high expression of hTS by stabilizing the  
540 dimeric assembly, thereby impairing both the protein feed-back regulation and the proteasomal  
541 degradation (Chu et al., 1991; Berger et al., 2004; Peña et al., 2009). On the other hand, **PMX**,  
542 another TS active-site inhibitor with a folate-analog structure, binds at the MTHF binding site  
543 and forms a reversible non-covalent ternary complex with dUMP (Costi et al., 2005; Wilson et  
544 al., 2014). Our dimer destabilizers inhibit recombinant hTS with a different mechanism of action,  
545 i.e., by destabilizing its dimer. Thus, we expect them to act differently in cells too, and to

546 produce a different modulation of the intracellular hTS levels with respect to **5-FU** and **PMX**.  
547 These studies are reported in Figure 7.

548

549 **The dimer destabilizers decrease the hTS levels and promote cell death.**

550 To investigate the intracellular mechanism of action of compounds **E5** and **E7**, we first  
551 determined whether they induce apoptotic cell death both in cisplatin-sensitive A2780 cells and  
552 in cisplatin-resistant A2780/CP cells. As a control, we included cisplatin (cDDP) that, as  
553 expected, caused a higher rate of apoptotic cell death in sensitive than in resistant cells.  
554 Remarkably, **E7** induced apoptotic death in the two cell lines after a 48 hours treatment with a  
555 slightly higher efficiency than **PMX** (*Figure 7-figure supplement 1, Figure 7-figure supplement*  
556 *1-Source data 1. Figure 7-figure supplement 2*).


557 *Figure 7-figure supplement 1.* Effect of cisplatin on cell death, effect of dimer destabilizers on hTS activity and  
558 hTS protein levels in cancer cells. **a**, flow cytometric analysis of apoptosis of A2780 and A2780/CP cells treated  
559 with cisplatin (13  $\mu\text{M}$ , 72h) (Annexin V-/PI-: live cells, Annexin V+/PI-: early apoptotic cells, Annexin V+/PI+: late  
560 apoptotic cells, Annexin V-/PI+: necrotic cells). **b**, quantification of Annexin V-positive cells (Q2+Q3) was  
561 performed using Annexin V/PI kit. **c**, effects of **E7** (different times) on hTS protein level in HT29 cells, and **on**  
562 A2780/CP cells. **e**, cellular extracts from A2780 and A2780/CP cell lines processed for TS activity after incubation  
563 with **E5** and **E7** at concentrations corresponding to  $\text{IC}_{50}$  values (28.8 and 34.3  $\mu\text{M}$  for **E5**; 10.1 and 21.5  $\mu\text{M}$  for **E7**).  
564 **f**, cellular extracts from 2008 and C13\* cell lines processed for TS activity after incubation with **E5** and **E7** at  
565 concentrations corresponding to  $\text{IC}_{50}$  values (29.8 and 38.3  $\mu\text{M}$  for **E5**; 14.6 and 21.1  $\mu\text{M}$  for **E7**). All the results are  
566 the mean of three experiments performed in duplicate. Data indicate mean values and standard deviation. P values  
567 were calculated with two-sided Student's *t*-test and ANOVA followed by the Tukey's multiple comparison. \*  $p <$   
568 0.05; \*\*  $p < 0.01$ ; \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ .

569

570 *Figure 7-figure supplement 2* shows an example of gating strategy used for cell death analysis. Gating strategy  
571 (representative flow cytometry profiles) used to assess the percentage of apoptotic cells (Annexin V/PI) shown in

572 Fig. 6B in the main text. In panel **a**, the untreated (ctr) A2780 cells are reported. The left panel represents the  
573 Forward scatter (FSC-A) vs Side scatter (SSC-A). The right panel is the representative flow cytometry analysis plots  
574 of Annexin V/PI staining (Parameters: PI-PI-BL2-A vs ANNEXIN V-FITC-BL1-A; Gate: all events). In panel **b**,  
575 the cisplatin treated cells (13 $\mu$ M, 72hours) are shown. In the left panel, FSC-A vs SSC-A are reported. In the right  
576 panel, a representative flow cytometry analysis plots of Annexin V/PI staining is reported (Parameters: PI-PI-BL2-A  
577 vs ANNEXIN V-FITC-BL1-A; Gate: all events).

578



579

580 **Figure 6. Effects of hTS inhibitors in human cancer cell lines. A,** Dose-response curves for

581 **E5-E7 and 5-FU against A2780 and A2780/CP cell growth. B,** Flow cytometric analysis of

582 apoptosis of A2780 and A2780/CP cells treated with **E7** or **PMX** (Annexin V<sup>-</sup>/PI<sup>-</sup>: live cells,

583 Annexin V<sup>+</sup>/PI<sup>-</sup>: early apoptotic cells, Annexin V<sup>+</sup>/PI<sup>+</sup>: late apoptotic cells, Annexin V<sup>-</sup>/PI<sup>+</sup>:

584 necrotic cells). (Figure 6-Source data 1). **C,** Quantification of Annexin V-positive cells

585 (Q2 + Q3) performed using the Annexin V/PI kit. Data indicate mean values and standard  
586 deviation of at least two biological repeats performed in duplicate. P values were calculated with  
587 two-sided Student's *t*-test and ANOVA followed by the Tukey's multiple comparison. \*  $p <$   
588 0.05; \*\*  $p < 0.01$ ; \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ .

589

590 Following treatment of both A2780 and A2780/CP cells with **E5** and **E7**, while we found no  
591 change in the levels of the DHFR protein, the level of the hTS protein decreased significantly in  
592 a dose-dependent manner (Figure 7A, Figure 7-Source data 1, Figure 7 - Source data 2, Figure 7  
593 - Source data 15, Figure 7 - Source data 16, Figure 7-Source data 3, Figure 7 - Source data 4,  
594 Figure 7 - Source data 15, Figure 17 - Source data 18) It was low, nearly undetectable after 12-  
595 24 hrs of exposure to **E7** in HT29 cell (*Figure 7-figure supplement 1, Figure 7-figure supplement*  
596 *1-Source data 2, Figure 7-figure supplement 1-Source data 3, Figure 7-figure supplement 1-*  
597 *Source data 4, Figure 7-figure supplement 1-Source data 5*) and undetectable after 24-36 hrs of  
598 treatment in A2780/CP cells (*Figure 7-figure supplement 1, Figure 7-figure supplement 1-*  
599 *Source data 6, Figure 7-figure supplement 1-Source data 7*). In the same cell lines, we observed  
600 increased levels of hTS after treatment with both **PMX** and **5-FU** (Figure 7B) (Figure 7-Source  
601 data 5. Figure 7-Source data 6, Figure 7 - Source data 15, Figure 7 - Source data 20).

602 The ubiquitin-independent proteasomal degradation pathway is an important mechanism to  
603 destroy destabilized proteins in cells, and hTS is one of the substrates of this pathway (Peña et  
604 al., 2009). The observed decrease in hTS protein levels caused by **E5** and **E7** led us to  
605 hypothesize that it might be associated with a post-translational regulation mechanism, including  
606 proteasomal degradation. To test this hypothesis, we selected **E7**, our best cellular inhibitor, to  
607 determine the hTS half-life after blocking the *de novo* protein synthesis with the cycloheximide

608 (CHX) inhibitor. As shown in Figure 7C (Figure 7-Source data 7, Figure 7 - Source data 8,  
609 Figure 7 - Source data 21, Figure 7 - Source data 22 ), we found that the half-life of hTS was 3  
610 hours in untreated cancer cells and that the degradation rate of the enzyme increased by 20% in  
611 **E7**-treated A2780 cell.

612 To check whether the 26S proteasome was involved in such an accelerated degradation, we  
613 added the proteasome inhibitor MG132 (10  $\mu$ M, for 5 hrs) to **E7**-treated and untreated A2780  
614 cells. Indeed, as shown in (Figure 7D, Figure 7 - Source data 9, Figure 7-Source data 10, Figure  
615 7 - Source data 23, Figure 7 - Source data 24), MG132 restored the hTS levels in treated cells.  
616 These findings are opposite to the known effects on the hTS intracellular life exhibited by the  
617 reference inhibitor, **5-FU**. In fact, previous reports on other cell models have shown that **5-FU**  
618 causes a remarkable slowdown of the TS degradation rate because most of the protein is engaged  
619 in a ternary complex with the folate substrate and the inhibitor, being thus protected from the  
620 proteasome (Berger et al., 2004; Peña et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 2014; Kitchens et al., 1999;  
621 Kitchens, Forsthoefel, Rafique et al., 1999).

622 To investigate whether engagement of **E5** and **E7** with hTS in cancer cells could affect the  
623 protein catalytic activity, their effect on endogenous TS activity was evaluated on cellular  
624 extracts from untreated cells of four cell lines, namely, A2780, A2780/CP, 2008 and C13\*.  
625 These extracts were incubated with the inhibitors at their  $IC_{50}$  values for 60 min. In these  
626 conditions, the catalytic activity of hTS was systematically reduced by 25-30% in the cellular  
627 extracts of all cell lines (*Figure 7-figure supplement 1, Figure 7-figure supplement 1-Source data*  
628 *8*).

629 *Figure 7-figure supplement 1* shows in *panel e*, the cellular extracts from A2780 and A2780/CP cell lines processed  
630 for TS activity after incubation with **E5** and **E7** at concentrations corresponding to  $IC_{50}$  values (28.8 and 34.3  $\mu$ M  
631 for **E5**; 10.1 and 21.5  $\mu$ M for **E7**). In *panel f* it shows the cellular extracts from 2008 and C13\* cell lines processed  
632 for TS activity after incubation with **E5** and **E7** at concentrations corresponding to  $IC_{50}$  values (29.8 and 38.3  $\mu$ M

633 for **E5**; 14.6 and 21.1  $\mu\text{M}$  for **E7**). Data indicate mean values and standard deviation. P values were calculated with  
634 two-sided Student's *t*-test and ANOVA followed by the Tukey's multiple comparison. \*  $p < 0.05$ ; \*\*  $p < 0.01$ ; \*\*\*  $p$   
635  $< 0.001$ .

636

637

638 This reduction of the hTS activity supports the hTS target engagement by the dimer destabilizers  
639 and links the observed effect with the intracellularly observed dimer to monomer equilibrium  
640 shift (Figure 7F in the next section). Based on the above data, we conclude that **E7** reduces the  
641 hTS levels in cancer cells by enhancing its proteasomal degradation. Because **E7** behaves as a  
642 disrupter of the dimeric recombinant protein, we anticipate that the promotion of its proteasomal  
643 degradation in cells is due to an increased fraction of more labile monomers (Figure 7G).

644

645 **E7 disrupts endogenous hTS dimers yielding monomers that are more rapidly degraded by**  
646 **the proteasome.**

647 We then explored how treatment with **E7** perturbed the intracellular hTS dimer/monomer  
648 equilibrium using a cross-linking strategy on endogenous hTS from A2780 cells (Figure 7F,  
649 Figure 7 - Source data 11, Figure 7-Source data 25) (Shi et al., 2017). These cells were treated  
650 with **E7** or with the vehicle (DMSO) for 3 hrs, after which cross-linking was carried out by  
651 adding bis(sulfosuccinimidyl)suberate ( $\text{BS}^3$ ) to the cell lysate. Next, the hTS dimers and  
652 monomers were detected via immunoblot after SDS-PAGE in reducing conditions. The treatment  
653 caused a significant decrease of the dimer/monomer ratio relative to the control ( $-40 \pm 10\%$ ,  
654 Figure 7F). We thus confirmed that **E7** disrupts the hTS dimers also in cells.

655 To confirm that the hTS monomer is less stable than the dimer in cells, we used a model system  
656 in which HCT116 cells ectopically express either WT hTS or the hTSF59A dimer interface


657 mutant tagged with Myc-DDK (Figure 7G, Figure 7-Source data 12, Figure 7- Source data 13,  
658 Figure 7-Source data 14, Figure 7 - Source data 26, Figure 7 - Source data 27)). While WT, with  
659 its 60-80 nM  $K_d$  in buffer, is expected to be essentially dimeric at all physiological  
660 concentrations, the F59A variant, with a  $K_d$  of  $1.3 \times 10^{-4} M$  in buffer is, instead, expected to be  
661 essentially monomeric (Salo-Ahen et al. 2015). We analyzed the protein turnover of WT hTS  
662 and of the monomeric F59A variant, in the presence of the *de novo* protein synthesis inhibitor  
663 CHX. The expressions of the mRNAs of the two proteins showed no statistically significant  
664 differences (Figure 7-figure supplement 3).

665 **Figure 7-figure supplement 3** shows the expression levels of total (exogenous plus endogenous) and exogenous  
666 hTS mRNAs 24 hours after transfection of HCT116 cells with wild-type hTS-Myc- DDK tagged or mutant hTS-  
667 Myc-DDK-F59A vectors. Quantitative Real Time RT-PCR results showing the expression of total and exogenous  
668 hTS mRNAs in HCT116 cells transfected with wild- type hTS-Myc-DDK tagged (WT) or mutant hTS-Myc-DDK-  
669 F59A vectors. The mRNA expression was calculated with the  $\Delta\Delta C_t$  method (calibrator: average of HCT116 cells  
670 transfected with WT hTS- Myc-DDK-tagged vector) with GAPDH as endogenous control. Columns and bars  
671 indicate mean values + S.E.M. (n= 6 for two independent experiments)

672

673 As for the proteins, the F59A variant was already hardly discernible at time 0 (24 hrs after  
674 ectopic expression) while the dimeric WT hTS showed a turnover of about 8.5 hours. Thus, the  
675 monomeric hTSF59A variant is unstable and undergoes much faster turnover than the dimeric  
676 WT protein.

677



678  
679 **Figure 7. Dimer destabilizers effects on wild type and mutant hTS levels in human cancer**  
680 **cell lines. A,** Effects of **E5** and **E7** on hTS and DHFR protein levels in A2780 and A2780/CP  
681 cells. **B,** Increase of hTS protein level in A2780 and A2780/CP cells following treatment with **5-**  
682 **FU** (5 $\mu$ M, 72h) (ternary-complex, arrowhead) or **PMX** (5 $\mu$ M, 48h). **C,** hTS half-life  
683 determination in A2780 cells after treatment with CHX (90  $\mu$ g/ml) for 0-22h. **D,** Stability of hTS  
684 in A2780 cells treated with **E7** for 12h, followed by treatment with CHX for the indicated times.  
685 **E,** hTS levels in A2780 cells treated with **E7** for 12h, then with 10 $\mu$ M MG132 for 5h. **F,** Effects  
686 of a 3 hours exposure to **E7** on hTS dimer/monomer equilibrium in A2780 cells. **G,** Half-life  
687 determination of exogenous hTS protein (anti-FLAG stain) in HCT116 cells transfected with TS-  
688 Myc-DDK (CHX, 0, 6 and 10h) or with the F59A mutant (CHX 0, 6 and 10h) tagged vector.  
689 Data indicate mean values and standard deviation of biological repeats performed in duplicate. P  
690 values were calculated with two-sided Student's *t*-test and ANOVA followed by the Tukey's  
691 multiple comparison. \*  $p < 0.05$ ; \*\*  $p < 0.01$ ; \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ .

692

693 ***In vivo* anticancer activity.**

694 Based on their *in vitro* profile, we tested **E5** and **E7** in appropriate animal models. We first  
695 performed pharmacokinetic (PK) studies on healthy mice to determine whether the compounds  
696 would show suitable PK. Initial PK data were obtained using intravenous (i.v.) administration of  
697 **E5** and **E7** (*Supplementary file 6C*). **E7** showed the best PK profile (Figure 8A). Both after i.v.  
698 and oral administration it reached its  $t_{\max}$  value after about 5 min with plasma levels in the  
699 micromolar range, i.e. concentrations that had caused a cell-growth inhibition *in vitro*. With a  
700 half-life of 13.6 hours, a prolonged exposure of the target tissue to the drug is expected. **E7** was  
701 then selected to be tested for its antitumor effect *in vivo*. In general, for low molecular weight  
702 compounds, intraperitoneal (i.p.) and i.v. administrations result in comparable PK. Furthermore,  
703 because of the lipophilicity of the compound, a rapid transfer from the peritoneal cavity to the  
704 blood and back is expected. Since we preferred to analyze the antitumor activity of **E7** in a  
705 PDAC orthotopic model that recapitulates several human-tumor phenotypic characteristics, we  
706 chose an i.p. drug delivery with an intermittent schedule (Figure 8B). Such a relatively frequent  
707 schedule would have been too stressful for the mice via the i.v. route because of the damage to  
708 veins. The ability of the hTS dimer disrupter **E7** to inhibit tumor growth was evaluated on  
709 bioluminescent PDAC models genetically engineered to express Gaussia-luciferase (G-luc),  
710 simplifying the monitoring of the tumor volume. Five days after injection, primary pancreatic  
711 tumors were detectable in all mice (100% take rate, without surgery-related mortality), and G-luc  
712 activity, proportional to the number of cancer cells, increased with time (Figure 8C). This mean  
713 signal was significantly lower in mice treated with **E7** compared with control mice ( $46183 \pm 2015$   
714 vs.  $67905 \pm 6159$  RLU/sec at day 25;  $p = 0.001$ , two-sided t test). Moreover, starting from day 30,  
715 the group receiving **E7** had significantly lower G-luc intensity compared with mice receiving **5-**

716 **FU** (i.e., at day 30, -70% and -48%, relative to control mice, respectively). Mice treated with **E7**  
717 had only a slight reduction of body weight (Figure 8D), similar or less evident than that with **5-**  
718 **FU** and showed no other side effects. Tumor growth inhibition was reflected in a significantly  
719 longer survival of mice treated with **E7** as compared with control mice ( $p= 0.03$ , Figure 8E) and  
720 **5-FU** (58 days, 43.3 days and 50 days respectively). To demonstrate that the therapeutic effect of  
721 **E7** is not cancer-model specific,  $2 \times 10^6$  human ovarian cancer cells (A2780) were  
722 subcutaneously xenotransplanted into the flanks of 7-week-old Athymic Nude-Foxn1nu female  
723 mice (Figure 8-figure supplement 1, Figure 8-figure supplement 1-Source data 1).

724 *Figure 8-figure supplement 1. In vivo effects of E7 on Athymic Nude-Foxn1nu female mice. a*, tumor growth  
725 kinetics at the indicated time points. Athymic Nude-Foxn1nu female mice were subcutaneously inoculated with  
726  $2 \times 10^6$  A2780 cells. Implanted xenotransplanted mice, chosen randomly, were subjected to **E7**, 5FU and vehicle  
727 treatment, as indicated in the materials and methods section;  $n = 4$  mice per group. \* **E7**-treatment is statistically  
728 different to control (vehicle) for the tumor volume at 6<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> day (t-test  $p < 0.05$ ). Error bars indicate SEM. **b**,  
729 quantitative analysis of the AUC of tumor growth kinetics in control, **E7** and 5FU-treated mice. Bars are  
730 representative of the mean  $\pm$  SEM obtained from all mice treated (t-test, \*  $p < 0.05$ ). **c**, survival curves (Kaplan  
731 Meier) showing the percentage of survival of xenotransplanted mice, placebo control versus **E7** and 5FU-treated  
732 mice (Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test  $P$  value=0.0024;  $n=4$ ).

733 Seven days after injection, as for the orthotopic model, the engrafted mice were randomly  
734 divided into three experimental groups and then treated with a similar drug schedule and dose.  
735 We found that also in this model, **E7** caused a significant reduction of tumor growth compared  
736 with control mice ( $596.1 \pm 167.7$  vs.  $2319.9 \pm 289.7$  mm<sup>3</sup> at day 6;  $776.5 \pm 191.6$  vs.  $3102.0 \pm 516.2$   
737 mm<sup>3</sup> at day 7;  $p < 0.05$ , two-sided t test), which was confirmed by quantification of the area under  
738 curve and resulted in significantly longer survival ( $p= 0.0111$ ; 24 days vs 16 days; Figure 8-  
739 figure supplement 1).

740 To understand whether the antitumor activity of **E7** was associated with an increased anti-TS  
741 effect, we measured hTS mRNA (Figure 8F) and protein expression (Figure 8G) in tumor tissues  
742 from the PDAC orthotopic model. The mice treated with **5-FU** did not show a decrease in TS  
743 mRNA expression and showed a more intense staining for TS, in agreement with earlier studies  
744 in which treatment with **5-FU** had induced TS activity both in mice and patients (Van der Wiltet  
745 al., 1992; Peters et al., 2002). Conversely, **E7** treatment led to a reduced expression of TS  
746 mRNA, down to 40-50% of the reference level (Figure 8 F) in complete agreement with the  
747 results obtained with model cancer cells (*Figure 8-figure supplement 2 and Figure 8-figure*  
748 *supplement 2-Source data 1*).

749 *Figure 8-figure supplement 2* shows the real time PCR (qPCR) experiments. Quantitative PCR (qPCR)  
750 measurements were performed for hTS transcripts in A2780 and A2780/CP cells treated with **E7** and **E5** for 12h and  
751 **5FU** (5  $\mu$ M) for 24 and 72h. Data indicate mean values and standard deviation of biological repeats performed in  
752 duplicate. P values were calculated with two-sided Student's t-test and ANOVA followed by the Tukey's multiple  
753 comparison. \* p < 0.05; \*\* p < 0.01.


754 Immunohistochemistry staining showed that **E7** caused a decrease in the levels of hTS protein  
755 that was even more pronounced than **5-FU** (Figure 8G). The hTS protein levels measured with  
756 the histological score (H-score) was  $48 \pm 5$  a.u. for **E7** vs.  $242 \pm 26$  a.u. for **5-FU** (p < 0.001, two-  
757 sided t test). Additional analyses showed that **E7** reduced the proliferation of the cancer cells, as  
758 detected by the reduced number of Ki67-positive cells, while increasing apoptotic cell death, as  
759 assessed by the staining of cleaved caspase-3 (Figure 8-figure supplement 3).

760 *Figure 8-figure supplement 3* shows some representative immunohistochemical images showing extensive caspase-  
761 3 activation after treatment with **E7**, which was also able to significantly reduced cell proliferation (Ki67 staining).

762 Conversely, only a limited caspase-3 staining was found in tumors treated with 5-FU, while most of the untreated  
 763 tumor tissues showed no caspase-3 activation.

764 Notably, these effects were markedly stronger in tumors treated with **E7** compared to tumors  
 765 treated with 5-FU. These results provide clear evidence of the higher anticancer efficacy of **E7**  
 766 compared to **5-FU** in the cancer animal models employed; moreover, they support our  
 767 hypotheses of the mechanisms of action of these compounds in cells and in tissues.

768



769

770 **Figure 8. Effects of E7 in vivo pancreatic cancer model. A**, PK data on healthy mice for **E7**.

771 **B**, Set-up of *in vivo* experiments, using mice injected orthotopically with PDAC-2-primary cells,

772 and monitored every 5 days by bioluminescence imaging (BLI).

773 tumor growth, as detected in blood samples with the G-luc (proportional to the number of cancer

774 cells, carrying G-luc). Points indicate mean values obtained from the analysis of the six mice in

775 each group. Bars indicate standard deviation. P values were calculated with two-sided Student's

776 *t*-test and ANOVA followed by the Tukey's multiple comparison. **D**, Effects of **E7** and **5-FU** on

777 the weight of the mice, demonstrating that tumor shrinkage induced by these treatments was not  
778 accompanied by severe toxicity. Points indicate mean values obtained from the analysis of the  
779 six mice in each group. **E**, Survival curves in the groups of mice treated with **E7** and **5-FU**.  
780 Median overall survival (mos) is reported. Statistically significant differences were determined  
781 by two-sided log-rank test. **F**, Quantitative PCR results (calculated with the standard curve  
782 method, using the ratio with the housekeeping gene  $\beta$ -actin) showing the reduced expression of  
783 hTS mRNA in lysates from frozen tissues from PDAC-2 mice treated with **E7** (24 hours before  
784 their sacrifice) compared with untreated control mice. Columns and bars indicate mean values  
785 and standard deviation. P values were calculated with two-sided Student t test and ANOVA  
786 followed by the Tukey's multiple comparison. **G**, Representative immunohistochemical images  
787 showing a weak staining for hTS in the tissues from mice treated with **E7** compared to the strong  
788 staining in **5-FU**-treated and control mice. (*Figure 8-Source data 1*)

789

## 790 **Discussion**

791

792 Currently, several first-line chemotherapies commonly comprise cytotoxic agents as 5-FU and its pro-  
793 drug derivatives to uproot or control various solid tumors including colorectal cancer.

794 **5-FU** induces cytotoxicity either by interfering with essential biosynthetic activity through inhibiting the  
795 action of thymidylate synthase (TS) or misincorporating its metabolites into RNA and DNA (Sethy C and  
796 Kundu CN, 2021). hTS is an obligate, stable homodimer that plays a crucial role in cell proliferation. As  
797 an enzyme, it provides the sole *de-novo* pathway to deoxythymidylate (dTMP) synthesis in human cells.  
798 Additionally, by interacting with its own and other mRNAs, this protein regulates its own levels and those  
799 of several other proteins involved in apoptotic and proliferation processes. Its inhibition has usually been  
800 achieved with compounds that bind at the protein active-site, competing with either the dUMP substrate,

801 such as 5-fluorodeoxyuridine 5'-monophosphate (FdUMP), or with the folate cofactor, such as raltitrexed  
802 (RTX) and pemetrexed (PMX).

803 Despite several advantages, the clinical application of 5-FU is limited due to the development of drug  
804 resistance after chemotherapy. The major mechanism responsible for 5-FU resistance is controlled by key  
805 enzymes such as thymidylate synthase (TS), dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD) and thymidine  
806 phosphorylase (TP). Numerous reports have shown that increased TS expression is a result of the  
807 common mechanisms of 5-FU resistance (Longley DB et al, 2003; Iijchi K et al, 2014; Vodenkova S et al,  
808 2020; Ahn JY et al, 2015; Aschele C et al, 2002). Because response to TS-directed chemotherapy  
809 critically depends upon the enzyme concentration, such an over-induction has been identified as a barrier  
810 to successful therapeutic outcomes. Hence the need to design and develop drugs that, having different  
811 mechanisms of action, can prevent or delay the onset of resistance.

812 In the present work we developed small molecules able to reduce the concentration of hTS dimers by  
813 shifting its dimer-monomer equilibrium toward the inactive monomer. As a consequence, they inhibit the  
814 activity of the enzyme and produce, as a crucial consequence, its enhanced proteasomal degradation and  
815 down-regulation in cells.

816 Unlike 5-FU, these compounds do not inhibit TS by forming the unproductive enzyme dimer-substrate-  
817 inhibitor complex. Formation of this stable complex leads to prolonged engagement of the enzyme by the  
818 drug and, eventually, to thymineless cell death. However, it also induces translational derepression of TS-  
819 mRNA and results in the enzyme up-regulation, accounting for the occurrence of 5-FU resistance (Chu  
820 and Allegra, 1996). Thus, the proposed dimer destabilizers are believed to avoid the onset of drug-  
821 resistance based on this mechanism. Additionally, enzyme stabilization has been indicated as an  
822 important primary mechanism of TS induction by fluoropyrimidines (Kitchens et al., 1999a). TS turnover  
823 is carried out in an ubiquitin-independent manner (Forsthoefel et al., 2004; Pena et al., 2006) and FdUMP  
824 binding to the TS protein leads to dramatic conformational changes which account for a stabilization of  
825 the enzyme and an increase in its half-life and intracellular levels (Kitchens et al., 1999b; Marverti et al.,  
826 2009). Again, use of the dimer destabilizers characterized by a different mechanism of action with respect

827 to 5-FU, results in a decrease of the TS half-life by accelerating its proteasomal degradation, thus also  
828 counteracting this mechanism of TS over-expression and drug resistance.

829 Indeed, reduction of the intracellular levels of the TS enzyme and a marked decrease of both *in vitro*  
830 cancer cell growth and *in vivo* tumor growth, together with a reduced expression of hTS mRNA in lysates  
831 from treated frozen tissues and cells, are the most promising results obtained with these dimer  
832 destabilizers. Therefore, they represent new, promising tools to fight drug resistance associated with high  
833 TS levels in different tumor types such as colorectal, ovarian, and pancreatic cancers.

834 In conclusion, we have proposed a genuinely new class of inhibitors of a critical anti-cancer drug target,  
835 hTS. They act as destabilizers of the active obligate dimer, resulting in the inactive monomers. With their  
836 unprecedented mechanism of inhibition, compounds such as **E7** break the long-established link between  
837 inhibition and enhanced expression of this essential enzyme, a link that is typical of classical hTS active-  
838 site inhibitors, such as 5-FU and PMX. The superior *in vivo* behavior of **E7** relative to **5-FU**, despite its  
839 similar capacity to inhibit cancer cell growth, confirms the high potential of this dimer disrupter for  
840 further development. Our experiments suggest that it may overcome drug resistance in cancer cells that  
841 directly or indirectly increase the hTS levels. By doing so, these compounds, once combined with  
842 classical anti-TS drugs in suitably designed anticancer therapeutic schemes, may help avoiding or  
843 delaying the onset of anti-hTS drug resistance associated with over-expression of the enzyme, thus  
844 maintaining, or restoring cancer-cell drug sensitivity.

## 845 **Materials and Methods**

### 847 **Computational protein-pocket identification for tethering.**

848 To detect possible pockets for the tethering experiments at the hTS monomer-monomer  
849 interface, we examined the crystal structures of both the active (PDB-ID: 1HVY) (Phan et al.,  
850 2001) and inactive (PDB-ID: 1YPV) (Lovell et al., 2005) hTS conformation. SYBYL 7.3  
851 (Tripos Inc., St. Louis, MO) and PyMOL (version 1.8) were used for structure preparation and

852 visualization of molecular structures. PASS (Brady and Stouten, 2000), SiteID™ (Tripos Inc., St.  
853 Louis, MO) and CASTp (Dundas et al., 2006) were used to identify the pockets at the monomer  
854 interface as well as the surface crevices of dimeric hTS that extend into the interface. The GRID  
855 software (Goodford, 1985, Wade et al., 1993, Wade and Goodford, 1993, Case et al., 2005) was  
856 used to calculate molecular interaction fields of different probes. The KYG server (Kim et al.,  
857 2006) was used to predict the interface residues that could bind to RNA to avoid selecting  
858 interface pockets that would overlap with the predicted RNA binding site(s). We searched  
859 possible transient interface pockets by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations with the AMBER  
860 8 simulation package (Case et al., 2005). We used the ff03 force field (Duan et al., 2003); 7-ns  
861 long simulations were performed for the monomeric (active and inactive) hTS both in implicit  
862 (NPSA) (Wang and Wade, 2003) and explicit (TIP3P) (Jorgensen et al., 1983) solvent. We  
863 performed shorter (4 ns) simulations for dimeric hTS, both active, with and without the dUMP  
864 substrate (from 1HVY), and inactive, with or without a phosphate ion in the active site (from  
865 1YPV).

866

867 ***Detailed results description.*** The MD studies showed that, in general, the hTS structures and the  
868 energy of the simulations remained stable throughout the simulation time. The flexible regions  
869 (loops) of the inactive hTS were more mobile than in the active hTS structure and especially the  
870 modeled small domain was moving relatively much compared with the other loops. The dUMP-  
871 bound active dimeric hTS exhibited the smallest residue fluctuations. The identified interface  
872 pockets are reported in *Figure 1-figure supplement 1* and *Supplementary file 1*. We examined the  
873 interface pockets of the snapshot structures from the MD trajectories at every sharp nanosecond  
874 (*Supplementary file 1B*). In all simulations, new pockets were formed while some disappeared,

875 and others reappeared. Supplementary file 1B shows the pockets along the active monomer  
876 simulation in explicit water (without dUMP). Finally, the Y202 pocket was selected as the most  
877 promising target for tethering experiments since this pocket does not appear in the hTS dimer, it  
878 is not predicted to be part of the mRNA region and it can interact with various functional groups.  
879 It is also of reasonable size and there are several smaller crevices around it that can fuse with it,  
880 as observed from the simulations.

881  
882 ***Details on methods used in MD studies.*** The proteins and ligand preparation were carried out  
883 with the SYBYL 7.3 (*Tripos Inc., St. Louis, MO*) Biopolymer module; modified cysteines were  
884 reconstructed as normal cysteine residues, hydrogen atoms and missing side chains were added.  
885 The dimeric form of the inactive hTS was built with the Protein Quaternary Structure file server  
886 (Henrick and Thornton, 1998) and the missing small domain (residues 107-128) was modeled  
887 according to the 1HVY structure. The hydrogen of the sugar hydroxyl group in dUMP was  
888 directed toward the neighboring H256. The Antechamber tool (Wang et al., 2006) of AMBER 8  
889 was used to create the ligand parameters for the MD simulations. The ligand partial charges were  
890 modified manually: for the phosphate ion, the charge of phosphorus (P) atom was set to 1.216e  
891 (NPSA) or 1.4e (TIP3P) and the oxygen (O) atoms to -0.55e (NPSA) or -1.1e (TIP3P). The total  
892 charge of the phosphate ion in the NPSA model was -1e, and in the explicit water -3e. For  
893 dUMP, the charges were partly taken from the all\_nucleic02.lib of AMBER 8: the uracil moiety  
894 from the RU unit and the sugar moiety from the DT3 unit. The phosphate group was set to have a  
895 total charge of -2e. Firstly, the systems were energy minimized, heated and equilibrated. For the  
896 implicit water (NPSA) simulations: 30 ps (10 K --> 100 K), 20 ps (100 K --> 200 K), 20 ps (200  
897 K --> 300 K) and then 400 steps of minimization were carried out. For the explicit water

898 simulations, an octahedral box extending 10 Å from the protein was filled with TIP3P water  
899 (including the crystallographic water sites) and neutralizing Na<sup>+</sup> ions. Energy minimization was  
900 performed in six steps, decreasing the constraints on the protein stepwise from 5 to 0 kcal/molÅ<sup>2</sup>.  
901 Each step was carried out for a maximum of 200 iterations. Equilibration: (i) restrained solute (5  
902 kcal/mol restraint force constant, 10 K --> 100 K, 10 ps, constant volume, Langevin dynamics);  
903 (ii) free solute (10 K --> 100 K, 10 ps, constant volume, Langevin dynamics); (iii) free solute  
904 (100 K --> 200 K, 10 ps, constant volume, Langevin dynamics); (iv) free solute (200 K --> 300  
905 K, 10 ps, constant volume, Langevin dynamics); (v) free solute (300 K, 10 ps, constant volume  
906 and temperature, Langevin dynamics); (vi) free solute (300 K, 10 ps, constant pressure and  
907 temperature). The production simulations were performed at a constant temperature of 300 K and  
908 a pressure of 1 bar with Berendsen coupling constants of 5.0 and 2.0 ps, respectively (Berendsen  
909 et al., 1984). Periodic boundary conditions, particle-mesh Ewald electrostatics (Essmann et al.,  
910 1995) and a cut-off of 9 Å for non-bonded interactions were used. A time step of 2 fs was  
911 applied together with the SHAKE algorithm (Ryckaert et al., 1977) to constrain the bonds to  
912 hydrogen atoms.

913

#### 914 **Site directed mutagenesis, protein purification**

915 The mutants Y202C and C195S were generated by site directed mutagenesis using the plasmid  
916 hTS-pQE801 as template, as already reported (Salo-Ahen et al., 2015) (forward primer Y202C:  
917 *CATGCGCTGTGTCAATTTGCGTAGTCAACAGTGAAGT*; forward primer C195S:  
918 *CTCATGGCTCTTCCGCCAAGCCATGCGCTGTGTCAATTT*). The double mutant C195S-  
919 Y202C was generated using the plasmid hTS-Y202C pQE801 as template. Wt-hTS and mutants  
920 were produced and purified according to established protocols (Salo-Ahen et al., 2015).

921  
922 **X-ray crystallography.**  
923 Crystals of the mutantshTS-Y202C and hTS-C195S-Y202C were obtained under high salt  
924 conditions by established protocols (Cardinale et al., 2011, Salo-Ahen et al., 2015), and grew in  
925 4 months and in about one year, respectively. X-ray diffraction data were collected at ESRF  
926 (Grenoble, France), processed with MOSFLM 7.0.4 (Leslie et al., 2006) and scaled with SCALA  
927 (Evans, 2006) from the CCP4 suite (Winn et al., 2011). Structures were solved by molecular  
928 replacement using MOLREP (Vagin and Teplyakov, 1997) (search model either inactive or active  
929 wt-hTS, PDB-IDs 3N5G (Cardinale et al., 2011) and 1HVY (Phan et al., 2001), respectively) and  
930 refined with REFMAC5 (Winn et al., 2003) and PHENIX (Adams et al., 2011) with TLS  
931 parameterization (Murshudov et al., 1997). Water molecules were added using ARP/wARP  
932 (Langer et al., 2008) and Coot (Emsley et al., 2010) was used for manual rebuilding and  
933 modeling. The model stereochemical quality was assessed using Coot and PROCHECK  
934 (Laskowski et al., 1993). Final coordinates and structure factors were deposited in the Protein  
935 Data Bank under the accession codes 4O1U (hTS Y202C) and 4O1X (hTS C195S-Y202C). Data  
936 collection and refinement statistics are reported in *Supplementary file 2*. Figures were generated  
937 using CCP4MG (McNicholas et al., 2011).

938  
939 **X-ray crystal structure determination of hTS-Y202C and hTS C195S-Y202C.**  
940 The hTS mutants Y202C and C195S-Y202C were crystallized under the same high salt  
941 conditions previously reported for the WT enzyme. The hTS-Y202C crystallized in the space  
942 group P3<sub>1</sub> with an enzyme dimer in the inactive conformation in the asymmetric unit (ASU). The  
943 structure of hTS-Y202C closely resembles that of the native enzyme in the inactive conformation

944 (r.m.s.d. upon C $\alpha$ -atom matching of 0.4 Å), explaining the similar biochemical profiles of the  
945 two proteins. The hTS C195S-Y202C crystallized in the space group P2<sub>1</sub>2<sub>1</sub>2<sub>1</sub> with four  
946 independent subunits in the ASU, all in the active conformation. The hTS-C195S-Y202C  
947 structure is the first example of hTS crystallized in the active conformation under high salt  
948 conditions. Since both mutants crystallized under the same conditions, the shift of hTS towards  
949 the active conformation seems due to the active site C195S mutation. A possible explanation is  
950 that the clustering of the four residues C180 and C195 from the two dimer subunits stabilizes the  
951 inactive conformation of hTS, thus the C195S mutation prevents this occurrence. In both  
952 structures, the Fourier difference map clearly shows the replacement of the native Y202 by  
953 cysteine. Moreover, a positive extra electron density is found extending beyond the cysteine  
954 sulfur atom strongly indicating its reaction with  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol (BME) present in the  
955 crystallization solution. Thus, C202 is chemically modified to S,S-(2-hydroxyethyl)thiocysteine  
956 (CME) (*Figure 2-figure supplement 1a,b,c*). The other cysteines are modified to either CME or S-  
957 methyl-thio-cysteine (SCH), apart for C210 which is in the reduced, unmodified form. The two  
958 mutants, Y202C and C195S-Y202C, have essentially the same behavior as regards thiol  
959 reactivity. In the A subunit of hTS-Y202C, CME202 entails water-mediated interactions with  
960 D173, R175, K47', T55', and D254' (*Figure 2-figure supplement 1d*). In subunit B, CME202 is  
961 H-bonded to K47' and forms water-mediated interactions with P172, D174, and V203 (*Figure 2-*  
962 *figure supplement 1e*). Analogous intra- and inter-subunit interactions of CME202 are also  
963 observed in the structure of the double mutant. Thus, the structure of hTS C195S-Y202C  
964 validates the choice of Y202 as tethering mutation site, showing that this residue is prone to react  
965 with thiol compounds independently of the enzyme conformation. C202 is located in a dimer  
966 interface pocket considered important for protein-protein interactions. The CME modification,

967 observed in both structures, indicates that C202 is exploitable for tethering with reactive  
968 molecules aimed at disrupting the hTS dimer interface (*Figure 2-figure supplement 1f,g*). In both  
969 variants, the loop K47-T55 of the facing subunit is displaced with respect to the structure of wt-  
970 hTS, increasing the intersubunit distances by 1.0-2.5 Å. As already suggested by the structure of  
971 wt-hTS in complex with the LR peptide (Cardinale et al., 2011), small-to-medium-sized  
972 molecules, such as BME or the LR octapeptide, can access the dimer interface of the enzyme.  
973 Thus, the structural evidence indicates that the hTS dimer undergoes either monomer/dimer  
974 interconversion or “breathing” movements that allow the binding at the dimer interface of  
975 exogenous molecules, such as disulfide fragments.

976 Several attempts were made to obtain the co-crystal structure of the monomeric hTS in complex  
977 with C compounds. All trials failed, always yielding the uncomplexed dimeric hTS structure.

978

#### 979 **Design of a disulfide-compound library (A1-A55).**

980 Version 8 of the ZINC archive (Irwin and Shoichet, 2005) was screened to recover compounds  
981 containing a disulfide function. A preliminary search for S-S bonds was performed using the  
982 SMILES notation, finding 1066 molecules. Compounds with the disulfide bond within a cyclic  
983 structure (167/1066), and those with a disulfanyl group (SSH, 16/1066) or an oxidized sulphur  
984 atom (211/1066) were excluded. The remaining disulfides were subjected to a fragment-likeness  
985 analysis: molecular descriptors were calculated with VolSurf+ (Cruciani et al., 2000). LogP and  
986 molecular weight (MW) were used to filter out very large and flexible compounds, as well as too  
987 hydrophilic or too hydrophobic ones. Finally, multivariate statistical methods such as the  
988 Principal Component Analysis (PCA) were used for the final selection of 55 disulfides. The  
989 disulfide library is reported in *Supplementary file 3A*.

990

991 **Design of a library of commercially available compounds (B1-B26) and docking of C3-C5.**

992 A dataset of 331,600 compounds, commercially available from Specs, was downloaded from the  
993 ZINC website ([www.zinc.org](http://www.zinc.org); ZINC version 8) (Irwin and Shoichet, 2005). We retained 242,590  
994 compounds with a MW between 300 and 500 amu. Then we used SMARTS open babel  
995 (O'Boyle et al., 2011), ([http://openbabel.org/wiki/Main\\_Page](http://openbabel.org/wiki/Main_Page)) to search for the presence of  
996 specific fragments (Figure 3): 97% of compounds were filtered out. Then, a library of 5,774  
997 compounds was screened against WT hTS (1HVY) focusing on the subunit-subunit interface  
998 close to Y202. We used FLAP (Cross et al., 2010) to build two receptor-based pharmacophore  
999 models, to screen the library versus these receptor-models, and to dock the most interesting  
1000 candidates into the binding pockets. Each molecule was subjected to a conformational analysis  
1001 and the Molecular Interaction Fields (MIF) were generated with the GRID probes DRY, O, N1  
1002 and H. Out of compounds with the highest overall scores (> 0.60 for both receptor-based  
1003 pharmacophore models), we selected a subset of 26 compounds, available for purchase at the  
1004 time of study, and displaying reasonable structural diversity (Supplementary file 4A).  
1005 Compounds **C3**, **C4** and **C5** were docked against WT hTS (1HVY) focusing on the subunit-  
1006 subunit interface close to Y202, using Autodock, version 4.2, software (Morris et al., 1998).

1007

1008 **Mass Spectrometry (MS) studies.** Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization (MALDI) -  
1009 Time of Flight (TOF) (Voyager-Pro MALDI-TOF (Applied Biosystems)) was chosen for a  
1010 medium-throughput screening of the disulphide-compound library (**A1-A55**). The results  
1011 obtained were validated using an Electron Spray Ionization Quadrupole - Time of Flight (ESI-  
1012 QTOF) MS (Quadrupole - Time of Flight (ESI-QTOF) mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies

1013 Inc.)). Analyzing our pools with both platforms allowed us to exclude any ionization-related  
1014 issue in the ligand selection process. The screening approach was an iterative selection of the  
1015 best ligands in a competitive environment obtained by arranging the ligands in pools properly  
1016 chosen to avoid ambiguous assignments. Successive screening steps were performed in which  
1017 the selected ligands from the previous screening were subjected to further screening using a  
1018 differently constituted pool. For the disulphide-compound library screening up to 3 ligands were  
1019 pooled and mixed a hTS-C195S-Y202C solution in a buffer containing 1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol  
1020 and 20 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.5). The reaction mixture was incubated at room  
1021 temperature for 1 hour and then purified on a C4 ZipTip® (Millipore) to remove salts and  
1022 unbound ligands. Details of the methods used for MS disulphide-compound library screening are  
1023 reported in *Appendix 1*. To identify the binding site of these molecules, the protein-ligand  
1024 complexes underwent enzymatic digestion in a bottom-up proteomic analysis using both  
1025 ionization techniques for result evaluation. Details of the methods used are reported in *Appendix*  
1026 *1*.

1027

### 1028 **Fluorescence experiments.**

1029 To investigate the dimer/monomer equilibrium modulation by the selected compounds, we  
1030 employed a previously developed FRET assay in which hTS was tagged with fluorescein (F,  
1031 excitation energy donor) and tetramethylrhodamine (T, acceptor) probes as described in  
1032 (Genovese et al., 2010). Tagging yielded samples with spectrophotometrically determined  
1033 F:T:protein-dimer concentration ratios near the expected 1:1:1 values. Absorption spectra were  
1034 measured on a Varian Cary 100 UV-vis spectrophotometer. Fluorescence spectra were measured  
1035 on a Spex-JobinYvon Fluoromax2 spectrofluorometer. Usually, samples with

1036 spectrophotometrically determined protein and probe concentrations in the range 50-490 nM in  
1037 phosphate buffer at pH 7.5 were excited at 450 nm, a wavelength at which the F/T excitation  
1038 ratio is very high and T emission is almost negligible. All measurements were performed at  $20 \pm$   
1039  $3$  °C. The value of the observed FRET efficiency,  $\Phi_{\text{FRET}}$ , was determined from the emission  
1040 intensities at 580 nm,  $I_{580}$ , the maximum of sensitized T emission, and at 520 nm, the maximum  
1041 of residual F emission,  $I_{\text{F}}$  (Genovese et al., 2010) (Figure 5A). In the unperturbed dimer such an  
1042 efficiency is near 1 because a distance that is roughly half the critical Förster distance for the F/T  
1043 pair separates the C43 and C43' residues of the two subunits (25 Å). On the other hand, it is zero  
1044 when the protein is fully dissociated. Developed on a spectrofluorometer, the assay has later  
1045 been adapted to a medium-throughput format. In short, 1 or 2  $\mu\text{L}$  of a 10 mM DMSO solution of  
1046 each inhibitor was distributed in a 96 well multiplate to a final concentration of 10 or 20  $\mu\text{M}$   
1047 together with 300 nM hTS properly derivatized with the two fluorescent probes (at this  
1048 concentration, in the absence of inhibitors, the more than 95% of the protein is in the dimer  
1049 form). Control wells contained only hTS, either alone or with 2 $\mu\text{L}$  of DMSO. Each sample was  
1050 assayed in duplicate. The Tecan GeniusPRO equipment was employed to read the fluorescence  
1051 emission from each well at both 535 nM (fluorescein signal) and 590 nm (fluorescein and  
1052 tetramethylrhodamine signals) following excitation at 470 nm. From the  $I_{590}/I_{535}$  ratio, the FRET  
1053 efficiency in each well and its difference with respect to the control wells were determined.

1054

#### 1055 **hTS inhibition assay and $\text{IC}_{50}$ determination.**

1056 All compounds were tested against the hTS enzyme and  $\text{IC}_{50}$  was measured  
1057 spectrophotometrically using Spectramax 190 UV/Vis spectrophotometer, 96 wells multiplate  
1058 reader. The inhibition reactions were conducted as reported (Salo-Ahen et al., 2015). The

1059 compounds were all tested in two different modes: without incubation and after 60' incubation  
1060 time selected optimization studies. The reaction was followed at  $\lambda=340$  nm for 180 seconds in  
1061 triplicates. Each inhibitor was firstly dissolved in DMSO in a 10mM stock solution. At least 8  
1062 concentrations were evaluated considering the compounds solubility (1-100uM) at 37°C. The  
1063 reaction mixture included the following reagents solutions (a-e) added in the proper order: a,  
1064 TES buffer 50% v/v (TES/water) (where TES=2-[[1,3-dihydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)propan-2-  
1065 yl]amino]ethane sulfonic acid),100mM; MgCl<sub>2</sub> 50mM; Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)  
1066 2mM;  $\beta$ -mercapto ethanol-(BME) 150mM; pH 7.4); b, hTS enzyme (0.37uM); c, the inhibitor at  
1067 the appropriate concentration; d. MTHF cofactor (55uM). e. dUMP is added as last reagent to  
1068 start the reaction. For incubation studies, solutions a, b and c were added to each well. After a 1-  
1069 hour incubation, solution d and e were added, and the reaction monitoring started. For both  
1070 experimental conditions, the final DMSO concentration into the experimental cuvette (for each  
1071 assayed concentration) was less than 1% v/v to remove a potential DMSO interfering inhibition  
1072 effect on the catalytic activity of the target enzyme protein. Results were analyzed according to  
1073 the standard double reciprocal or Dixon plots. Additionally, IC<sub>50</sub> values were obtained from  
1074 nonlinear regression analysis of the initial rate data. All results showed an experimental standard  
1075 error within the 20%. All the IC<sub>50</sub> values are collected in Supplementary file 4.

1076

### 1077 **Enantioseparation and racemization of E7**

1078 The enantiomeric separation and biological characterization of E7 are reported in Appendix 3.

1079

### 1080 **Co-elution of compound C3 with the hTS dimer and monomer with anionic exchange**

### 1081 **Chromatography**

1082 hTS monomer and dimer were separated according to their isoelectric point with preparative  
1083 Anion Exchange Chromatography (AEX) on a Q HP 16/10 column, Cytiva. 300 $\mu$ L of a 50 $\mu$ M  
1084 hTS solution were injected onto the column and eluted at 2mL/min with a 70mL gradient of 0-  
1085 1M NaCl in 30mM Tris-HCl, pH=8.2. Two main peaks emerged from the 280nm UV  
1086 chromatograms of hTS only, that were attributed to protein's monomer and dimer fraction.  
1087 Indeed, when hTS was incubated with 250 $\mu$ M dUMP and RTX prior to injection to induce dimer  
1088 formation, the second UV peak was suppressed as expected. hTS was incubated with different  
1089 **C3** concentrations (4-80 $\mu$ M) prior to FPLC injection to measure its ability to disrupt hTS dimer.  
1090 The UV-Vis spectrum of each fraction was collected with a Multiskan GO multiplate reader  
1091 (Thermo Fisher) to confirm hTS-**C3** co-elution by measuring its absorbance at 350nm. Also, all  
1092 FPLC collected fractions from 4 $\mu$ M experiment were treated to precipitate proteins, and the  
1093 amount of **C3** was measured by UHPLC tandem HRMS (Orbitrap Q Exactive, Thermo Fisher)  
1094 (Supplementary file 5). **C3** was quantified in SIM mode after area interpolation into a calibration  
1095 curve, revealing an excess of compound co-eluting with hTS monomer with respect to dimer.  
1096 Also, hTS identity and activity of the two peaks was validated with a spectrophotometric kinetic  
1097 specific assay.

1098 **Analysis of combined equilibria and fitting to FRET data and Analysis of a dissociative**  
1099 **inhibition mechanism and fitting to data are described in *Appendix 4*.** The availability of  
1100 kinetic inhibition data and FRET study results on compounds **C2-C4** allowed a detailed  
1101 mechanistic analysis to test the suitability of the proposed mechanism to fit the experimental  
1102 inhibition results.

1103

1104 **Cellular *in vitro* experiments**

1105 **Fluorescence microscopy.** HT-29 cells were plated at  $4 \times 10^4/\text{cm}^2$  in IBIDI 15  $\mu$ -Slide 8 Well  
1106 plates for 24 hours and maintained at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Then they were transfected with 500  
1107 ng/well of either TS-TC or WT TS using the Lipofectamine 3000 transfection Kit (Invitrogen)  
1108 and following the manufacturer's recommendations. 24 hours later the medium was removed and  
1109 50  $\mu$ M **E5-FITC** was added to the cells for 6 hours. Subsequently, the compound was removed,  
1110 cells were washed with Opti-MEM reduced Serum Medium (Gibco), stained with the TC-ReAsh  
1111 II in-cell Tetracysteine Tag Detection Kit (Life Technologies Corporation) according to the  
1112 manufacturer's recommendations for 60 minutes. Then, the cells were washed three times with  
1113 Opti-MEM, twice with BAL and placed in Opti-MEM until visualization. The image acquisition  
1114 was done on a Leica SP8 confocal microscope. Subsequent FRET analysis was performed using  
1115 PixFRET (Version 1.5.0) within ImageJ (Version 1.52e). Each dot represents the total FRET  
1116 area of an individual field with at least 10 cells. The statistical analysis was performed using  
1117 unpaired two tailed t-test (P value >0,0001) using Prism 8 for windows (version 3.1.1).

1118

### 1119 **Experiments on cells.**

1120 *Cell lines.* The human ovarian cancer cell lines IGROV-1, TOV112D, 2008, C13\*, A2780 and  
1121 A2780/CP were grown as monolayers in RPMI 1640 medium. The C13\* and A2780-CP human  
1122 ovarian carcinoma cell lines are 9- to 15-fold resistant to cisplatin (cDDP) and derived from the  
1123 parent 2008 and A2780 cell lines (Marverti et al., 2013; Beaufort et al., 2014). The HT29,  
1124 HCT116, and LoVo cell lines were cultured in DMEM medium (Euroclone, Devon, UK). Cell  
1125 culture media were supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Euroclone) and  
1126 1% Pen/Strep (Euroclone). The PDAC primary cell cultures were established from patients  
1127 undergoing pancreatic duodenectomy, as described previously (Giovannetti et al., 2014). All

1128 experiments in the present study utilized cells collected during passages 5 to 8. Cultures were  
1129 equilibrated with humidified 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in air at 37 °C. All studies were performed in Mycoplasma  
1130 negative cells, as routinely determined with the Myco Alert Mycoplasma detection kit (Lonza,  
1131 Walkersville, MD, USA).

1132 *Cell density.* Cell growth was determined using a modified crystal violet assay (Marverti et al.,  
1133 2009). After 72-h treatment with **E5**, **E7** and **5FU**, the cell monolayer was fixed and stained with  
1134 0.2% crystal violet solution in 20% methanol for at least 30 minutes. After washing to remove  
1135 excess dye, the incorporated dye, proportional to the cell number, was solubilized in acidified  
1136 isopropanol (1N HCl: 2-propanol, 1:10) and determined spectrophotometrically at 540 nm. The  
1137 percentage of cytotoxicity was calculated by comparing the absorbance of cultures exposed to  
1138 the drug with un-exposed (control) cultures. The PDAC cell growth was evaluated using the  
1139 sulforhodamine B assay after exposure for 72 hr (Giovannetti et al., 2014).

1140 *Half-life determination using cycloheximide.* A2780 cells were analysed for hTS protein half-life  
1141 using cycloheximide (90 µg/mL; for 0, 3, 6, 9, 12 and 22 hrs).

1142 *Treatment of cancer cells with cycloheximide.* Cells were treated with **E5** or **E7** at concentrations  
1143 corresponding to their IC<sub>50</sub> values or 2xIC<sub>50</sub> and harvested at different times (0, 1, 2 and 3 hrs) in  
1144 the presence of medium containing 90 µg/ml of cycloheximide. The A2780 cells were treated  
1145 with **E7** and then with a proteasome inhibitor (MG132 10 µM) for 5h.

1146 *HCT116 cell transfection and cycloheximide treatment.* HCT116 cells were transfected by the  
1147 reverse transfection, using MirusIT-X2 reagent. The reverse transfection increases the % of  
1148 transfection and makes it homogeneous. The complexes were made with a ratio of 1:3 between  
1149 DNA and reagent (2.5ug of TS-MYC-DDK-WT or TS-MYC-DDK-F59A and 7.5 ul of the  
1150 reagent). The complexes were incubated 20 min at RT and in the meantime the cells were

1151 counted to be seeded (500.000 cells/well of a 6-well). After 24 hours from transfection the cells  
1152 were treated with cycloheximide (CHX, 60 ug/ml) for 0, 6 and 10 hours to evaluate the hTS  
1153 degradation.

1154 *Cellular TS catalytic assay.* Extracts from exponentially growing cells were used for the TS  
1155 catalytic assay, by measuring the amounts of <sup>3</sup>H released during the TS-catalyzed conversion of  
1156 [5-<sup>3</sup>H]dUMP to dTMP, as previously reported (Marverti et al., 2009). Briefly, the enzyme and  
1157 650 μM MTHF were contained in a final volume of 50 μl of the assay buffer. The reaction was  
1158 started by adding [5-<sup>3</sup>H]dUMP (1 μM final concentration, specific activity 5 mCi/mol), followed  
1159 by incubation at 37°C for 60 min, and was stopped by adding 50 μl of ice-cold 35%  
1160 trichloroacetic acid. Residual [5-<sup>3</sup>H]dUMP was removed by adding 250 μl of 10% neutral  
1161 activated charcoal. The charcoal was removed by centrifugation at 14,000g for 15 min at 4°C,  
1162 and a 150-μl sample of the supernatant was assayed for tritium radioactivity in the liquid  
1163 scintillation analyzer Tri-Carb 2100 (Packard).

1164 *Western blotting.* Cells were harvested and washed in ice-cold PBS and suspended in RIPA  
1165 buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Sigma Aldrich). The insoluble  
1166 debris was removed by centrifugation at 14,000g for 30 min. Cellular extracts were resolved  
1167 using 12% SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes (Hybond-P, Amersham).  
1168 Immunoblot analysis was performed using anti-hTS (clone TS106, Millipore, 1:500 dilution),  
1169 anti-hDHFR (clone 872442, Millipore, 1:1000) and anti-FLAG M2 (clone M2, F1804, Sigma-  
1170 Aldrich, 1:1000 dilution), anti-β-Actin (clone AC-15, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:1500  
1171 dilution). Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (GE Healthcare UK Limited)  
1172 was used to detect the bound primary antibody. Immune complexes were visualized by enhanced  
1173 chemiluminescence (Amersham™ ECL™ Prime Western blotting reagent) following the

1174 manufacturer's instructions. Band density was calculated using Image J software or an image  
1175 analyzer (GS-690 BIORAD).

1176 *Treatment of A2780 with E7 and cross-linking experiments.* The human ovarian cancer cell line  
1177 A2780 were exposed to E7 (stock solution 20 mM) at the final concentrations of 20 µM or  
1178 vehicle (DMSO 0.1%) for 3 hours. The E7 dose was decided based on the IC<sub>50</sub> of this compound  
1179 in these cells. Proteic extracts from human A2780 cells exposed to E7 or vehicle (DMSO) were  
1180 cross-liked for 1 h at room temperature with Bis(sulfosuccinimidyl)suberate (BS<sup>3</sup>) (3mM)  
1181 (Sigma) on a rocker and then processed with the standard procedure (see western-blotting  
1182 section) (Shi et al., 2017).

1183 *RNA Extraction and qPCR.* Total RNA was isolated from the cells using TRIzol Reagent  
1184 (Invitrogen). Reverse transcription was performed with 0.5 µg or 0.25 µg of total RNA using  
1185 SuperScript first-strand synthesis for RT-PCR (Invitrogen). RT-PCR was performed with 50 ng  
1186 of cDNA using SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories).  
1187 Samples were amplified by an initial denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of  
1188 denaturation at 95°C for 10 s, primer annealing at 60°C for 30 s, in CFX Connect Real-Time  
1189 PCR machine (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The amplified were analyzed by the CFX maestro  
1190 software (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The amount of target expressed was normalized with GAPDH.

1191 24 hours after transfection, total RNA was isolated from HCT116 tranfected with hTS-Myc-  
1192 DDK-F59A mutant and hTS-Myc-DDK tagged wild type vectors (N= 6 for each vectors from  
1193 two independent experiment) and analyzed as previously described. Two primer pairs were  
1194 designed for hTS target, one pair to amplify the total hTS mRNA and one pair for the exogenous

1195 hTS mRNA: FW\_hTS1, *GCCCAAGTCCCCTTCTTCTC*; REV\_hTS,  
1196 *AGCGAGAACCCAGACCTTTC*; FW\_hTS2, *AGCGAGAACCCAGAC CTT TC*; REV-FLAG,

1197 *TCATTTGCTGCCAGATCCTCTT*. For the reference target: GAPDH primer forward (hGAPDH1  
1198 fw) (Sigma Genosys 1062, 3174-083): 5'-*CAAGGTCATCCATGACAACCTTTG*-3', reverse: 5'-  
1199 *GGGCCATCCACAGTCTTCTG*-3' (hGAPDH1 rw) (Sigma Genosys 1062, 3174-084). The  
1200 expressions levels of total (endogenous plus exogenous) and exogenous hTS mRNAs were not  
1201 significantly different (t= 0.248, p= 0.809 and t= 1.583, p= 0.145 respectively (see  
1202 *Supplementary file 3*).

1203 TS mRNA expression in the primary pancreatic cancer cells were measured by standard curves  
1204 obtained with dilutions of cDNA from Quantitative-PCR Human-Reference Total-RNA  
1205 (Stratagene), as described previously (Zucali PA et al. 2011).

1206  
1207 *hTS-F59A mutant generation*. Human thymidylate synthase (TYMS)-Myc-DDK-tagged vector  
1208 (Origene RC204814) was used to generate a hTS-F59A mutant. hTS mutations were introduced  
1209 by PCR, using the GENEART® Site-Directed Mutagenesis System (Invitrogen). The mutagenic  
1210 oligonucleotides used were: FW\_hTS-F59A: *GGC ACC CTG TGC GTA GCC GGC ATG CAG*  
1211 *GCG CG*; REV\_hTS-F59A: *CAC GCC TGC ATG CCG GCT ACC GAC AGG GTG CC*. PCRs  
1212 for single amino acid mutations were run for 18 cycles of 20 seconds at 94°C and 30 seconds at  
1213 57°C, followed by 3 minutes and 40 seconds at 68°C. Then, the products were analyzed on a  
1214 0.8% agarose gel, the recombination reaction was performed and DH5α-T1 competent cells were  
1215 transformed. The resulting mutated plasmid were verified by DNA sequencing. After  
1216 sequencing, 0.5 ug of hTS-MYC-DDK and hTS-MYC-DDK-F59A vectors were loaded on the  
1217 agarose gel to determine the purity of DNA isolation.

1218 *Apoptosis*. After treatment, cells were stained with Annexin V and PI according to the  
1219 manufacturer's protocol (Immunological Sciences, IK-11130). Then, apoptotic cells percentage

1220 was evaluated by flow cytometry.  $1 \times 10^6$  cells were washed with PBS and stained with 2  $\mu$ l of  
1221 Annexin V and 2  $\mu$ l of PI in  $1 \times$  binding buffer for 15 min at room temperature in the dark. Early  
1222 apoptotic cells (Annexin V-positive, PI-negative), late apoptotic cells (Annexin V-positive and  
1223 PI positive) and necrotic cells (Annexin V-negative, PI-positive) were included in cell death  
1224 measurements (*Figure 7-figure supplement 2*). Staurosporine treatment was used as a positive  
1225 control (FACS Coulter Epics XL flow cytometer). An example of the gating strategy used for  
1226 cell analysis is in *Figure 7 - Figure supplement 2*.

### 1227 **Pharmacokinetic studies on BALB/c mice of E5 and E7.**

1228 We tested both compounds in a preliminary pharmacokinetic study (Snapshot-PK) (Li et al.,  
1229 2013).

1230 Female BALB/c mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories and maintained at IBMC  
1231 Animal Facilities, in sterile IVC cabinets, with food and water available ad libitum. All animals  
1232 used in experiments were aged from six to eight weeks. The mice were randomized into four  
1233 groups of six and then treated with **E7** or **E5** evaluating two independent administration routes,  
1234 oral or intra-venous (IV). The doses administered were 1 mg/kg for I.V. (administered in 100  $\mu$ l  
1235 in the tail vein) and 20 mg/kg for per os (oral gavage with 100  $\mu$ l). Blood samples were taken  
1236 from the tail vein at specific time points to heparinized tubes (two animals for each time point  
1237 were used with around 20  $\mu$ l of total blood recovered), plasma was recovered by centrifugation  
1238 and stored at  $-20^\circ\text{C}$  until quantification by UHPLC-MS/MS ESI+. Plasma samples from 6  
1239 BALB/c mice treated with (1m/kg/IV or 20 mg/kg/oral) compound **E5** or **E7** recovered at 0, 5,  
1240 15, 30, 45, 60, 120, 300 min and 24, 48 or 72 hours post administration for IV or 0,  
1241 30,45,60,120,180, 300 min and 24, 48 and 72 hours post administration. The detection of the  
1242 compounds in the plasma was done by UHPLC-MS/MS ESI+ (Acquity Quattro Premier

1243 WATERS, column Acquity BEH HILIC 1,7  $\mu\text{m}$  (2,1 x 100 mm). All data are reported in *Figure*  
1244 *8-source data 1*. All animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the IBMC.INEB  
1245 Animal Ethics Committees and the Portuguese National Authorities for Animal Health  
1246 guidelines, according to the statements on the Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament  
1247 and of the Council. NS and ACS have an accreditation for animal research given by the  
1248 Portuguese Veterinary Direction (Ministerial Directive 1005/92).

1249

### 1250 ***In vivo* cancer mouse model studies**

1251 *Orthotopic model*. The orthotopic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) cancer mouse  
1252 model was generated via direct injection of the primary PDAC-2 cells, transduced with a  
1253 lentiviral vector containing Gaussia luciferase (G-luc), in the pancreas of female nude mice (age  
1254 6–8 weeks) anesthetized with isoflurane, as described previously (Giovannetti et al., 2014,  
1255 Wurdinger et al., 2008). Pre-/post-operative pain was counteracted by administering Temgesic  
1256 (0.05-0.1 mg/kg SC). Frozen tumors from PDAC-2 mice treated with E7 or 5FU (24 hours  
1257 before their sacrifice) were used for RNA and protein extraction, as described above, to evaluate  
1258 the mRNA expression of hTS, compared with untreated control mice using already validated  
1259 primers for Real-time PCR (FW: 5'-CAGATTATTCAGGACAGGGAGTT-3'; RW: 5'-  
1260 CATCAGAGGAAGATCTCTTGGAT T-3'). Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining were performed  
1261 according to standard procedures. Sections of 3  $\mu\text{m}$  pancreatic slices were cut from paraffin-  
1262 embedded specimens. IHC was performed with the anti-hTS antibody described above (dilution  
1263 1:100), as well as with the anti-Cleaved Caspase-3 (Asp175) Antibody #9661 (dilution 1:100,  
1264 Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA) and the anti-Ki67 monoclonal antibody (dilution 1:100,  
1265 clone MIB1; DBA, Milan, Italy), as described previously (Bianco C. et al. 2004, Jun-Jun Su et al.

1266 2018). Visualization was obtained with Bench Mark Special Stain Automation system (Ventana  
1267 Medical Systems, inc., USA).

1268 *Antitumor activity.* Orthotropic PDAC models were generated via injection of  $10^6$  primary cells  
1269 into the pancreas of six six/eight weeks old female athymic nude mice (Charles River). Tumor  
1270 growth was monitored using bioluminescence imaging (BLI) of Gaussian luciferase (G-luc)  
1271 reporter. Five days after surgery, mice were stratified based on BLI intensities into three groups  
1272 with comparable G-luc activity. Six mice per group were treated with 10 mg/kg E7 3  
1273 times/week (every 2 days) every 3 weeks (administered intraperitoneally, i.p.), or 100 mg/kg  
1274 5FU i.p. once per week for 3 weeks (or q7dx3) (Giovannetti et al., 2014), whereas six control  
1275 mice were treated only with sterile saline. Mice were sacrificed upon discomfort or >10% weight  
1276 loss, and the log-rank test was used to evaluate significant differences in survival. Pancreas and  
1277 internal organs were removed and frozen or fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde. To evaluate the  
1278 modulation of hTS *in vivo*, two mice of the control group were exposed to a single dose of E7 or  
1279 5FU 24 hours before their sacrifice. qPCR and immunohistochemical studies were performed as  
1280 described above. Animal experiments were approved by the Committees on Animal Experiments  
1281 of the VU University Amsterdam, The Netherlands and of the University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy, and  
1282 were performed in accordance with institutional guidelines and international law and policies.

1283 *Xenograft model.* Athymic Nude-Foxn1nu female mice aged 7 weeks (Envigo RMS Srl, Udine,  
1284 Italy) were housed and handled under aseptic conditions, in accordance with the University of  
1285 Ferrara Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines.

1286 *Antitumor activity.* Tumor xenografts in mice were obtained by subcutaneously implanting (s.c.)  
1287 with  $2 \times 10^6$  of A2780 cells suspended in 100  $\mu$ L of PBS and 100  $\mu$ L of Matrigel (BD

1288 Biosciences). When tumor mass became palpable in successfully engrafted mice (around 7 days  
1289 after the injection), animals were weighed and randomly divided into three groups to be  
1290 subjected to various treatments as indicated in *Figure 8-figure supplement 1*. Treatments  
1291 administrated via intraperitoneal injection were **E7**, 5FU and vehicle solutions. **E7** was  
1292 administrated 10 mg/Kg three times a week while 5FU (100mg/Kg) one time a week. During the  
1293 experiment there was no difference in body weight between control and treatment groups. Tumor  
1294 growth was monitored daily, and tumor diameters were measured with calipers every two days.  
1295 The tumor volume was calculated using the following equation:  $\text{volume} = \pi/6 \times (a \times b^2)$ , where  
1296 **a** is the major diameter and **b** is the minor diameter. All mice that reached the endpoint of the  
1297 experiment were euthanized and, subsequently, tumors were excised.

1298

1299

1300

1301

1302

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310  
1311  
1312  
1313  
1314  
1315  
1316  
1317  
1318  
1319  
1320  
1321  
1322  
1323  
1324  
1325  
1326  
1327  
1328  
1329  
1330  
1331  
1332  
1333

**References**

Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkóczi G, Chen VB, Echols N, Headd JJ, Hung L-W, Jain S, Kapral GJ, Kuntzle RWG, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW, Oeffner RD, Read RJ, Richardson DC, Richardson JS, Terwilliger TC, Zwart PH. 2011. The Phenix software for automated determination of macromolecular structures. *Methods*. **55**, 94-106.

Avan A, Caretti V, Funel N, Galvani E, Maftouh M, Honeywell RJ, Lagerweij T, Van Tellingen O, Campani D, Fuchs D, Verheul HM, Schuurhuis G-J, Boggi U, Peters GJ, Würdinger T, Giovannetti E. 2013. Crizotinib inhibits metabolic inactivation of gemcitabine in c-Met-driven pancreatic carcinoma. *Cancer Research* **73**: 6745-6756.

Beaufort BM, Helmijr JCA, Piskorz AM, Hoogstraat M, Ruigrok-Ritsier K, Besselink N, Murtaza M, van IJcken WFJ, Heine AAJ, Smid M, Koudijs MJ, Brenton JD, Berns EMJJ, Helleman J. 2014. Ovarian Cancer Cell Line Panel (OCCP): Clinical Importance of In Vitro Morphological Subtypes. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e103988.

Berendsen HJC, Postma JPM, van Gunsteren WF, DiNola A, Haak JR. 1984. Molecular-Dynamics with Coupling to an External Bath. *Journal of Chemical Physics* **81**, 3684–3690.

1334 Berger SH, Berger FG, Lebioda L. 2004. Effects of ligand binding and conformational switching  
1335 on intracellular stability of human thymidylate synthase. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*  
1336 **1696**: 15-22.

1337 Bianco C, Giovannetti E, Ciardiello F, Mey V, Nannizzi S, Tortora G, Troiani T, Pasqualetti F,  
1338 Eckhardt G, de Liguoro M, Ricciardi S, Del Tacca M, Raben D, Cionini L, Danesi R. 2006.  
1339 Synergistic antitumor activity of ZD6474, an inhibitor of vascular endothelial growth factor  
1340 receptor and epidermal growth factor receptor signaling, with gemcitabine and ionizing  
1341 radiation against pancreatic cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* **1**:7099-107.

1342 Bracht K, Nicholls AM, Liu Y, Bodmer WF. 2010. 5-Fluorouracil response in a large panel of  
1343 colorectal cancer cell lines is associated with mismatch repair deficiency. *British Journal of*  
1344 *Cancer* **103**: 340-346.

1345 Brady G, Stouten P. Fast prediction and visualization of protein binding pockets with PASS.  
1346 2000. *Journal of Computer Aided Molecular Design* **14**, 383-401.

1347 Brunn ND, Dibrov SM, Kao MB, Ghassemian M, Hermann T. 2014. Analysis of mRNA  
1348 recognition by human thymidylate synthase. *Bioscience Reports* **34**: e00168.

1349 Cardinale D, Guaitoli G, Tondi D, Luciani R, Henrich S, Salo-Ahen OMH, Ferrari S, Marverti  
1350 G, Guerrieri D, Ligabue A, Frassinetti C, Pozzi C, Mangani S, Fessas D, Guerrini R,  
1351 Ponterini G, Wade RC, Costi MP. 2011. Protein-protein interface-binding peptides inhibit  
1352 the cancer therapy target human thymidylate synthase. *Proceedings of the National Academy*  
1353 *of Sciences USA* **108**, E542-E549.

1354 Cardinale D, Guaitoli G, Tondi D, Luciani R, Henrich S, Salo-Ahen OMH, Ferrari S, Marverti  
1355 G, Guerrieri D, Ligabue A, Frassinetti C, Pozzi C, Mangani S, Fessas D, Guerrini R,

1356 Ponterini G, Wade RC, Costi MP.2011. Protein-protein interface-binding peptides inhibit the  
1357 cancer therapy target human thymidylate synthase. *PNAS* **108**: E542-E549.

1358 Carreras CW, Santi DV. 1995. The catalytic mechanism and structure of thymidylate synthase.  
1359 *Annual Review of Biochemistry* **64**: 721-762.

1360 Case DA, Cheatham TE III, Darden T, Gohlke H, Luo R, Merz KM Jr, Onufriev A, Simmerling  
1361 C, Wang B, Woods RJ. 2005. The Amber biomolecular simulation programs. *Journal of*  
1362 *Computational Chemistry* **26**, 1668-1688.

1363 Chu E, Koeller DM, Casey JL, Drake JC, Chabner BA, Elwood PC, Zinn S, Allegra CJ.  
1364 1991. Autoregulation of human thymidylate synthase messenger RNA translation by  
1365 thymidylate synthase. *PNAS* **88**: 8977–8981.

1366 Costi MP, Ferrari S, Venturelli A, Calò S, Tondi D, Barlocco D.2005. Thymidylate synthase  
1367 structure, function and implication in drug discovery. *Current Medicinal Chemistry* **12**:  
1368 2241-2258.

1369 Cross S, Baroni M, Carosati E, Benedetti P, Clementi S.2010. FLAP: GRID molecular  
1370 interaction fields in virtual screening. Validation using the DUD data set. *Journal of*  
1371 *Chemical Information and Modeling* **50**: 1442-1450.

1372 Cruciani G, Crivori P, Carrupt PA, Testa B. 2000. Molecular fields in quantitative structure-  
1373 permeation relationships: the VolSurf approach. *Journal of Molecular Structure:*  
1374 *THEOCHEM***503**, 17-30.

1375 Duan Y, Wu C, Chowdhury S, Lee MC, Xiong G, Zhang W, Yang R, Cieplak P, Luo R, Lee  
1376 T, Caldwell J, Wang J, Kollman P. 2003. A point-charge force field for molecular mechanics  
1377 simulations of proteins based on condensed-phase quantum mechanical calculations. *Journal*  
1378 *of Computational Chemistry* **24**, 1999-2012.

1379 Dundas J, Ouyang Z, Tseng J, Binkowski A, Turpaz Y, Liang J. 2006. CASTp: computed atlas  
1380 of surface topography of proteins with structural and topographical mapping of functionally  
1381 annotated residues. *Nucleic Acids Research* **34**, W116-W118.

1382 Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K. 2010. Features and development of Coot. *Acta*  
1383 *Crystallographica Section D***66**, 486-501.

1384 Erlanson DA, Braisted AC, Raphael DR, Randal M, Stroud RM, Gordon EM, Wells JA.  
1385 2000. Site-directed ligand discovery. *PNAS***97**: 9367-9372.

1386 Essmann U, Perera L, Berkowitz ML, Darden T, Lee H, Pedersen LG. 1995. A smooth particle  
1387 mesh Ewald method. *Journal of Chemical Physics* **103**, 8577-8593.

1388 Evans P. 2006. Scaling and assessment of data quality. *Acta Crystallographica Section D***62**, 72

1389 Genovese F, Ferrari S, Guaitoli G, Caselli M, Costi MP, Ponterini G. 2010. Dimer-monomer  
1390 equilibrium of human thymidylate synthase monitored by fluorescence resonance energy  
1391 transfer. *Protein Science* **19**, 1023-1030.

1392 Giovannetti E, Wang Q, Avan A, Funel N, Lagerweij T, Lee J-H, Caretti V, van der  
1393 Velde A, Boggi U, Wang Y. 2014. Role of CYB5A in Pancreatic Cancer Prognosis and  
1394 Autophagy Modulation. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* **106**,  
1395 djt346, <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djt346>

1396 Goodford PJ. 1985. A computational procedure for determining energetically favorable binding  
1397 sites on biologically important macromolecules. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* **28**, 849-  
1398 857.

1399 Henrick K, Thornton JM. 1998. PQS: a protein quaternary structure file server. *Trends in*  
1400 *Biochemical Sciences***199823**, 358-361.

1401 IrwinJJ, Shoichet BK.2005. ZINC – A free database of commercially available compounds for  
1402 virtual screening. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling***45**: 177-182.

1403 Jennings BA, Willis G.2015. How folate metabolism affects colorectal cancer development and  
1404 treatment; a story of heterogeneity and pleiotropy. *Cancer Letters* **356**: 224-230.

1405 Jorgensen *WL*, Chandrasekhar J, Madura JD, Impey RW, Klein ML. 1983. Comparison of  
1406 simple potential functions for simulating liquid water. *Journal of Chemical Physics* **79**, 926-  
1407 935.

1408 Su JJ, Xu K, Wang PF, Zhang HY, Chen YL. 2018 Histological analysis of human pancreatic  
1409 carcinoma following irreversible electroporation in a nude mouse model. *World J Gastrointest*  
1410 *Oncol.* 10, 476-486.

1411 Kim OT, Yura K, Go N. 2006. Amino acid residue doublet propensity in the protein-RNA  
1412 interface and its application to RNA interface prediction. *Nucleic Acids Research***34**, 6450–6460.

1413 Kitchens ME, Forsthoefel AM, Barbour KW, Spencer HT, Berger FG. 1999. Mechanisms of  
1414 acquired resistance to thymidylate synthase inhibitors: the role of enzyme stability.  
1415 *Molecular Pharmacology* **56**: 1063-1070.

1416 Kitchens ME, Forsthoefel AM, Rafique Z, Spencer HT, Berger FG.1999. Ligand mediated  
1417 induction of thymidylate occurs by enzyme stabilization. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*  
1418 **274**: 12544-12547.

1419 Langer G, Cohen SX, Lamzin VS, Perrakis A. 2008. Automated macromolecular model building  
1420 for X-ray crystallography using ARP/wARP version 7. *Nature Protocols* **3**, 1171-1179.

1421 Laskowski RA, MacArthur MW, Moss DS, Thornton JM. 1993. PROCHECK - a program to  
1422 check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. *Journal of Applied Crystallography*  
1423 **26**, 283-291.

1424 Leslie AG. 2006. The integration of macromolecular diffraction data. *Acta Crystallographica*  
1425 *Section D***62**, 48-57.

1426 Li C, Liu B, Chang J, Groessl T, Zimmerman M, He YQ, Isbell J, Tuntland T. 2013. A modern in  
1427 vivo pharmacokinetic paradigm: combining snapshot, rapid and full PK approaches to  
1428 optimize and expedite early drug discovery. *Drug Discovery Today* **18**, 71-78.

1429 Lovelace LL, Minor W, Lebioda L. 2005. Structure of human thymidylate synthase under low-  
1430 salt conditions. *Acta Crystallographica Section D***61**, 622-627.

1431 Marverti G, Ligabue A, Lombardi P, Ferrari S, Monti MG, Frassinetti C, Costi MP. 2013.  
1432 Modulation of the expression of folate cycle enzymes and polyamine metabolism by  
1433 berberine in cisplatin-sensitive and -resistant human ovarian cancer cells. *International*  
1434 *Journal of Oncology* **43**, 1269-1280.

1435 Marverti G, Ligabue A, Paglietti G, Corona P, Piras S, Vitale G, Guerrieri D, Luciani R, Costi  
1436 MP, Frassinetti C, Moruzzi MS. 2009. Collateral sensitivity to novel thymidylate synthase  
1437 inhibitors correlates with folate cycle enzymes impairment in cisplatin-resistant human  
1438 ovarian cancer cells. *European Journal of Pharmacology* **615**, 17-26.

1439 McNicholas S, Potterton E, Wilson KS, Noble MEM. 2011. Presenting your structures: the  
1440 CCP4mg molecular-graphics software. *Acta Crystallographica Section D***67**, 386-394.

1441 Mei G, Di Venere A, Rosato N, Finazzi-Agrò A. 2005. The importance of being dimeric, *FEBS*  
1442 *Journal* **272**: 16-27.

1443 Morris GM, Goodsell DS, Halliday RS, Huey R, Hart WE, Belew R, Olson AJ. 1998. Automated  
1444 docking using a Lamarckian genetic algorithm and empirical binding free energy function.  
1445 *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **19**, 1639-1662.

1446 Murshudov GN, Vagin A, Dodson EJ. 1997. Refinement of macromolecular structures by the  
1447 maximum-likelihood method. *Acta Crystallographica Section D***53**, 240-255.

1448 O'Boyle NM, Banck M, James CA, Morley C, Vandermeersch T, Hutchison GR. 2011. Open  
1449 Babel: An open chemical toolbox. *Journal of Cheminformatics***3**, 33.

1450 Peña MM, Melo SP, Xing YY, White K, Barbour KW, Berger FG.2009. The intrinsically  
1451 disordered N-terminal domain of thymidylate synthase targets the enzyme to the ubiquitin-  
1452 independent proteasomal degradation pathway. *Journal of Biological Chemistry***284**: 31597-  
1453 31607.

1454 Peters GJ, Backus GJ, Freemantle HHJ, Van Triest S, Codacci-Pisanelli B, Van der Wilt G,  
1455 Smid CL, Lunec K, Calvert J, Marsh AH, McLeod SHL, Bloemena E, Meijer S, Jansen G,  
1456 van Groeningen GC, Pinedo HM.2002. Induction of thymidylate synthase as a 5-fluorouracil  
1457 resistance mechanism. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* **1587**: 194-205.

1458 Peters GJ. 2018. Cancer drug resistance: a new perspective. *Cancer Drug Resistance***1**: 1-5.

1459 Phan J,Koli S, Minor W, Dunlap RB, Berger SH, Lebioda L. 2001.Human thymidylate synthase  
1460 is in the closed conformation when complexed with dUMP and raltitrexed, an antifolate  
1461 drug. *Biochemistry* **40**, 1897-1902.

1462 Ponterini G, Martello A, Pavesi G, Lauriola A, Luciani R, Santucci M, Pelà M, Gozzi G,  
1463 Pacifico S, Guerrini R, Marverti G, Costi MP, D'Arca D.2016. Intracellular quantitative  
1464 detection of human thymidylate synthase engagement with an unconventional inhibitor using  
1465 tetracysteine-diarsenical-probe technology. *Science Reports* **6**: 27198.

1466 Ryckaert JP, Ciccotti G, Berendsen HJC. 1977. Numerical integration of cartesian equations of  
1467 motion of a system with constraints - molecular dynamics of N-alkanes. *Journal of*  
1468 *Computational Physics* **23**, 327-341.

1469 Salo-Ahen OMH, Tochowicz A, Pozzi C, Cardinale D, Ferrari S, Boum Y, Mangani S, Stroud  
1470 RM, Saxena P, Myllykallio H, Costi MP, Ponterini G, Wade RC. 2015.Hotspots in an  
1471 obligate homodimeric anticancer target. Structural and functional effects of interfacial  
1472 mutations in human thymidylate synthase. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* **58**, 3572-3581.

1473 Shi J-M, Pei J, Liu E-Q, Zhang L. 2017. Bis(sulfosuccinimidyl) suberate (BS3) crosslinking  
1474 analysis of the behavior of amyloid- $\beta$  peptide in solution and in phospholipid membranes.  
1475 *PLoS ONE***12**(3), e0173871 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173871>.

1476 Taddia L, D'Arca D, Ferrari S, Marraccini C, Severi L, Ponterini G, Assaraf YG, Marverti G,  
1477 Costi MP.2015. Inside the biochemical pathways of thymidylate synthase perturbed by  
1478 anticancer drugs: Novel strategies to overcome cancer chemoresistance. *Drug Resistance*  
1479 *Updates* **23**: 20-54.

1480 Vagin A, Teplyakov A. 1997. MOLREP: an automated program for molecular replacement.  
1481 *Journal of Applied Crystallography***30**, 1022-1025.

1482 Van der WiltCL, Pinedo HM, Smid K, Peters GJ. 1992. Elevation of Thymidylate Synthase  
1483 following 5-Fluorouracil Treatment Is Prevented by the Addition of Leucovorin in Murine  
1484 Colon Tumors *Cancer Research***52**: 4922-4928.

1485 Voeller DM, Zajac-Kaye M, Fisher RJ, Allegra CJ. 2002. The identification of thymidylate  
1486 synthase peptide domains located in the interface region that bind thymidylate synthase  
1487 mRNA. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **297**: 24-31.

1488 Wade RC, Goodford PJ. 1993. Further development of hydrogen bond functions for use in  
1489 determining energetically favorable binding sites on molecules of known structure. 2.  
1490 Ligand probe groups with the ability to form more than two hydrogen bonds. *Journal of*  
1491 *Medicinal Chemistry***36**, 148-156.

1492 Wang J, Wang W, Kollman P, Case DA. 2006. Automatic atom type and bond type perception in  
1493 molecular mechanical calculations. *Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling***25**, 247-  
1494 260.

1495 Wang T, Wade RC. 2003. Implicit solvent models for flexible protein-protein docking by  
1496 molecular dynamics simulation. *Proteins* **50**, 158-169.

1497 Wilson PM, Danenberg PV, Johnston PG, Lenz HJ, Ladner RD. 2014. Standing the test of time:  
1498 targeting thymidylate biosynthesis in cancer therapy. *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology***11**:  
1499 282-298.

1500 Winn MD, Ballard CC, Cowtan KD, Dodson EJ, Emsley P, Evans PR, Keegan RM, Krissinel  
1501 EB, Leslie AGW, McCoy A, McNicholas SJ, Murshudov GN, Pannu NS, Potterton EA,  
1502 Powell HR, Read RJ, Vagin A, Wilson KS. 2011. Overview of the CCP4 suite and current  
1503 developments. *Acta Crystallographica Section D***67**, 235-242.

1504 Winn MD, Murshudov GN, Papiz MZ. 2003. Macromolecular TLS refinement in REFMAC at  
1505 moderate resolutions. *Methods in Enzymology* **374**, 300-321.

1506 Wurdinger T, Badr C, Pike L, de Kleine R, Weissleder R, Breakefield XO, Tannous BA. 2008.  
1507 A secreted luciferase for *ex vivo* monitoring of *in vivo* processes. *Nature Methods* **5**, 171-  
1508 173.

1509 Zucali PA, Giovannetti E, Destro A, Mencoboni M, Ceresoli GL, Gianoncelli L, Lorenzi E, De  
1510 Vincenzo F, Simonelli M, Perrino M, Bruzzone A, Thunnissen E, Tunesi G, Giordano L,  
1511 Roncalli M, Peters GJ, Santoro A. 2011. Thymidylate synthase and excision repair cross-  
1512 complementing group-1 as predictors of responsiveness in mesothelioma patients treated  
1513 with pemetrexed/carboplatin. *Clin Cancer Res.* **17**, 2581-2590.

1514

1515  
1516  
1517  
1518  
1519  
1520  
1521  
1522  
1523  
1524  
1525  
1526  
1527  
1528  
1529  
1530  
1531  
  
1532  
1533  
1534  
1535  
1536  
1537  
1538  
1539  
1540

**Acknowledgments:** We thank Dr. Hannu Myllykallio for cloning hTS and preparing bacterial cells expressing mutant proteins, and Dr. Amir Avan and Dr. Niccola Funel for their work on PDAC animal models and staining. The authors also acknowledge the ‘Fondazione Cassa di Risparmio di Modena’ for funding the UHPLC-ESI-QTOF system at the Centro Interdipartimentale Grandi Strumenti (CIGS). PP is grateful to Camilla degli Scrovegni for continuous inspirational support.

This work was funded by AIRC2015 IG16977 (MPC), European Union (LIGHTS-A Framework 6 STREP: LSH- 2005-2.2.0-8) Grant agreement n°037852 to M.P.C, R.C.W., G.C., R.M.S; CCA foundation grants-2012/2015 CCA2015-1-19 to E.G. and G.J.P., AIRC 14422 Start-Up grant to E.G., the Klaus Tschira Foundation (R.C.W., S.H., O.M.H.S-A) and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, the Finnish Cultural Foundation, the Academy of Finland (137918), and the University of Eastern Finland (O.M.H.S-A). RMS is supported by NIH GM24485. PP is supported by Italian Association for Cancer Research (AIRC, IG23670). AR is supported by the Italian Ministry of Health (GR-2016-02364602) and by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research (PRIN, Grant 2017XA5J5N).

1541  
1542  
1543  
1544  
1545  
1546  
1547  
1548  
1549  
1550  
1551  
1552  
1553  
1554  
1555  
1556  
1557  
1558  
1559  
1560  
1561  
1562  
1563  
1564  
1565  
1566  
1567  
1568  
1569  
1570  
1571  
1572  
1573  
1574  
1575  
1576  
1577

**LIST OF ADDITIONAL FILES**

1. Diffraction data have been deposited in PDB under the accession code PDB-ID 4O1X double humanTS mutant C195SY202C.  
Summary report for the X-ray crystal structures is uploaded as a Related Manuscript File. The maps and coordinates data are uploaded as a Supporting Zip Document and the file is labelled as "maps and coordinates for review".
2. Gels / blots are provided as Source data in (1) the original files of the full raw unedited gels or blots and; (2) figures with the uncropped gels or blots with the relevant bands clearly labelled be provided. Files are: Figure 7-source data 2. Dimer destabilizers and hTS levels in human cancer cell lines, WB; Figure 7 -figure supplement 1-source data 1. Effect of dimer destabilizers on hTS protein levels in cells\_WB.
3. Source Data files are available in the files reported below under "Source data" for Figure 3, Figure 3-figure supplement 1, Figure 3-figure supplement 2, Figure 4, Figure 6, Figure 6-figure supplement 1,

1578 Figure 6-figure supplement 2, Figure 6-figure supplement 3, Figure 6-figure supplement 4, Figure 7,  
1579 Figure 7-figure supplement 1, Figure 8, Figure 8-figure supplement 1. Figure 8-figure supplement 2.

1580 **Source data.**

1581 Figure 3-figure supplement 1-Source data file 1. Anion-exchange chromatograms of hTS obtained with a  
1582 280 nm and 350 nm detection.

1583 Figure 3-figure supplement 2-Source data 1. Profile of the variation in concentration of compound C3 in  
1584 the AE chromatographic fractions determined by high-resolution MS (UltiMate 3000 UHPLC tandem  
1585 Orbitrap Q-Ex.

1586  
1587 Figure 4-Source data 1. Spectroscopic and mechanistic analysis of hTS dissociative inhibition for  
1588 compounds C2, C3 and C4.

1589 Figure 4-Source data 2. Inhibition of hTS by compound C3.

1590  
1591 Figure 6-figure supplement 1- Source data 1. TS mRNA expression in the primary pancreatic cancer cells.  
1592 Figure 6-figure supplement 2-Source data1. Pancreatic cancer cells LpC167 growth inhibition for  
1593 compounds E7, E5 and 5FU.

1594 Figure 6-figure supplement 3-Source data1. Ovarian cancer cell growth inhibition all compounds.

1595 Figure 6-figure supplement 4-Source data1. Colon cancer cell inhibition E7 and E5.

1596 Figure 6-Source data 1. Quantification of Annexin V-positive cells of apoptosis of A2780 and A2780/CP  
1597 cells treated with E7 or PMX.

1598  
1599 Figure 7-Source data for Figures 7A-G are given as individual zip files. Western blot (labelled)  
1600 data of dimer destabilizers effect on hTS levels in human cancer cell lines (see below the section “Figure  
1601 7 contains the following associated files”).

1602  
1603 Figure 8-Source data 1. Orthotopic pancreatic cancer in vivo.

1604 Figure 8-figure supplement 1-Source data 1. In vivo effects of E7 on Athymic Nude-Foxn1nu female mice  
1605 Figure 8-figure supplement 2-Source data 1. Real time PCR (qPCR). Quantitative PCR (qPCR)  
1606 measurements of hTS transcripts in A2780 and A2780/CP cells treated with E7, E5 and 5FU.

1607  
1608 **4. Figure supplements** are reported for Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3, Figure 4, Figure 6, Figure 7 and  
1609 Figure 8.

1610  
1611 **Figure Supplement**

1612 Figure 1 - Figure supplement 1. Y202 and K47 pockets at the hTS monomer-monomer interface (PDB ID:  
1613 1HVY, A chain)

1614 Figure 2 - Figure supplement 1. X-ray crystallographic structure of hTS-Y202C and hTS C195S-Y202C.

1615  
1616 Figure 3-figure supplement 1. Anion-exchange chromatograms of hTS obtained with a 280 nm and 350  
1617 nm detection

1618 Figure 3-figure supplement 2. Profile of the variation in concentration of compound C3 in the AE  
1619 chromatographic fractions determined by high-resolution MS (UltiMate 3000 UHPLC tandem Orbitrap Q-  
1620 Ex.

1621  
1622 Figure 6-supplement 1. TS mRNA expression in the primary pancreatic cancer cells.

1623 Figure 6 – figure supplement 2. Pancreatic cancer cells LPc167 growth inhibition for compounds E7, E5  
1624 and 5FU.

1625 Figure 6 - figure supplement 3. Ovarian cancer cell growth inhibition"

1626 Figure 6 - figure supplement 4. Colon cancer cell growth inhibition"

1627

1628

1629 Figure 7 - Figure supplement 1. Effect of cisplatin on cell death, and effect of dimer destabilizers on hTS  
1630 activity and hTS protein levels in cancer cells.

1631 Figure 7 - Figure supplement 2. Example of gating strategy used for cell analysis

1632 Figure 7 - Figure supplement 3. Expression levels of total (exogenous plus endogenous) and exogenous  
1633 hTS mRNAs in HCT116 cells with wild-type hTS-Myc-DDK tagged or mutant hTS-Myc-DDK-F59A vectors.

1634

1635 Figure 8 - Figure supplement 1. In vivo effects of E7 on Athymic Nude-Foxn1nu female mice.

1636 Figure 8-figure supplement 2. Representative immunohistochemical images showing extensive caspase-  
1637 3 activation after treatment with E7.

1638 Figure 8-figure supplement 3. Representative immunohistochemical images showing extensive caspase-  
1639 3 activation after treatment with E7.

1640

1641 **5. Tables are uploaded and cited as Supplementary file 1,2,3,4,5,6 and 7. The separate sections are cited**  
1642 **within each file.**

1643

1644 **Supplementary files (all word files uploaded)**

1645 Supplementary file 1. Computational protein-pocket identification for tethering.

1646 Supplementary file 2. X-ray crystallography.

1647 Supplementary file 3. Mass spectrometry data.

1648 Supplementary file 4. Compounds FRET and inhibition data.

1649 Supplementary file 5. Mass Spectrometry analysis of the fractions eluted from AEX.

1650 Supplementary file 6. Cancer cell growth inhibition and pharmacokinetic.

1651

1652 **6. Appendix files** (uploaded as word) contains the sections with more complex and long analysis  
1653 content, methods, and synthetic chemistry data:

1654 Appendix 1. Details on Mass Spectrometry methods

1655 Appendix 2. Synthetic chemistry

1656 Appendix 3. Separation of E7 racemic mixture of E7 and characterization.

1657 Appendix 4. Analysis of combined equilibria and fitting to FRET data.

1658

1659 **7. All other data generated or analyzed during this study are included in the manuscript and**  
1660 **supporting files.**

1661

1662 **8. All additional files list and description of each Figure with the associated Figure supplement and**  
1663 **source data files.**

1664

1665 **Figure 1 contains the following associated file.**

1666 Figure 1 - Figure supplement 1. Y202 and K47 pockets at the hTS monomer-monomer interface (PDB ID:  
1667 1HVY, A chain)

1668

1669 **Figure 2 contains the following associated file.**

1670 Figure 2 - Figure supplement 1. X-ray crystallographic structure of hTS-Y202C and hTS C195S-Y202C

1671

1672 **Figure 3 contains the following associated files**

1673 *Figure 3-figure supplement 1. Anion-exchange chromatograms of hTS obtained with a 280 nm and 350*  
1674 *nm detection*

1675 Figure 3-figure supplement 1-Source data file 1. Anion-exchange chromatograms of hTS obtained with a  
1676 280 nm and 350 nm detection

1677

1678 *Figure 3-figure supplement 2. Concentration profile of compound C3 in the AE chromatographic fractions*  
1679 *determined by high-resolution MS (UltiMate 3000 UHPLC tandem Orbitrap Q-Ex).*

1680 Figure 3-figure supplement 2-Source data 1. *Concentration profile* of compound C3 in the AE  
1681 chromatographic fractions determined by high-resolution MS (UltiMate 3000 UHPLC tandem Orbitrap Q-  
1682 Ex).

1683

1684 **Figure 4 contains the following associated files.**

1685 *Figure 4-Source data 1. Spectroscopic and mechanistic analysis of hTS dissociative inhibition for*  
1686 *compounds C2, C3 and C4.*

1687 Figure 4-Source data 2. Inhibition of hTS by compound C3.

1688

1689 **Figure 6 contains the following associated files:**

1690 Figure 6-Source data 1. Quantification of Annexin V-positive cells of apoptosis of A2780 and A2780/CP  
1691 cells treated with E7 or PMX.

1692

1693 *Figure 6-figure supplement 1. TS mRNA expression in the primary pancreatic cancer cells.*

1694 Figure 6-figure supplement 1-Source data 1. TS mRNA expression in the primary pancreatic cancer cells.

1695

1696 *Figure 6-figure supplement 2. Pancreatic cancer cells LPc167 growth inhibition for compounds E7, E5*  
1697 *and 5FU.*

1698 Figure 6-figure supplement 2-Source data 1. Pancreatic cancer cells LPc167 growth inhibition for  
1699 compounds E7, E5 and 5FU.

1700

1701 *Figure 6-figure supplement 3. Ovarian cancer cell growth inhibition*

1702 Figure 6-figure supplement 3-Source data 1. Ovarian cancer cell growth inhibition.

1703

1704 *Figure 6-figure supplement 4. Colon cancer cell growth inhibition*

1705 Figure 6-figure supplement 4-Source data 1. Colon cancer cell growth inhibition.

1706

1707

1708 **Figure 7 contains the following associated files**

1709

1710 Figure 7-Source data for Figures 7A-G are given as individual zip files. Western blot (labelled) data of  
1711 dimer destabilizers effect on hTS levels in human cancer cell lines.

1712

1713 **CROPPED**

1714 Figure 7 - Source data 1. Effects of E5 on hTS and DHFR protein levels in A2780 and A2780/CP cells.

1715 Figure 7 - Source data 2. Effects of E5 on hTS and DHFR protein levels in A2780 and A2780/CP cells\_TS

1716 and DHFR\_actin.

1717  
1718 Figure 7-Source data 3. Effects of E7 on hTS and DHFR protein levels in A2780 and A2780/CP cells.  
1719  
1720 Figure 7- Source data 4. Effects of E7 on hTS and DHFR protein levels in A2780 and A2780/CP cells\_TS  
1721 and DHFR\_actin.  
1722  
1723 Figure 7 - Source data 5. hTS protein level in A2780 and A2780/CP cells treated with 5-FU and PMX (  
1724 PMX and 5FU Actin).  
1725 Figure 7 - Source data 6. hTS protein level in A2780 and A2780/CP cells treated with PMX.  
1726  
1727 Figure 7 - Source data 7. Stability of hTS in A2780 cells treated with E7 for 12h, followed by treatment  
1728 with CHX for the indicated times\_actin.  
1729 Figure 7 - Source data 8. Stability of hTS in A2780 cells treated with E7 for 12h, followed by treatment  
1730 with CHX for the indicated times.  
1731  
1732 Figure 7 - Source data 9. hTS levels in A2780 cells treated with E7 for 12h, with MG132 for 5h\_actin.  
1733 Figure 7 - Source data 10. hTS levels in A2780 cells treated with E7 for 12h, with MG132 for 5h.  
1734  
1735 Figure 7- Source data 11. Effects of a 3 hours exposure to E7 on hTS dimer/monomer equilibrium in  
1736 A2780 cells.  
1737  
1738 Figure 7-Source data 12. Effect of Dimer destabilizers and hTS levels and half-life in human cancer cell  
1739 lines (Figure C, D, G).  
1740  
1741 Figure 7 - Source data 13. Half-life determination of exogenous hTS protein (anti-FLAG stain) in HCT116  
1742 cells transfected with TS-Myc-DDK (CHX) or with the F59A mutant (CHX)\_actin.  
1743 Figure 7 - Source data 14. Half-life determination of exogenous hTS protein (anti-FLAG stain) in HCT116  
1744 cells transfected with TS-Myc-DDK (CHX) or with the F59A mutant (CHX).  
1745  
1746 **UNCROPPED FIGURE 7-SOURCE DATA.**  
1747 Figure 7 - Source data 15. Effects of E5 on hTS and DHFR protein levels in A2780 and A2780/CP cells.  
1748 uncropped  
1749 Figure 7 - Source data 16. Effects of E5 on hTS and DHFR protein levels in A2780 and A2780/CP cells\_TS  
1750 and DHFR\_actin.uncropped  
1751  
1752 Figure 7-Source data 17. Effects of E7 on hTS and DHFR protein levels in A2780 and A2780/CP cells.  
1753  
1754 Figure 7- Source data 18. Effects of E7 on hTS and DHFR protein levels in A2780 and A2780/CP cells\_TS  
1755 and DHFR\_actin.  
1756  
1757  
1758 Figure 7 - Source data 19. hTS protein level in A2780 and A2780/CP cells treated with 5-FU and PMX (  
1759 PMX and 5FU Actin). uncropped  
1760 Figure 7 - Source data 20. hTS protein level in A2780 and A2780/CP cells treated with PMX. Uncropped  
1761  
1762 Figure 7 - Source data 21. Stability of hTS in A2780 cells treated with E7 for 12h, followed by treatment  
1763 with CHX for the indicated times\_actin.

1764 Figure 7 - Source data 22. Stability of hTS in A2780 cells treated with E7 for 12h, followed by treatment  
1765 with CHX for the indicated times.  
1766  
1767  
1768 Figure 7 - Source data 23. hTS levels in A2780 cells treated with E7 for 12h, with MG132 for 5h\_actin.  
1769 uncropped  
1770 Figure 7 - Source data 24. hTS levels in A2780 cells treated with E7 for 12h, with MG132 for 5h.  
1771 uncropped  
1772  
1773 Figure 7- Source data 25. Effects of a 3 hours exposure to E7 on hTS dimer/monomer equilibrium in  
1774 A2780 cells. uncropped  
1775  
1776 Figure 7 - Source data 26. Half-life determination of exogenous hTS protein (anti-FLAG stain) in HCT116  
1777 cells transfected with TS-Myc-DDK (CHX) or with the F59A mutant (CHX)\_actin. uncropped  
1778 Figure 7 - Source data 27. Half-life determination of exogenous hTS protein (anti-FLAG stain) in HCT116  
1779 cells transfected with TS-Myc-DDK (CHX) or with the F59A mutant (CHX). uncropped  
1780  
1781 *Figure 7 - figure supplement 1. Effect of cisplatin on cell death, effect of dimer destabilizers on hTS*  
1782 *activity and hTS protein levels in cells.*  
1783  
1784 Figure 7-figure supplement 1-Source data 1.Quantification of Annexin V-positive cells by flow cytometric  
1785 analysis of apoptosis of A2780 and A2780/CP cells treated with cisplatin, E7 and PMX.  
1786  
1787 Figure 7-figure supplement 1 - Source data 2. Effect of E7 on hTS protein levels in HT29 cells \_actin.  
1788 Figure 7-figure supplement 1 - Source data 3. Effect of E7 on hTS protein levels in HT29 cells.  
1789  
1790 Figure 7-figure supplement 1 - Source data 4. Effect of E7 on hTS protein levels in HT29 cells \_actin.  
1791 uncropped  
1792 Figure 7-figure supplement 1 - Source data 5. Effect of E7 on hTS protein levels in HT29 cells. uncropped  
1793  
1794  
1795 Figure 7-figure supplement 1 - Source data 6. Effect of dimer destabilizers on hTS protein levels in  
1796 A2780/CP cells, E7 and actin.  
1797 Figure 7-figure supplement 1 - Source data 7. Effect of dimer destabilizers on hTS protein levels in  
1798 A2780/CP cells, E7 and actin. uncropped  
1799  
1800 -----  
1801  
1802 Figure 7-figure supplement 1- Source data 8. TS activity assay for A2780 and A2780/CP cell lines after  
1803 treatment with E5 and E7.  
1804  
1805 *Figure 7 - figure supplement 2. Example of gating strategy used for cell analysis.*  
1806  
1807 *Figure 7 - figure supplement 3. Expression levels of total (exogenous plus endogenous) and exogenous*  
1808 *hTS mRNAs in HCT116 cells with wild-type hTS-Myc-DDK tagged or mutant hTS-Myc-DDK-F59A vectors*  
1809 *(in Figure 7G).*  
1810  
1811 **Figure 8 contains the following associated file.**

1812 Figure 8-Source data 1. Orthotopic pancreatic cancer in vivo.  
1813  
1814 *Figure 8 - figure supplement 1. In vivo effects of E7 on Athymic Nude-Foxn1nu female mice*  
1815 *Figure 8-figure supplement 1-Source data 1. In vivo effects of E7 on Athymic Nude-Foxn1nu female mice*  
1816  
1817 *Figure 8-figure supplement 2. Real time PCR (qPCR). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) measurements of hTS*  
1818 *transcripts in A2780 and A2780/CP cells treated with E7, E5 and 5FU.*  
1819 *Figure 8-figure supplement 2-Source data 1. Real time PCR (qPCR). Quantitative PCR (qPCR)*  
1820 *measurements of hTS transcripts in A2780 and A2780/CP cells treated with E7, E5 and 5FU.*  
1821  
1822 *Figure 8-figure supplement 3. Representative immunohistochemical images showing extensive caspase-*  
1823 *3 activation after treatment with E7.*  
1824  

---

  
1825  
1826 Appendix 2-figures: Appendix 2-figure 1 up to Appendix 2-figure 10.  
1827  
1828 Appendix 3-figure 1. Structure 2D of the E7 enantiomers.  
1829 Appendix 3-figure 2. Chromatograms of single (+/-)-E7 enantiomers.  
1830  
1831  
1832

1 **Appendix 1**

2

3 **Details of Mass Spectrometry (MS) methods.**

4 *MS-based disulphide library screening.* A solution of each individual library member was  
5 prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to a final concentration of 80 mM per ligand. 1µL of  
6 each ligand solution was then pooled to form groups of a maximum of 3 discrete compounds,  
7 having molecular weights that differed by at least 150 Da from one another; each pool was  
8 brought to a final volume of 10 µL. 1 µL of the desired ligand pool (8 mM) was added to 20 µL  
9 of 19 µM C195S/Y202C hTS double mutant solution in a buffer containing 1 mM 2-  
10 mercaptoethanol and 20 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.5) to a final volume of 40 µL. The  
11 protein was present at a concentration of 10 µM while each of the disulphide library members  
12 was 0.2 mM. The reaction mixture was incubated at room temperature for 1 hour and then  
13 purified on a C4 ZipTip® (Millipore) to remove salts and unbound ligands. A solution of 10  
14 mg/ml of sinapinic acid in acetonitrile/0.1% aqueous TFA in a 1:1 ratio was used to assist the  
15 ionization process. 1µl of the sample was deposited onto a MALDI sample plate and allowed to  
16 air-dry at room temperature; 0.5 µL of matrix solution were then deposited on the same spot,  
17 resulting in a uniform layer of fine granular protein including matrix crystals. Mass spectra were  
18 recorded in positive-ion linear mode at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV and a delayed extraction  
19 time of 1200 ns. Each spectrum obtained was the mean of 100 laser shots. The ions were  
20 generated using the 337-nm laser beam from a nitrogen laser, having a pulse width of 3 ns. Data  
21 were obtained using the following parameters: 95% grid voltage, 0.08% guide wire voltage and a  
22 low mass gate of 15000 Da. An external calibration was performed based on the molecular  
23 weight of the untreated enzyme mutant previously reduced with DTT, alkylated with

24 iodoacetamide and diluted 1:10 in TFA 0.1%. The software Data Explorer (Applied Biosystems)  
25 was used for mass spectral data processing; raw spectra were smoothed, and the baseline was  
26 corrected according to the normally observed peak broadness. Peak  $m/z$  values were annotated  
27 according to a peak detection algorithm taking into account an average resolution of 3500. The  
28 identity of any library member bonded to the protein through a disulphide bond was determined  
29 by subtracting the mass of the free protein from the observed higher mass.

30 For ESI-QTOF measurement 0.5  $\mu\text{l}$  of ligand (80 mM in DMSO) was added to 12  $\mu\text{l}$  of 17  $\mu\text{M}$   
31 C195S/Y202C hTS mutant solution in a buffer containing 1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 20 mM  
32 potassium phosphate (pH 7.5) to a final volume of 20  $\mu\text{L}$ . The protein was present at a  
33 concentration of 10  $\mu\text{M}$  and the disulphide at 2mM. After equilibration at room temperature for 1  
34 hour, 1  $\mu\text{l}$  of the reaction mixture was diluted 1:10 with a solution of 5% formic acid in  
35 water/acetonitrile 95:5 and injected onto an HPLC-chip ESI-QTOF. The mobile phase  
36 composition was as follows: (A) 0.1%formic acid in a water/acetonitrile 98:2 solution and (B)  
37 0.1%formic acid in a acetonitrile/water 98:2 solution. Chromatographic separations were  
38 performed at a flow rate of 400 nL/min with the following gradient: 15% B for 5 min, 15–85% B  
39 in 5 min, 85% B for 5 min, 85-15% B in 3 min. Under these conditions the protein-ligand  
40 complex was eluted between 6.5 and 8.0 min. The capillary voltage was set to 1600 V and the  
41 desolvating temperature was 350°C. Nitrogen was used as a drying gas (flow rate = 6 L/min).  
42 The mass spectrometer operated in positive mode in the scan range 200-3200 Da. An external  
43 calibration was performed based on the molecular weight of a multi-standard solution (ESI-L  
44 Low concentration tuning mixture - SUPELCO). During acquisition, some of these masses were  
45 constantly monitored and used for a fine calibration of the instrument. The multiply charged ions

46 deriving from the free protein and the protein-ligand complex were deconvoluted with available  
47 software (MassHunter – Agilent Technologies Inc.).

48 ***Analysis of the ligand-protein binding site by MS.*** To investigate the cysteine residue involved  
49 in the binding with the selected ligands, the protein-ligand complexes underwent enzymatic  
50 digestion in a classical bottom-up proteomic analysis using both ionization techniques for result  
51 evaluation.

52 In a typical experiment, 1  $\mu\text{L}$  of the ligand (80 mM in DMSO) was added to 14  $\mu\text{L}$  of the 29  $\mu\text{M}$   
53 hTS C195S-Y202C hTS mutant solution in a buffer containing 2 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 20  
54 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) to a final volume of 20  $\mu\text{L}$ . The reaction mixture was incubated at  
55 room temperature for 1 hour. 6  $\mu\text{L}$  of iodoacetamide (100 mM) was added and the reaction  
56 mixture was incubated in the dark, at room temperature, for 20 min in order to alkylate the  
57 unmodified cysteine residues. 3  $\mu\text{L}$  of 0.1  $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{L}$  sequencing grade modified trypsin (SIGMA)  
58 was added and the sample was incubated at 37 °C. After 1 hour, a second aliquot of 3  $\mu\text{L}$  of  
59 trypsin was added. The digestion was carried out at 37 °C overnight. 1  $\mu\text{L}$  of trifluoroacetic acid  
60 (TFA) 0,1% was added and the reaction mixture was purified by a tip chromatography system  
61 (ZipTip C18, Millipore). The bound peptides were eluted from the tip stationary phase with 10  
62  $\mu\text{L}$  of acetonitrile 75%/TFA 0,1%. and 1  $\mu\text{L}$  of the mixture was spotted onto a MALDI target for  
63 MS analysis. A saturated solution of  $\alpha$ -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid in 50% acetonitrile/0.1%  
64 trifluoroacetic acid (0.5  $\mu\text{L}$ ) was used to assist the ionization process. Mass spectra were  
65 recorded on a 4800plus MALDI TOF/TOF (Applied Biosystems) mass spectrometer, operating  
66 in positive ion reflector mode with an accelerating voltage of 20 KV and delayed extraction time  
67 of 300 ns. The MALDI ions were generated using a 355-nm Nd:YAG laser pulsed at 200 Hz  
68 with an intensity of 4600 (arbitrary units). Each spectrum was obtained by the accumulation of

69 1200-2500 laser shots. For MS/MS analysis the mass spectrometer was externally calibrated, and  
70 the sample mixture was analyzed in the 750 - 3500 Da mass range. Fragmentation experiments  
71 were performed with and without a collision gas. Signals from 150 laser shots were averaged to  
72 increase the S/N ratio of each mass spectrum. Data Explorer (Applied Biosystems) was used for  
73 data processing (baseline correction, deisotoping, calibration and peak detection). Peptide mass  
74 lists were searched with the MASCOT server in a swiss-prot-based protein database including  
75 the mutant version of hTS.

76 In parallel, 1  $\mu$ L of the reaction mixture was diluted 1:30 with a solution of 0.5% formic acid in  
77 water/acetonitrile 98:2 and 3  $\mu$ L were injected onto an HPLC-chip ESI-QTOF. The mobile phase  
78 composition was as follows: (A) 0.1% formic acid in a water/acetonitrile 98:2 solution and (B)  
79 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile/water 98:2 solution. A chromatographic separation was  
80 performed at a flow rate of 400 nL/min with the following gradient: 3% B for 5 min, 3–30% B in  
81 15 min, 30–40% B in 5 min, 40–85% B in 3 min, 85% B for 2 min, 85-3% B in 5 min. The  
82 capillary voltage was set at 1600 V and the desolvating temperature was 350 °C. Nitrogen was  
83 used as a drying gas (flow rate = 6 L/min). The mass spectrometer operated in positive mode in  
84 the 200-3200 Da scan range. For MS/MS experiment up to three precursors were selected for  
85 each acquisition cycle. The precursor ion was automatically excluded for fragmentation if it was  
86 present in two consecutive spectra, or if it persisted for more than 0.12 min. An external  
87 calibration was performed based on the molecular weight of a multi-standard solution (ESI-L  
88 Low concentration tuning mixture - SUPELCO). During the acquisition, some of these masses  
89 were constantly monitored and used for a fine calibration of the instrument. The multiply  
90 charged ions deriving from the free protein and the protein-ligand complex were deconvoluted  
91 with available software (MassHunter – Agilent Technologies Inc.). The results of the trypsin

92 digestion are reported in Table S8. To investigate which of the three cysteines of the D186-R215  
93 peptide (Fig. 2 in the main text) were involved in the binding with the ligand, MS/MS  
94 experiments were performed. Unfortunately, the MS/MS spectra did not allow the identification  
95 of the corresponding fragment due to (i) the low abundance of the ligand bound-D186-R215  
96 peptide and (ii) ion suppression effects. However, molecular dynamics and x-ray crystallography  
97 highlighted that among the three cysteine residues, cysteine 202 was the one exposed to the  
98 solvent and thus available for tethering.

#### 99 **Mass spectrometric identification of tethered ligands.**

100 Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization (MALDI) - Time of Flight (TOF) (Voyager-Pro  
101 MALDI-TOF (Applied Biosystems) was chosen for a medium-throughput screening of the  
102 disulfide-compound library (A1-A55). The results obtained were validated using an Electron  
103 Spray Ionization Quadrupole - Time of Flight (ESI-QTOF) mass spectrometer (Agilent  
104 Technologies Inc.). Both platforms allowed us to exclude any ionization-related issue in the  
105 ligand selection process. The screening approach was an iterative selection of the best ligands in  
106 a competitive environment obtained by arranging the ligands in pools properly chosen in order to  
107 avoid ambiguous mass peak assignments. Successive screening steps were performed in which  
108 the selected ligands from the previous screening were subjected to further screening using  
109 differently constituted pool. For the disulfide-compound library screening up to 3 ligands were  
110 pooled and mixed into a hTS-C195S-Y202C solution in a buffer containing 1 mM 2-  
111 mercaptoethanol and 20 mM K<sup>+</sup> phosphate (pH 7.5). The reaction mixture was incubated at RT  
112 for 1 hour and then purified on a C4 ZipTip® (Millipore) to remove salts and unbound ligands.  
113 To identify the binding site of these molecules, the protein-ligand complexes underwent

114 enzymatic digestion in a classical bottom-up proteomic analysis using both ionization techniques  
115 for result evaluation.

116

1 **Appendix 2.**

2

3 **Synthetic chemistry for all compounds.**

4 Table of contents

5 General pg. 1

6 Synthesis of TPC pg. 3

7 Synthesis of C1-C5, C10, D5-D8, D11-D14 pg. 5

8 Synthesis of D9 and D10 pg. 13

9 Synthesis of C6-C9, C11, E1-E7 pg. 15

10 Synthesis of F1-F4 pg. 25

11 Synthesis of E5-(O2Oc)-CAM and E5-(O2Oc)-CAM-FITC pg. 31

12

13

14

15 **General.**

16 All commercial chemicals and solvents were reagent grade and were used without further

17 purification. The compound purchased from NCI and ChemBridge were used without purification.

18 Reaction progress was monitored by TLC on pre-coated silica gel 60 F254 plates (Merck) and

19 visualization was accomplished with UV light (254 nm). <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on

20 a Bruker DPX200 or a Bruker FT-NMR AVANCE 400 spectrometers. Chemical shifts are reported

21 as δ values (ppm) referenced to residual solvent (CHCl<sub>3</sub> at δ 7.26 ppm, DMSO at δ 2.50 ppm,

22 MeOD at δ 3.31 ppm, CD<sub>3</sub>OCD<sub>3</sub> at δ 2.05 ppm); *J* values were given in Hz. When peak

23 multiplicities are given the following abbreviations are used: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q,

24 quartet; m, multiplet; br, broadened signal. Two-dimensional NMR techniques (heteronuclear single

25 quantum coherence and heteronuclear multiple bond correlation) were used to aid the assignment of


26 signals in <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C spectra. Silica gel Merck (60-230 mesh) was used for column

27 chromatography. Purity of the compounds was assayed by means of TLC (Merck F-254 silica gel).  
28 Analysis on compound purity was determined through liquid chromatography UV/Vis using Jasco  
29 LC system equipped with a Jasco PU-2080 Plus pump, coupled with a Jasco PU-2075 Plus UV/Vis  
30 detector. LC separation was performed on an Agilent Poroshell 120 50 mm × 3.0 mm analytical  
31 column, packed with EC-C18 2.7 μM as stationary phase (Agilent Technologies, Milan, Italy). 10  
32 μL of a 100 μg/mL solution of compound in methanol was injected. A gradient was delivered at 0.2  
33 mL/min using (A) 0.1% FA in water and (B) ACN. Samples were eluted with 5% B (0.00–5.00  
34 min); 1–95% B (5.00–20.00 min); 95% B (20.00–300.00 min) and 5% B (30.00–40.00 min). The  
35 eluted was detected with UV detection at  $\lambda = 220$  nm. All the compounds showed a level of purity  
36 above 95%. MS spectra were recorded by means of a Q-TOF Accurate-Mass G6520AA (Agilent  
37 Technologies). Microanalyses were carried out in the Microanalysis Laboratory of the Department  
38 of Life Sciences, Modena University.

39

40

41 **Synthesis of TPC.**



42

43 **Appendix 2-figure 1. Synthesis of TPC.** (i) diethyl ether, NaOH 2M, RT, 4h; HCl conc.; (ii)  
 44 HOBT, EDAC, TEA, DCC.

45

46 **N-(4-toluenesulfonyl)-D-proline (3).** A solution of *p*-toluene sulfonyl chloride **1** (1.64 g, 8.6 moll)  
 47 in diethyl ether (16 mL) was added to a cold (0°C) solution of D-proline **(2)** (0.99 g, 8.6 moll) in  
 48 NaOH 2M (8.6 mL, 17.4 moll). The reaction was stirred 4h at room temperature (RT). The mixture  
 49 was treated with concentrated HCl until pH 2. The aqueous solution was then extracted with ethyl  
 50 acetate (6 x 10 mL), the organic layer was washed with brine (4 x 10 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and the  
 51 solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield a colorless glue. Yield 1.79 g, 77%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  
 52 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz) δ 2.12-1.72 (H-7 and H-8, m, 4H), 2.44 (H-1, s, 3H), 3.29 (H-9B, m, 1H), 3.50  
 53 (H-9A, m, 1H), 4.29 (H-6, dd, *J* 3.9, *J* 4.0, 1H), 7.33 (H-3, d, *J* 7.9, 2H), 7.77 (H-4, *J* 8.2, 2H).




54 **N-N'-[D-prolyl-N-(4-toluenesulfonyl)]-cistamine (TPC).** A solution of compound **3** (0.16 g, 0.6  
 55 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL), N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) (0.16 g, 0.8 mmol) were  
 56 added in succession and the solution was stirred at RT for 30 min; after cooling at 0°C, the

57 suspended cystamine·2HCl (**4**) (0.068 g, 0.3 mmol) in dichloromethane (8 mL) and TEA (0.083  
58 mL, 0.6 mmol) was added dropwise over 5 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT overnight,  
59 then filtered, and the solution was washed with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2 x 5 mL), NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (2 x 5 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (2  
60 x 5 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, the solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure  
61 to produce the product that was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/CHCl<sub>3</sub> 2:8). Yield g.  
62 0.087, 44% (oil); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 200 MHz) δ 1.58-1.97 (m, 8H), 2.25 (s, 6H), 2.62 (t, 4H, *j*  
63 5.90), 3.10 (m, 2H), 3.35 (m, 5H), 3.95 (m, 3H), 7.36 (m, 4H), 7.68 (m, 4H), 8.05 (t, 2H, *J* 5.90);  
64 <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 50 MHz) δ 20.9, 23.2, 30.0, 37.9, 39.2, 42.0, 62.9, 129.5, 129.9, 133.5, 144.7,  
65 174.3. ESI-HRMS calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>39</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S<sub>4</sub>: 655.1752 (M+H<sup>+</sup>), found 655.1723. Anal. Calc. for  
66 C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>38</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S<sub>4</sub>: C 51.35, H 5.85, N 8.56, found, C, 51.66, H, 5.90, N, 8.40.

67

68

69 Synthesis of compounds C1-C5, C10, D5-D8, D11-D14.



**C1** (from **H1b** and **J1**)  
**C2** (from **H2b** and **J1**)  
**C3** (from **H3b** and **J1**)  
**C4** (from **H4b** and **J1**)  
**C5** (from **H5b** and **J1**)  
**C10** (from **H6b** and **J1**)  
**D5** (from **H4b** and **J2**)  
**D6** (from **H3b** and **J2**)  
**D7** (from **H3b** and **J3**)  
**D8** (from **H3b** and **J4**)  
**D11** (from **H3b** and **J5**)  
**D12** (from **H3b** and **J6**)  
**D13** (from **H3b** and **J7**)  
**D14** (from **H3b** and **J8**)

71 **Appendix 2-figure 2. Synthesis of compounds C1-C5, C10, D5-D8, D11-D14 .** (i): TEA/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>  
72 (for **H1b, H2b**) or Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O (for **H2b, H3b, H5b, H6b**); (ii): K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/acetone for **C2-C5, D5-**  
73 **D8, D11-D14**) or TEA/THF (for **C1, C10**).

74

75 **Synthesis of 2-Bromo-N-(substituted-phenyl)acetamide derivatives (H1b, H6b). General**  
76 **procedure.** To a solution of o-chloroaniline (**H1a**) or 8-aminoquinoline (**H6a**) (10.0 mmol) and TEA  
77 (11.0 mmol) in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 mL), under stirring at 0°C under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, a solution of  
78 bromoacetyl bromide (10.0 mmol) in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) was added dropwise. The  
79 suspension thus obtained was stirred at RT for 1 hour, then it was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, washed with  
80 a saturated solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl; afterwards, the organic phase was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and the solvent  
81 removed under reduced pressure. The residue thus obtained was purified by means of column  
82 chromatography as described.

83 **2-Bromo-N-(2-chlorophenyl)acetamide (H1b):** Yield 2.49 g (96%), m.p. 83-85°C (column  
84 chromatography cyclohexane/EtOAc 17.5:2.5), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 200 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 9.90  
85 (1H, broad s), 7.70 (1H, dd, *J* 7.9, *J* 1.7), 7.50 (1H, dd, *J* 7.9, *J* 1.7), 7.35 (1H, dt, *J* 7.6, *J* 1.6), 7.25  
86 (1H, dt, *J* 7.7, *J* 1.7), 4.15 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 50 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 165.77, 135.00,  
87 130.05, 127.99, 127.25, 126.42, 30.13.

88 **2-Bromo-N-(quinolin-8-yl)acetamide (H6b):** Yield 2.40 g (91%), m.p. 93-96°C C (column  
89 chromatography cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:2), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 200 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 10.70 (1H,  
90 broad s), 8.90-8.60 (2H, m), 8.15 (1H, dd, *J* 8.3, *J* 1.7), 7.65-7.40 (3H, m), 4.10 (1H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR  
91 (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 50 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 148.54, 138.61, 136.31, 127.92, 127.15, 122.43, 121.74, 116.59,  
92 29.68.

93 **Synthesis of Bromo-N-(carboxy- or 4-carboxymethyl- phenyl)-acetamide derivatives (H2b,**  
94 **H3b, H5b). General procedure.** These compounds were synthesized according to the published  
95 procedure (64-65). To a solution of the appropriate aminobenzoic acid (**H2a** or **H3a** for the

96 synthesis of **H2b** and **H3b**, respectively) or p-aminophenylacetic acid (**H5a**) for the synthesis of  
97 (**H5b**) (19.87 mmol) and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (56.60 mmol) in water (60 mL) at 0°C under stirring, a solution  
98 of bromoacetyl bromide (27.81 mmol) in anhydrous CH<sub>3</sub>CN was added dropwise during 10 min.  
99 The suspension thus obtained was stirred at 0°C for another 10 min, then at RT for 10 min.  
100 Afterwards, the suspension was acidified (HCl 1 N, pH 1), and the precipitate thus obtained  
101 collected and washed with water. The products were then purified by trituration with anhydrous  
102 diethyl ether.

103 **Bromo-N-(3-carboxyphenyl)acetamide (H2b)**. Yield 1.20 g (43%), m.p. 213-216°C (dec.), <sup>1</sup>H-  
104 NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 200 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 10.51 (1H, broad s), 8.22 (1H, s), 7.79 (1H, m), 7.66 (1H,  
105 d, *J* 8.0), 7.45 (1H, t, *J* 7.6), 4.05 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 50 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 167.44,  
106 165.50, 139.27, 131.90, 129.61, 125.06, 123.79, 120.43, 30.71.

107 **Bromo-N-(4-carboxyphenyl)acetamide (H3b)**. Yield 82%, m.p. 223-226°C (dec.), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  
108 (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 200 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 10.65 (1H, broad s), 7.90 (2H, m), 7.70 (2H, m), 4.05 (2H, s);  
109 <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 50 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 167.26, 165.73, 143.02, 130.91, 126.23, 119.04, 30.72.

110 **Bromo-N-(4-carboxymethylphenyl)acetamide (H5b)**. Yield 86%, m.p. 130-132°C (dec.), <sup>1</sup>H-  
111 NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 12.50 (1H, broad s), 10.50 (1H, s), 7.68 (2H, m), 7.37 (2H,  
112 m), 4.19 (2H, s), 3.68 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 173.18, 165.15, 137.63,  
113 130.93, 130.22, 119.63, 40.60, 30.89.

114 **Bromo-N-(2-carboxyphenyl)acetamide (H4b)**. The compound was synthesized according to the  
115 procedure reported (66). To a solution of anthranilic acid (**H4a**) (1.50 g, 10.9 mmol) in anhydrous  
116 DMF (5 mL) and dioxane (5 mL), at -5°C under stirring under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere,  
117 bromoacetyl bromide (2.76 g, 13.67 mmol) was added dropwise. The suspension thus obtained was  
118 stirred at RTR for 12 hours, then ice (50 mL) was added to the reaction mixture; the solid thus  
119 obtained was collected and washed with water. Yield 2.52 g (89%), m.p. 163-166°C, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  
120 (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 200 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 11.55 (1H, broad s), 8.45 (1H, d, *J* 8.6), 8.00 (1H, d, *J* 7.8, *J* 1.2),

121 7.60 (1H, m), 7.20 (1H, m), 4.25 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 50 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 169.65,  
122 165.47, 140.42, 134.48, 131.57, 123.89, 120.51, 117.62, 31.10.

123 **2-(Substituted aryl- or heteroarylthio)-N-(substituted phenyl)acetamide derivatives C2-C5,**  
124 **D5-D8, D11-D14. General procedure.** To a suspension of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (3.0 eq.) in anhydrous acetone  
125 (20 mL) at RT under stirring in a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, the appropriate mercaptoderivative (1.0 eq.) was  
126 added, followed by the appropriate (carboxyphenyl)-amidomethyl bromides (1 eq.).The suspension  
127 was stirred at RT for 12 hours, then the mixture was cooled, acidified (HCl 1N, pH 1.0) and the solid  
128 thus obtained was collected by filtration and washed with water. The crude product was purified by  
129 crystallization or column chromatography as reported below.

130 **2-(4-Nitrophenylthio)-N-(3-carboxyphenyl)acetamide (C2):** Yield 0.20 g (26%), m.p. 250-252°C  
131 (column chromatography CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>: CH<sub>3</sub>OH 9:1), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 13.20  
132 (1H, broad s), 10.70 (1H, broad s), 8.37 (1H, s), 8.32 (2H, m), 7.93 (1H, m), 7.80 (1H, d, *J* 7.9),  
133 7.75 (2H, m), 7.60 (1H, m), 4.25 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 166.50,  
134 166.71, 147.27, 145.19, 139.40, 131.86, 129.61, 126.91, 124.90, 124.38, 123.75, 120.38, 36.45;  
135 ESI-HRMS calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 333.0545, found 333.0544. HPLC-UV/Vis *k* (retention  
136 time): 11.76. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S, C, 54.21, H, 3.64, N, 8.43; found, C, 54.43, H, 3.69, N,  
137 8.19.

138 **2-(4-Nitrophenylthio)-N-(4-carboxyphenyl)acetamide (C3):**Yield 0.28 g (36%), m.p. 234-236°C  
139 (column chromatography CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>: CH<sub>3</sub>OH 9:1), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 12.89  
140 (1H, broad s), 10.80 (1H, broad s), 8.31 (2H, m), 8.06 (2H, m), 7.83 (2H, m), 7.74 (2H, m), 4.28  
141 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 167.30, 166.97, 147.19, 145.21, 143.16,  
142 130.94, 126.92, 126.03, 124.38, 118.99, 36.56; ESI-HRMS calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>)  
143 333.0545, found 333.0526 HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 11.77. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S, C, 54.21, H, 3.64,  
144 N, 8.43; found, C, 54.13, H, 3.66, N, 8.55.

145 **2-(4-Nitrophenylthio)-N-(2-carboxyphenyl)acetamide (C4)**: Yield 0.60 (77%), m.p. 203-206°C  
146 (column chromatography CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>: CH<sub>3</sub>OH 9:1), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 13.79  
147 (1H, broad s), 11.83 (1H, broad s), 8.61 (1H, d, *J* 8.2), 8.30 (2H, m), 8.10 (1H, dd, *J* 7.9, *J* 1.2),  
148 7.76-7.70 (3H, m), 7.32 (1H, m), 4.40 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 169.61,  
149 166.88, 146.47, 145.35, 140.52, 134.50, 131.57, 126.97, 124.47, 123.73, 120.63, 117.49, 36.85;  
150 ESI-HRMS calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 333.0545, found 333.0548. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 12.71.  
151 Anal. Calc. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S, C, 54.21, H, 3.64, N, 8.43; found, C, 54.33, H, 3.45, N, 8.55.

152 **2-(4-Nitrophenylthio)-N-(4-carboxymethylphenyl)acetamide (C5)**. Yield 64%, m.p. 142-144°C  
153 (column chromatography CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH 9:1), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 12.20  
154 (1H, broad s), 10.30 (1H, s), 8.18 (2H, m), 7.60 (2H, m), 7.55 (2H, m), 7.20 (2H, m), 4.09 (2H, s),  
155 3.50 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 173.22, 166.29, 147.42, 145.16, 137.70,  
156 130.82, 130.21, 126.88, 124.36, 119.62, 49.06, 36.45. ESI-HRMS calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>)  
157 347.0702, found 347.0709. . HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 11.59. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S, C, 55.48, H,  
158 4.07, N, 8.09; found, C, 55.33, H, 3.95, N, 8.44.

159 **2-(4-Carboxyphenylthio)-N-(2-carboxyphenyl)acetamide (D5)**. Yield 53%, m.p. 244-245°C  
160 (trituration with Et<sub>2</sub>O), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 200 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 13.26 (1 H, broad s), 11.72 (1 H,  
161 s), 8.48 (1 H, d, *J* 8.2), 7.96 (2 H, m), 7.85 (2 H, m), 7.58 (2H, m), 7.43 (2 H, m), 7.15 (1 H, t, *J*  
162 8.2); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 50 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 169.56, 167.31, 167.28, 142.20, 140.60, 134.45,  
163 131.54, 130.32, 128.40, 126.85, 123.58, 120.48, 117.35, 37.23. ESI-HRMS calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>S  
164 (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 332.0593, found 332.0590. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 11.01. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>S, C, 58.00,  
165 H, 3.95, N, 4.23; found, C, 58.33, H, 3.85, N, 4.55.

166 **2-(4-Carboxyphenylthio)-N-(4-carboxyphenyl)acetamide (D6)**. Yield 50%, m.p. 294-296°C  
167 (DMF/H<sub>2</sub>O), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 12.80 (2H, broad s), 10.60 (1H, s), 7.88  
168 (4H, m), 7.68 (2H, m), 7.48 (2H, m), 4.05 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm):  
169 167.37, 167.31, 143.21, 142.96, 130.94, 130.24, 128.08, 127.75, 125.91, 118.93, 36.77. ESI-HRMS

170 calc. for  $C_{16}H_{14}NO_5S$  ( $M+H^+$ ) 332.0593, found 332.0578. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 10.06. Anal. Calc. for  
171  $C_{16}H_{13}NO_5S$ : C 58.00, H 3.95, N 4.23, found, C, 57.96, H, 3.68, N, 4.71.

172 **2-(Benzoxazol-2-ylthio)-N-(4-carboxyphenyl)acetamide (D7)**. Yield 77%, m.p. 229-230°C  
173 (CH<sub>3</sub>OH), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 12.70 (1H, broad s), 10.70 (1H, s), 7.91 (2H,  
174 m), 7.75-7.55 (4H, m), 7.32 (2H, m), 4.40 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm):  
175 167.28, 165.99, 164.22, 151.81, 143.13, 141.67, 130.94, 126.04, 125.12, 124.81, 118.97, 118.71,  
176 110.67, 37.28. ESI-HRMS calc. for  $C_{16}H_{13}N_2O_4S$  ( $M+H^+$ ) 329.0596, found 329.0592; HPLC-  
177 UV/Vis *k*: 11.83. Anal. Calc. for  $C_{16}H_{12}N_2O_4S$ : C 58.53, H 3.68, N 8.53, found, C, 58.87, H, 3.44,  
178 N, 8.47.

179 **2-(Benzothiazol-2ylthio)-N-(4-carboxyphenyl)acetamide (D8)**. Yield 75%, m.p. 220-222°C  
180 (CH<sub>3</sub>OH), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 12.78 (1H, broad s), 10.81 (1H, s), 8.03 (1H,  
181 d, *J* 8.0, *J* 0.6), 7.93 (2H, m), 7.83 (1H, d, *J* 8.0), 7.73 (2H, m), 7.47 (1H, dt, *J* 7.4, *J* 1.2), 7.37 (1H,  
182 dt, *J* 8.2, *J* 1.1), 4.44 (2H,s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 167.31, 166.41, 166.27,  
183 152.97, 143.21, 135.26, 130.97, 126.89, 126.00, 125.03, 122.37, 121.56, 118.95, 38.27. ESI-HRMS  
184 calc. for  $C_{16}H_{13}N_2O_3S_2$  ( $M+H^+$ ) 345.0368, found 345.0366. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 12.31. Anal. Calc. for  
185  $C_{16}H_{12}N_2O_3S_2$ , C, 55.80, H, 3.51, N, 8.13; found, C, 55.53, H, 3.45, N, 8.38.

186 **2-(2-Carboxyphenylthio)-N-(4-carboxyphenyl)acetamide (D11)**. Yield 59%, m.p. 265-266°C  
187 (DMF/H<sub>2</sub>O), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 11.05 (2H, broad s), 9.10 (1H, s), 6.75  
188 (3H, m), 6.56 (2H, m), 6.42 (2H, m), 6.15 (1H, m) 4.01 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ)  
189 (ppm): 167.89, 167.87, 167.30, 143.31, 140.83, 132.90, 131.42, 130.89, 128.41, 126.21, 125.90,  
190 124.78, 118.93, 37.18. ESI-HRMS calc. for  $C_{16}H_{14}NO_5S$  ( $M+H^+$ ) 332.0593, found 332.0581.  
191 HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 10.09. Anal. Calc. for  $C_{16}H_{13}NO_5S$ : C 58.00, H 3.95, N 4.23, found, C, 58.19, H,  
192 3.85, N, 4.58.

193 **2-(Pyrimidin-2ylthio)-N-(4-carboxyphenyl)acetamide (D12)**. Yield 72%, m.p. 208-210 °C  
194 (CH<sub>3</sub>OH/Et<sub>2</sub>O), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 200 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 12.60 (1H, broad s), 10.51 (1H, s), 8.64  
195 (2H, d, *J* 9.2), 7.88 (2H, m), 7.68 (2H, m), 7.23 (1H, t, *J* 9.2), 4.10 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>,

196 50 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 167.31, 167.15, 158.25, 143.43, 130.87, 125.75, 118.86, 117.91, 36.00. ESI-  
197 HRMS calc. for  $C_{13}H_{12}N_3O_3S$  ( $M+H^+$ ) 290.0599, found 290.0594. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 9.04. Anal.  
198 Calc. for  $C_{13}H_{11}N_3O_3S$ , C, 53.97, H, 3.83, N, 14.52; found, C, 54.13, H, 3.85, N, 14.55.

199 **2-(4-Acetylaminothio)-N-(4-carboxyphenyl)acetamide (D13)**. Yield 81%, m.p. 262-  
200 264°C (CH<sub>3</sub>OH), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 12.71 (1H, broad s), 10.40 (1H, s),  
201 9.90 (1H, s), 7.88 (2H, m), 7.66 (2H, m), 7.53 (2H, m), 7.35 (2H, m), 3.80 (2H, s), 2.00 (3H, s);  
202 <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 168.75, 167.97, 167.29, 143.31, 138.75, 130.94,  
203 130.86, 129.00, 125.85, 120.02, 118.92, 39.38, 36.00. ESI-HRMS calc. for  $C_{17}H_{17}N_2O_4S$  ( $M+H^+$ )  
204 345.0909, found 345.0907. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 9.68. Anal. Calc. for  $C_{17}H_{16}N_2O_4S$ , C, 59.29, H, 4.68,  
205 N, 8.13; found, C, 59.55, H, 4.45, N, 8.35.

206 **2-(5-Sulfamoylpyridin-2-ylthio)-N-(2-carboxyphenyl)acetamide (D14)**. Yield 72%, m.p. 268-  
207 270°C (CH<sub>3</sub>OH/Et<sub>2</sub>O), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 200 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 12.65 (1H, s), 10.60 (1H, s),  
208 8.78 (1 H, d, *J* 1.4), 7.95 (1 H, dd, *J* 1.4, *J* 8.6), 7.88 (2 H, m), 7.69 (2 H, m), 7.60 (1 H, d, *J* 8.6),  
209 7.49 (2 H, s), 4.23 (2 H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 50 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 167.30, 167.08, 162.49,  
210 146.57, 143.37, 136.89, 134.29, 130.89, 125.83, 121.92, 118.89, 40.05. ESI-HRMS calc. for  
211  $C_{14}H_{14}N_3O_5S_2$  ( $M+H^+$ ) 368.0375, found 368.0368. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 9.29. Anal. Calc. for  
212  $C_{14}H_{13}N_3O_5S_2$ , C, 45.77, H, 3.57, N, 11.44; found, C, 45.50, H, 3.45, N, 11.57.

213 **2-(4-Nitrophenylthio)-N-(substituted)acetamide derivatives (C1) and (C10). General**  
214 **procedure.** To a solution of (**H1b**) or (**H6b**) respectively (2.0 mmol) and TEA (3.0 mmol) in  
215 anhydrous THF (30 mL), 4-nitrothiophenol (**J1**) (2.0 mmol) in anhydrous THF (20 mL) was added  
216 under stirring at RT under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, and the solution thus obtained was stirred for 2 hours;  
217 at the end of the reaction, the solution was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, washed with 1N HCl (20 mL); the  
218 organic phase was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The residue  
219 thus obtained was purified by means of column chromatography (cyclohexane:EtOAc 8:2 then  
220 100% EtOAc).


221 **2-(4-Nitrophenylthio)-N-(2-chlorophenyl)acetamide (C1)**: Yield 0.44g (67%), m.p. 144-146°C,  
222 <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 10.10 (1H, broad s), 8.32 (2H, m), 7.87 (1H, d, *J* 7.7),  
223 7.76 (2H, m), 7.65 (1H, d, *J* 8.1), 7.47 (1H, m), 7.35 (1H, m), 4.40 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>,  
224 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 166.9, 147.15, 145.25, 134.89, 130.02, 128.00, 127.08, 126.99, 126.77,  
225 126.29, 124.37, 35.86; ESI-HRMS calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>12</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 323.0257, found 323.0261;  
226 HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 13.87. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>11</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S, C, 52.10, H, 3.44, N, 8.68; found C,  
227 52.33, H, 3.22, N, 8.80 .

228 **2-(4-Nitrophenylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)acetamide (C10)**: Yield 0.30 g (39%), m.p. 160-161°C,  
229 <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 10.90 (1H, broad s), 9.08 (1H, dd, *J* 4.1, *J* 1.6) 8.75  
230 (1H, dd, *J* 7.7, *J* 0.9), 8.56 (1H, dd, *J* 8.4, *J* 1.6), 8.30 (2H, m), 7.87-7.77 (4H, m), 7.73 (1H, m),  
231 4.55 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 166.99, 149.50, 146.85, 145.33, 138.59,  
232 137.11, 134.56, 128.33, 127.40, 127.13, 124.43, 122.87, 122.73, 117.18, 35.59; ESI-HRMS calcd  
233 for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 340.0756, found 340.0754. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 14.37. Anal. Calc. for  
234 C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S, C, 60.17, H, 3.86, N, 12.38; found, C 60.57, H, 3.60, N, 12.20.

235

236

237 **Synthesis of compounds D9 and D10.**



238

239

240 **Appendix 2-figure 3. Synthesis of D9 and D10.** (i): H<sub>2</sub>/Pd:C, CH<sub>3</sub>OH.

241 **General procedure.** The compounds were obtained by means of catalytic reduction of the  
242 corresponding nitroderivatives (C3) and (C4), respectively. A solution of C3 and C4 (1.77 mmol)  
243 in CH<sub>3</sub>OH (100 mL) was hydrogenated on Pd/C 5% (0.26 g) at 2 atm for 6 hours. At the end of the  
244 reaction, the catalyst was filtered and the solvent removed under reduced pressure.

245 **2-(4-Aminophenylthio)-N-(4-carboxyphenyl)acetamide (D9).** Yield 68%, m.p. 238-240°C (dec.)  
246 (CH<sub>3</sub>OH/EtOAc), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 10.93 (1H, s), 7.88 (2H, m), 7.68  
247 (2H, m), 7.46 (2H, m), 7.23 (2H, m), 3.90 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm):  
248 167.78, 167.32, 143.40, 134.38, 133.01, 132.39, 130.84, 130.16, 125.82, 123.29, 118.93, 112.81,  
249 38.17. ESI-HRMS calc. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 303.0803, found 303.0808. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*:  
250 8.36. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S, C, 59.59, H, 4.67, N, 9.27; found, C, 59.77, H, 4.60, N, 9.08.


251 **2-(4-Aminophenylthio)-N-(2-carboxyphenyl)acetamide (D10).** Yield 0587 g (90%), m.p. 154-  
252 155°C, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 200 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 11.88 (1H, s), 8.50 (1H, d, J 8.4), 7.93 (1H, d, J  
253 7.7), 7.55 (1H, t, J 7.9), 7.15 (3H, m), 6.50 (2H, m), 3.80 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 50 MHz)  
254 (δ) (ppm): 169.66, 168.39, 148.73, 140.95, 134.46, 133.83, 131.57, 123.25, 120.11, 118.68, 116.94,

255 115.26, 42.25. ESI-HRMS calc. for  $C_{15}H_{15}N_2O_3S$  ( $M+H^+$ ) 303.0803, found 303.0801. HPLC-  
256 UV/Vis  $k$ : 9.46. Anal. Calc. for  $C_{15}H_{14}N_2O_3S$ , C, 59.59, H, 4.67, N, 9.27; found, C, 59.80, H, 4.66,  
257 N, 9.55.

258

259

260 **Synthesis of compounds C6-C9, C11, E1-E7.**



**C6** (from **K1d** and **L6**)  
**C7** (from **K1d** and **L7**)  
**C8** (from **K1d** and **L4**)  
**C9** (from **K1d** and **L8**)  
**C11** (from **K1d** and **L5**)  
**E1** (from **K1a** and **J2**)  
**E2** (from **K1b** and **J2**)  
**E3** (from **K1c** and **J2**)  
**E4** (from **K1c** and **L1**)  
**E5** (from **K1c** and **L2**)  
**E6** (from **K1c** and **L3**)  
**E7** (from **K1c** and **L4**)

261

262 **Appendix 2-figure 4. Synthesis of compounds C6-C9 and C11, E1-E7.** (i):  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3/\text{acetone}$ . In

263 the case of **K1d**, methylbromoacetate was used instead of ethylbromoacetate ; (ii):  $\text{NaOH}/\text{EtOH}$ ;

264 (iii):  $(\text{COCl})_2/\text{THF}$  then TEA (for **E1-E6, C6, C7, C9, C11**) or WSC/DMF (for **C8, E7**).

265

266 **Synthesis of methyl- or ethyl-2-[(4-nitrophenylthio)]acetate derivatives (K1a-K1d esters).**

267 **General procedure.** To a suspension of 4-nitrothiophenol (3.87 mmol) and  $K_2CO_3$  (5.79 mmol) in  
268 anhydrous acetone (20 mL) under  $N_2$  atmosphere under stirring at RT, the appropriate bromoacetate  
269 (**K1a-K1d**) (4.25 mmol) was added dropwise, and the suspension was stirred for 1 hour; in the case  
270 of (**K1b**), the reaction time was 12 hours. At the end of the reaction, water was added (40 mL) and  
271 the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3x10 mL); the organic phase was dried ( $Na_2SO_4$ ) and the  
272 solvent removed under reduced pressure.

273 **Ethyl-[2-(4-nitrophenylthio)]propionate (K1a ester).** Yield 90%, oil (column chromatography  
274 cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:2),  $^1H$ -NMR ( $CDCl_3$ , 400 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 8.16 (2H, m), 7.52 (2H, m), 4.20  
275 (2H, q, J 7.2), 4.05 (1H, q, J 7.2), 1.60 (3H, d, J 7.2), 1.25 (3H, t, J 7.2);  $^{13}C$ -NMR ( $CDCl_3$ , 100  
276 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 171.82, 146.08, 144.61, 128.94, 126.43, 124.45, 123.93, 61.99, 43.73, 17.26,  
277 14.21.

278 **Ethyl-[2-methyl(4-nitrophenylthio)]propionate (K1b ester).** Yield 92%, oil (column  
279 chromatography cyclohexane/EtOAc 7.5:2.5),  $^1H$ -NMR ( $CDCl_3$ , 400 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 8.15 (2H,  
280 m), 7.60 (2H, m), 4.15 (2H, q, J 7.2), 1.60 (6H, s), 1.28 (3H, t, J 7.2);  $^{13}C$ -NMR ( $CDCl_3$ , 100 MHz)  
281 ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 173.46, 147.71, 141.41, 135.16, 123.53, 61.63, 51.54, 26.08, 14.08.

282 **Ethyl-[2-phenyl(4-nitrophenylthio)]acetate (K1c ester).** Yield 77%, oil,  $^1H$ -NMR ( $CDCl_3$ , 400  
283 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 8.11 (2H, m), 7.54 (2H, dd, J 8.0, J 2.0), 7.40 (5H, m), 4.20 (3H, m), 1.33 (3H, t, J  
284 7.2);  $^{13}C$ -NMR ( $CDCl_3$ , 100 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 169.50, 146.18, 144.57, 134.33, 129.07, 128.95,  
285 128.91, 128.44, 123.98, 62.37, 54.61, 14.04.

286 **Methyl-2-[(4-nitrophenylthio)]acetate (K1d ester).** Yield 82%, m.p. 47-49°C,  $^1H$ -NMR ( $DMSO$ -  
287  $d_6$ , 200 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 8.15 (2H, m), 7.50 (2H, m), 4.15 (2H, s), 3.65 (3H, s);  $^{13}C$ -NMR ( $DMSO$ -  
288  $d_6$ , 50 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 169.53, 146.46, 145.30, 135.23, 126.90, 124.39, 124.34, 52.97, 33.58.

289 **2-[(4-Nitrophenylthio)] acetic acid derivatives (K1a-K1d acids). General procedure.** To a  
290 solution of the appropriate ester (**K1a-K1d esters**) (6.87 mmol) in ethanol (43 ml) aqueous NaOH 2  
291 M (10.31 mmol) was added and the solution thus obtained was stirred elm at RT under  $N_2$  for 1h,

292 then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue thus obtained was dissolved in  
293 EtOAc, and the organic phase was washed with HCl 0.5N; then the organic phase was dried  
294 ( $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ) and the solvent removed under reduced pressure; the product was then purified as  
295 reported below.

296 **[2-(4-Nitrophenylthio)]propionic acid (K1a acid)**. Yield 70%, m.p. 110-111°C (trituration with  
297 isopropyl ether),  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  ( $\text{DMSO-d}_6$ , 400 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 8.16 (2H, m), 7.52 (2H, m), 4.05 (1H,  
298 q, J 7.2), 1.60 (3H, d, J 7.2);  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  ( $\text{DMSO-d}_6$ , 100 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 171.82, 146.08, 144.61,  
299 128.94, 126.43, 124.45, 123.93, 43.73, 17.26.

300 **[2-Methyl-(4-nitrophenylthio)]propionic acid (K1b acid)**. Yield 59%, m.p. 105-107°C  
301 (trituration with diethyl ether),  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 8.15 (2H, m), 7.60 (2H, m),  
302 1.60 (6H, s);  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 173.46, 147.71, 141.41, 135.16, 123.53,  
303 26.08.

304 **[2-Phenyl(4-nitrophenylthio)]acetic acid (K1c acid)**. Yield 66%, m.p. 126-128°C (trituration with  
305 isopropyl ether),  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  ( $\text{DMSO-d}_6$ , 400 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 8.27 (2H, m), 7.74-7.66 (4H, m), 7.55-  
306 7.45 (3H, m), 5.79 1H, s);  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  ( $\text{DMSO-d}_6$ , 100 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 171.08, 145.73, 145.59,  
307 135.91, 129.28, 128.87, 128.24, 124.35, 53.22.

308 **[(4-Nitrophenyl)thio]acetic acid (K1d acid)**. Yield 68%, m.p. 140-142°C (acetone/petrol ether  
309 b.p. 40-60°C),  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  ( $\text{DMSO-d}_6$ , 200 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 12.90 (1H, broad s), 8.15 (2H, m), 7.95  
310 (2H, m), 4.0 (2H, s);  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  ( $\text{DMSO-d}_6$ , 50 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 170.29, 147.07, 145.12, 126.71,  
311 124.30, 34.10.

312 **2-(4-Nitrophenylthio)-N-(aryl- or heteroaryl)-acetamide derivatives C6, C7, C9, C11, E1-E6:**

313 **General procedure.** To a solution of the appropriate carboxylic acid (**K1a-K1d acids**) (4.69 mmol)  
314 in anhydrous THF (17 mL) and anhydrous DMF (0.033 mL) at 0°C under elm stirring in a  $\text{N}_2$   
315 atmosphere, oxalylchloride (7.18 mmol) was added dropwise, then the mixture was stirred for 1  
316 hour at RT. At the end of the reaction, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and  
317 coevaporated with anhydrous  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  three times. The oily material was used in the next step

318 without purification. The solution of the acyl chloride thus obtained in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) was added  
319 dropwise to the solution of the appropriate amine derivative (1.2 eq) in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL),  
320 TEA (1.2 eq. in the case of **(E5)** and **(E6)**, in the other cases 2.2 eq.) and anhydrous DMF (2-4 mL)  
321 at 0°C under stirring in a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. After 12 hours, solvents were evaporated under reduced  
322 pressure, water was added to the residue, pH was adjusted to 1.00 (HCl 1N) and the precipitate thus  
323 obtained was collected and washed with water. The crude product thus obtained was purified as  
324 reported below.

325 **2-(4-Nitrophenylthio)-N-(indol-6-yl)acetamide (C6)**: Yield 0.167 g (40%), m.p. 192-194°C  
326 (column chromatography (cyclohexane:EtOAc 4:6), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm):  
327 11.00 (1H, broad s), 10.25 (1H, broad s), 8.18 (2H, m), 7.94 (1H, s), 7.63 (2H, m), 7.46 (1H, d, J  
328 8.3), 7.27 (1H, m), 7.03 (1H, dd, J 8.6, J 1.7), 6.36 (1H, s), 4.10 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100  
329 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 165.82, 147.63, 145.13, 136.28, 133.27, 126.90, 125.64, 124.65, 124.35, 120.38,  
330 112.64, 102.76, 101.42, 36.60; ESI-HRMS calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 328.0756, found  
331 328.0740. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 12.82. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S, C, 58.70, H, 4.00, N, 12.84;  
332 found, C, 58.77, H, 4.11, N, 12.89.

333 **2-(4-Nitrophenylthio)-N-(acetylaminosulfonylphen-4-yl)acetamide (C7)**: The reaction was  
334 carried out as described in the general procedure, using a suspension of sodium sulfacetamide (0.54  
335 g, 2.30 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (6 mL) and TEA (0.26 g, 2.53 mmol). Yield 0.37 g (39%), m.p.  
336 227-228°C (methanol), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 12.10 (1H, broad s), 10.90 (1H,  
337 broad s), 8.31 (2H, m), 8.15 (2H, m), 7.93 (2H, m), 7.74 (2H, m), 4.30 (2H, s), 2.05 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-  
338 NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 169.13, 167.24, 147.06, 145.25, 143.64, 133.91, 129.45,  
339 126.96, 124.39, 119.24, 36.53, 23.65; ESI-HRMS calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 410.0480, found  
340 410.0479. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 11.74. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, C, 46.94, H, 3.69, N, 10.26;  
341 found, C, 46.66, H, 3.80, N, 10.33.

342 **2-(4-Nitrophenylthio)-N-(2-chlorobenzyl)acetamide (C9)**: Yield 0.40 g (85%), m.p. 122-123°C  
343 (column chromatography cyclohexane:EtOAc 8:2)., <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm):  
344 8.90 (1H, t, J 5.5), 8.28 (2H, m), 7.72-7.70 (2H, m), 7.57 (1H, m), 7.43-7.41 (3H, m), 4.50 (2H, d, J  
345 5.5), 4.11 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 167.80, 147.41, 145.15, 136.30,  
346 132.62, 129.62, 129.46, 129.26, 127.56, 126.99, 124.28, 40.97, 35.27; ESI-HRMS calcd for  
347 C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>14</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 337.0413, found 337.0403. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 13.33. Anal. Calc. for  
348 C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>13</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S, C, 53.49, H, 3.89, N, 8.32; found, C, 53.66, H, 3.96, N, 8.20.

349 **2-(4-Nitrophenylthio)-N-(3-carboxypyridin-2-yl)acetamide (C11)**. Yield 21%, m.p. 194-196°C  
350 (H<sub>2</sub>O), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 11.11 (1H, s), 8.53 (1H, dd, J 7.1, J 1.9), 8.22  
351 (1H, dd, J 8.2, J 2.3), 8.15 (2H, m), 7.56 (2H, m), 7.28 (1H, dd, J 8.2, J 7.1), 4.30 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR  
352 (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 167.92, 167.31, 151.56, 150.12, 147.26, 147.00, 140.26, 126.97,  
353 126.73, 124.31, 120.29, 36.86. ESI-HRMS calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 334.0498, found  
354 334.0490. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 9.05. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S, C, 50.45, H, 3.33, N, 12.61; found,  
355 C, 50.33, H, 3.45, N, 12.85.

356 **2-(4-Nitrophenylthio)-N-(4-carboxyphenyl)propionamide (E1)**. Yield 74%, m.p. 232-234°C  
357 (trituration with diethyl ether), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 12.80 (1H, broad s),  
358 10.66 (1H, s), 8.18 (2H, m), 7.92 (2H, m), 7.70 (2H, m), 7.61 (2H, m), 4.43 1H, q, J 6.9), 1.58 (3H,  
359 d, J 6.9); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 170.07, 167.29, 145.78, 145.32, 143.06,  
360 130.90, 128.82, 126.15, 124.52, 119.22, 45.58, 18.33. ESI-HRMS calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>)  
361 347.0702, found 347.0701. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 12.31. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S, C, 55.48, H,  
362 4.07, N, 8.09; found, C, 55.23, H, 3.95, N, 8.55.

363 **2-Methyl-2-(4-nitrophenylthio)-N-(4-carboxyphenyl)propionamide (E2)**. Yield 20%, m.p. 215-  
364 217°C (column chromatography CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH 9.5:0.5), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ)  
365 (ppm): 12.80 (1H, broad s), 10.11 (1H, s), 18.18 (2H, m), 7.90 (2H, m), 7.75 (2H, m), 7.5r8 (2H,  
366 m), 1.70 (6H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 170.28, 167.00, 148.20, 144.10,  
367 143.21, 130.42, 130.15, 126.52, 120.44, 120.00, 50.42, 26.51. ESI-HRMS calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S

368 (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 361.0858, found 361.0844. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 13.02. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S, C,  
369 56.66, H, 4.47, N, 7.77; found, C, 56.39, H, 4.45, N, 8.00.

370 **2-Phenyl-2-(4-nitrophenylthio)-N-(4-carboxyphenyl)carboxylic acid (E3)**. Yield 40%, m.p.  
371 219-221°C (column chromatography CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH 9.5:0.5), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ)  
372 (ppm): 12.80 (1H, broad s), 8.18 (2H, m), 7.90 (2H, m), 7.69 (2H, m) 7.64 (2H, m), 7.55 (2H, m),  
373 7.40 (2H, m), 7.35 (1H, m), 5.75 (1H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 167.63,  
374 167.23, 145.72, 145.66, 142.80, 136.15, 130.93, 129.34, 128.99, 128.74, 128.13, 126.41, 124.60,  
375 119.30, 54.98. ESI-HRMS calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 409.0858, found 409.0867. HPLC-  
376 UV/Vis *k*: 13.63. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S, C, 61.76, H, 3.95, N, 6.86; found, C, 61.70, H, 3.70,  
377 N, 6.95.

378 **2-Phenyl-2-(4-nitrophenylthio)-N-(3-hydroxy-4-carboxyphenyl)acetamide (E4)**. Yield 23%,  
379 m.p. 218-220°C (column chromatography CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH 8.5:1.5), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400  
380 MHz) (δ) (ppm) 15.80 (1H, broad s), 10.58 (1H, s), 8.18 (2H, s), 7.63 (3H, m), 7.52 (2H, m), 7.44-  
381 7.30 (3H, m), 6.99 (1H, d, J 1.9), 6.82 (1H, dd, J 8.4, J 1.9), 5.71 (1H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100  
382 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 167.07, 163.59, 145.2, 145.62, 141.97, 136.47, 131.02, 129.28, 128.88, 128.69,  
383 127.99, 124.57, 116.23, 108.31, 106.70, 54.96. ESI-HRMS calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>)  
384 425.0807, found 425.0793. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 13.89. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S, C, 59.43, H,  
385 3.80, N, 6.60; found, C, 59.59, H, 3.95, N, 6.55.

386 **2-Phenyl-2-(4-nitrophenylthio)-N-(4-carboxamidophenyl)acetamide (E5)**. Yield 59%, m.p. 228-  
387 230°C, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 10.90 (1H, s), 8.31 (2H, m), 8.00 (3H, m), 7.80  
388 (4H, m), 7.70 (2H, m), 7.60-7.45 (2H, m), 7.40 (2H, s), 5.81 (1H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100  
389 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 167.64, 167.43, 145.70, 141.34, 136.20, 130.08, 129.34, 128.95, 128.73, 128.11,  
390 124.61, 119.10, 55.04. ESI-HRMS calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 408.1018, found 408.1038.  
391 HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 12.73. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S, C, 61.90, H, 4.21, N, 10.31; found, C, 61.56,  
392 H, 3.99, N, 10.50.

393 **2-(4-Nitrophenylthio)-N-(3-carbomethoxypyridin-6-yl)acetamide (E6).** Methyl (6-  
394 amino)nicotinate (**L3**), starting material for the synthesis of (**E6**), was obtained via esterification of  
395 6-amino-nicotinic acid in MeOH saturated with HCl<sub>g</sub>, prepared in situ adding HCl<sub>g</sub> to a  
396 suspension of the nicotinic acid (0.730 g, 5.29 mmol) in MeOH (50 ml) at 0°C. Then the reaction  
397 mixture was refluxed at 100°C under stirring in a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere for 3 hours. The solvent was  
398 removed under reduced pressure and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was added up to pH=9. A white powder was  
399 collected. Yield 87%. Compound **E6** was thus obtained following the general procedure described  
400 above. Yield 65%, m.p. 138-140°C (EtOH), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 11.64 (1H,  
401 s), 8.90 (1H, s), 8.29 (1H, dd, J 8.7, J 2.3), 8.15 (3H, m), 7.63 (2H, m), 7.55 (2H, m), 7.45-7.35 (3H,  
402 m), 5.90 (1H, s) 3.94 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 168.60, 165.16, 154.97,  
403 150.07, 145.78, 145.38, 140.10, 135.87, 129.42, 129.11, 128.77, 128.12, 124.65, 122.07, 113.26,  
404 54.32, 52.65. ESI-HRMS calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 424.0967, found 424.0964. HPLC-UV/Vis  
405 *k*: 15.00. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S, C, 59.57, H, 4.05, N, 9.92; found, C, 59.66, H, 3.98, N,  
406 9.75.

407 **2-(4-Nitrophenylthio)- and phenyl-2-(4-nitrophenylthio)-N-(6-methanesulfonylbenzothiazol-2-**  
408 **yl)acetamide (C8) and (E7). General procedure.** To a solution of (**K1d acid**) and (**K1c acid**)  
409 respectively (0.50 g, 2.35 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (10 mL) under stirring at RT in a N<sub>2</sub>  
410 atmosphere, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide (WSC) (0.45 g, 2.35 mmol), 2-amino-  
411 6-methanesulphonylbenzothiazole (**L4**) (0.54 g, 2.35 mmol) and HOBt (0.32 g, 2.35 mmol) were  
412 added in sequence. After 12 hours at RT the mixture was treated with water (2 x 10 mL) and the  
413 residue thus obtained was purified by trituration with EtOAc.

414 **2-(4-Nitrophenylthio)-N-(6-methanesulfonylbenzothiazol-2-yl)acetamide (C8):** Yield 35%, m.p.  
415 217-218°C (ethyl acetate). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 13.00 (1H, broad s), 8.64  
416 (1H, s), 8.18 (2H, m), 7.97 (2H, s), 7.61 (2H, m), 4.30 (2H, s), 3.22 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>,  
417 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 168.48, 162.22, 152.43, 146.42, 145.41, 136.09, 132.48, 127.12, 125.39,  
418 124.45, 122.65, 121.49, 44.48, 35.33. ESI-HRMS calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 424.0096, found


419 424.0096. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 12.44. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, C, 45.38, H, 3.09, N, 9.92;  
420 found, C, 45.65, H, 3.25, N, 9.75.

421 **Phenyl[2-(4-nitrophenylthio)-N-(6-methanesulfonylbenzothiazol-2-yl)]acetamide (E7)**: Yield  
422 18%, m.p. 95°C (dec.) (column chromatography CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:CH<sub>3</sub>OH 9.5:0.5, then trituration with  
423 diisopropyl ether). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 200 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 13.30 (1H, broad s), 8.66 (1H, s),  
424 8.12 (2H, m), 7.95 (2H, s), 7.70-7.30 (7H, m), 5.83 (1H, s), 3.20 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 50  
425 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 174.01, 168.10, 152.09, 146.03, 136.30, 134.99, 129.52, 129.35, 128.93, 128.67,  
426 125.44, 124.67, 122.72, 121.62, 53.98, 44.46. ESI-HRMS calc. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (M+H<sup>+</sup>)  
427 500.0409, found 500.0404. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 14.16. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, C, 52.89, H,  
428 3.43, N, 8.41; found, C, 52.65, H, 3.35, N, 8.65.

429

430

431 **Synthesis of compounds D1-D4.**



432

433

434 **Appendix 2-figure 5. Synthesis of compounds D1-D4.** (i): TEA/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>

435

436 **General procedure.** To a solution of aminobenzoic acid (**M1**) or (**M2**) (3.56 mmol) and TEA (6.52  
 437 mmol) in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) was added, during 10 min, a solution of the opportune acyl  
 438 chloride (**N1**)-(N3) (2.96 mmol) in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (6 mL) under stirring elm at 0°C in a N<sub>2</sub>  
 439 atmosphere. The solution was stirred for 12 hours at RT, then the solvent was removed under  
 440 reduced pressure, the residue was diluted with water/ice, acidified (HCl 0.5N) and the precipitate  
 441 was collected and washed with water.

442 **3-phenyl-N-(4-carboxyphenyl)propionamide (D1).**Yield 0.156 g (20%) (CH<sub>3</sub>OH), m.p. 240-  
 443 242°C, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 12.80 (1H, broad s), 10.21 (1H, s), 7.89 (2H, m),  
 444 7.70 (2H, m), 7.30-7.15 (5H, m), 2.93 (2H, t, J 8.0), 2.68 (2H, t, J 8.0); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100  
 445 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 171.40, 167.39, 143.70, 141.52, 130.85, 128.80, 128.71, 126.44, 125.40, 118.73,

446 38.50, 31.00. ESI-HRMS calc. for  $C_{16}H_{16}NO_3$  ( $M+H^+$ ) 270.1130, found 270.1127. HPLC-UV/Vis  
447 *k*: 11.87. Anal. Calc. for  $C_{16}H_{15}NO_3$ , C, 71.36, H, 5.61, N, 5.20; found, C, 71.45, H, 5.65, N, 5.35.



448 **3-phenyl-N-(3-carboxyphenyl)propionamide (D2)**. Yield 63%, m.p. 214-216°C ( $CH_3OH$ ),  $^1H$ -  
449 NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 200 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 12.90 (1H, broad s), 10.05 (1H, s), 8.22 (1H, s), 7.82 (1H, d,  
450 J 8.2), 7.60 (1H, d, J 8.2), 7.40 (1H, t, J 8.2), 7.30-7.10 (5H, m), 2.90 (2H, t, 8.0), 2.50 (2H, t, J 8.0);  
451  $^{13}C$ -NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 50 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 171.09, 167.62, 141.57, 139.88, 131.73, 129.37, 128.78,  
452 128.69, 126.40, 124.30, 123.60, 120.28, 38.39, 31.20. ESI-HRMS calc. for  $C_{16}H_{16}NO_3$  ( $M+H^+$ )  
453 270.1130, found 270.1124. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 11.55. Anal. Calc. for  $C_{16}H_{15}NO_3$ : C 71.36, H 5.61, N  
454 5.20, found, C, 71.28, H, 5.58, N, 5.24.

455 **3-(4-Nitrophenyl)-N-(3-carboxyphenyl)prop-2-enamide (D3)**. Yield 43%, m.p. 295-297°C  
456 ( $CH_3OH$ ),  $^1H$ -NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 200 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 12.90 (1H, broad s), 10.65 (1H, s), 8.28 (3H,  
457 m), 7.90 (3H, m), 7.68 (1H, d, J 8.0), 7.65 (1H, d, J 15.5), 7.45 (1H, t, J 8.0), 6.98 (1H, d, J 15.5);  
458  $^{13}C$ -NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 50 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 165.53, 163.48, 148.18, 141.65, 139.70, 138.48, 131.89,  
459 129.58, 129.26, 126.79, 124.87, 124.61, 123.85, 120.52. ESI-HRMS calc. for  $C_{16}H_{13}N_2O_5$  ( $M+H^+$ )  
460 313.0824, found 313.0812. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 12.04. Anal. Calc. for  $C_{16}H_{12}N_2O_5$ : C 61.54, H 3.87,  
461 N 8.97, found, C, 61.34, H, 4.00, N, 8.77.

462 **3-(4-Nitrophenyl)-N-(4-carboxyphenyl)propionamide (D4)**. Yield 0.072 (10%), m.p. 292-294°C  
463 (trituration with  $CH_2Cl_2$ ),  $^1H$ -NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 12.80 (1H, broad s), 10.40  
464 (1H, broad s), 8.31 (2H, m), 8.02 (2H, m), 7.83 (2H, m), 7.70 (2H, m), 3.21 (2H, m), 2.90 (2H, m);  
465  $^{13}C$ -NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 100 MHz) ( $\delta$ ) (ppm): 170.91, 167.36, 150.05, 146.46, 143.58, 130.85,  
466 130.10, 125.48, 123.93, 118.76, 37.56, 30.78; ESI-HRMS calcd for  $C_{16}H_{15}N_2O_5$  ( $M+H^+$ ) 315.0981,  
467 found 315.0980. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 11.60. Anal. Calc. for  $C_{16}H_{14}N_2O_5$ , C, 61.14, H, 4.49, N, 8.91;  
468 found, C, 61.50, H, 4.33, N, 8.89.

469

470 **Synthesis of compounds F1-F4.**




475 **Appendix 2-figure 6. Design of compounds F (upper panel). Synthesis of compound F1**

476 **(bottom panel).** (i):  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ /pyridine/DMAP, RT; (ii): THF/LiOH 2M; (iii): THF/DMF,  $(\text{COCl})_2$ ;

477 (iv): morpholine,  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ /TEA  $0^\circ\text{C}$ .

478

479



480

481

482 **Appendix 2-figure 7. Synthesis of compounds F2-F4.** (i): THF/triphosgene, r.t.; (ii):  
 483 DMF/DMAP; (iii): CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/pyridine/DMAP; (iv): THF/LiOH 2M.

484

485 **Methyl 2-[(quinolin-8-ylsulphonyl)amino]benzoate (F1b):** To a solution of methyl anthranilate  
 486 (0.63 g, 4.18 mmol) in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) at RT were added 8-quinolinesulfonyl chloride  
 487 (**F1a**) (1.00 g, 4.39 mmol), pyridine (0.99 g, 12.5 mmol), and DMAP (0.03 g, 0.21 mmol) under  
 488 elm stirring at RT in N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at RT for 12 hours, then the solvent  
 489 was removed under reduced pressure. Water was added to the residue thus obtained and the mixture  
 490 was extracted with EtOAc (2 x 20 mL); the organic phase was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and the solvent  
 491 removed under reduced pressure. This material was used in the next step without further  
 492 purification. Yield 1.40 g (98%), m.p. 206-209°C, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 200 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 11.05  
 493 (1H, broad s), 8.98 (1H, m), 8.60-8.20 (3H, m), 7.80-7.55 (4H, m), 7.42 (1H, m), 6.95 (1H, m), 3.90  
 494 (1H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 50 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 167.57, 151.99, 139.75, 137.54, 135.33, 134.88,  
 495 133.08, 131.41, 128.81, 126.10, 123.28, 123.24, 117.73, 116.57, 53.09.

496 **2-[(Quinolin-8-ylsulphonyl)amino]benzoic acid (F1c):** A suspension of (**F1b**) (1.26 g, 3.67  
 497 mmol) and aqueous LiOH 2M (7.1 mL) in THF (8.5 mL) was stirred at RT for 12 hours. The  
 498 solution thus obtained was cooled with ice and HCl 0.5 N (20 mL) was added until pH 2. The  
 499 residue thus obtained was filtered and washed with water. Yield 1.10g (92%), m.p. 253-259°C

500 (dec.), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 200 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 11.50 (1H, broad s), 8.95 (1H, m), 8.60-8.40  
501 (2H, m), 8.25 (1H, d, *J* 8.2), 7.85-7.50 (4H, m), 7.50-7.30 (1H, m), 7.0-6.80 (1H, m); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR  
502 (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 50 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 169.36, 151.87, 142.84, 140.19, 137.43, 135.20, 134.74, 134.51,  
503 133.04, 131.82, 128.81, 126.06, 123.18, 122.91, 117.19, 117.09.

504 **1-[[Quinoline-8-yl-sulfonyl]amino]benzoyl]morpholine (F1)**: To a solution of (F1c) (0.3 g, 0.91  
505 mmol) in anhydrous THF (5 mL) and DMF (0.01 mL) at 0°C, oxalylchloride (0.13 g, 1.35 mmol)  
506 was added dropwise, then the mixture was stirred for 1 hour at RT. At the end of the reaction, the  
507 solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The solid thus obtained was coevaporated with  
508 anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> three times, and the compound (F1d) thus obtained was used in the next step  
509 without purification. To a solution of (F1d) (0.32 g, 0.91 mmol) and TEA (0.23 g, 2.28 mmol) in  
510 anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) morpholine (0.07 g, 0.82 mmol) was added and the solution thus  
511 obtained was stirred at RT for 6 hours. Then the solvent was removed under pressure and the  
512 residue thus obtained was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc 100%). Yield 0.099 g  
513 (31%), m.p. 191-194°C, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 9.50 (1H, broad s), 9.25 (1H, d,  
514 *J* 2.9), 8.75 (1H, d, *J* 7.9), 8.45 (2H, m), 7.91 (2H, m), 7.63 (1H, m), 7.48 (1H, m), 7.27 (2H, m),  
515 3.8-3.0 (8H, m); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 167.39, 152.16, 142.85, 137.76,  
516 135.59, 134.78, 131.34, 130.89, 128.96, 128.49, 127.47, 126.27, 124.99, 123.94, 123.34, 66.04,  
517 65.38, 47.60, 42.30, 15.63; ESI-HRMS calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 398.1174, found 398.1189.  
518 HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 10.97.

519 **Substituted (isatoic anhydrides) (1b) and (2b). General procedure.** To a solution of the  
520 appropriate (substituted)-2-aminobenzoic acid (1a, 2a) (5.10 mmol) in anhydrous THF (25 mL),  
521 triphosgene (2.20 mmol) was added at RT under stirring elm. The suspension thus obtained was  
522 stirred for 24 hours; then, water was added and the solution was extracted with EtOAc (2 x 50 mL);  
523 the organic phase was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and the solvent removed under reduced pressure.

524 **5,6-Dimethoxy isatoic anhydride (1b)**: Yield 1.03 g (91%), m.p. 260-261°C (dec.), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  
525 (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 200 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 11.5 (1H, broad s), 7.20 (1H, s), 6.60 (1H, s), 3.80 (6H, s); <sup>13</sup>C-  
526 NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 50 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 159.78, 157.01, 147.83, 146.13, 138.06, 109.06, 101.62,  
527 98.14, 56.53, 56.34.

528 **5-Methoxycarbonyl isatoic anhydride (2b)**: Yield 1.00 g (88%), m.p. 192-194°C, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  
529 (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 200 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 11.85 (1H, broad s), 8.0 (1H, d, *J* 8.1), 7.70 (2H, m), 3.9 (3H, s);  
530 <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 50 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 165.31, 147.28, 141.94, 136.86, 130.03, 123.64, 116.39,  
531 114.37, 53.31.

532 **Substituted quinolines (1c) and (2c). General procedure.** To a suspension of the appropriate  
533 (substituted)isatoic anhydride (**1b**) or (**2b**) (4.6 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (30 mL) morpholine (4.6  
534 mmol) and DMAP (0.46 mmol) were added under stirring at RT in a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The solution  
535 was stirred for 8 hours at RT, then, water was added to the reaction mixture and the product was  
536 extracted with EtOAc, the organic phase was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and the solvent removed under  
537 reduced pressure.

538 **1-(2-Amino-4,5-dimethoxybenzoyl)morpholine (1c)**: Yield 0.36g (30%), m.p. 100-102°C, <sup>1</sup>H-  
539 NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 200 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 6.60 (1H, s), 6.35 (1H, s), 4.95 (2H, s), 3.70 (3H, s), 3.65  
540 (3H, s), 3.58 (4H, m), 3.45 (4H, m); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 50 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 168.00, 151.62,  
541 142.04, 113.53, 100.76, 66.63, 56.93, 55.66.

542 **1-[(2-Amino-4-methoxycarbonyl)benzoyl]morpholine (2c)**: Yield 0.65 g (54%), m.p. 112-113°C,  
543 <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 200 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 7.35(1H, s), 7.10 (2H, s), 5.45 (2H, s), 3.80 (3H, s), 3.7-  
544 3.3 (8H, m); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 50 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 168.04, 166.66, 146.07, 131.36, 128.47,  
545 123.83, 116.46, 116.42, 66.53, 52.48.

546 **1-[[Quinoline-8-yl-sulfonyl]amino]-3,4-dimethoxybenzoyl]morpholine (F2)**: To a solution of  
547 (**1c**) (0.33 g, 1.25 mmol) in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) under stirring at RT, 8-  
548 quinolinesulfonylchloride (0.28 g, 1.26 mmol), pyridine (0.30 g, 3.75 mmol) and DMAP (8 mg,


549 0.06 mmol) were added. The mixture was stirred at RT for 12 hours, then the solvent was removed  
550 under pressure; water and ice were added to the oily residue, and the solid thus formed was filtered,  
551 washed with water, dried and purified by trituration with anh. diethyl ether (4 mL). Yield 0.57 g  
552 100%, m.p. 166-168°C, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 9.42 (1H, broad s), 9.25 (1H,  
553 m), 8.82-8.68 (1H, m), 8.55-8.33 (2H, m), 8.02-7.78 (2H, m), 7.15 (1H, m), 6.80 (1H, m), 3.80 (6H,  
554 m), 3.70-2.70 (8H, m); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 167.26, 152.14, 150.60, 145.99,  
555 142.88, 137.64, 135.46, 134.74, 131.60, 129.10, 128.89, 126.26, 123.34, 119.89, 111.37, 108.68,  
556 81.154, 65.92, 56.194, 55.93; ESI-HRMS calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 458.1386, found  
557 458.1386. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 10.54.

558 **Methyl 3-[(quinoline-8-yl-sulfonyl)amino]-4-[(morpholin-1-yl)oxo] benzoate (F3):** To a  
559 solution of (2c) (0.59 g, 2.22 mmol) in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 mL) at RT under stirring elm, were  
560 added 8-quinolinesulfonylchloride (0.56 g, 2.44 mmol), pyridine (0.53 g, 6.66 mmol) and DMAP  
561 (0.014 g, 0.11 mmol). The solution was stirred at RT for 12 hours, then the solvent was removed  
562 under reduced pressure. A mixture of water and ice (10 mL), then EtOAc (4 mL) was added to the  
563 oily residue; the precipitate thus obtained was filtered, washed with water, dried and purified by  
564 trituration with diethyl ether. Yield 1.00 g (99%), m.p. 174-176°C, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz)  
565 (δ) (ppm): 9.65 (1H, broad s), 9.26 (1H, dd, *J* 4.3, *J* 1.8), 8.77 (1H, dd, *J* 8.4, *J* 1.4), 8.50 (1H, m),  
566 8.43 (1H, dd, *J* 7.3, *J* 1.2), 8.19 (1H, d, *J* 1.4), 7.95 (1H, m), 7.88 (1H, m), 7.82 (1H, dd, *J* 7.9, *J*  
567 1.6), 7.59 (1H, d, *J* 8.1), 4.00 (3H, s), 3.70-3.2 (8H, m); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm):  
568 166.24, 165.55, 152.21, 142.79, 137.80, 135.65, 135.58, 134.97, 132.24, 131.59, 131.25, 128.99,  
569 126.31, 125.71, 124.87, 123.44; ESI-HRMS calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 456.1229, found  
570 456.1227. HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 11.37.

571 **1-[[[(Quinoline-8-yl-sulfonyl)amino]-4-carboxybenzoyl]morpholine (F4):** A suspension of (2c)  
572 (0.25 g, 0.59 mmol) in THF (3 mL) and aqueous LiOH 2M (1.15 mL) was stirred at RT for 12  
573 hours. The solution thus obtained was cooled with ice and HCl 0.5 N (20 mL) was added until pH

574 2.0. The solid thus obtained was filtered and washed with water. Yield 0.22 g (91%), m.p. 255-  
575 258°C, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 13.40 (1H, broad, s), 9.60 (1H, broad, s), 9.25  
576 (1H, dd, *J* 4.3, *J* 1.8), 8.76 (1H, dd, *J* 8.4, *J* 1.6), 8.49 (1H, dd, 8.4, *J* 1.2), 8.43 (1H, dd, *J* 7.3, *J*  
577 1.4), 8.18 (1H, d, *J* 1.4), 7.95 (1H, m), 7.92-7.85 (1H, m), 7.83-7.77 (1H, dd, *J* 7.9, *J* 1.6), 7.46 (1H,  
578 d, *J* 7.9), 3.70-3.10 (8H, m); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) (δ) (ppm): 166.56, 166.43, 152.20,  
579 142.79, 137.78, 135.60, 135.57, 134.93, 132.88, 131.74, 131.23, 128.70, 128.83, 126.03, 125.79,  
580 124.90, 123.42, 66.00; ESI-HRMS calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 442.1073, found 442.1068.  
581 HPLC-UV/Vis *k*: 9.85.  
582

583 **Synthesis of E5-(O<sub>2</sub>Oc)-CAM and E5-(O<sub>2</sub>Oc)-CAM-FITC.**



584

585 **Appendix 2-figure 8. Synthesis of compounds E5-O<sub>2</sub>Oc-CAM and E5-O<sub>2</sub>Oc-CAM-FITC.** (i)

586 cysteamine 2-chlorotrityl resin (1 eq.), Fmoc-O<sub>2</sub>Oc-OH (4 eq.), DIC (4 eq.), HOBT (4 eq.), DMF,

587 r.t., 30 min; (ii) 40% piperidine in DMF, r.t., 5 min; (iii) **E3** (2 eq.), HATU (3 eq.) DIPEA (2 eq.),

588 DMF, r.t., 3 h; (iv) trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)/H<sub>2</sub>O/phenol/triisopropylsilane 88:5:5:2 v/v (10 mL /

589 0.2 g of resin); r.t., 30 min; (v) E5-(O<sub>2</sub>Oc)-CAM (1 eq.), Fluorescein-5-Maleimide (0.06 eq.),

590 CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O, r.t., 5 min.

591

592 **Synthesis of E5-(O<sub>2</sub>Oc)-CAM**

593 The Cysteamine (CAM) 2-chlorotrityl resin (0.079 mmol) was swelled in DMF and suspended in a

594 solution of Fmoc-amino-3,6 dioxaoctanoic acid (Fmoc-O<sub>2</sub>Oc-OH) (0.316 mmol), N,N'-

595 Diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC) (0.316 mmol) and hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBT) (0.316 mmol) in


596 DMF (2 mL). This suspension was shaken for 30 minutes. After this time the solid phase was

597 filtered and washed with DMF (3x2mL). The polymer was successively suspended in a 40%

598 solution of piperidine in DMF (3x2 mL) and shaken for 5 minutes. The resin was filtered and

599 washed with DMF (3x2 mL). In the final step the solid support was suspended in a solution of **E3**,

600 (0.158 mmol), (1-[Bis(dimethylamino)methylene]-1H-1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-b]pyridinium 3-oxid  
601 hexafluorophosphate (HATU) (0.237 mmol) and diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) (0.237 mmol) in  
602 DMF and shaken for 3 hours. The functionalized resin was washed with DMF (3x2mL) and the  
603 desired molecule was released after a treatment with a mixture of trifluoroacetic acid  
604 (TFA)/H<sub>2</sub>O/phenol/triisopropylsilane 88:5:5:2 v/v (10 mL per 0.2 g of resin) for 30 minutes at room  
605 temperature. After filtration of the resin, the solvent was concentrated in vacuo and the residue  
606 triturated with ethyl ether. The crude of reaction was purified by preparative HPLC giving, after  
607 lyophilization, the E3-(O<sub>2</sub>Oc)-CAM as a pale yellow amorphous solid (49% yield). ESI-HRMS  
608 calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>33</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> (M+H<sup>+</sup>): 613.1785 (Figure 9); found: 613.7175.




**Appendix 2-figure 9.** Mass spectrum of E5-(O<sub>2</sub>Oc)-CAM ESI-HRMS calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>33</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> (M+H<sup>+</sup>): 613.1785.

609

610 **Synthesis of E5-(O<sub>2</sub>Oc)-CAM-FITC.**

611 To a stirred solution of **E5-(O<sub>2</sub>Oc)-CAM** (0.0277 mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (0,5 mL), Fluorescein-5-  
612 Maleimide (FITC) (0.00156 mmol) solubilized in CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (250μL/250μL) was added followed  
613 by 20 μL of 5% solution of NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. The reaction was stirred in the dark and the MS monitoring  
614 showed a complete consumption of the fluorescent probe in about 5 minutes. After this time the  
615 reaction solution the product was purified directly by preparative HPLC using the same  
616 experimental conditions as for the purification of E3-(O<sub>2</sub>Oc)-CAM. After lyophilization in the dark,  
617 a yellow amorphous solid was obtained in quantitative yield and with a purity grade > 95%. ESI-  
618 HRMS calcd for C<sub>53</sub>H<sub>46</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>14</sub>S<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> (M+H<sup>+</sup>): 1040.2477 (Figure 10); found: 1040.3098.



619 **Appendix 2-figure 10.** Mass spectrum of E5-(O<sub>2</sub>Oc)-CAM-FITC. ESI-HRMS calcd for  
620 C<sub>53</sub>H<sub>46</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>14</sub>S<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> (M+H<sup>+</sup>):1040.2477.

## 1 **Appendix 3**

### 2 **Enantioseparation and racemization of E7**

3 *Materials.* The columns used were Chiralcel OD-RH [cellulose tris (3,5-  
4 dimethylphenylcarbamate); 250 × 4.6 mm I.D.; 5 μm], Chiralcel OD [cellulose tris (3,5-  
5 dimethylphenylcarbamate); 250 × 10 mm I.D., 10 μm] purchased from Chiral Technologies  
6 Europe, Illkirch, France. HPLC analyses were performed on an Agilent Technologies (Waldbronn,  
7 Germany) modular model 1200 system, consisting of a vacuum degasser, a binary pump, an  
8 autosampler, a thermostatted column compartment and a variable ultraviolet detector (UV).  
9 Chromatograms were acquired at 254 nm. HPLC-grade acetonitrile and water were obtained  
10 from Baker.

11 *Analytical and semipreparative enantioseparation.* The analytical enantioseparation of chiral **E7**  
12 was performed in reversed phase using a Chiralcel OD-RH column with mobile phases of  
13 water:acetonitrile mixtures at different percentages. The separation was carried out  
14 isocratically at 25 °C. The racemic compound mixture was dissolved in acetonitrile and  
15 subsequently diluted 1:10 (v/v) with the mobile phase at a final concentration of 100 μg/mL.  
16 The injection volume was 6 μL. The detector was set at 254 nm. The retention factors  
17 ( $k_1$  and  $k_2$ ) were calculated as  $k_1 = (t_1 - t_0)/t_0$  where  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are the retention times of the first  
18 and second eluted enantiomers and the separation factor ( $\alpha$ ) as  $k_2/k_1$ . The resolution factor ( $R_s$ )  
19 was calculated as  $R_s = 2(t_2 - t_1)/(w_1 + w_2)$ , where  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are the peak widths at the base for the  
20 two eluted enantiomers. The dead time of the columns ( $t_0$ ) was determined by injection of  
21 1,3,5-tri-*tert*-butylbenzene. Among the mobile phases used, water:acetonitrile 2:98 (v/v) was  
22 chosen for the subsequent semipreparative separation because it gave a good baseline

23 enantiomeric resolution, with  $k_1= 0.36$ ,  $k_2=0.62$  and  $R_s = 4.76$ . Semipreparative  
24 enantioseparation of ( $\pm$ )-**E7** was carried out on Chiralcel® OD employing water:acetonitrile 2:98  
25 (v/v) as the mobile phase at 5 mL/min. By injecting 15 mg of the racemic mixture, the single  
26 enantiomers were collected with acceptable enantiomeric excess (99.0% and 80.1% e.e. for the  
27 first and second eluted enantiomer, respectively, **(Supplementary 5, Figure 1 and 2)**. 8.8 mg of  
28 the first enantiomer and 4.4 mg of the second one was obtained and were sufficient for further  
29 stability studies. The specific rotation in acetonitrile of first and second eluted enantiomers  
30 were acquired on a Perkin-Elmer 241 Polarimeter resulting in  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +7.95^\circ$  (c 0.00088 g/ml;  
31 acetonitrile) and  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -9.10^\circ$  (0.00044 g/mL, acetonitrile), respectively.

32 *Racemization*. Racemization is the conversion of an enantiomer into a racemic mixture and is  
33 associated with a loss in optical activity of the chiral compound over time. The rate constant of  
34 racemization  $k_{rac}$  refers to this first-order kinetic process and can be calculated from the linear  
35 plot of the logarithmic values of the enantiomeric excess ( $\ln$  (e.e.)) *versus* time, according to  
36 Eq. (S1):

$$37 \quad (S1) \quad \ln(e.e.) = -k_{rac} \cdot t$$

38 The half-life of racemization ( $t_{1/2rac}$ ) is the time during which the enantiomeric purity of a chiral  
39 compound reduces to 50% of its original e.e. For a first-order racemization, the half-life can be

$$40 \quad \text{calculated by Eq. (S2):} \quad (S2) \quad t_{1/2rac} = \ln 2 / k_{rac}$$

41 Single enantiomers of ( $\pm$ )-**E7** were dissolved in acetonitrile [1 mg/ml] and then diluted 1:100  
42 with buffer solution at final concentration of [0.1  $\mu$ g/mL]. The solution was thermostated at  
43 37.5 °C and racemization was monitored by injecting the solution at fixed times on a Chiralcel

44 OD-RH column using water and acetonitrile 2:98 (v/v) as mobile phase. Four repeats of each  
45 experiment were carried out.

46 *Racemization kinetics.* The previously obtained single enantiomers of ( $\pm$ )-**E7** were solubilized in  
47 buffer solutions to simulate *in vitro* test conditions and then incubated for a set of times at  
48 37.5 °C. After the selected times, they were injected in a Chiralcel OD-RH column  
49 (water:acetonitrile 2:98 (v/v)) to evaluate racemization rates. The data were analyzed. The  
50 kinetic parameters were calculated from the corresponding peak areas according to Eqs. S1 and  
51 S2.

52 *Enantiomer and racemate inhibition of hTS.*

53 The capability of ( $\pm$ ) **E7** compound to inhibit the kinetic activity of hTS protein enzyme was  
54 spectrophotometrically evaluated using the JASCO V730 UV/Vis spectrophotometer. The  
55 reaction was monitored by following the absorption change of MTHF substrate at 340 nm for  
56 180 seconds.

57 *Inhibition Potency Evaluation of (+)-**E7** compound*

58 The **(+)-E7** compound does not show activity at time zero (% of enzyme inhibition below 10%)  
59 at the two 10 and 20  $\mu$ M; after one hour of incubation with hTS, **(+)-E7** is able to inhibit the  
60 enzyme activity at the 34% and 39% at 10 and 20 $\mu$ M respectively with respect to the non-  
61 inhibited enzyme. **(-)-E7** enantiomer shows the same potency of **(+)-E7** compound at time zero  
62 (30%-48% at 10-20 $\mu$ M) and, after 1 hour, it doubles the efficacy to 51 and 67% at the same  
63 assayed concentrations. Regarding racemic **E7**, the compound results to be active just at time  
64 zero (26% and 40%, 10-20 $\mu$ M) and it also maintains unaltered the efficacy after 1 hour of  
65 incubation (25%-52%, 10-20 $\mu$ M). We did not analyze the data further at this stage.

66

67 **Racemization rate constants of (+)-E7 and (-)-E7 determined in buffer solutions.**

68 Time intervals for enantiomerization kinetics analysis: 0', 10', 20', 30', 40' and 50'. T = 37.5 °C.

	<b>(+)-E7</b>	<b>(-)-E7</b>
$k \text{ (s}^{-1}\text{)}$	$2.03 \pm 0.18 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.10 \pm 0.16 \times 10^{-4}$
$t_{1/2} \text{ (min)}$	56.80	54.98

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93


94

95

96

97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102

### Enantioseparation of E7




103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118

### Appendix 3-figure 1. Structure 2D of the E7 enantiomers.

A. Representation of S and R isomers of compound E7 obtained with B, Structural modification of compound B12. The changes in chemical structure and the associated IC50s for recombinant-enzyme inhibition, DFFRET, abilities to dissociate the hTS dimers in cells, cellular growth inhibition and in vivo data of the key compounds C3, E5 and E7 of the sub-series are reported.

119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131

**Chromatograms of single (+/-)-E7 enantiomers.**



132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139

**Appendix 3-figure 2.** Chromatograms of single (+/-)-E7 enantiomers. Column: Chiracel OD(250x4.6mm I.D., 5mm), mobile phase: water:acetonitrile 2:98 (v/v), flow rate:1mL/min; l=254 nm. B Semipreparative enentiseparation of (+/-)-E7. Column: Chirasphere OD, mobilephase water:acetonitrile 2:98 (v/v), flow rate:5mL/min, l:254nm, room temperature.

140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146

1 **Appendix 4**

2

3 **Analysis of combined equilibria and fitting to FRET data.** With the aim of extracting  
 4 quantitative mechanistic data from the dose dependencies of the  $\Phi_{\text{FRET}}$  data, we have written and  
 5 solved the equilibrium scheme in Fig. 4C of the main text.  $E_T$  is the total concentration of  
 6 enzyme dimers:

$$E_T = \frac{K'_D K''_I}{2K''_D [I]} [MI] + \frac{K''_I}{K''_D [I]} [MI]^2 + \frac{[MI]}{2} + \frac{K'_D{}^2 K''_I{}^2 [MI]^2}{K_D K''_D{}^2 [I]^2} + \frac{[MI]^2}{K''_D}$$

7

8 where M represents an enzyme monomer and I an inhibitor molecule.  $\Phi$ , the FRET efficiency, is  
 9 near one for  $M_2$ ; if we assume the same for  $M_2I$  and  $M_2I_2$ , i.e., that binding of the inhibitor does  
 10 not cause a structural change able to significantly reduce the efficiency of FRET, then the  
 11 observed efficiency,  $\Phi_{\text{FRET}}$ , will coincide with the total fraction of dimeric protein:

$$\Phi_{\text{FRET}} = \frac{[M_2] + [M_2I] + [M_2I_2]}{E_T} = \frac{[E_T] - \frac{1}{2}([M] + [MI])}{E_T} = 1 - \frac{([M] + [MI])}{2[E_T]}$$

12

13 Our goal is to express  $[M]$  and  $[MI]$  in terms of  $E_T$ ,  $I_T$  (the total inhibitor concentration) and the  
 14 pertinent equilibrium constants.

$$[I_T] = [I] + [MI] + [M_2I] + 2[M_2I_2]$$

15

$$K_D = \frac{[M]^2}{[M_2]} \quad K'_D = \frac{[M][MI]}{[M_2I]} \quad K''_D = \frac{[MI]^2}{[M_2I_2]} \quad K_I = \frac{[I][M]}{[MI]} \quad K'_I = \frac{[I][M_2]}{[M_2I]} \quad K''_I = \frac{[I][M_2I]}{[M_2I_2]}$$

16

17 Because  $I_T \gg E_T$ , we simplify  $[I] \approx I_T$ , and rewrite:

$$\Phi_{\text{FRET}} = 1 - \frac{([M] + [MI])}{2E_T} = 1 - \frac{1}{2E_T} \left( \frac{K_i}{[I]} + 1 \right) [MI] = 1 - \frac{1}{2E_T} \left( \frac{K_i}{I_T} + 1 \right) [MI]$$

18

19 [MI] is calculated from the positive root of the quadratic equation obtained by expressing each  
 20 term contributing to  $E_T$  in terms of [MI], [I] and the  $K$ s, and replacing  $[I] \approx I_T$ :

$$E_T = \frac{K'_D K''_I}{2K''_D [I]} [MI] + \frac{K''_I}{K''_D [I]} [MI]^2 + \frac{[MI]}{2} + \frac{K'_D{}^2 K''_I{}^2 [MI]^2}{K_D K''_D{}^2 [I]^2} + \frac{[MI]^2}{K''_D}$$

$$\left( \frac{1}{K''_D} + \frac{K''_I}{K''_D I_T} + \frac{K'_D{}^2 K''_I{}^2}{K_D K''_D{}^2 I_T^2} \right) [MI]^2 + \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{K'_D K''_I}{2 K''_D I_T} \right) [MI] - E_T = a[MI]^2 + 2b[MI] - E_T = 0$$

$$(S3) \quad \phi_{\text{FRET}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2E_T} \left( \frac{K_i}{I_T} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 + aE_T}}{a} \right)$$

26 The dependence of the observed  $\phi_{\text{FRET}}$  on  $I_T$  for the three inhibitors was fitted to eq. S3 (Fig. 4B  
 27 in the main text). To narrow the amount of fitting parameters,  $K_D$  was kept fixed at the value  
 28 obtained from FRET measurements without inhibitors ( $6 \times 10^{-8}$  M) and  $K'_D$  was estimated by  
 29 assuming that the effect of each inhibitor molecule on the standard free energy for the enzyme  
 30 dimer dissociation was constant:  $\Delta G^{\circ\circ}_D = (\Delta G^{\circ}_D + \Delta G^{\circ\circ}_D)/2$ . From the above value of  $K_D$  and  
 31 the  $K''_D$  obtained from the limit values of  $\phi_{\text{obs}}$  at high [C3],  $K''_D = 3.3 \times 10^{-7}$  M (paragraph  
 32 Mechanistic analysis of dissociative inhibition, main text), we estimated  $K'_D = 1.5 \times 10^{-7}$  M and  
 33 used this value in the fitting procedure.  $K''_D$  values were obtained from the high  $I_T$  behavior of  
 34  $\phi_{\text{obs}}$ , while  $K_I$  and  $K''_I$  were adjusted to fit the low and intermediate  $I_T$  data.

35 **Analysis of a dissociative inhibition mechanism and fitting to data.** Here, to test the  
 36 suitability of the proposed mechanism to fit the experimental inhibition results, rather than  
 37 searching for linear plots, we aim at expressing the dependence of the observed reaction rate on  
 38 the total substrate concentration,  $S_T$ , at different total inhibitor,  $I_T$ , and total enzyme,  $E_T$ ,  
 39 concentrations.

$$v = k[M_2][S] + k' [M_2I][S] + k'' [M_2I_2][S] = k \frac{[M_2][S]}{K_1} + k' \frac{[M_2I][S]}{K_2} + k'' \frac{[M_2I_2][S]}{K_3} =$$

$$= k \frac{[M_2][S]}{K_1} + k' \frac{[M_2][I][S]}{K_2K'_1} + k'' \frac{[M_2][I]^2[S]}{K_3K'_1K''_1}$$

40

41

42

43 So,  $v = [M_2][S]D$  with

$$D = \left( \frac{k}{K_1} + \frac{k'[I]}{K_2K'_1} + \frac{k''[I]^2}{K_3K'_1K''_1} \right)$$

44

45 We now express  $E_T$  in terms of  $v$ ,  $[I]$  and  $[S]$ :

$$E_T = \frac{[M]}{2} + \frac{[MI]}{2} + [M_2] + [M_2I] + [M_2I_2] + [M_2S] + [M_2IS] + [M_2I_2S] =$$

46

$$= \frac{1}{2} (K_D[M_2])^{1/2} \left( 1 + \frac{[I]}{K_I} \right) + [M_2] \left( 1 + \frac{[I]}{K'_1} + \frac{[I]^2}{K'_1K''_1} \right) + [M_2][S] \left( \frac{1}{K_1} + \frac{[I]}{K_2K'_1} + \frac{[I]^2}{K_3K'_1K''_1} \right)$$

47

48 Because  $S_T, I_T \gg E_T$ , we approximate  $[S] = S_T$  and  $[I] = I_T$ . Calling 2a, b and c the coefficients49 that multiply  $[M_2]^{1/2}$ ,  $[M_2]$  and  $[M_2][S]$  in the three terms and writing  $x = [M_2]^{1/2}$ , we obtain the

50 second-degree equation:


$$(b + cS_T)x^2 + 2ax - E_T = 0$$





51






52 whose positive root,  $x_r$ , yields the desired value of  $v$ :  $v = x_r^2 S_T D$ .53 So, given the experimental values of  $E_T$ ,  $S_T$  and  $I_T$ , we can calculate  $v$  using the equilibrium and54 rate constants in the scheme in Fig. 4C of the main text. In the fitting analysis, we kept  $K_D$  fixed55 at  $6 \times 10^{-8}$  M, as obtained from dilution experiments in the absence of inhibitors. We also kept56 the equilibrium constant for the binding of mTHF to hTS saturated with dUMP,  $K_1$ , fixed to the57 value obtained in the absence of inhibitors,  $10^{-5}$  M. Also,  $K_{I2}$  was taken equal to  $10^{-6}$  M for the


58 three inhibitors from the results of the fitting of FRET data. The other equilibrium constants were  
59 explored subject to the conditions imposed by the combined equilibria in Fig. 4C of the main  
60 text, i.e.:  $K_D/K_I=K'_D/K'_I$  and  $K'_D/K_I=K''_D/K''_I$ . So,  $K'_I$  and  $K''_I$  were kept one order of  
61 magnitude larger than  $K_I$ , i.e.,  $10^{-5}$  M. Finally, the catalytic rate constant,  $k$ , was fixed at its value  
62 with no inhibitor,  $0.9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ;  $k'$  and  $k''$  were variable fitting parameters.


63




















a









b




c





**a**



**b**

