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The Messinian salinity crisis in the Adriatic foredeep: evolution of the largest evaporitic marginal basin in the Mediterranean

Manzi V., Argnani A., Corcagnani A., Lugli S., Roveri M.

ABSTRACT

The recent release of a large number of subsurface geological data by the Italian Minister of Economic Development, including boreholes and seismic profiles, provided the occasion for a new assessment of the deposits associated with the Messinian salinity crisis (MSC) in the Adriatic foreland basin system and a new integration with the outcropping successions of the Apennines. In particular, the study of the Messinian evaporites allowed to reconstruct a new detailed palaeogeographic and palaeobathymetric framework for all the stages of the crisis.

We identified the largest evaporitic marginal basin ever described for the Mediterranean hosting the precipitation of the primary shallow-water gypsum deposits (PLG, Primary Lower Gypsum) during the first stage of the crisis. During the second and third stages of the crisis, the PLG basin underwent uplift and erosion and the evaporite accumulation moved to the deeper part of the basin and was characterized by the deposition of the Resedimented Lower Gypsum unit including clastic evaporites, recycling the PLG ones, primary halite and terrigenous deposits.

The distribution of the different evaporitic facies, was the basis for an improved reconstruction of the upper Miocene tectonic evolution of the Apennines thrust belt. Our results show a clear separation between shallower depocenters, located in the wedge-top and in the Adriatic foreland basins and characterized by MSC stage 1 PLG deposition, and deeper-water ones, located in the Adriatic foredeep and close to the Calabrian Arc, where MSC stage 2 terrigenous and gypsum-bearing clastic deposits and primary halite accumulated.

1. INTRODUCTION

The distribution of the Messinian salinity crisis (MSC) related deposits in the Apennines and in the Adriatic foredeep basin has been matter of several studies during the last decades, mostly based on outcrop data (Roveri et al., 2001, 2004, 2006, 2014b).
Recently, the Ministry of Economic Development of Italy (MISE, Ministero dello Sviluppo Economico), through the project entitled “Visibility of petroleum exploration data in Italy” (ViDEPI, Visibilità dei dati afferenti all’attività di esplorazione petrolifera in Italia) has released a large amount of subsurface data filed since 1957 and covering the whole Italian territory. The ViDEPI database includes a large number of boreholes and industrial seismic profiles for hydrocarbon investigation. All the boreholes and the seismic lines can be accessed and downloaded for free at the ViDEPI website (http://www.videpi.com/videpi/videpi.asp) or through the Arcgis platform (https://arcg.is/1vXmrL). A great part of these boreholes crossed the Messinian deposits, especially in the offshore areas. Their analyses made it possible to recognize the subsurface equivalents of the deposits cropping out in the Apennines and to provide a detailed reconstruction of the distribution of the MSC-related deposits all along the Apennines foredeep.

2. THE MESSINIAN SALINITY CRISIS (MSC): A BRIEF OVERVIEW

The Messinian salinity crisis (MSC; 5.97-5.33 Ma) is one of the more dramatic palaeoceanographic and biological event in the Earth’s history, during which huge volumes of evaporites accumulated on the Mediterranean seafloor because of the reduced connections with the Atlantic Ocean, due to the interplay between tectonic uplift in the Gibraltar area and glacio-eustatic changes (Krijgsman et al., 1999). The largest part of the evaporites deposited during the MSC is now buried below the deep Mediterranean seafloor but the large number of outcrops has allowed the reconstruction of a very-high resolution stratigraphic framework through the integration of bio-, magneto- and cyclostratigraphic data (Clauzon et al., 1996; Krijgsman et al., 1999; Hilgen et al., 2007; CIESM, 2008). Although a detailed distribution of the MSC deposits in the offshore area has been made available (Lofi et al., 2011; Lofi, 2018), the lack of continuous cores and logs in the deeper settings together with insufficient seismic resolution leave the interpretation of the different seismic facies still a problematic issue (see discussion in Roveri et al., 2019).

2.1 MSC stages

A large consensus has been reached during the last decade by the scientific community in subdividing the MSC into three evolutionary stages, each of them well time-constrained and characterized by peculiar evaporite deposits and palaeohydrological conditions.
Stage 1 (5.97-5.60 Ma) - According to Roveri et al. (2008; 2019) and Lugli et al. (2010), shallow-water (<200m) bottom-grown primary evaporites (PLG, Primary Lower Gypsum; accumulated only in marginal silled basins, whereas organic- and dolomite-rich foraminifer-barren shales sedimented in deeper water (Manzi et al., 2007; FBI, Foraminifer Barren Interval, sensu Manzi et al., 2018). Up to 16 shale-gypsum cycles were deposited under a strong astronomical control to form up to 200 m-thick evaporite successions (Vai, 1997; Hilgen et al., 2007; Lugli et al., 2010). According to other authors, based on the interpretation of seismic data, PLG deposition also occurred in deeper waters (Ochoa et al., 2015) or was replaced by halite (Meilijson et al., 2018, 2019).

Stage 2 (5.60-5.55 Ma) - It represents the crisis acme. The marginal basins that hosted the PLG deposition during stage 1 underwent uplift and deep erosion. In this stage, evaporite deposition shifted to the deeper settings and was characterized by both clastic (derived from the dismantlement of the PLG unit) and primary evaporites (cumulate deposits of gypsum, halite and K-Mg salts) deposits, grouped into the Resedimented Lower Gypsum unit (RLG; Roveri et al., 2008a). The connections with the Atlantic were further reduced but still sufficient to allow accumulation of marine water-derived salt. This stage was marked by a widespread tectonic activity and by a sea level drop, which is still lively debated in terms of timing (before, during or after halite deposition; see discussion in Roveri et al., 2014b) and magnitude (from 100-200 m; according to Roveri et al., 2016; Manzi et al., 2018; up to 800 m according to Druckman et al., 1995; 800-900 m for the Adriatic basin, Amadori et al., 2018; up to more than 1500 m according to Lofi et al., 2005; Bache et al., 2009).

Stage 3 (5.55-5.33 Ma) - This is the last and probably the less known stage of the crisis. The deposition of primary evaporites was limited to the southern and eastern portion of the Mediterranean Sea (Sicily, Cyprus, Crete) and completely absent in the Apennines foredeep. The peculiar Lago-Mare fossil associations, including hypohaline mollusk, ostracod and dinocyst (Rouchy et al., 2001; Bertini, 2006; Orszag-Sperber et al., 2006; Cosentino et al., 2007; 2012; Gliozzi et al., 2007; Grossi et al., 2008; Pellen et al., 2017, Roveri and Manzi, 2006; Roveri et al., 2008c; Ruggieri, 1967), suggests the development of hypohaline conditions possibly related to the input of Paratethyan water in the Mediterranean basin. However, on the basis of the occurrence of marine fossils (fishes;
Carnevale et al., 2006; dinocysts, Popescu et al., 2009; Pellen et al., 2017; long-chain alkenones, Vasiliev et al., 2017), possible oceanic incursions during the last stage of the crisis have been envisaged (Bache et al., 2009; 2012). Marine waters may have provided the ions needed for the precipitation of the Upper Gypsum evaporites during insolation minima (Manzi et al., 2009). Depleted Sr isotope values and the increased terrigenous deposits during this stage point to a Mediterranean Sea characterized by hypohaline waters, more humid climatic conditions and enhanced fresh-water input (Roveri et al., 2014a,b). The recognition of the peculiar Sr signature in both shallow and deep settings (Roveri et al., 2014a; Gvirtzman et al., 2017; Manzi et al., 2018) suggests the persistence of water connections between the Mediterranean subbasins also during the stage 3 that were likely filled by a unique water body. However, other authors hold that, at least at the beginning of stage 3, the Mediterranean basin was almost desiccated, based on the occurrence of inferred fluvial deposits above the stage 2 halite in the Levantine Basin (Madof et al., 2019).

2.2 MSC surfaces

This MSC stratigraphic framework is based on the recognition of some key-surfaces (Roveri et al., 2019; and their Fig. 3):

**onset surface (OS)** – It marks the crisis onset placed in the 4th precessional cycle above the Gilbert chron at 5.97 Ma (Manzi et al., 2013). It is associated with the sudden disappearance of the foraminifers. It can be found indistinctively at the base of the PLG unit or at the base of the FBI (Manzi et al., 2007; 2018);

**evaporites onset surface (EOS)** - It is a diachronous surface flooring the PLG, only locally coinciding with the OS; The Messinian deposits laying above the EOS belongs to stage 1 and are younger than 5.97 Ma;

**Messinian erosional surface (MES = base of the p-ev1 unit)** - It is a widespread unconformity surface (Cita and Corselli, 1990) locally associated with angular discordance and local subaerial exposure (Vai, 1988). It can be traced from the top of the PLG unit in the marginal basins up to the base of the RLG unit in the deep ones. It has been recognized offshore along the Mediterranean basin margin (Ryan and Cita, 1978; Lofi et al., 2005; Roveri et al., 2014b and references therein). In the deeper portion of the basins the MES pass to its correlative conformity surface (MES-cc; Roveri et al., 2008b; 2019). The deposits laying above the MES belongs to stage 2 or 3 and are always younger than
5.60 Ma; this surface marks the dismantlement of the PLG deposits and their
resedimentation in the foredeep lows (Roveri et al., 1998; 2006; 2008c).

*ash layer (al)* – A rhyolitic volcaniclastic key-bed dated at 5.53 Ma (Roveri et al., 1998;
Trua et al., 2010; Cosentino et al., 2013) found in the whole Adriatic foredeep and locally
in Calabria and Sicily, roughly marking the base of stage 3, is often found at the top of the
RLG unit;

*base of p-ev*$_2$ – dated at 5.42 Ma, this surface can be regarded as a maximum regressive
surface for the MSC succession (Roveri et al., 2008b) marking a change from regressive
to transgressive trend in the post-evaporitic succession. In the marginal settings this
surface commonly is found at the base of fluvio-deltaic deposits, whereas, in deeper
settings, it marks the base of coarser-grained turbiditic deposits. Above this surface a
higher diversity hypohaline biota is commonly present (“Lago-Mare” *sensu stricto*; Roveri
et al., 2008c).

*Miocene/Pliocene or Messinian/Zanclean boundary (M/P)* – this surface marks the
Messinian-Zanclean boundary placed at 5.33 Ma, 5 precessional cycles below the base of
the Thvera magnetic event (Van Couvering et al., 2000) and marked by the return to fully
marine conditions in the Mediterranean; in the Apennines, it is commonly associate with a
black shale organic-rich horizon (Roveri et al., 2006).

3. GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The study area includes different portions of the Apennines that have been historically
considered as worlds apart. We try here to limit the Apennines subdivision into two main
paleogeographic domains, autochthonous and allochthonous that were deposited
respectively in the outer and in the inner portion of the Apennines fold and thrust system.
We focus on the late Miocene-early Pliocene terms of the stratigraphic succession (Fig. 1).

3.1 Autochthonous domains

All the sedimentary successions deposited in basins resting on the undeformed portions of
the foredeep and foreland above Adria and Apula that experienced only minor tectonic
translations after the MSC are grouped in this domain.

3.1.1 Northern Apennines

The northern area includes the foredeep basin formed above the Umbro-Marchean
units characterized by a thick Triassic-Jurassic shallow water carbonate succession
(Burano Anhydrites, Calcare Massiccio, Calcari a Posidonia, Rosso Ammonitico units)
followed by Cretaceous-Paleogene hemipelagic carbonate and marls (Maiolica, Fucoidi
marls, and Scaglia Fms). While the inner Umbro-Marchean unit (Fig. 1a) was involved in
the Apennines orogenesis, the outer Umbro-Marchean unit (Fig. 1a) was characterized,
since the Langhian, by the deposition of a thick Alpine-derived siliciclastic fill extending for
hundreds of km along the Adriatic foredeep from the Emilia-Romagna to the Umbria region
(Ricci Lucchi, 1986; Argnani and Ricci Lucchi, 2001).

During the late Tortonian an important tectonic phase affecting the whole Apennines
causd the eastward migration of the foredeep (Ricci Lucchi, 1986), the formation of the
Vena del Gesso wedge-top basin (VdG; Roveri et al., 2003) and the segmentation of the
main foredeep into minor basins (Eastern Romagna; Northern Marche; Laga; Fig. 1).
In the inner sectors of the foredeep, affected by tectonic segmentation, turbidite
deposition stopped during the late Tortonian while their deposition continued during the
whole MSC and in the Pliocene in the undeformed foredeep (e.g. the Laga basin; Ricci
Lucchi, 1975; 1986). The turbidites were deposited in the more subsiding portions of the
Adriatic foredeep. Conversely, in wedge-top basins or in the foreland ramp, that were not
reached by the turbiditic flows moving along the foredeep axis, the pre-MSC succession
commonly consists of hemipelagic deposits (Euxinic shale and Schlier Formations),
showing a well-developed cyclic pattern given by the alternation of sapropels, marls and
diatomite, the deposition of which is strictly controlled by variation of Earth orbital
parameters (Vai, 1997; Krijgsman et al., 1999). These deposits are characterized by a
large fossiliferous content (foraminifers, nannofossils, and locally mollusks); their
sedimentation rate is quite reduced as the last 1.2-1.5 Ma preceding the crisis onset have
been recorded by less than 50-60 m (Manzi et al., 2007; 2018).

The sub-basins of the foredeep were characterized by different stratigraphies. During
stage 1, the primary evaporites (PLG) were deposited only in shallow basins, like the
thrust-top VdG basin (Roveri et al., 2003) and in the foreland (Roveri et al., 1986; 1992;
2005; Rossi et al., 2015); in the deeper basin of the Romagna and Marche this interval is
characterized by the deposition of an organic-rich and dolomite-rich foraminifer-barren
shale unit (FBI; Manzi et al., 2007; 2018). During stage 2, the VdG basin was uplifted, the
PLG unit was eroded and resemented in the adjoining basins to form the RLG unit
(Manzi et al., 2005). The stage 3, in this sector, is characterized by the absence of
evaporites and by the deposition of Apennine-derived terrigenous deposits (San Donato,
Colombacci, Laga units; Bassetti et al., 1994; Ricci Lucchi, 1975; 1986; Ricci Lucchi et al.,
2002; Roveri et al., 2001; Milli et al., 2007) containing peculiar hypohaline biota and
showing strong thickness variability, from few meters in the VdG basin up to more than 1 km in the deeper buried portions of the foredeep (Fusignano Fm; Cremonini and Ricci Lucchi, 1982). The return to the fully marine conditions at the base of the Zanclean was sharp and marked by a black, organic-rich horizon (Roveri et al., 2004; 2006).

The outer Umbro-Marchean unit is limited to the south by the Gran Sasso thrust front involving the carbonate units of the Lazio-Abruzzi platforms, the front of the Molise-Lagonegro nappe, and is bounded to the east by the Apulian Platform, including the Gargano high (G; in red in Fig. 1a) that originated mostly in the Late Miocene-Pliocene (Argnani et al., 2009).

In the most elevated portions of the Lazio-Abruzzi platform, the carbonate deposition continued until the salinity crisis. In the Maiella area the Lithothamnion limestone facies, representing the younger term of the Bolognano Fm., was deposited until the lower Messinian (Brandano et al., 2012; Cornacchia et al., 2017); in its upper part, this unit passes gradually to marl deposits containing T. multiloba, whose distribution zone (6.34-5.97 Ma; Sierro et al., 2001; Manzi et al., 2007) is quite close to the onset of the MSC.

Around the Gargano high, on top of the Apula platform, the pre-MSC late Miocene succession is incomplete and poorly age-constrained; it includes shallow water limestone deposits (breccias and calcarenites), the deposition of which is supposed to have been continued until the early Messinian. No evaporites crop out in this area. The pre-crisis unit is capped unconformably by the Gravina calcarenites, a Pliocene unit which age is not strongly constrained.

Moving southward, the Messinian deposits of the outer Umbro-Marchean unit continue into the Bradanic Trough, as shown by boreholes and seismic data, although their place into the stratigraphic framework is still lacking. These deposits are buried under the allochthonous Molise-Lagonegro Nappe, which was emplaced during the Plio-Pleistocene (Patacca and Scandone, 2007; 2011).

3.2 Far-travelled Allochthonous domains

This domain includes all the semiallochthonous geological terranes that during the late Miocene were located in the more inner (western) position of the foredeep and that during the Plio-Pleistocene translated in their present position above the Autochthonous domains (Fig. 1).

3.2.1 Northern Apennines
In the Northern Apennines the Emilia and the Val Marecchia Epiligurian units were deposited over a late Jurassic-lower Eocene Ligurian complex translating (north)eastward over the Tuscany and Umbro-Marchean-Romagna domains. These Epiligurian satellite basins are characterized by Messinian successions similar to those of the VdG basin (Manzi, 2001; Gennari et al., 2013), with thick PLG unit eroded on top and sealed by the uppermost portion of the Lago-Mare unit and by the Pliocene marine clay unit. The PLG lays conformably on a shelf shale succession (Termina, Ca’i Gessi formations; Ruggieri, 1970; Roveri et al., 1999; Gennari et al., 2013) the base of which is locally marked by late Tortonian sandstones (Termina Fm) and conglomerates (Acquaviva Fm), in the Emilia and in the Val Marecchia, respectively. During the Messinian the Epiligurian basins were located in a more internal position with respect to the VdG basin; they reached their current position during the middle Pliocene.

3.2.2 Southern Apennines

In the southern Apennines two main translated domains can be distinguished: the Molise and Lagonegro nappe and the Calabrian Arc.

The Molise-Lagonegro Nappe (Fig. 1) represents the accretionary wedge of the Calabrian Arc (e.g., Argnani, 2005; Casero, 2004; Vitale and Ciarcia, 2013) and consists of a Triassic-lower Miocene tectonic complex including slabs of deep basinal (shale and cherty limestone) and flyschoid deposits (carbonate or siliciclastic turbidites). These units are capped by a relatively less deformed late Miocene-early Pliocene succession (Matano et al., 2005); it is formed by i) a pre-MSC unit including the Faeto flysch and Toppo-Capuana marls; ii) an evaporite unit (Monte Castello Fm) capped by terrigenous unit (Anzano molasse, Torrente Fiumarella unit) and by the Pliocene shallow marine to deltaic deposits (Ariano unit). The evaporites crop out discontinuously but they are well exposed in three localities, Cervaro River, Monte Ferrara and Scampitella quarries (Matano et al., 2007) where, on the basis of gypsum facies (massive, banded and branching selenite) and Sr signature (within stage 1 range) an incomplete succession, up to 50 m-thick, can be recognized. The base of the PLG is poorly exposed but is assumed to be conformable. Conversely, its top is unconformable and overlain by terrigenous deposits containing scarce hypohaline ostracods and mollusks that can be assigned to the stage 3. A reduced succession is found in the outer portion of the Molise allochthonous both in outcrop and subsurface, including blocks of PLG unconformably capped by the Lago-Mare deposits (Cosentino et al., 2018).
The Calabrian Arc (Van Dijk, 2000; Fig. 1) consists of pre-Triassic metamorphic and intrusive units in places with Alpine metamorphism, originally located close to Corsica-Sardinia, were translated south-eastward because of the opening of the Tyrrhenian Sea since the late Tortonian (e.g., Argnani 2005; Cipollari et al., 1999; Kastens et al., 1988).

The Ionian side of the Calabrian Arc is characterized by a late Miocene-Pleistocene succession resting unconformably on the crystalline basement and its Mesozoic-Cenozoic sedimentary cover, or on the Mesozoic-Paleogenic terrigenous units accreted in front of the Calabrian Arc (Van Dijk, 2000; Roveri et al., 2008; Zecchin et al., 2003). The MSC units rest on a late Tortonian-early Messinian marine unit consisting of thin-bedded turbidites, marl and diatomite (Ponda Formation) resting in turn on a fluvio-deltaic conglomerates succession (San Nicola unit) derived from the dismantlement of the crystalline and metamorphic basement. In the Crotone and Rossano basins the deposits consist of a lower clastic carbonate and gypsum deposits (Roveri et al., 2008a; Manzi et al., 2011), belonging to the RLG unit, that rest unconformably above the pre-crisis units and are floored by the MES. Locally an organic-rich evaporitic-free unit barren of foraminifers, representing the deep time-equivalent of the stage 1 evaporites, is preserved (Roveri et al., 2008d). Above the resedimented gypsum unit a hybrid (gypsum, carbonate and siliciclastic) unit including halite lenses is present (detritico-salina unit; Roda, 1964), in turn capped by a fluvio-deltaic unit with Lago-Mare faunal associations including conglomerate lenticular bodies (Carvane unit, Roda, 1964). The end of the crisis is marked by the deposition of lower Pliocene open marine marls (Cavaliere marls) followed by the siliciclastic deposits of the Belvedere Fm (Roda, 1964; Van Dijk, 2000).

4. METHODS

In this work we have considered 1341 boreholes belonging to the offshore zones of the Adriatic and Ionian Sea (offshore zones A, B, D, F in the ViDEPI database) and the onshore Autochthonous Domain. An extended version of the methods is provided in the supplementary document. Among the 1341 boreholes:
- 642 do not cross the MSC units because are drilled in younger or older deposits;
- 363 do not cross the MSC interval because of an erosional hiatus; in the supplementary documents (tab. S1 and kml file), these boreholes are grouped on the basis of the pre-crisis unit ages;
cross the MSC; in the supplementary documents (tab. S1 and klm file), these boreholes are grouped according to the crossed evaporite deposits. We focused of the late Tortonian-early Pliocene stratigraphic interval in order to reconstruct the distribution of the deposits associated with the Messinian salinity crisis along the Adriatic foredeep.

5. THE OUTCROPPING MSC UNITS

Here we will briefly describe the main physical and sedimentological characters of the different evaporitic units as they appear in outcrop; these features can be useful in the interpretation of the borehole logs.

5.1 Primary bottom-grown gypsum (PLG unit; stage 1)

Due to its peculiar characters the PLG unit is easily recognizable in the field. The complete succession forms large-scale tabular bodies with a thickness of 200 m or more (Fig. 2a,b) and includes up to 16 gypsum beds separated by thin (typically 1-3 m) intervals of dark euxinic shales (Fig. 3a). The internal organization, which is maintained over large distances, is characterized by (Lugli et al., 2010; Fig. 3a): i) two lowermost thin (<10m) gypsum beds (PLG1-2) with giant crystals massive selenite showing a lateral transition to limestone (Manzi et al., 2013); ii) three intermediate very thick (up to 35 m) and very lateral persistent gypsum beds (PLG3-5) with massive and banded selenite facies; iii) up to 11 thick (10-15 m) gypsum bed (PLG6-16) showing the presence of branching selenite in the upper part of the beds. Despite the variation in absolute thickness, the relative thickness of the gypsum beds remains rather constant in the different basins and the presence of the intermediate cluster formed by the thickest beds (PLG3-5; Fig. 13 in Lugli et al., 2010; Fig. 2b, 3a) can be easily identified, thus, representing a key horizon useful for stratigraphic correlations.

The gypsum facies, are characterized by a different resistance to weathering with a characteristic erosional profile. The PLG1-5 gypsum beds being made up by massive coarse and interlocked gypsum crystals (massive and banded facies) with sharp upper and lower boundaries. The gypsum beds of the upper cycles (PLG6-16) may show relatively smoother tops due to the presence of the more erodible branching selenite facies containing a larger amount of limestone and/or shale (Fig. 3b).

The PLG deposits rest conformably on hemipelagic or shelf shale and are erosionally cut at the top by the MES.
5.2 Gypsum and hybrid clastic deposits (RLG unit; stage 2)

The RLG unit is floored by the MES; it rests unconformably on pre-crisis deposits but locally, in the basinal areas where the MES pass down basin to its correlative conformity surface (MES-cc), a barren organic-rich shale interval (FBI) is present below the unit (Manzi et al., 2007). The RLG evaporites form tens of m-thick lenticular or tabular bodies (Fig. 2c) characterized by a great variability of clastic facies that can be grouped as follows (Manzi et al., 2005; 2011).

5.2.1 Mass wasting gypsum-bearing deposits (RLG1)

This group includes mass-wasting deposits, submarine glides, slides and slumps, cohesive flows (facies R0, R1 of Manzi et al., 2005). These deposits include heterometric PLG-derived gypsum block and chaotic shale. They are characterized by individual lenticular beds, with irregular bases and tops, forming wedge-shape bodies close to the main tectonic slopes, e.g. the large slope complex found close to the structural high boarding the VdG basin (Roveri et al., 2003) similar PLG-bearing chaotic bodies emplaced during the stage 2 of the crisis are described in seismic also at the front of the Ligurian nappe close to Reggio Emilia (Rossi et al., 2002) and in the Cortemaggiore wedge-top basin (Artoni et al., 2007).

5.2.2 gypsum-bearing turbidites (RLG2)

This group includes the gypsum-bearing gravity flow deposits (granular flows and high- to low-density turbidity currents; facies R2 to R7 of Manzi et al., 2005) commonly consisting of m-thick composite graded beds showing a lower coarser-grained (rudite or arenite) gypsum-bearing division capped by a finer-grained one mostly composed by gypsiltite or shale (Fig. 3c). Commonly these beds show a good lateral persistency and limited thickness (Fig. 2c). Carbonate and terrigenous sandstone clasts recycled from older deposits may be found in the coarser-grained interval. The base of these beds is commonly sharp and the top is smooth due to the normal gradation and the transition to the shale interval.

5.3 Primary halite and gypsum deposits (RLG unit; stage 2)

These deposits can only be observed where diapirs crop out or in mines in Calabria (Crotone basin), Sicily (Caltanissetta basin) and Tuscany (Volterra basin) otherwise they are absent in the rest of the Apennines. Halite forms lenticular bodies with local thickness up to 600 m due to intense halotectonics. Internally they consist of dm-thick beds separated by thin anhydrite or shale horizons; thin K-Mg rich salt beds are locally found in the middle part of the halite bodies (Lugli et al., 1999; Manzi et al., 2012).
5.4 post evaporitic deposits (Lago-Mare unit; stage 3)

The primary gypsum deposits of the Upper Gypsum unit (UG; Manzi et al., 2009) occur only in the Caltanissetta basin (Sicily), capping the RLG unit. In the Calabrian arc and in the rest of the Apennines the RLG is capped by thick terrigenous fine-grained deposits including a rhyolitic volcaniclastic key-bed described in paragraph 2 and showing a coarser-grained upper portion (p-ev\textsubscript{2} unit) including conglomerates (Cusercoli Fm, Romagna, Roveri et al., 1998, 2006; Carvane unit, Crotone basin, Calabria, Roda, 1964), sandstones and thin limestone layers (so called colombacci). The Lago-Mare biota are mostly distributed in the p-ev\textsubscript{2} unit and in its time equivalent, upper half, portion of the Upper Gypsum unit. The end of the crisis is marked everywhere by the sudden transition to fully marine deposits, commonly preceded by a dark shale horizon (Roveri et al., 2006).

6. CRITERIA FOR THE LOG INTERPRETATION OF THE MSC UNITS IN THE SUBSURFACE

The 1341 boreholes drilled in the study area can be grouped as follows on the basis of the crossed deposits (see tab. S1):

**Boreholes not crossing the MSC** - 642 boreholes did not cross the salinity crisis deposits because drilled within younger or older deposits. The latter have been useful in the definition of the extension of the Allochthonous terrains.

**Boreholes with hiatus including the MSC** - In 363 boreholes the crisis interval is represented by an erosional hiatus of variable amplitude. For those boreholes where the late Tortonian-early Messinian is present, the recognition of shelf carbonates, hemipelagites and turbidites allows a rough distinction between shallow vs. deep pre-MSC deposits.

**Boreholes crossing the MSC** - The Messinian interval has been crossed in 336 boreholes. In this case, a first distinction is based on the presence or absence of evaporitic deposits. A further distinction is based on the different characteristics of evaporitic deposits.

The different lithologic units belonging to the late Tortonian-early Pliocene interval crossed by the boreholes have been distinguished on the basis of their typical characteristics observed from the geophysical logs, gamma ray (GR), resistivity (RES) and sonic (Δt) as reported in tab. S2. First, the evaporites can be easily distinguished from the siliciclastic and hemipelagic deposits not only directly (cuttings analysis) but also indirectly on the basis of geophysical logs especially for the higher resistivity, lower Δt and...
lower gamma-ray (with the exception of the K-Mg salts). Then, among the evaporites a further distinction between primary gypsum, clastic gypsum and halite deposits can be obtained on the basis of the different values and vertical pattern observed in the gamma ray, resistivity and sonic logs.

A main subdivision includes three main group of rocks.

6.1 Evaporite-free intervals

These intervals consist mostly of clay or marl deposits containing minor sandstone or carbonate horizons devoid of evaporites. The intervals are commonly characterized by very low (<10 Ωm) resistivity, relatively high gamma ray (50-100 API units) and Δt (60-200 μs/ft). The presence of sandstone or carbonate can be highlighted by small increase of resistivity and decrease of gamma ray and Δt. The pattern of geophysical logs has commonly a monotonous trend, local spikes are recorded where thin sand or carbonate layers are crossed.

6.2 Gypsum-rich intervals

Gypsum-rich intervals are characterized by high resistivity (200-600 Ωm), low gamma ray (0-10 API units) and low Δt (45-50 μs/ft). Among them the primary deposits (PLG) can be easily distinguished from the clastic ones (RLG) based on the log patterns:

6.2.1 Primary Lower Gypsum intervals (PLG)

The PLG unit is characterized by a peculiar blocky pattern obtained by thin spikes of low resistivity/high gamma ray that punctuate a high resistivity/low gamma ray base line, that reflect the lithological composition of the succession (Lugli et al., 2010; Sampalmieri et al., 2008; 2010). These features allow the recognition and count of the cycles from the geophysical logs that can be used for stratigraphic correlations. In particular the typical stacking pattern can be recognized from logs (e.g. Patrizia_001, Fiona_001, Morgia_001 boreholes; Fig. S1): two thin (< 10 m) lowermost cycles (PLG1-2), three very thick and massive cycles (PLG3-5) and up to 11 medium (10-15 m) cycles (PLG-6-16). In the geophysical logs, PLG-1-5, consisting of massive and banded selenite facies only, show commonly both sharp bases and tops, whereas PLG-6-16 beds, due to the presence of the branching selenite, may show a sharp base but a smoother top.

6.2.1 Resedimented Lower Gypsum intervals (RLG)

The RLG unit (e.g. Thurio_001 and Dalila_001 boreholes; Fig. S1) is characterized by a (finely) spiky pattern obtained from a thin alternation of spikes with high resistivity/low gamma ray (gypsum) and spikes with low resistivity/high gamma ray (clays). As shown in the previous paragraph the clastic gypsum beds are thinner with respect to the PLG beds.
6.3 Salt-rich intervals

A very high resistivity (~10000 Ohm.m) identifies the salt-rich interval (e.g. Thurio_001 borehole; Fig. S1). The alternation of thin halite, gypsum and clay may result in a spikey pattern whereas massive halite may produce a blocky one. Halite is commonly characterized by low gamma ray values (0-10 API units) whereas K-salts can be highlighted by higher values (100-200 API units). $\Delta t$ is commonly low (60-75 $\mu$s/ft).

7. RESULTS

7.1 Reconstruction of the Adriatic evaporitic basin

The boreholes used in this work are those crossing the salinity crisis interval represented by sediments or by hiatus. Two main groups can be distinguished (Fig. 4a):

*The Adriatic foreland units* - These deposits resting on the Autochthonous Domain and covered by the Plio-Pleistocene succession are found in the more external domains that were only partially involved in the Apennine deformation, the foredeep and foreland ramp basins (below the Adriatic Sea).

In the Southern Apennines these units include deposits that rest on the Autochthonous Domain (Fig. 1b), and in particular on the Apulian Platform domain, but are tectonically overlain by the units of the Translated domains. The Adriatic foredeep and foreland units have been found in the Southern Apennines and were reached below the Molise-Lagonegro Nappe allochthonous units. The post-MSC successions is absent or reduced because of the allochthonous thrusting above the MSC units; it becomes progressively more complete toward the external zones on the foredeep, allowing the reconstruction of Far-travelled Allochthonous domains migration during the Pliocene (Patacca and Scandone, 2007; Bigi et al., 2013).

*Translated MSC units* – These deposits resting on the Far-travelled Allochthonous and covered by the Plio-Pleistocene succession are found in the buried basins of the Calabrian Arc and in the north-eastern portion of the Molise-Lagonegro Nappe.

A reconstruction of the early Tortonian-Messinian stratigraphy obtained by the analysis of the boreholes data is here proposed separated into three time intervals (Fig. 4 b,c,d):

*Pre-MSC (Tortonian-Messinian; 8.50-5.97 Ma; Fig. 4b)*

The large part of the pre-MSC deposits of the Autochthonous Domain is characterized by the deposition of fine-grained (marls and sapropels) hemipelagic deposits whereas the deposition of the Tortonian-Messinian siliciclastic turbidites is limited to the western portion
of the northern Apennines foredeep and in the outer Marnoso-arenacea and Laga basins.

Shelf carbonate deposits are found in a small area extending in a WNW-ESE direction from the Gran-Sasso-Maiella area to the northern Gargano (between Pescara and Foggia). Interbedded hemipelagic and shelf terrigenous deposits (clays with sandstone lobes) were deposited in the eastern basins of the Calabrian Arc (Roda, 1964; Roveri et al., 1992).

Stage 1 (5.97-5.60 Ma; Fig. 4c)

During the first stage the deposition of the PLG unit is limited to: i) the wedge-top basins of the Autochthonous Domain, ii) the wedge top basins translating above the Ligurian and Molise-Lagonegro nappes and ii) to the Adriatic foreland basins (Fig. 1).

The best example in the wedge-top basins of the Autochthonous domains is found in the Vena del Gesso basin (Roveri et al., 2003) where the reference section for the evaporites of the stage 1 is present (Monte Tondo section; Lugli et al., 2010). In the satellite basins developed above the allochthonous units, three main areas can be distinguished: Marecchia river valley, Irpinia and Molise. In the Marecchia river valley (Gennari et al., 2013) and in Irpinia (Matano et al., 2005) the PLG unit rests conformably above a pre-MSC shelfal shale succession. Conversely in the Molise area Cosentino et al. (2018), having observed that the PLG unit rests indistinctly above the Varicolored Clays (Cretaceous-Paleogene) or the Faeto flysch (Aquitanian-lower Messinian) deposits, suggested the presence of an unconformity at its base. In the area south-west of Termoli, between the Saccione and the Trigno rivers, 13 boreholes crossed an evaporite unit that can be assigned to PLG on the basis of the analogies in term of thickness and trend of the geophysical logs with that drilled a few km to the north resting above the Autochthonous Domain. It is worth noting that 5 out of the 13 boreholes reached the PLG on the top of the Apulian succession below the allochthonous units. As correctly reported by Cosentino et al. (2018) the PLG above the Molise-Lagonegro Nappe is commonly found resting above a clayey succession of not well-defined age. However, considering that the PLG unit crops out in small isolated blocks at the front of the Molise-Lagonegro Nappe (e.g. Stingeti and Gessaro; Cosentino et al., 2018) and that it is present in the foreland below the Molise-Lagonegro Nappe, a different interpretation could be suggested. The PLG could have been accreted at the front of the Molise-Lagonegro Nappe when the allochthonous units translated over the foreland, where the unit rests conformably above the AD; in this view the base of the PLG cannot be considered an unconformity.
The Adriatic foreland succession is characterized by a main depocenter located in the Adriatic offshore between the Gargano and the Conero Riviera (Ori et al., 1986; Roveri et al., 2005; Corcagnani, 2017). Here, several boreholes crossing the PLG unit allowed the reconstruction of 6 correlation panels (Fig. 5) and 4 isopach maps (Fig. 6).

The PLG commonly overlays hemipelagic deposits, but in the Gran-Sasso-Maiella area it rests above shelf carbonates developed since the early Miocene; thus, suggesting the presence of shallow-waters environment well before the MSC onset. In the other areas no PLG are found. Based on outcrop (Northern Apennines, Manzi et al., 2007; Conero Riviera, Iaccarino et al., 2008; Calabria, Roveri et al., 2008d) and subsurface data in the Northern Adriatic foredeep (Rossi et al., 2015) an organic-rich, dolomitic-rich, foraminifers-barren shale unit can be found in the area where the PLG unit is absent (Fig. 4b).

The peculiar pattern of the PLG successions observed in outcrops and described in the previous paragraphs, allows the recognition in the offshore of the individual cycles from boreholes. The correlations between the boreholes showing the best geophysical logs (see Fig. 5) have been traced along three NW-SE-oriented panels (sections 1, 2 and 3) and three panels perpendicular to the previous ones (sections 4, 5 and 6) in order to show the internal variations of the unit. The cluster formed by the thickest cycles, PLG3-5, can be easily recognized; it is continuous all along the sections providing a helpful tool for stratigraphic correlations. The lowermost cycles PLG1-2 have been detected in several sections; thus, confirming the conformable character of the base of the PLG. Conversely, the unit appear truncated on top by the MES and sealed by the Lago-Mare or directly by the Pliocene deposits; the latter become younger eastward, as described in the Conero area (Ori et al., 1986; Roveri et al., 1986; 2005). Because of this upper truncation the entire succession is rarely preserved. The most complete successions are found in the southern area (Morgia-001 dir, Bomba-001 and Fontemaggiore-002 dir boreholes) where up to 16 cycles can be recognized.

The analysis of the variation of the thickness of individual beds can be performed for the lower cycles only. In fig. 6 it is possible to appreciate the variation of the thickness of PLG1+2, PLG3 and PLG4, each one up to 40 m-thick. The thickness decreases northward, close to the Abruzzo coastline where the Apulian platform deepens (Santantonio et al., 2013; Trincardi et al., 2011c) below the Pescara basin (Ori et al., 1986), filled in mostly during the Plio-Pleistocene. Unfortunately, no boreholes are available in this area and thus it is possible to follow the PLG further to the west only on the ViDEPI seismic lines (e.g. B-415, B-416, B-417, B-418, B-439, B-440 from ViDEPI; the
subsurface map in Trincardi et al., 2011c) and thus no data on the internal organization of
the evaporites can be inferred. In the Conero Riviera (Roveri et al., 1986; 2005) the unit
ends eastward against a structural high that has been subsequently incorporated in the
Conero thrusts. In general, it is possible to recognize a decrease in thickness of the beds,
in section 2, in the western-central part of the basin. Conversely, the larger thicknesses
are mostly found in the southeastern part. This suggest that the bed thickness is
decreasing with the paleodepth as suggested by Lugli et al. (2007; 2010).

Close to the Adriatic midline, the cycles remain relatively thick. Unfortunately, no boreholes
are available beyond the midline, and consequently it is not possible to see how the
thickness of the unit and of its individual beds vary further eastward.

The lateral continuity of the PLG is deduced from the seismic profiles and from the
geological maps available for the Adriatic offshore (Roveri et al., 2005; Trincardi et al.,
2001; 2011a-e; Corcagnani, 2017) that show the absence of major tectonic structures and
an almost horizontal bedding. It follows that the thickness obtained from the boreholes can
be used for the reconstruction of the isopach maps (Fig. 6b, c, d). The PLG1+2 beds are
relatively thin and have been grouped together. PLG3 and PLG4 have been considered in
separate maps. No map has been reconstructed for the overlying beds because they are
not continuous all along the study area due to erosion at the top.

The preservation of the complete succession in the southwestern area between the Gran
Sasso and the Gargano can be explained in terms of evolution of the foredeep. During the
pre-MSC this area was shallow, and shelf carbonate deposits accumulated, while
hemipelagic deposits were deposited more to the north. During stage 2 and later this area
experienced a rapid subsidence that can be related to the flexure of the foreland ramp due
to the load of the eastward migrating Apennine chain; thus, the present-day depth of the
PLG unit has been reached long after their deposition.

The upper cycles are characterized by a slightly attenuated log response with respect to
the PLG3-5 cycles. This can be related to the presence of branching selenite facies that
contains shale and/or limestone, making the upper portion of the upper cycles, less
resistant to the erosion with respect to the lower cycles. These differences may have
implications in the production of the resedimented evaporites after the erosion of the PLG
unit; the upper cycles are more suitable to provide sand-sized detritus whereas the lower
cycles provide more easily large blocks (Manzi et al., 2005). We infer that the erosion of
the upper cycles may have provided a detritus with a grain-size suitable to be transported
and redeposited by turbiditic flows in the deeper portion of the foredeep.
Stage 2+3 (5.60-5.33 Ma; Fig. 4d)

These two stages are considered together because the stratigraphic resolution of the logs does not allow to define with precision the boundary between the two stages. During stage 2 the previously deposited PLG unit were eroded and resedimented in the deeper portions of the foredeep (Marche and Laga basins), in the Bradanic Through and in the wedge-top basins of the Calabrian Arc.

In general, the resedimented gypsum present at the base of the MSC succession and resting unconformably above Tortonian-early Messinian shale deposits can be assigned to stage 2. The presence of halite lenses intercalated with clastic gypsum has been recognized only in boreholes drilled above the allochthonous units of the Calabrian Arc (fig. 4d). The only exception is found in a small area in the Basilicata region, described below.

Evaporite-free deposits containing typical hypohaline biological association are comprised between the clastic evaporites, below, and the Pliocene, above, and can be assigned to stage 3. The Lago-Mare biota could be present also in the stage 2 deposits but become more abundant in stage 3 (Roveri et al., 2008c); the direct recognition in boreholes indicate a relatively high abundance of biota, suggesting an assignment to stage 3 rather than to stage 2.

7.2 The Messinian Apennines: distribution of the MSC deposits

The distribution of the different evaporitic facies in the Adriatic foredeep led to depict more clearly the geological evolution of the Apennines during and after the MSC. The integration of outcrop and borehole data has been the base for the reconstruction of two borehole-based regional-scale geological sections (Fig. 7) extending from the Tyrrhenian to the Adriatic sides of the Apennines.

A geological section (Fig. 7a) extending S-N from the Salerno gulf up to the Central Adriatic Sea shows the relationships between the Allochthonous units of the Apennine orogenic wedge and the Autochthonous Domain. According to the boreholes stratigraphy, along this section the Far-travelled Allochthonous domains is a tectonic accretionary complex consisting of undifferentiated Miocene deposits including varicolored shale (Sicilids), quartzarenite (Numidian Flysch), cherty limestone, late Tortonian-early Miocene marls and minor thin layers of clastic gypsum and carbonate. The precipitation of the primary bottom-grown gypsum during the stage 1 occurred in the more elevated structural settings: in the piggy-back basins above the northeastward moving Molise-Lagonegro
Allochthonous units and in the Adriatic foreland (Matano et al., 2005; Roveri et al., 2005).
The boreholes that reached the Autochthonous Domain below the Molise-Lagonegro Nappe show that the deposition of the PLG is limited to an area located to the north (fig. 1) characterized by pre-MSC shallow water carbonate deposits. This structural elevated area on the Apula Platform, here called “paleogargano” and mostly corresponding with the Gargano-Pelagosa paleo sill of Pellen et al., 2017, was located close to the present-day Gargano high (G fig. 6a) and confined the Adriatic PLG basin to the south. To the north of the sill a large Adriatic evaporitic basin hosted the deposition of the PLG (Fig. 4c), from the Termoli area (Guglionesi 001 borehole) up to Adriatic midline (Bora 001 borehole) and even more to the east.

Moving south of the “paleogargano sill” the MSC deposits disappear for a 50 km-long tract where the Mesozoic carbonates are deeply eroded and capped by Pliocene deposits. In order to find other Messinian deposits, it is necessary to move more to the south, where PLG evaporites are absent and only clastic evaporites of the RLG unit have been reached by boreholes crossing the whole Molise-Lagonegro Nappe (from Montestillo 001 to Taurasi 001).

The W-E section (Fig. 7b), perpendicular to the previous one, shows more clearly the large subsidence experienced by Apula under the load of the Allochthonous units, where the RLG units are capped by up to 200 m of Lago-Mare deposits (Bellaveduta 001). It is worth noting the direct fault system that lowered the western side of Apula in the Bradanic Trough. The section reports the Irpinia basin where the PLG accumulated, on top of the Allochthonous units. Conversely, below the TA, only clastic evaporites are present (from Bellaveduta 001 to Taurasi 001). The zoom of the allochthonous front, in fig. 7c, shows the deformations of Apula and the stratigraphic hiatus below the Pliocene deposits.

A slightly different situation can be described for the Basilicata area (Fig. 8). Here the evaporites, consisting of clastic gypsum and/or halite (Recoleta 001, Cavone Bernalda 001, S. Basilio 001) are found in the allochthonous units overthrusting the late Pliocene marine deposits. No evaporites are found directly above the Mesozoic carbonates of Apula that are unconformably covered by Pliocene deposits, which are progressively younger (from early to upper Pliocene) moving from Letizia 001 to F. Basento 001). The Messinian evaporites can thus be considered here as foredeep units accreted at the front of the Molise-Lagonegro Nappe as they have been deposited more to the west and at a greater depth than their present-day location.
We have also reconstructed two regional-scale seismic sections in the northern and central Apennines (Fig. 9) in order to better show the distribution of the evaporites in the Adriatic foreland. In the northern Apennines (Fig. 9a) we have reconstructed a seismic section, extending in a SSW-NNE direction from the Vena del Gesso Basin to the Adriatic foreland in the Veneto area, by integration of two published seismic sections (section 5 of Fantoni et al., 2010; section SL-1 of Roveri et al., 2003). The PLG deposits are limited to the more elevated positions, in the wedge-top VdG basin, where they crop out, and in the foreland only in a limited portion beyond the more external thrust involving the Mesozoic succession with its hangingwall anticline is now located below the city of Ferrara.

Conversely, the more subsiding area saw the deposition of a thick terrigenous turbidites unit (Fusignano Fm; Cremonini and Ricci Lucchi, 1982), that includes resedimented gypsum deposits at its base, laying unconformably above the late Tortonian-early Messinian deposits or, in the deeper portion of the foredeep, conformably above an organic- and dolomitic-rich shale interval representing stage 1 (PLG time-equivalent deposits; Manzi et al., 2007; Rossi et al., 2015).

In the central Apennines we have reconstructed a second seismic section (Fig. 9c) that integrating two published sections (fig. 1b of Bigi et al., 2011; fig. 6 of Wrigley et al., 2015) and 5 seismic lines available from the ViDEPI database (Fig. 9b). In this section it is possible to appreciate the great extension of the Adriatic evaporitic units. The integration of seismic and borehole data allows to recognize the conformable base and the unconformable top of the evaporitic unit eroded by the MES. In the eastern side, the PLG unit is limited by a deep thrust belonging to the external Dinaric front and involving the more external portions of the Mesozoic carbonate platform and the Oligo-Miocene succession; more eastward the MSC units are no longer present. Moving to the western termination of the PLG basin a change in the seismic geometries is observed across a thrust fault few km west of the Dante_001 borehole. Beyond this structure the reflector marking the base of the PLG is lacking and the MES cuts down to the pre-MSC units and is onlapped by post-evaporitic deposits which become older to the west up to include the late Messinian terms of the Laga Formation (stage 2 and 3). Gypsum-clastic deposits (indicated with G in Fig. 9b) are found in boreholes at the base of this MES-floored post-evaporitic unit. The MSC units become thicker moving further to the west, in the depocenter of the Laga basin where a 2500-3000 m-thick turbiditic unit was deposited during the whole Messinian (Bigi et al., 2009; Artoni, 2003); around 700 m of this unit was deposited during the post-evaporitic interval (stage 2+3).
8. DISCUSSION

8.1. Implication for tectonic reconstructions

The distribution of the evaporites provides some important constraints that can be used for the restoration of the Apennines in the Messinian.

A first constraint comes from the presence of the MSC deposits below the Molise-Lagonegro Nappe, which implies a restoration of the allochthonous front up to 100 km to the south (fig. 7a) and to the west (fig. 7b), thus a minimum total retreat of the front of the Molise-Lagonegro Nappe up to 65 km to the SW.

A second important constraint comes from the PLG distribution. At present time the elevation of the PLG above the allochthonous domains reach more than 750 m above sea level in the Irpinia basins, and around 100 m in the Biferno Valley (Cosentino et al., 2018). In the Adriatic offshore the PLG are at different depths varying from almost 2 km below sea level in the south part of the basin and around 800-1000 m in the northern one. Since the deposition of the PLG occurred in photic environment in shallow water basins (<200 m according to Lugli et al., 2010), it is possible to reconstruct the palaeobathymetry of the different sectors. This allows to reconstruct the vertical movements that affected the different sectors of the Apennines. For instance, the Irpinia basin have been uplifted of more than 900 m since the PLG time, likely because of the overthrusting of the Molise-Lagonegro nappe above the Apula Platform; the latter, due to the load of the Allochthonous domains subsided rapidly more than 1500 m.

Integrating published paleotectonic reconstructions (Argnani, 2005; 2013; Vai, 2016) with the constraints obtained from the distribution of the MSC deposits we can outline a palaeogeographical reconstruction that account for the depositional environments during the salinity crisis (see the paleogeographic map in Fig. 10).

The paleogeographic map refers to the stage 2 of the MSC, but also includes the location of the PLG (stage 1) in order to show the relationships between the shallow depositional areas of PLG that represent the source of the clastic evaporites deposited in the deeper basins (e.g. Apennine foredeep and deep wedge top basins) during stage 2, as described below.

To appreciate the contribution of the detailed Messinian stratigraphy and facies analysis three main steps in the evolution of the Apennines can be considered.

Pre-MSC (8-5.97 Ma)
This interval is very important to understand the evolution of the Apennines because it includes an important phase of tectonic reorganization of the Mediterranean area that is marked by the widespread deposition of coarser grained siliciclastic deposits (Fontanellic member of the Marnoso-arenacea, Fm Northern Apennines, Ricci Lucchi, 1975; Roveri et al., 2003; Laga Fm., Central Apennines, Ricci Lucchi, 1975; S. Nicola dall’Alto conglomerates, Calabria, Roda, 1974; Terravecchia Fm, Sicily, Ruggieri and Torre, 1984) followed by a phase of tectonic quiescence that preceded the onset of the crisis and that is characterized by the predominant deposition of hemipelagic (Schlier, Tripoli, euxinic shales Fms) and, locally, shelf carbonate deposits (Fig. 4).

**Stage 1 (5.97-5.60 Ma)**

This interval is characterized by the deposition of PLG deposits in shallow-water (<200 m; Lugli et al., 2010), silled basins formed in the fold-and-thrust belt (wedge-top basins) and possibly in the foreland (Figs. 4, 10). Compared with the other Mediterranean areas where the unit crops out, the Adriatic basin is much larger (see comparison in tab. S1). Additional smaller occurrences of PLG deposits above the foreland, are found in basins located both onshore (EV basin) and offshore (between Ravenna and the Po river delta). All these basins containing PLG can be considered to have an average paleo water-depth of 100 m. At the same time, in the deeper poorly oxygenated portion of the basins an organic-rich barren shale unit is found in the northern Apennines (Manzi et al., 2007), Calabria (Roveri et al., 2008d), Sicily (Manzi et al., 2011) and in the Tyrrhenian (Roveri et al., 2014a), Piedmont (Dela Pierre et al., 2011), and Levant basins (Manzi et al., 2018).

**Stage 2+3 (5.60-5.33 Ma)**

After stage 1, a new important tectonic phase possibly enhanced by a sea level drop, for which magnitude, timing and duration are still debated (see paragraph 2.1 MSC stages), was responsible for the incision of the PLG deposits and their resedimentation in the topographic lows via gypsum-bearing slides, olistostromes and turbidity currents. This time interval is also characterized by strong evaporation, possible related to a further restriction of the connections with the Ocean, testified by the accumulation of a large volume of halite in the deepest depocenters. All these evaporites, resting on the MES, are included in the RLG unit (Roveri et al., 2008a). What really happened in this phase is a highly controversial point in the MSC debate. However, one of the proposed scenarios envisaging that increased evaporation led to the formation of halite-saturated brines in shallow-water settings which moved as density currents toward the deeper basins of the Mediterranean (Roveri et al, 2014c), fits well with the observations in the study area. It is
worth noting, in fact, that halite has not been found in situ above the Apulian Platform, but it
is present in some sectors of the Calabrian Arc (e.g., the Crotone basin), in those area that
were deeper during the pre-MSC and stage 1 (Figs. 4, 10). Following this interpretation, a
thick halite unit was deposited in the deep Ionian basin and in its western sector. The
halite unit was subsequently accreted at the front of the Calabrian Arc during the south-
eastward migration of the arc that occurred in the Plio-Quaternary (e.g. Gutsher et al.,
2017).

In the Apennine outcrops the RLG unit is overlain by thick terrigenous deposits
(Fusignano, San Donato, Colombacci, Laga, Carvane Fm) including the typical brackish
Lago-Mare biological association in its upper part. Notably, in Sicily, this interval is
characterized by the deposition of the Upper Gypsum deposits.

During the uppermost Messinian, the Apennine foredeep has been sometimes considered
to be segmented in small perched basins (Bache et al. 2012, Pellen et al., 2017 and
reference therein), completely isolated from the Mediterranean by the Gargano-Pelagosa
sill. On the contrary, in our reconstruction (Fig. 10) the Adriatic and Ionian water masses
were connected, although the depocentral part of the Apennine foredeep (during stage 2)
was confined to the south by the allochthonous units that were encroaching the Apulian
Platform. Our analysis of the ViDEPI dataset allows to define a large area (pink area in
Fig. 04 d) where the evaporites are buried below the Molise-Lagonegro Nappe (Fig. 7). On
the basis of log patterns, the unit can be interpreted as clastic evaporites (RLG), similar to
those extending the Romagna to the Laga basin (Manzi et al., 2005). Conversely, the
evaporites found above the Molise-Lagonegro Nappe belong to the PLG, deposited during
stage 1.

Therefore, it can be inferred that the Molise-Lagonegro Nappe during stage 1 was close to
sea level, because of its thrusting onto the Apulian Platform. On the contrary, the stage 2
deposits in the Crotone basin, resting above the allochthonous units, indicate a deep water
depositional environment.

The black arrows in Fig. 10 are intended to illustrate the inferred routing of clastic
sediments, without implying a precise path. The same applies to the red arrows that depict
possible flow paths of salt brine feeding the deep basin, coming from the adjacent shallow
marine areas where the brine factories are inferred to be located. The slopes of the halite
basins are studded by canyons (e.g. Lofi, 2018) which can act as potential fairways for the
clastic gypsum turbidites and the salt brines.
It is worth noting that borehole data do not allow to reconstruct the high-resolution stratigraphic framework for the stage 3 which was obtained from the outcropping successions. It follows that, the distribution of the Lago-Mare sediments below the Molise-Lagonegro Nappe can not be defined.

8.2. The distribution of the PLG deposits at the Mediterranean scale

Another important output derived from the analysis of the evaporite deposits in the Mediterranean is represented by the reconstruction of the area where the PLG deposits accumulated during the first stage of the crisis. The area where these evaporites have been preserved from erosion in the Adriatic and Emilia shallow foreland settings (Fig. 1) can be estimated to be ~30'000 km².

A comparison with the other PLG basins in the Mediterranean, taking a rough calculation based on the present-day PLG distribution from literature (Tab. S1), suggests that the areal extent of the deposits in the Adriatic region may have been greater than the sum of all the other PLG basins of the entire Mediterranean, which is about 45'000 km². The occurrence of such a large area of PLG deposition can be related to the tectonic setting that provided a large shallow-water basin isolated from the main clastic supplies of Alpine and Apennines provenance, which accumulated in the deeper foredeep settings.

Moreover, the preservation of the PLG deposits was favored by the subsidence that affected the Adriatic foreland after stage 2, related to the load of the eastward migrating Apennine thrust belt.

9. CONCLUSIONS

After the public release of the subsurface data obtained for hydrocarbons investigations in Italy, a large number of boreholes and seismic data have been made available. The analyses and the integration of these data allowed to reconstruct with an unprecedent detail the distribution of the MSC evaporites and evaporite-free deposits and the evolution of the Apennines chain. The main conclusions of our work are:

- during stage 1 the deposition of the evaporites was limited to the marginal basins located in the Apennines wedge-top and foreland;
- the Adriatic foreland basin represents the largest evaporitic marginal basin of the Mediterranean ever described;
- in the Adriatic foreland the PLG unit rests conformably above hemipelagites or shallow-water carbonates;
the geophysical logs allow to recognize and count the evaporite cycles from the
boreholes, providing a 3D reconstruction of the PLG succession;
- the thicker, more complete and better preserved PLG successions are located in the
western portion of the Adriatic basins; their preservation was favored by the
subsidence due to the foreland flexure induced by the progressive load of the
Apennine orogen during the Plio-Pleistocene;
- the PLG unit is truncated on top by the MES, which is in turn sealed by the latest
Messinian Lago Mare deposits or by the Pliocene;
- the MES can be followed from the top of the PLG unit toward the base of the Late
Messinian-early Pliocene succession; clastic gypsum deposits are locally found
above it;
- in the deeper portion of the Apennine foredeep (central and northern Apennines)
gypsum is a minor component of the siliciclastic turbidite fill;
- within the orogenic edifice, the halite deposition is limited to small satellite basins
above the Calabrian Arc (Basilicata area, Crotone basin) where it is associated to
clastic gypsum.
- the distribution of the evaporites provides an estimation of the vertical and horizontal
movements that affected the Autochthonous and Allochthonous settings of the
Apennines thrust-belt and foreland system after the MSC.
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Figure captions

Fig.1. A) Geological map of the Central and Southern Apennines and, in the inset (B), a schematic structural map of Italy. The map includes: i) the location of the boreholes used for this study; ii) the main MSC basins, both outcropping (after Manzi, 2001; Roveri et al., 2003; Manzi et al., 2005; Roveri et al., 2006) and buried under the Po plain (after Manzi, 2001; Roveri et al., 2003; Rizzini, 2005; Ghielmi et al., 2013; Rossi et al., 2015); iii) the extension in the Adriatic offshore of the MSC deposits (modified from CNR map) below the Pliocene units; iv) the main tectonic structures (modified from CNR map); v) the main diapirs of Triassic evaporites and the distribution of the Dalmatian Mesozoic Platform deposit (modified after Wrigley et al., 2015); vi) the extension in the Adriatic offshore of the MSC deposits (modified from CNR map) below the Pliocene units; vii) the location of the boreholes used for this study.

The main MSC basins, both outcropping (after Manzi, 2001; Roveri et al., 2003; Manzi et al., 2005; Roveri et al., 2006) and buried under the Po plain (after Manzi, 2001; Roveri et al., 2003; Rizzini, 2005; Ghielmi et al., 2013; Rossi et al., 2015). Two types can be distinguished. PLG basins - basins that hosted the deposition of shallow water primary evaporites (PLG unit) during stage 1: Epiligurian (E), Emilia-Veneto (EV), Vena del Gesso (VdG), Val Marecchia (VM), Molise (M), Maiella (MA), Irpinia (I), Adriatic (A). RLG basins - those where only clastic evaporites (RLG unit) were deposited during stage 2: Messinian
main foredeep (MF), Eastern Romagna (ER), Northern Marche (NM), Laga (L), Bradanic Trough (B), Sibari-Rossano (S), Crotone basins (K), South Adriatic Basin (SAB).

The main tectonic features are: Apennines buried thrust front (ABTF), Livorno Sillaro line (LS), Forlì Line (FL), Val Marecchia line (VM), Sibillini Mountains thrust line (SM), Olevano-Antrodoco line (OA), Chienti Line (C), Gran Sasso thrust front (GS), Maiella Rocca Monfina line (MRM), Gargano high (G), Murge high (M), Sangineto line (SG).

The main tectonic units/domains: Ligurian units (L), Tuscan unit (T), Macigno-Cervarola unit (MC), Inner Umbro-Marchean units (IUM), Outer Umbro-Marchean units (OUM), Lazio-Abruzzi platforms (LAP), Molise-Lagonegro nappes (MLN), Bradanic Trough (BT), Calabrian Arc (CA), Apulian Mesozoic platform (AP), Dalmatian Mesozoic Platform (DP), Dinaric units (DU).

**Fig. 2.** Outcrop examples of the MSC evaporites. A) the PLG unit forms an up 200 m-thick tabular body with strong lateral persistence. (Vena del Gesso, between the Sgarba stream and the Senio river). The location of the Monte Tondo quarry and Spes quarry sections, where the reference PLG sections have been measured by Lugli et al. (2010), are reported. Photo, courtesy of Piero Lucci; B) closer view of the Monte del Casino area shown in A, notice the thickest beds of the lower PLG cycles (PLG3 partially covered by the vegetation, PLG4, PLG5, PLG6). Photo, courtesy of P. Lucci; C) example of the RLG unit (left side of the Lese river valley, Crotone basin) consisting of alternation of hybrid carbonate-gypsum clastic turbidites and shale resting on top of the Ponda Fm (badlands). B and C are reported at the same scale to allow the immediate comparison between the PLG showing thicker beds separated by very thin shale beds (B) and the RLG where thinner beds are intercalated by shale intervals of similar thickness (C).

**Fig. 3.** Outcrop examples of the MSC evaporites. A) a normal fault in the PLG unit close to the Spes quarry (fig. 2) allow a direct comparison between the lower and the upper PLG gypsum beds. Notice the homogeneous aspect of the cycles PLG3-5 made up by the massive and banded selenite facies only. B) Closer view of cycle PLG8 and PLG9 (base; Monte Tondo quarry). Notice that the lower part of the bed consisting of massive selenite is more resistant to the weathering of the upper part of the cycle consisting of branching selenite. C) turbiditic gypsarenite beds (ga) alternated to dark organic-rich shale (s). B and C are reported at the same scale to allow the immediate comparison between the PLG
showing thicker beds separated by very thin shale beds (B) and the RLG where thinner beds are intercalated by shale intervals (C).

**Fig. 4.** A) Location of the ViDEPI boreholes in the study area; in black the available boreholes; in yellow those that cross the MSC deposits resting above the Autochthonous domain; in red the boreholes that are were drilled above the Translated Allochthonous Domain (see the trace of the front of the allochthonous). B) distribution of the different facies deposited during the Tortonian-Messinian interval, preceding the salinity crisis onset. The siliciclastic deposition is limited to two area, one in the northern Apennines foredeep and one above the Calabrian Arc. Elsewhere the hemipelagic deposition is prevalent, Notice the presence of shallow water carbonate in a small area between the Gargano and the Gran Sasso. C) distribution of the different facies deposited during stage 1. The PLG deposition occurred mainly in the foreland area in the Adriatic offshore. Minor basins were located in the inner foredeep (VdG, Vena del Gesso basin), and in the foreland (now buried below the Po plain). D) distribution of the different facies deposited during the post-evaporitic interval (stage 2 and 3). Notice that the halite was deposited only above the allochthonous units of the Calabrian Arc.

**Fig. 5.** Cross section of the PLG unit in the Adriatic offshore. The separation line between the different cycles (PLG1-2, PLG3-5 and PLG6-16) has been used for correlation.

**Fig. 6.** Isopach maps of the PLG unit showing the general distribution in the study area (A) and the detail in the Adriatic offshore focusing on the thickness of the PLG1+2 (B), PLG3 (C) and PLG4 (D) cycles. Notice as the thickness of the single beds increase in the eastern (close to the Adriatic midline) and in the south-western (close to the Molise and Gargano coastline) part of the basin. Modified after Corcagnani, 2017.

**Fig. 7.** Regional scale cross sections showing the distribution of the MSC deposits in the Adriatic Foredeep and in above the Allochthonous. A) N-S oriented section showing that the areas were PLG (to the north) and RLG (to the south) evaporites were deposited is separated by an area with pre-MSC carbonate deposits. Other PLG deposits are found above at the front of the Allochthonous nappe. B) W-E section showing the RLG unit found
below the Allochthonous; its distribution is limited to the east by a tectonic slope affecting
the Apula Mesozoic units. These onlap can be better observed in the seismic (C).

**Fig. 8.** Distribution of the Messinian evaporites in the Basilicata area representing the
northernmost extension of the salt deposits. The RLG units including also gypsum is found
at the front of the Allochthonous unit only whereas no MSC deposits are found above
Apula. All the seismic lines cited in the figure and the logs of the boreholes are available

**Fig. 9.** A) Map of the distribution of the Messinian evaporite facies with location of the
regional seismic sections (B and C) across the Apennines foredeep. Section B is traced in
the Northern Apennines from the Vena del Gesso basin (VdG) to the Veneto foreland
(modified after Roveri et al., 2003 and Fantoni et al., 2010; Masetti et al., 2012). Section C
is traced in the central Apennines from the Laga basin to the Adriatic offshore (Modified
from Bigi et al., 2009 and Wrigley et al., 2015). The seismic profiles cited in blue are and
Notice that: i) the distribution of the PLG evaporites during stage 1 limited to the more
elevated structural basins in the Apenninc chain and in the foreland (in section B),
whereas in the foredeep the stage 1 of the crisis is recorded by organic-rich shales (Manzi
et al., 2007); ii) the hiatus associated with the MES (section C) progressively reduces
moving westward into the Laga depocenter and a possible MES-cc can be envisaged
below the gypsarenits belonging to the Evaporitic Member of the Laga Formation (Manzi
et al., 2005; Milli et al., 2007). RA, Riolo Anticline; BST, Budrio-Selva Thrust; TT, Tresigallo
Thrust; FT, Ferrara Thrust; AA, Acquasanta Anticline; MTF, Montagna dei Fiori Thrust; BT,
Bellante Thrust; CS, Costal Structure. The stage 2 + 3 interval crossed by boreholes may
be recorded exclusively by siliciclastic (s) deposits or may include also resedimented
gypsum deposits (g), commonly found at the base.

**Fig. 10.** Paleogeographic map of the Central Mediterranean during the stage 2 of the
Messinian salinity crisis showing the distribution of the paleogeographic domains and the
main sedimentary facies. The distribution of the PLG (stage 1) in the foreland region is
also indicated. The dark gray patter in the Adriatic represents a sill separating the southern
and central-northern basin. The front of the Apennine accretionary wedge is marked in red
(line with triangles), whereas the Apennine front is in black. The tentative route of clastic
gypsum transport (black arrows) and the flow of brines (red arrows) are also indicated.

Main basins: VdG, Vena del Gesso; A, Adriatic; K, Crotone; T, Tyrrhenian; AP, Algero-Provencal; C, Caltanissetta; H, Hyblean; I, Irpinian; B, Basilicata Ionian. Modified from Argnani 2000; 2005; Manzi et al., 2005; Fauquette et al., 2015.
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- Integration of outcrop and subsurface data led to depict the Messinian salinity crisis in the Adriatic foreland basin;
- The largest evaporitic basin ever described in the Mediterranean was located in the Adriatic foreland;
- The distribution of evaporitic facies is a key to reconstruct the evolution of the Apennines.
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