This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

The curious and neglected soft-bodied meiofauna: Rouphozoa (Gastrotricha and Platyhelminthes) / Balsamo, M.; Artois, T.; Smith, J. P. S.; Todaro, M. A.; Guidi, L.; Brian, S.; Leander, B. S.; Van Steenkiste, N. W. L.. - In: HYDROBIOLOGIA. - ISSN 1573-5117. - 847:12(2020), pp. 2613-2644. [10.1007/s10750-020-04287-x]

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

15/07/2024 09:51

Dear Author,

Here are the proofs of your article.

- You can submit your corrections online, via e-mail or by fax.
- For **online** submission please insert your corrections in the online correction form. Always indicate the line number to which the correction refers.
- You can also insert your corrections in the proof PDF and email the annotated PDF.
- For fax submission, please ensure that your corrections are clearly legible. Use a fine black pen and write the correction in the margin, not too close to the edge of the page.
- Remember to note the **journal title**, **article number**, and **your name** when sending your response via e-mail or fax.
- **Check** the metadata sheet to make sure that the header information, especially author names and the corresponding affiliations are correctly shown.
- **Check** the questions that may have arisen during copy editing and insert your answers/ corrections.
- **Check** that the text is complete and that all figures, tables and their legends are included. Also check the accuracy of special characters, equations, and electronic supplementary material if applicable. If necessary refer to the *Edited manuscript*.
- The publication of inaccurate data such as dosages and units can have serious consequences. Please take particular care that all such details are correct.
- Please **do not** make changes that involve only matters of style. We have generally introduced forms that follow the journal's style. Substantial changes in content, e.g., new results, corrected values, title and authorship are not allowed without the approval of the responsible editor. In such a case, please contact the Editorial Office and return his/her consent together with the proof.
- If we do not receive your corrections within 48 hours, we will send you a reminder.
- Your article will be published **Online First** approximately one week after receipt of your corrected proofs. This is the **official first publication** citable with the DOI. **Further changes are, therefore, not possible.**
- The **printed version** will follow in a forthcoming issue.

Please note

After online publication, subscribers (personal/institutional) to this journal will have access to the complete article via the DOI using the URL: http://dx.doi.org/[DOI].

If you would like to know when your article has been published online, take advantage of our free alert service. For registration and further information go to: <u>http://www.link.springer.com</u>.

Due to the electronic nature of the procedure, the manuscript and the original figures will only be returned to you on special request. When you return your corrections, please inform us if you would like to have these documents returned.

Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

ArticleTitle	The curious and neglected soft-bodied meiofauna: Rouphozoa (Gastrotricha and Platyhelminthes)		
Article Sub-Title			
Article CopyRight	Springer Nature Switzerland AG (This will be the copyright line in the final PDF)		
Journal Name	Hydrobiologia		
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Balsamo	
	Particle		
	Given Name	Maria	
	Suffix		
	Division	Department of Biomolecular Sciences	
	Organization	University of Urbino	
	Address	Urbino, Italy	
	Phone		
	Fax		
	Email	maria.balsamo@uniurb.it	
	URL		
	ORCID	http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7947-0632	
Author	Family Name	Artois	
	Particle		
	Given Name	Tom	
	Suffix		
	Division	Centre for Environmental Sciences	
	Organization	Hasselt University	
	Address	Diepenbeek, Belgium	
	Phone		
	Fax		
	Email		
	URL		
	ORCID		
Author	Family Name	Smith	
	Particle		
	Given Name	Julian P. S.	
	Suffix	III	
	Division	Department of Biology	
	Organization	Winthrop University	
	Address	Rock Hill, SC, USA	
	Phone		
	Fax		
	Email		
	URL		

	ORCID	
Author	Family Name	Antonio Todaro
	Particle	
	Given Name	М.
	Suffix	
	Division	Department of Life Sciences
	Organization	University of Modena-Reggio Emilia
	Address	Modena, Italy
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	
	URL	
	ORCID	
Author	Family Name	Guidi
	Particle	
	Given Name	Loretta
	Suffix	
	Division	Department of Biomolecular Sciences
	Organization	University of Urbino
	Address	Urbino, Italy
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	
	URL	
	ORCID	
Author	Family Name	Leander
	Particle	
	Given Name	Brian S.
	Suffix	
	Division	Departments of Botany and Zoology
	Organization	University of British Columbia
	Address	Vancouver, BC, Canada
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	
	URL	
	ORCID	
Author	Family Name	Steenkiste
	Particle	Van
	Given Name	Niels W. L.
	Suffix	
	Division	Departments of Botany and Zoology
	Organization	University of British Columbia
	Address	Vancouver, BC, Canada
	Phone	

	Fax	
	Email	
	URL	
	ORCID	
	Received	1 August 2019
Schedule	Revised	25 April 2020
	Accepted	4 May 2020
Abstract	Gastrotricha and Platyhe components of meiofaun communities is not suffic Ecdysozoa, and include and identification of soft conventional biodiversit diversity and taxonomy, techniques for their reco dispersal of freshwater g (meta)barcoding studies importance of rouphozoa gaps in the biology of th and their place in the tro	elminthes form a clade called Rouphozoa. Representatives of both taxa are main nal communities, but their role in the trophic ecology of marine and freshwater ciently studied. Traditional collection methods for meiofauna are optimized for the use of fixatives or flotation techniques that are unsuitable for the preservation c-bodied meiofauna. As a result, rouphozoans are usually underestimated in y surveys and ecological studies. Here, we give an updated outline of their with some phylogenetic considerations. We describe successfully tested very and study, and emphasize current knowledge on the ecology, distribution, and astrotrichs and microturbellarians. We also discuss the opportunities and pitfalls of as a means of overcoming the taxonomic impediment. Finally, we discuss the ans in aquatic ecosystems and provide future research directions to fill in crucial ese organisms needed for understanding their basic role in the ecology of benthos phic networks linking micro-, meio-, and macrofauna of freshwater ecosystems.
Keywords (separated by '-')	Fresh waters - Ecology -	Study methods - Taxonomy - Species diversity
Footnote Information	Guest editors: Nabil Maj Meiofauna in Freshwate Electronic supplementa s10750-020-04287-x) co	idi, Jenny M. Schmid-Araya & Walter Traunspurger / Patterns and Processes of r Ecosystems. ary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/ ontains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

1 MEIOFAUNA IN FRESHWATER ECOSYSTEMS



Review Paper

The curious and neglected soft-bodied meiofauna: Rouphozoa (Gastrotricha and Platyhelminthes)

4 Maria Balsamo 🕞 · Tom Artois · Julian P. S. Smith III · M. Antonio Todaro ·

5 Loretta Guidi · Brian S. Leander · Niels W. L. Van Steenkiste

6 Received: 1 August 2019/Revised: 25 April 2020/Accepted: 4 May 2020

7 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

AQ1 Abstract Gastrotricha and Platyhelminthes form a 9 clade called Rouphozoa. Representatives of both taxa 10 are main components of meiofaunal communities, but 11 their role in the trophic ecology of marine and 12 freshwater communities is not sufficiently studied. Traditional collection methods for meiofauna are 13 14 optimized for Ecdysozoa, and include the use of 15 fixatives or flotation techniques that are unsuitable for 16 the preservation and identification of soft-bodied 17 meiofauna. As a result, rouphozoans are usually

A1 Guest editors:Nabil Majdi, Jenny M. Schmid-Araya & Walter

- A2 Traunspurger /Patterns and Processes of Meiofauna in
- A3 Freshwater Ecosystems.

A4 Electronic supplementary material The online version of

- A5 this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-020-04287-x) con-A6 tains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.
- A7 M. Balsamo (🖂) · L. Guidi
- A8 Department of Biomolecular Sciences, University of
- A9 Urbino, Urbino, Italy
- A10 e-mail: maria.balsamo@uniurb.it
- A11 T. Artois
- A12 Centre for Environmental Sciences, Hasselt University,
- A13 Diepenbeek, Belgium
- A14 J. P. S. Smith III
- A15 Department of Biology, Winthrop University, Rock Hill,
- A16 SC, USA

underestimated in conventional biodiversity surveys 18 and ecological studies. Here, we give an updated 19 outline of their diversity and taxonomy, with some 20 phylogenetic considerations. We describe success-21 fully tested techniques for their recovery and study, 22 and emphasize current knowledge on the ecology, 23 distribution, and dispersal of freshwater gastrotrichs 24 and microturbellarians. We also discuss the opportu-25 nities and pitfalls of (meta)barcoding studies as a 26 27 means of overcoming the taxonomic impediment. Finally, we discuss the importance of rouphozoans in 28 aquatic ecosystems and provide future research direc-29 tions to fill in crucial gaps in the biology of these 30 organisms needed for understanding their basic role in 31 the ecology of benthos and their place in the trophic 32 networks linking micro-, meio-, and macrofauna of 33 freshwater ecosystems. 34

- A17 M. Antonio Todaro
- A18 Department of Life Sciences, University of Modena-
- A19 Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy
- A20 B. S. Leander · N. W. L. Van Steenkiste
- A21 Departments of Botany and Zoology, University of British
- A22 Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

🖉 Springer



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🗹 disk

Author Proof

35 Keywords Fresh waters \cdot Ecology \cdot Study methods \cdot

36 Taxonomy · Species diversity

37 Introduction

38 Meiofauna constitutes a significant reservoir of biodi-39 versity in aquatic ecosystems that is often overlooked. 40 Sediments and vegetation in freshwater habitats, 41 including freshwater ponds, lakes, and rivers, but also 42 mosses, wet soils and semi-aquatic agricultural 43 ecosystems (e.g., paddy fields), are teeming with 44 hundreds of thousands, if not millions of poorly known 45 or completely unrecorded species of these microscopic animals (Giere, 2009). 46

47 Traditional morphology-based sampling tech-48 niques to study biodiversity and ecology of meiofauna 49 are usually addressed towards ecdysozoan taxa such as 50 nematodes and copepods, and have so far failed to 51 account for the sometimes equally abundant and 52 diverse soft-bodied gastrotrichs and meiofaunal flat-53 worms or so-called "microturbellarians" (Martens & 54 Schockaert, 1986; Nesteruk, 2006; Schockaert et al., 55 2008; Giere, 2009). Perhaps more so than other 56 meiofaunal groups, gastrotrichs and microturbellari-57 ans also exemplify the taxonomic impediment, includ-58 ing a lack of knowledge on their biodiversity and 59 organismal biology, a lack of experts and a lack of taxonomic infrastructure. As such, they have lagged 60 61 behind in species discovery and identification, uncov-62 ering (cryptic) speciation, biodiversity surveys, pop-63 ulation genetics, and phylogeography. Traditional morphology-based identification methods are often 64 65 time-, effort-, and resource-intensive, depend on a 66 handful of taxonomic experts, and cannot uncover 67 cryptic diversity. As shown by a few comprehensive 68 studies of single taxa, the current number of described 69 species of these two groups in fresh waters is 70 significantly lower than the estimated species diversity 71 (e.g., Catenulida: Larsson et al., 2008; Microstomum: 72 Atherton & Jondelius, 2018, 2019; Gastrotricha: 73 Balsamo et al., 2008).

In this contribution, we will focus on the micro- and
meiofaunal freshwater representatives of these taxa
and largely omit the numerous members of the
macrofaunal flatworm group Tricladida, which,
because of their large size, have been rather well
studied and are far better known worldwide.

Deringer



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🖌 DISK

Freshwater gastrotrichs and microturbellarians not 80 only share a number of morphological and biological 81 traits, but their parent phyla, Gastrotricha and Platy-82 helminthes, also recently emerged in a monophyletic 83 clade within the Spiralia called Rouphozoa (Gr. 84 Rouphao, ingesting by sucking; Struck et al., 2014), 85 which was endorsed by two subsequent, independent 86 phylogenomic studies (Egger et al., 2015; Laumer 87 et al., 2015a). However, Bleidorn (2019) recovered a 88 clade comprising Nemertea and Platyhelminthes sep-89 arate from the clade of Gastrotricha; thus, it is clear 90 that further molecular and morphological work is 91 needed to test the monophyly of the Rouphozoa. The 92 duo-gland adhesive system, recently studied in detail 93 for platyhelminthes (Wunderer et al., 2019), was 94 proposed as a possible synapomorphy for both taxa 95 (Giribet & Edgecombe, 2019). However, studies of the 96 gastrotrich duo-gland system are much older (Tyler & 97 Rieger, 1980; Ruppert, 1991). Consequently, detailed 98 molecular studies of the duo-gland system in Gas-99 trotricha and research to identify other possible 100 synapomorphies within Rouphozoa are sorely needed. 101

Because of their abundance, small body size and 102 selective micro- and meiophagous feeding behavior, 103 gastrotrichs and microturbellarians most likely play a 104 critical role in freshwater trophic networks and 105 ecosystem dynamics (Palmer et al., 1997; Balsamo 106 & Todaro, 2002; Majdi et al., 2019). However, their 107 diversity and ecological roles in aquatic ecosystems 108 are still insufficiently known. For freshwater gas-109 trotrichs, the results of the few targeted studies on 110 functional ecology appear controversial (Strayer, 111 1985; Hummon, 1987; Nesteruk, 1996a, 2007b; Sch-112 mid-Araya, 1997), and for freshwater microturbellar-113 ians no such studies exist to date. 114

In this study, we aim to give an overview of the 115 current state of knowledge on the diversity, distribu-116 tion, and ecology of freshwater rouphozoans. This 117 includes an updated census of species in the various 118 biogeographical regions, a summary on the impor-119 tance of environmental parameters and biotic interac-120 tions on habitat preferences, spatial and temporal 121 distribution, dispersal and trophic ecology of these two 122 groups. We also provide recommendations to over-123 come methodological problems and challenges in 124 qualitative and quantitative collection and identifica-125 tion of these animals, and discuss future research 126 avenues to fill in crucial gaps in our knowledge on 127 these important freshwater animals. 128

129 Methodologies for sampling and study

130 It is clear that in studies of freshwater meiofauna, 131 Rouphozoa are frequently not considered (Fig. 1). As already noted by some authors (e.g., Traunspurger & 132 Majdi, 2017), we hypothesize that this is because 133 134 extraction methods used for these soft-bodied organ-135 isms are very different from those used for ecdysozoan 136 taxa. This is further supported by historical studies that 137 recovered large numbers of rouphozoan taxa using 138 extraction methods compatible with their preservation 139 (e.g., Strayer, 1985; Robertson et al., 2000). Finally, 140 metabarcoding studies in a marine context routinely 141 recover rouphozoans thought previously to be rare 142 based solely on morphotaxonomic studies (e.g., Rzeznik-Orignac et al., 2017; Leasi et al., 2018). 143 144 Accordingly, we provide up-to-date methods below 14: A02 for the collection, preservation, and study of roupho-146 zoans (Tables 1, 2).

147 Sampling and extraction

148 Due to the patchy distribution of meiofauna, collec-

tions of many small samples during different times ofthe year are preferred over a single large sample

151 (Giere, 2009). For the same reason the choice of

152 sampling sites is also very important, and should touch

1000

all the habitats of a single biotope. (Heitkamp, 1988).153The main criteria for qualitative/quantitative sampling
of microturbellarians and gastrotrichs are summarized154155156156156

Individuals of both groups are more successfully 157 studied alive in fresh samples than in preserved 158 samples, since their body frailty and strong contrac-159 tility often cause diagnostic morphological characters 160 to be distorted after fixation (Balsamo & Todaro, 161 2002, Balsamo et al., 2014). In the laboratory, 162 collected fresh samples are moved into bowls 163 equipped with an aeration system and a lighting neon 164 tube if also vegetation is present. The bowls are filled 165 with additional filtered water from the sampling site 166 (or spring water if necessary) and kept at room 167 temperature. 168

A comparative summary of methods for extraction 169 and study of freshwater microturbellarians and gastrotrichs is reported in Table 2. Extraction of animals 171 from fresh samples implies direct observation of small 172 amounts of sediment under a stereomicroscope and 173 picking up single living individuals for subsequent 174 observation and study under a compound microscope. 175

The extraction of all the animals from a sample is 176 clearly critical for quantitative analyses, but regrettably, the techniques currently available are not 178 satisfactory for gastrotrichs. A comparative study of 179

900 800 700 600 500 400 300 200 100 0 nematode and/or copepod turbellarian gastrotrich

Publications on Meiofauna Mentioning Taxon



AND (copepod OR nematod) AND NOT marine; 1985–2020; B: (meiofauna OR meiobenth) AND (freshwater OR lake OR river OR stream) AND (turbellaria OR platyhelminthes OR microturbellaria) AND NOT marine; C: (meiofauna OR meiobenth) AND (freshwater OR lake OR river OR stream) AND (gastrotrich OR gastrotricha) AND NOT marine

,	Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32	
	Article No. : 4287	🗆 LE	□ TYPESET	
•	MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🗹 disk	

🖄 Springer

	Gastrotrichs	Microturbellarians
Qualitative m	ethods	
N° sampling sites	A sampling site from each habitat of the biotope	A sampling site from each habitat of the biotope
N° samples	At least 3 small samples per site rather than a single large sample	At least 3 small samples per site rather than a single large sample
Frequence of sampling	Seasonal or summer/winter	Bimonthly, monthly, or seasonal
Type of sampling	Dragging the upper sediment surface [epibenthic species] or repeatedly filtering water around aquatic vegetation [periphytic species] with a net with a handle (30 µm mesh)	Hand picking sediments, organic substrate, aquatic vegetation in the littoral zone, coring in deeper zones
	Pushing a manual corer to 5–10 cm into the sediment and taking a core	Plankton tows (63 µm mesh) through water column and in between aquatic vegetation
	Digging a hole in the sandy sediment and filtering the percolating water through the same net cited above [interstitial species]	Digging a hole in the sandy sediment and filtering the percolating water through the same net cited above [interstitial species]
Quantitative methods	Collecting a number of small subsamples in unsieved conditions for a direct counting of individuals	Collecting a number of small subsamples in unsieved conditions for a direct counting of individuals
	Quantitative samples of periphytic species may be obtained by modifying sampling methods for macroinvertebrates (García-Criado & Trigal, 2005), using fine mesh (30 µm) nets for small sample volumes or subsamples	Standardized volumes of sediment, organic debris, aquatic vegetation or water
	True planktonic species can also be collected with the techniques of quantitative plankton sampling (Sandlung, 1982)	Adjust volumes to the size of the water body and number of (micro-)habitats to avoid sample bias in smaller pools
	Collecting and fixing a massive sample in formalin 4%. Not recommended due to the specimens' coarctation	

Table 1 A comparative summary of qualitative and quantitative methods for sampling freshwater gastrotrichs and microturbellarians

different techniques aimed at this purpose showed that
a rapid forcing of small quantities of sediment through
a 130 µm sieve appears to be the most effective way
for extracting chaetonotid species (Hummon, 1981;
Nesteruk, 1987; Giere, 2009). Details on the methods
of sampling, extraction, and study of freshwater
gastrotrichs are described in Balsamo et al. (2014).

Recommended methods for extraction and exam-187 188 ination of microturbellarians are described in Schock-189 aert (1996). Decantation methods including agitation of sediment and substrate debris followed by sieving 190 (63 µm screen) will dislodge many freshwater flat-191 worms from their substrate. However, the best method 192 193 for isolating freshwater microturbellarians is oxygen 194 depletion. A layer of sediment and bottom debris are placed in a tall beaker with clear transparent walls; the195beaker is then filled with water from the habitat and196allowed to stand, creating a vertical cline of dissolved197oxygen. Animals are thus forced out of the substrate198and can then be removed from the sides of the beaker199or from the surface film with a pipette.200

DNA (meta)barcoding of Rouphozoa

DNA extraction and sequencing of taxonomic marker 202 genes called DNA (meta)barcodes from bulk samples 203 including water, aquatic sediments, and soil (eDNA), 204 or from pooled individuals separated from the sub-205 strate, can reveal the presence of gastrotrichs and 206 platyhelminthes environments in aquatic in 207

201

Deringer



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🖌 СР	🖌 disk

Table 2	A comparative sur	nmary of methods for	for extraction and study	of freshwater	gastrotrichs and microturbellarians
---------	-------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	---------------	-------------------------------------

Gastrotrichs	Microturbellarians
Qualitative methods	
Extraction	
Treatment of the fresh sample with MgCl ₂ 1% for relaxing specimens, swirling, stereomicroscopical observation of the surnatant (interstitial species)	Decantation and sieving (63 µm mesh) of sediments, organic substrate, and aquatic vegetation agitated in water
Stereomicroscopical observation of small sediment amounts (2–3 cm ³) for search of living specimens (epibenthic and periphytic species)	Hand picking of specimens forced out of organic substrates and aquatic vegetation by oxygen depletion (Schockaert, 1996)
Stereomicroscopical observations of small amounts of plankton net filtrate for search of living specimens (planktonic species)	Stereomicroscopical observations of small amounts of plankton net filtrate for search of living specimens (planktonic species)
Study	
Mounting single live specimens on a slide, observation in vivo under a compound microscope; the use of drops of a 1% aqueous solution of MgCl ₂ can help slowing active specimens	Observations of live specimens under a stereomicroscope and mounted on a slide under a compound microscope
Digital imaging and taking measurements under a compound microscope	Digital imaging and taking measurements under a compound microscope
Quantitative methods	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Extraction	
Density gradient centrifugation of small samples or subsamples preserved with formalin 1% then repeatedly washed. Partially useful only for interstitial species. No really efficient technique available for epibenthic, periphytic, and semiplanktonic species (Giere 2009)	No single technique is suitable to extract all microturbellarians. A variety of techniques should be used to obtain representative numbers of different species.
Study	
Mounting specimens on a slide, observation, videorecording, taking measurements and photos. Internal anatomy not yet clearly visible in preserved specimens.	Mounting specimens on a slide, observation, videorecording, taking measurements and photos of diagnostic measurements on live animals and on whole mounts.

208 percentages that would otherwise go unnoticed with 209 traditional morphotaxonomic methods (Leray & 210 Knowlton, 2015; Arroyo et al., 2016; Martínez et al., 2020; Fegley et al., submitted). As such, (meta)bar-211 coding holds great promise to increase our knowledge 212 213 on the diversity, ecology, and role of rouphozoans in 214 aquatic ecosystems (Martínez et al., 2020). This 215 approach has been reviewed recently (Schenk & Fontaneto, 2019): accordingly, we here limit ourselves 216 217 to considering the promises and pitfalls of DNA-based 218 methods for evaluation of cryptic diversity and 219 community composition among gastrotrichs and 220 microturbellarians, including limitations not men-221 tioned in the paper referenced above.

Choice of amplicon

223 The ubiquity of MiSeq technology, with up to 300 bp paired-end reads, enables useful sequences to be 224 recovered for most taxa from the V4/V5 region of 225 the 18S rDNA molecule (for Rouphozoa, < 600 bp; 226 Hugerth et al., 2014), as opposed to the V9 region 227 (~ 120 bp; Amaral-Zettler et al., 2009) or V2/V4 228 (~ 400 bp; Creer et al., 2010). The greater taxonomic 229 resolution conferred by V4/V5 is also illustrated by the 230 fact that a recent metabarcoding trial of this amplicon 231 on a well-studied marine beach in North Carolina, 232 USA was able to distinguish between congeneric pairs 233 of microturbellarian species in three cases, two of 234 235 which had already been documented morphologically, and the third documented by 18S rDNA sequencing of 236 single individuals (Fegley et al., submitted). The same 237



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	🗆 LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🛃 СР	🗹 disk

Deringer

238 study revealed the existence of numerous separate 239 species of both taxa from two beaches in North Carolina (Online Resources 1, 2). Accordingly, at least 240 241 for Rouphozoa, V4/V5 might be a better choice over 242 the more commonly used COI barcode because of poor primer performance with platyhelminthes in 243 general (Vanhove et al., 2013) and because COI-based 244 245 species delimitation may inflate actual diversity, 246 compared to 18S and 28S rDNA (Van Steenkiste 247 et al., 2018). However, the development of nanopore 248 sequencing now makes it possible to produce very 249 long reads-4 Kb of the rDNA cluster (Krehenwinkel 250 et al., 2019), or individually indexed reads of the full-251 length "Folmer" region of COI (Maestri et al., 2019; 252 Kennedy et al., 2020). Because of the increased read 253 length, nanopore sequencing is also far more tolerant 254 of amplicon read-length variation than the current standard of MiSeq 300 bp paired-end sequencing-255 256 for instance, in the North Carolina study noted above, 257 we obtained relatively few OTUs for crustaceans, as the V4/V5 region in this taxon is too long for 300 bp 258 259 paired-end reads to overlap. This research area is 260 developing rapidly, and because of portability and low cost, we urge that MinION sequencing be thoroughly 261 tested as a routine method for biodiversity assessment 262 263 of meiofauna in general.

264 Pitfalls

265 Although metabarcoding studies have the ability to reveal taxa that have not been observed with morpho-266 267 logical taxonomy (see above), they also are liable to miss taxa that are present. For instance, Lindgren 268 269 (1972) reported ("approximately") 35 species of 270 microturbellaria and 20 species of gastrotrichs from 271 ISP beach, so the counts of species shown in Online 272 Resources 1, 2 are likely an underestimate of actual 273 species presence. More directly, a recent study on meiofaunal biodiversity along the Pacific and Atlantic 274 275 coast of Panama showed that for all investigated sites, 276 the diversity of Gastrotricha, Mollusca, Nemertea, and 277 Xenacoelomorpha estimated by metabarcoding the V9 278 region of the 18S rRNA was lower than the diversity 279 based on morphological taxonomy (Leasi et al., 2018). 280 DNA (meta)barcoding relies completely on metic-

280 DNA (meta)barcooling relies completely on metic 281 ulously curated DNA reference databases that link
 282 sequences to species identified based on morpholog 283 ical characters. DNA extractions of tiny animals such
 284 as rouphozoans are routinely performed on full

Deringer



TT 1		
Hvdr	obio	logia

301

individuals, thereby rendering physical vouchering 285 of morphological characters of the same individual 286 impossible. Live and transparent animals with clear 287 diagnostic features can easily be documented digitally, 288 but opaque animals, (pseudo-) cryptic species, and 289 species groups with uncertain taxonomic features pose 290 more specific challenges, especially when they are rare 291 or are co-occurring in space and time. However, DNA 292 extractions of soft-bodied rouphozoans can be non-293 destructive, for instance by performing microdissec-294 tions using the head for DNA extraction and the 295 posterior part for morphological study (e.g., macros-296 tomids in Schärer et al., 2011; Janssen et al., 2015), 297 and could be a practical solution to incorporate 298 "problem" individuals and species into DNA refer-299 ence collections. 300

Promises

Recently, analysis of marine and freshwater metabar-302 coding data has shown its potential for DNA-based 303 species discovery and uncovered the existence of two 304 hitherto unknown higher-level flatworm groups in 305 freshwater (Mitsi et al., 2019). Combined with data on 306 abiotic and ecological data, it can provide previously 307 unattainable insights into spatial and temporal changes 308 in species compositions and link environmental 309 parameters with the occurrence of specific taxa 310 (Chariton et al., 2015). This can generate novel 311 ecological information for taxa such as gastrotrichs 312 and microturbellarians that are small, difficult to 313 identify, and may only be present as resting eggs or 314 other propagules during certain times. 315

However, metabarcoding and its applications in 316 ecology are still in development and need to overcome 317 several challenges, many of which apply to meiofauna 318 in general and rouphozoans in particular (see Ruppert 319 et al., 2019 for a review). DNA reference databases for 320 gastrotrichs and microturbellarians are still poorly 321 populated and need to be strengthened through global 322 collaborations of taxonomic specialists. As this is an 323 ongoing and future effort, students and researchers 324 will need to be trained in fundamental biodiversity 325 research, including careful identification of individu-326 als selected for building DNA barcode databases. 327 Other well-known issues include PCR primer bias and 328 design, marker choice, standardization of methods, 329 and integration with ecological data (Schenk & 330 Fontaneto, 2019). 331

Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🖌 DISK

332 Methods for identification

333 Gastrotricha

Author Proo

334 The phylum Gastrotricha currently comprises over 850 free-living species widespread in aquatic ecosys-335 336 tems. The division into two classes, Macrodasyoidea 337 and Chaetonotidae, each including a single order 338 (Macrodasyida and Chaetonotida, respectively) dates 339 back to Remane (1925), and follows the evident 340 differences in morphology, biology, and ecology 341 the two taxa (Balsamo between et al.. 342 2009, 2014, 2015; Hummon & Todaro, 2010; Kieneke 343 & Schmidt-Rhaesa, 2015) (Fig. 2), which has also 344 been confirmed by molecular analyses (Paps & 345 Riutort, 2012).



Fig. 2 Schematic view of freshwater Gastrotricha: **a** Chaetonotida, **b** Macrodasyida. AdT, adhesive tubes; *CPl* cephalic plates; *I* intestine; *Oo* oocyte; *Ph* pharynx; *PhIJ* pharingeo-intestinal junction; *PhP* pharyngeal pores; *SBr* sensory bristles; *SC* sensory cilia; *XO* X-organ

Taxonomy and systematics of Gastrotricha have 346 been traditionally founded on morphological charac-347 ters, which still represent the basis to systematize 348 species and superspecific taxa (Hochberg & Litvaitis, 349 2000; Kieneke et al., 2008). Diagnostic characters are 350 the general body shape, the morphology of the body 351 cuticle and cuticular elements, the shape and length of 352 the caudal appendages, the arrangement of the ventral 353 ciliation, and the structure of the pharynx. Current 354 taxonomy also makes use of molecular techniques, 355 and has introduced over time several changes and 356 integrations to the traditional classification (e.g., 357 Kånneby et al., 2013; Todaro et al., 2012, 2015). 358 These suggest that genera including both marine and 359 freshwater species (i.e., Chaetonotus, Aspidiophorus, 360 Heterolepidoderma) never form monophyletic clades, 361 but rather cluster according to habitat. It is clear that 362 the intraphylum phylogeny is not yet resolved as are 363 deep ingroup phylogenetic relationships; therefore, a 364 stabilization of gastrotrich taxonomy, especially of 365 Chaetonotida, has not yet been reached. 366

Details on the anatomy and biology of freshwater 367 gastrotrichs are reported in Balsamo et al. (2014) and 368 Kieneke & Schmidt-Rhaesa (2015). A general key to 369 gastrotrich families and genera was recently published 370 by Todaro et al. (2019). Keys to the freshwater 371 gastrotrich fauna also exist (see Balsamo et al., 2014 372 for a references' summary), but they are generally 373 limited to selected taxa or to limited geographic ranges 374 such as the Neotropics (Garraffoni & Araújo, 2010), 375 the Nearctic (Kånneby, 2016), and the Palearctic 376 (Balsamo et al., 2019). The Gastrotricha Portal (http:// 377 www.gastrotricha.unimore.it) and the World Register 378 of Marine Species (WoRMS, 2020a) contains lists of 379 marine and freshwater species, but does not provide 380 381 identification keys.

Platyhelminthes

The free-living members of the phylum Platy-383 helminthes comprise \sim 6500 species, of which \sim 384 1500 species occur in freshwater or limnoterrestrial 385 environments when also including the macrofaunal 386 triclads. Freshwater microturbellarians can be found in 387 7 flatworm groups: Catenulida, Macrostomorpha, 388 Prorhynchida, Proseriata, Rhabdocoela, Prolecitho-389 phora, and Bothrioplanida. Given the phylogenetic 390 relationships among and within these 7 major flat-391 worm groups, incursions of the freshwater 392

Deringer



~	Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
	Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
	MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🖌 disk



2 Springer



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	🗆 LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🖌 СР	🗹 disk

◄ Fig. 3 Clades of microturbellaria with pharynx simplex and homocellular female gonads (yolk contained in oocytes). ad Catenulida: a Catenula confusa, showing anterior statocyst (st), mouth (mo), and best-developed fission plane (arrow). Scale = 200 μ m. **b** Anterior end of *Catenula lemnae*, a species with consecutive well-developed fission planes (arrows). c Stenostomum cf. virginianum, a genus with a well-developed pharynx simplex (ph). Scale = 200 μ m; **d** Enlargement of **c**, to show multilobed brain (br), refractile bodies (arrows), and mouth. e-f Macrostomorpha: e Macrostomum sp., with anterior pigmented eyes and pharynx simplex close behind (ph), paired testes (te), and ovaries (ov). Scale = $500 \ \mu m$ (approximate) f. Microstomum sp. with anterior pharynx simplex (ph), three fission planes (fp) in different stages of development. Scale = 250 µm (approximate). Clades with complex pharynges and heterocellular female gonads (separate yolk cells and oocytes). g Prorhynchida: Geocentrophora cf. applanata with complex pharynx (ph) opening anteriorly, median germovitellarium marked by nuclei of germocytes (arrowheads), and light-colored testes follicles (te) associated with lateral branches of the digestive tract. Scale = 500 μ m (approximate). h–i Rhabdocoela, Kalyptorhynchia: h Opisthocystis cf. goettei, with anterior cone-shaped muscular proboscis (pr), and median rosulate (wreath-shaped) muscular pharynx (ph). Scale = 500 μm (approximate); i enlarged view of mid-body region of h, showing pharynx, paired testes, and paired germaria (ge). jm Rhabdocoela, Limnotyphloplanida: j Dalyelliidae; cf. Microdalyellia rossi, showing anterior doliiform (barrel-shaped) pharynx and mature egg (e.g.). Scale = $500 \ \mu m$ (approximate). k-l Typhloplanidae: k Typhloplaniid showing lateral rope-like vitellaria (vi), one of two paired testes, and posterior rosulate (wreath-shaped) pharynx with genital region shortly behind pharynx; I cf. Ascophora elegantissima overview showing paired testes, rosulate pharynx, and genital region (go). Scale = 500 μ m (approximate); m. enlarged view of l, showing testes, pharynx, and genital region

environment almost certainly happened multiple times
from different marine and/or brackish water ancestors
(Schockaert et al., 2008; Laumer et al., 2015b).
Conversely, returns to brackish water and marine
environments have also happened (Van Steenkiste
et al., 2013).

399 It is possible to key most platyhelminthes to family 400 level based on morphological characters alone (e.g., 401 Cannon, 1986; Smith et al., 2020). Useful characters 402 are the presence/absence of a statocyst, the construc-403 tion of the pharynx, the structure of the female gonad, 404 and the morphology of the male reproductive system 405 (Fig. 3). The basic anatomy of Platyhelminthes, 406 including microturbellaria, is covered in detail else-407 where (e.g., Rieger et al., 1991).

408 Although DNA taxonomy has been used to trace 409 species radiations in Gastrotricha (Atherton, 2015), it has only been employed a few times for (cryptic) 410 species delimitation in freshwater gastrotrichs (Kån-411 neby et al., 2012) and microturbellarians (Larsson 412 et al., 2008; Atherton & Jondelius, 2018, 2019). This 413 illustrates the urgency of improving aspects of envi-414 ronmental high-throughput sequencing before this 415 potentially cost-effective approach could be widely 416 applied for species discovery, biodiversity surveys, 417 and ecosystem assessments in aquatic ecosystems. 418

Well-supported intraphylum relationships among 419 most major subtaxa (with the exception of the relative 420 position of Rhabdocoela and Proseriata) are provided 421 by two recent transcriptomic studies (Egger et al., 422 2015, Laumer et al., 2015b-Fig. 4). Recent molec-423 ular phylogenies, albeit largely based on only a few 424 genes, have provided valuable information on rela-425 tionships within the major groups, often in conflict 426 with the traditional morphology-based taxonomy: 427 Catenulida (Larsson & Jondelius, 2008), Macrosto-428 morpha (Janssen et al., 2015; Atherton & Jondelius, 429 2019), Rhabdocoela (Willems et al., 2006; Van 430 Steenkiste et al., 2013; Tessens et al., 2014), and 431 Proseriata (Laumer et al., 2014; Scarpa et al., 2016). 432 Accordingly, it has proven to be challenging to 433 provide morphological apomorphies for many of the 434 resulting clades. Therefore, phylogenomics based on 435 much larger molecular datasets and advances in the 436 study of morphological characters should be inte-437 grated to provide a more robust taxonomy for different 438 microturbellarian groups. 439

A general key for freshwater microturbellarians is 440 missing at this date, and existing keys focus on specific 441



Fig. 4 Major higher-level taxa in Platyhelminthes, based on transcriptomic studies (Egger et al., 2015; Laumer et al., 2015b)



•	Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
-	Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
	MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🖌 disk

taxa or regions. A recent key to freshwater Platyhelminthes of the Nearctic extends to genus, and
includes a species list (Noreña et al., 2015). At present,
there is no genus-level key to the Palearctic, which is
unfortunate, as the majority of collecting and taxonomic work has been done there. The Turbellarian
Taxonomic Database (Tyler et al., 2006–2016) and the
World Register of Marine Species (WoRMS, 2020b)
includes worldwide coverage of marine, freshwater,
and limnoterrestrial Platyhelminthes, but does not
provide a key.

453 Ecology

454 Studies on gastrotrich and microturbellarian autoecol-45 A03 ogy and synecology are not numerous (Schwank, 456 1981b, 1982a; Heitkamp, 1982, 1988; Ricci & Balsamo, 2000; Kolasa, 2002; Nesteruk, 2016a, b, 457 458 2017). Abiotic and ecological factors define the 459 qualitative and quantitative compositions of popula-460 tions, whose mean densities widely vary depending on 461 the characteristics of the habitat and seasonal dynamics, and can range from a few thousand up to 2.6 462 million ind/m² for both benthic and pelagic gas-463 464 trotrichs (Nesteruk, 2004a, 2009, 2011) and at least

469

several thousand ind/m² for microturbellarians (Kolasa, 2002); however, several studies use different units impeding a reliable comparison of values (Nesteruk, 1993). 468

Habitat

Various environmental parameters play an important470role in defining the ecological niche of each species of471freshwater rouphozoan and thus they determine their472small-scale and regional diversity and distribution473patterns: these parameters are summarized in Table 3.474

Temperature is essential for the colonizing ability 475 of gastrotrich populations and influences the length 476 and intensity of reproductive activity rather than their 477 lifespan (d'Hondt, 1971; Hummon, 1986; Balsamo & 478 Todaro, 1988). Only a few freshwater species, mainly 479 the epibenthic ones, are known to tolerate low oxygen 480 concentrations, unlike some marine species that have 481 well adapted to this particular habitat (Kraus & 482 Colacino, 1984). Grain size, shape and sorting, as 483 well as the amount of organic matter in the substrate 484 determine the interstitial space available to the few 485 interstitial species in coarse to medium-fine sands 486 (Balsamo, 1990; Balsamo & Fregni, 1995; Nesteruk, 487 2007a, b). The pH can vary significantly in fresh 488

 Table 3 A comparative summary of the main environmental parameters defining the occurrence and distribution of freshwater gastrotrichs and microturbellarians

	Gastrotrichs	Microturbellarians
Temperature	23–28°C (optimum 20–25 °C)	Variable
		Steno- to eurytherm with variable optimum for reproduction and population growth
Oxygen	High (most species)	Very high (stream species)
concentration	Low/very low (few tolerant species)	High (most species)
pН	4-10 (optimum 6-8)	Unknown for most species
		6—(lotic species)
Salinity	Few euryhaline freshwater species	Few euryhaline freshwater species
Water regime	Lentic (most species)	Lentic (most species)
	Epibenthic/periphytic/planktonic, few	Mostly epibenthic/periphytic, very few planktonic species
	interstitial species	Few specialized species in fast-running water
	Lotic (few interstitial species)	
Grain size	Medium-fine (interstitial species)	Variable (lentic species)
sediment	Fine, muddy (epibenthic species)	Optimum of 0.4-0.7 mm for stream species
Organic matter	Oligotrophic (interstitial species)	Oligotrophic (stream species)
	Polytrophic (epibenthic/periphytic species)	Polytrophic (lentic and slow river species)
Grain size sediment Organic matter	Lotic (few interstitial species) Medium-fine (interstitial species) Fine, muddy (epibenthic species) Oligotrophic (interstitial species) Polytrophic (epibenthic/periphytic species)	Variable (lentic species) Optimum of 0.4–0.7 mm for stream species Oligotrophic (stream species) Polytrophic (lentic and slow river species)



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	🗆 LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🖌 DISK

489 waters; most species live in moderately acidic habi-490 tats, but some species can tolerate pH values down to 491 4, while others live in alkaline water up to pH 10 492 (Kisielewski, 1981; Nesteruk, 2004a). A few fresh-493 water gastrotrich species are able to survive or even to 494 live in brackish waters. Finally, all freshwater gas-495 trotrichs are influenced by the characteristics of the 496 water column, substrate, and aquatic vegetation.

497 Most freshwater chaetonotidans are epibenthic or 498 periphytic in oxygenated habitats, and more abundant 499 in eutrophic, standing waters (see Nesteruk, 2017 and 500 references therein). The epibenthic community is generally more diverse and is dominated by eurytopic 501 502 species of the genera Chaetonotus, Lepidodermella, 503 Heterolepidoderma, and Ichthydium, whereas epiphytic assemblages also include semiplanktonic 504 505 species of Dasydytidae and Neogosseidae (Nesteruk, 506 2000; Minowa & Garraffoni, 2017). Sandy sediments 507 of lentic and running waters host all four freshwater 508 species of Macrodasyida, but few species of Chaeto-509 notida (see Balsamo et al., 2014). Trophic levels and 510 zonality of water bodies also influence the diversity 511 and density of gastrotrich populations. Water bodies with a clear zonality provide a higher habitat diversity 512 513 and consequently have a richer and more abundant 514 fauna, especially in the littoral zone (Kisielewski, 515 1981; Nesteruk, 2004b, 2005). Compositional differ-516 ences also exist between the sublittoral and the deep 517 zone (Nesteruk, 1996b, 2004b). Alpha-mesotrophic 518 waters are 26-45% richer in species than waters with a 519 lower trophic level (Nesteruk, 1996b, 2004a). The few 520 semiplanktonic or planktonic species preferentially 521 live in eutrophic ponds, Sphagnum bogs, and transi-522 tional peat bogs, which appear to have the highest 523 species richness, independent from altitude, vegeta-524 tion, and trophic level (Kisielewski, 1981, 1986, 1991; 525 Balsamo, 1982; Balsamo & Todaro, 1995). In lotic 526 habitats, gastrotrichs are mostly present where the 527 water current is slower, such as vegetated river banks, 528 bends of the water course, and in small streams 529 (Kisielewski & Kisielewska, 1986; Kisielewski, 530 1991). A few interstitial species have been reported 531 from sediments of springs, rivers, and streams (Ricci 532 & Balsamo, 2000; Nesteruk, 2008; Garraffoni et al., 533 2017). Most gastrotrich species are able to colonize 534 more than a single habitat and can migrate between the 535 epibenthos, periphyton, and interstitial.

536 Very few studies specifically focus on the influence537 of abiotic variables on the occurrence and abundance

of freshwater microturbellarians. Kolasa (2002) pro-538 vides a brief overview on general preferences and 539 tolerance ranges of several abiotic parameters, but 540 only for few species tolerance ranges for temperature, 541 oxygen, water level, oxygen, pH, and calcium are 542 known (Heitkamp, 1982). Most species have an 543 optimal temperature range for reproduction and pop-544 ulation growth to occur and temperature can have a 545 significant influence on hatching and on the generation 546 time (Heitkamp, 1988; Sayre & Wergin, 1994; 547 Dumont et al., 2014). Some species are stenotherm, 548 while others are eurytherm. Microturbellarians require 549 oxygenated layers of water and sediment. Species that 550 live in substrates of well-oxygenated, fast-running 551 streams are particularly sensitive to low oxygen 552 concentrations (Kolasa, 1983). A small number of 553 freshwater rhabdocoels are euryhaline and can also be 554 found in brackish water habitats (Ax, 2008). However, 555 most microturbellarians that occur in brackish water 556 are euryhaline marine species or genuine brackish 557 water species that do not occur in freshwater habitats. 558 Granulometry of freshwater sediments also influences 559 species composition and occurrence. Kolasa et al. 560 (1987) found higher species richness and abundance in 561 stream sediments with a grain size of 0.4-0.7 mm, 562 compared to a low species richness and abundance for 563 small stones or large gravel. Young (1973) found that 564 calcium-rich and calcium-poor lakes each have their 565 specific species of microturbellarians, but also share a 566 number of species. 567

Ecological surveys of microturbellarians associated 568 with different freshwater habitats are mostly limited to 569 older studies from Central and Southeastern Europe 570 (e.g., An der Lan, 1939, 1962, 1967; Mack-Fira, 1974; 571 Kolasa, 1979; Schwank, 1981a, b, 1982a, b). More 572 recent studies from South America and the Middle 573 East provide valuable data on species richness and 574 seasonal abundance of microturbellarians in perma-575 nent wetlands and temporary pools (Eitam et al., 2004; 576 Braccini & Leal-Zanchet, 2013). Microturbellarians 577 are found in almost all types of lentic and lotic 578 freshwater habitats. In addition, they also occur in 579 limnoterrestrial habitats such as mosses and forest 580 soils (Van Steenkiste et al., 2010; Houben et al., 2015). 581 Many species are shared between habitats, but some 582 species are associated with specific environments. 583 Species numbers can be high in both lentic and lotic 584 environments with up to 94 and 57 species recorded 585 from a single stream and lake, respectively (Kolasa, 586

Deringer



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🖌 СЬ	🖌 DISK

587 2000). In large lakes and reservoirs, species richness 588 and abundance are significantly higher in sediments 589 and aquatic vegetation in the littoral zone, but some 590 species have also been found in the limnetic zone as part of the pelagic (Dumont et al., 2014). Permanent 591 592 bodies of water are usually dominated by catenulids, 593 macrostomids, prorhynchids, and rhabdocoels associ-594 ated with aquatic vegetation, plant roots, and sedi-595 ment, while temporary pools typically harbor species 596 with drought resistant resting eggs, such as typhlo-597 planid and dalyelliid rhabdocoels (Artois et al., 2004; 598 Eitam et al., 2004). Species compositions in lotic 599 systems are highly variable. Mountain springs and fast 600 flowing streams or rivers have unique hyporheic and 601 psammophilic species or species associated with mosses and other vegetation along its course (Sch-602 603 wank, 1982a, b). The lower courses of rivers systems 604 are inhabited by eurytopic species also found in lentic 605 habitats. Assemblages of species are further enriched 606 by species from habitats at the interface of lotic and 607 lentic habitats, including limnoterrestrial, brackish 608 and groundwater elements water, (Kolasa 609 1983, 2000). A very detailed review on the distribution and abundance of microturbellarians in different 610 aquatic habitats is given by Young (2001). 611

612 Spatial and temporal dynamics of rouphozoans'613 populations

614 Spatial patterns and small-scale horizontal distribu-615 tions of rouphozoans are driven by abiotic and biotic 616 factors such as the morphological features of the 617 sediment, the heterogeneous distribution of organic matter, and bioturbation (Kisielewski, 1974-1999; 618 619 Nesteruk, 1986–2017; Giere, 2009). This leads most 620 meiofauna to aggregate in undisturbed sites or in areas 621 richer in organic detritus, thus presenting a typical 622 patchy distribution. Species composition can differ significantly between microhabitats, with adjoining 623 624 patches of gravel, sand, plants, and organic debris 625 having distinctive communities at the scale of 626 centimeters.

The vertical distribution of gastrotrichs is highly
related to grain size, oxygen concentration, presence
and velocity of water flow, quantity of organic matter
present in the interstitial water, predation pressure, as
well as the tolerating abilities of different species
(Palmer, 1990; Danielopol et al., 1997). The few
interstitial freshwater species are mostly found in the

Deringer



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	🗆 LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🗹 DISK

oxygenated upper 5 cm of the sediment, in which 634 about 46-68% of the whole gastrotrich community has 635 been reported. Some species (about 7–10% of the total 636 gastrotrich fauna) can migrate down to 10-15 cm deep 637 (Nesteruk, 1991). Only a few individuals have been 638 found at 30-40 cm deep in lotic gravel habitats where 639 wide interstices allow the penetration of oxygen 640 (Schmid-Araya, 1997). 641

Temporal patterns of gastrotrich populations and 642 influencing factors are not well known, especially in 643 freshwater environments. Nesteruk (1986, 2007a, 644 2017) reported decreased densities of some freshwater 645 gastrotrich populations during summer and winter, 646 probably related to the seasonal changes in oxygen 647 concentration, water temperature, and food availabil-648 ity. Periods of drought and freezing in temperate zones 649 strongly influence both the abundance and the struc-650 ture of communities. In tropical zones, gastrotrichs are 651 present and even abundant in lentic waters throughout 652 the year, with higher abundances during the rainy 653 season. This change in abundance is probably linked to 654 the sediment processes and recirculation of organic 655 matter (Kisielewski, 1991; Zébazé Togouet et al., 656 2007; Strayer et al., 2010). 657

Very few studies present data on the vertical 658 distribution of freshwater microturbellarians in the 659 water column and in sediments. Although some lentic 660 microturbellarians have been found in substrates at 661 considerable depths of 20 m or more, most studies 662 show that the largest numbers of species and individ-663 uals were found in the shallow waters up to 1 m of the 664 littoral zone and then decline with depth. This decline 665 in species richness and abundance is more pronounced 666 in eutrophic lakes than in oligotrophic lakes (Young, 667 2001; Kolasa, 2002). Some pelagic species of Mesos-668 toma follow the diurnal vertical migration of their prey 669 in the water column, rising to the surface at night to 670 feed on cladocerans and copepods (Rocha et al., 1990). 671 Psammic stream-dwelling microturbellarians are most 672 abundant at 20-40 cm deep inside gravel (Schmid-673 Araya, 1997). Species richness and abundance are, 674 however, mostly a function of the presence of varied 675 microhabitats. Studies on seasonal abundances of 676 freshwater microturbellarians give a mixed image. In 677 Europe and Southern Brazil, different species have 678 679 different seasonal abundance peaks influencing community compositions throughout the year (Young, 680 2001; Braccini & Leal-Zanchet, 2013). Seasonal 681 occurrence and abundance of microturbellarians also 682 seem to vary according to geographic location and are
most likely linked to the influence of temperature,
food availability, droughts and floods, and several
other abiotic and biotic variables. The scarcity of
studies available on these temporal dynamics highlights the need for more research in different parts of
the world.

690 Trophic and biotic interactions

691 Very few studies on gastrotrichs deal with their trophic 692 ecology, interactions within or among species, com-693 petition with and predation by other organisms, or 694 their symbionts and parasites. Only a few qualitative 695 experimental studies on mixed cultures of freshwater species have been done (d'Hondt, 1967; Bennett, 696 697 1975, 1979). The primary food seems to be bacteria 698 and the particulate organic matter on the sediment 699 surface, in interstitial spaces, and on the microbial 700 biofilm covering the substrate. Microalgae and other 701 protists probably supply some nutrients, but may not 702 be essential (Packard, 1936; Brunson, 1949). As the 703 interstitial environment is dominated by viscous 704 forces, all prey capture devices must be adapted to overcome the functional challenge of feeding at very 705 706 low Reynolds numbers. Food uptake and transport are 707 therefore dependent on two important factors: the 708 entrance to the pharynx (mouth) and conductance of 709 the pharyngeal pump. Among meiofauna, only two 710 taxa rely exclusively on suction for prey capture, 711 nematodes and gastrotrichs (Ruppert, 1982). Both taxa 712 have near-identical foreguts (e.g., terminal mouth, 713 myoepithelial pharynx, triradiate lumen), yet differ in 714 pharyngeal ultrastructure. Nematodes have strictly 715 monosarcomeral pharynges that generate strong but 716 slow contraction. Consequently, nematodes evolved to 717 feed on different prey through selection on buccal size, 718 armature, muscle supply, and pharynx shape (Munn & Munn, 2010). Alternatively, gastrotrichs have 1-12 719 720 sarcomeres/myofilament/species (Ruppert, 1982). 721 More sarcomeres should translate into greater speed 722 of contraction but with lower force; hence, different 723 lineages have made an evolutionary tradeoff of force 724 for speed (or speed for force), depending on ancestry. 725 An exploration of these tradeoffs should be carried out 726 by combining molecular diet analysis of selected 727 species whose diet is already partially known (either 728 by diagnostic PCR or by parallel sequencing-see 729 Rubbmark et al., 2019, for comparative review) with a

careful examination of pharynx structure by transmis-730 sion electron microscopy and confocal laser scanning 731 microscopy. We predict that species with monosar-732 comeral pharynges will be biofilm feeders, whereas 733 species with multisarcomeral pharynges will feed 734 primarily on eukaryotes. These studies should deter-735 mine if gastrotrichs form feeding guilds akin to those 736 in nematodes (Hochberg, pers. comm.). 737

Both freshwater and marine gastrotrichs seem to 738 have chemotactic abilities to discriminate between 739 different bacterial strains (Gray & Johnson, 1970). 740 Sporadic observations in laboratory cultures did not 741 show apparent reciprocal interactions with conspecific 742 individuals (Banchetti & Ricci, 1998). Gastrotricha 743 certainly compete with other meiofaunal organisms in 744 feeding on bacteria, protists, biofilm, and organic 745 detritus. Large protists, cnidarians, flatworms, poly-746 chaetes, and larvae of Diptera have been reported as 747 natural predators of Gastrotricha (Strayer & Hummon, 748 1991; d'Hondt, pers. comm.). The heliozoon Acti-749 nophrys sol Ehrenberg, 1830 and the amoebozoan 750 Amoeba spumosa Grüber, 1885 were directly observed 751 feeding on freshwater chaetonotids, both solitarily and 752 cooperatively in samples collected in nature and kept 753 under laboratory conditions (Brunson, 1949; Bovee & 754 Cordell, 1971). Escape mechanisms of Gastrotricha lie 755 in sudden whole body contractions and rapid direction 756 changes in locomotion. Most chaetonotidans, and 757 especially epibenthic or semiplanktonic species, also 758 have cuticular scales and/or long, sometimes movable 759 spines, and protective cephalic plates that act as 760 mechanical barriers against predators. 761

762 Individuals of freshwater Chaetonotida have been observed containing putative sporozoans in their trunk 763 or euglenoids in their intestine, but it is not clear if 764 these are food items, endosymbionts or parasites 765 (Remane, 1936; Manylov, 1999; Kisielewska et al., 766 2015). Nothing is known about possible epibiotic 767 associations between Gastrotricha and other taxa, like 768 those observed in other small aquatic micrometazoa 769 (i.e., Rotifera, Nematoda) (Bulut & Saler, 2017). 770

The diet of microturbellarians ranges from ciliary-771 772 assisted feeding on bacteria and algae (Catenulida) to (obligate?) diatomivory (some Macrostomorpha and 773 Rhabdocoela) and carnivory on other meiofauna and 774 the larvae of macroinvertebrates (see Watzin, 775 1983, 1986; Giere, 2009). Catenulids have a disten-776 sible mouth to engulf food and transport it to the 777 pharynx simplex through large cilia around the mouth 778

Deringer



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🖌 disk

Predator	215bp amplicon	340bp Amplicon
Cicerina debrae	NEM: Metadesmolaimus sp. JN968218, Daptonema hirsutum AM236231, or Daptonema setosum AM234045 (96%)	NEM: Daptonema hirsutum AM236231 or Daptonema setsosum AM234045 (95%)
Prognathorhynchus busheki	Uncultured metazoan clone AF372734 (97%)	NEM: Punctodora ratzeburgenis FJ969138 (78%)
Drepanorhynchides hastatus	NEM: Enoplolaimus sp. HM564464 (99%)	NEM: <i>Enoplolaimus</i> sp HM564464 (99%)
"EukalyptoRiese"	NEM: Enoplolaimus sp. JN968238 (100%)	NEM: <i>Enoplolaimus</i> HM564473 or Thoracostomopsidae HM564472 (97%)
Cheliplana n.sp.	NEM: <i>Metadesmolaimus</i> sp JN968218 or <i>Daptonema</i> sp FJ040463 or <i>D. hirsutum</i> AM236231 or <i>D. setosum</i> AM232405 (76%) (reverse read)	No amplification
Lehardyia alleithoros	Multiple sequences	TURB: Monocelidinae sp HM026567 (95%)
"ProschizoSpirale"	No amplification	TURB: Coelogynoporidae n. sp1
Schizochilus "foxi"	NEM: Draconematidae gen.sp. FJ182219, (96%)	No amplification
"ProschizoTertius"	ACOEL:Pseudaphanostoma smithrii AY078375 Haplogonaria "schillingi" FR837700 (86%)	No amplification
Paromalostomum "riegeri"	NEM: Metadesmolaimus sp. JN968218 Daptonema hirsutum AM236321 (94%)	No amplification

Fig. 5 Partial results of PCR amplifications for two primer sets directed against nematodes applied to DNA isolates from single platyhelminth individuals. GenBank accession numbers and

779 opening. A few species in the catenulid genus Paracatenula are mouthless and maintain symbiotic 780 781 bacteria in the gut (Dirks et al., 2011, 2012). Other 782 microturbellarians use their muscular pharynx for the 783 capture and uptake of prey items. The pharynx can be 784 distended to capture and ingest prey as a whole 785 (Stenostomidae, Dalyelliidae) or protruded to breach 786 the body wall of larger prey and suck up prey fluids 787 and tissues (Typhloplanidae, Proseriata). Kalyp-788 torhynchs use their anterior proboscis to capture and 789 possibly envenomate prey and immobilize it while 790 positioning their pharynx. Some flatworms, such as 791 prorhynchids and Gyratrix hermaphroditus Ehren-792 berg, 1831, use their stylet to stab prey.

percent sequence identities are listed for each prey species identified by Blastn. *NEM* nematode; *ACOEL* acoelomorph, *TURB* turbellarian Adapted from Maghsoud et al. (2014)

Feeding strategies of freshwater microturbellarians 793 include mucus trapping, active searching, ambush 794 predation, the use of toxins, and group foraging 795 (Young, 2001; De Roeck et al., 2005; Dumont et al., 796 2014), but comprehensive data on diet composition 797 and prey selection are very limited compared to 798 799 marine and brackish water microturbellarians (Watzin, 1985; Reise, 1988; Menn & Armonies, 1999). 800 Diagnostic PCR was used to reconstruct the diet in 801 individual marine flatworm species (Maghsoud et al., 802 2014; Fig. 5), and could also prove valuable for 803 freshwater microturbellarians. One recent study shows 804 acquired prey selection of toxic and non-toxic ciliates 805 by the catenulid Stenostomum sphagnetorum Luther, 806



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🗹 disk

Simplex, ciliated lumen, ventral ciliated "mustache" Simplex, barrel-shaped	Catenula, Suomina	Unicellular eukaryotes; bacteria?	Ciliary sweep
Simplex, barrel-shaped	_		
	Stenostomum	Ciliates, Rotifers, other flatworms	Suction, holozoic ^{4,5}
Simplex	Macrostomum	Diatoms, nematodes, juvenile mussels,	Cilio-muscular,
		cladocerans	holozoic
Simplex	Microstomum	Diatoms, <i>Hydra</i> tentacles, other FW	Cilio-muscular, holozoic
Plicate, wreath-shaped to	Coelogynopora,	Oligochaetes, copepods, carrion	Suction,
tubular			Holozoic or sucking prey contents
Bulbous, rosulate	Castrada	Diatoms, green algae, rotifers, oligochaetes, cladocerans, copepods, insect larvae, other FW	Suction, holozoic or sucking prey contents
Bulbous, doliiform	Gieysztoria	Diatoms, green algae, rotifers, nematodes, oligochaetes, other flatworms	Suction, holozoic
	Simplex Simplex Plicate, wreath-shaped to tubular Bulbous, rosulate Bulbous, doliiform	SimplexMacrostomumSimplexMicrostomumPlicate, wreath-shaped to tubularCoelogynopora,Bulbous, rosulateCastradaBulbous, doliiformGieysztoria	SimplexMacrostomumDiatoms, nematodes, juvenile mussels, cladoceransSimplexMicrostomumDiatoms, Hydra tentacles, other FWPlicate, wreath-shaped to tubularCoelogynopora, Coelogynopora,Oligochaetes, copepods, carrionBulbous, rosulateCastradaDiatoms, green algae, rotifers, oligochaetes, cladocerans, copepods, insect larvae, other FWBulbous, doliiformGieysztoriaDiatoms, green algae, rotifers, nematodes, oligochaetes, other flatworms

Table 4 Feeding guilds in flatworms based in part on pharyngeal structure (from Bilio, 1967; Straarup, 1970)

References: ¹Rieger et al. (1991); ²Kolasa & Tyler (2010), ³Young (2001), ⁴ Nuttycombe & Waters (1935), ⁵Smith & Davis (unpublished)

807 1960; this behavior was lost after asexual reproduction 808 (Buonanno, 2011). Freshwater microturbellarians can 809 reach high densities and studies have shown that predation by species of Mesostoma and Phaenocora 810 811 can influence the population dynamics of zooplankton or benthic communities seasonally (Young, 1977; De 812 Roeck et al., 2005; Dumont et al., 2014). Larger 813 814 microturbellarians that feed on mosquito larvae have 815 therefore been proposed as biological control agents 816 (Tranchida et al., 2009). Feeding guilds based in part on pharyngeal structure have been hypothesized in 817 818 flatworms (e.g., Bilio, 1967; Straarup, 1970; Table 4). 819 Species of Macrostomum may be specialist feeders on 820 diatoms or, alternatively, take any relatively slow-821 moving prey small enough to swallow, including 822 juvenile mussels and cladocerans (Delp, 2002). Prose-823 riates with a ventrally directed plicate pharynx and 824 rhabdocoels with a bulbous rosulate pharynx often use 825 that to suck out prey contents (Jennings, 1974b; own 826 observations). Rhabdocoels with an anterior barrel-827 shaped (doliiform) pharynx often suddenly dilate the 828 pharynx, suck in, and swallow fast-moving prey whole 829 (Bilio, 1967). Rapidly contracting radial muscles 830 could play a role in overcoming viscous forces and 831 quickly sucking in smaller prey. This mechanism is 832 used to capture swimming prey by some members of

the genus Stenostomum (Nuttycombe & Waters, 1935) 833 and confocal microscopy of the head region in 834 Stenostomum virginianum Nuttycombe, 1931 shows 835 pseudostriation of the radial musculature in the 836 pharynx-an arrangement that is predicted to increase 837 contraction velocity (Smith & Davis, unpublished). 838 Interestingly, pseudostriation has also been observed 839 in the pharyngeal radial muscles of a Prolecithophoran 840 (Rieger et al., 1991). Additionally, congenerics occur-841 ring in the same biotope (e.g., Catenula lemnae Duges, 842 1832 and Catenula confusa Nuttycombe, 1956) might 843 have different diets that are reflected in the structure of 844 their pharynges-for instance, size-selection between 845 unicellular algae vs bacteria. In summary, one would 846 expect to find both convergent and divergent adapta-847 tions across the different pharynx types-adaptations 848 that depend in part on prey mobility, and in part on 849 prey size (e.g., sucking out the body contents of 850 oligochaetes and amphipods vs swallowing smaller 851 prey whole). However, there appear to be no published 852 studies directed at understanding the biomechanics of 853 the pharynx in microturbellarians. 854

Microturbellarians are also eaten by other invertebrates, small vertebrates, and even protists. Defensive behavior such as the release of mucous to escape from predatory ciliates has been observed (Buonanno, 858

E

,	Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
	Article No. : 4287	🗆 LE	□ TYPESET
•	MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🖌 DISK

859 2009) and rhabdites have long been suggested to be 860 defensive, whether or not their primary role is mucus production for ciliary gliding (Rieger et al., 1991). 861 862 Both intra- and interspecific predation by other microturbellarians have also been recorded (Young, 863 2001; own observations). Although the extent and 864 impact of predation on microturbellarian populations 865 866 have not been assessed in detail, predator exclusion 867 did not produce the expected increase in platyhelminthes, suggesting that predation does not regu-868 869 late flatworm populations except under specialized 870 circumstances (Reise, 1979; Giere, 2009).

871 Freshwater microturbellarians, and then predomi-872 nantly rhabdocoels, can be both ectosymbionts on other freshwater animals and hosts for other organ-873 isms. Temnocephalids are small freshwater epibionts 874 875 on macroinvertebrates and turtles. They prey on other 876 co-symbiotic organisms and feed opportunistically on particles of the host's food. The dalyelliid Varsoviella 877 878 kozminskii Gieysztor & Wiszniewski, 1947 lives on the gills of freshwater gammarids (Gieysztor & 879 880 Wiszniewski, 1947). A number of freshwater species 881 in the genera Castrada, Dalyellia, Gievsztoria, 882 Phaenocora, and Typhloplana harbor endosymbiotic chlorophytes. Little is known about this symbiosis, but 883 884 studies on Phaenocora typhlops (Vejdovsky, 1880), 885 Dalyellia viridis (Shaw, 1791), and Typhloplana viridata (Abildgaard, 1789) suggest that worms could 886 887 benefit from the photosynthate and oxygen produced by the algae (Young, 2001 and references therein). 888 889 Kleptoplasty, a form of endosymbiosis where only the 890 algal plastids are sequestered and retained, has 891 recently been observed in marine and brackish water 892 rhabdocoels (Van Steenkiste et al., 2019). Species of 893 the genus Microstomum often retain nematocysts from 894 digested Hydra tentacles as kleptocnids (Fig. 3f, 895 arrows). Parasites of freshwater microturbellarians 896 have occasionally been recorded in older taxonomic literature, but very few studies characterize the 897 898 observed parasites in detail. Most of these parasites 899 are protists, including apicomplexans, microsporidi-900 ans, ciliates, and euglenozoans. Only a few records 901 mention metazoan parasites such as nematodes or 902 neodermatan flatworms (for details, see Young, 2001 903 and references therein). It is noteworthy that the last 904 comprehensive review of microturbellarians as para-905 sites and hosts was published over 100 years ago (von 906 Graff, 1903), and less comprehensive modern sum-907 maries are available (Jennings, 1971, 1974a, 1977).



TT 1		
Hudro	hin	0019
IIyuiu	UIU.	iugia
2		ω

908

Life strategies

909 Gastrotrichs have various reproductive modalities. While marine Macrodasyida are hermaphrodite with 910 cross-fertilization, freshwater Chaetonotida generally 911 reproduce by thelytokous parthenogenesis. As a 912 consequence, freshwater populations can start from 913 any single individual. Many freshwater species can 914 also produce resting eggs that can withstand environ-915 mental adverse conditions and act as dispersal propag-916 ules. The factors triggering the production and the 917 hatching of the resting eggs are not yet known. 918 919 Parthenogenesis, resting eggs, and short life cycles allow gastrotrichs to survive extreme variations in 920 environmental conditions (e.g., droughts, floods) and 921 colonize challenging habitats such as lotic sediments 922 (Ricci & Balsamo, 2000), caves (Vandel, 1964; 923 Renaud-Mornant, 1986; Kolicka et al., 2017), high 924 mountain biotopes (Baumann, 1910; Tonolli & 925 Tonolli, 1951; Gadea, 1988), hot springs (De Guerne, 926 1888), and deep crater lakes (Barrois, 1896; R. 927 Schabetsberger, unpublished data). In addition, indi-928 viduals might also be able to survive critical condi-929 tions by migrating deeper into the sediment (Nesteruk, 930 2007c). 931

Laboratory tests have evidenced the existence of a 932 long postparthenogenic phase with production of 933 aberrant spermatozoa in Chaetonotida. This suggests 934 a possible amphimictic reproduction, and thus the 935 existence of two successive reproductive modalities in 936 a single lifespan. Such a biphasic reproduction strat-937 egy would allow for a quick increase in population 938 numbers through apomictic parthenogenesis followed 939 by the introduction of genetic variation through cross-940 fertilization (Balsamo, 1992; Hummon & Hummon, 941 1992). 942

Microturbellarians are hermaphrodites and display 943 both sexual (cross- and self-fertilization) and asexual 944 (paratomy) modes of reproduction (Kolasa, 2000). 945 Catenulids and some macrostomids (e.g., Microsto-946 mum) reproduce asexually, although sexual reproduc-947 tion can also occur. Most other freshwater 948 microturbellarians reproduce by internal cross-fertil-949 ization, either by mutual copulation or sometimes by 950 hypodermic impregnation. Self-fertilization is rare 951 and has only been observed in a few species (Young, 952 2001). 953

Life histories of freshwater microturbellarians are 954 not well understood and only known for a handful of 955

Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🖌 disk

956 species from temperate regions (Cox & Young, 1974; 957 Heitkamp, 1988). Microturbellarians can produce both subitaneous (non-resting) eggs for rapid population 958 growth during their active phase and dormant resting 959 960 eggs/cocoons enclosed by a thicker, more resistant shell at the end of their active phase to overcome 961 periods of high/low temperature, water level changes, 962 963 or desiccation (Young, 2001). Life cycles are condi-964 tioned by seasonal cycles and droughts or flooding 965 events. As such, many species have flexible life cycles 966 depending on geographic location and habitat. Annual species are active year-round and restricted to perma-967 968 nent water bodies. Reproduction appears in one or 969 more generations throughout the year, often during a 970 specific season and influenced by temperature, food, and the presence of water. Seasonal species only 971 972 appear in one or more seasons which often overlap 973 with periods of vegetation growth and/or phyto- and zooplankton blooms.

A comparative summary of modes of reproduction of freshwater gastrotrichs and microturbellarians is 976 977 reported in Table 5.

Global diversity and distribution 978

979 The majority of freshwater gastrotrichs are Chaeto-980 notida, with about 350 species in 5 families and 24 981 genera (72% of total chaetonotidan species). Only four species of Macrodasyida, in one family and one genus 982 983 (except one species incertae sedis), occur in fresh 984 waters (Kisielewski, 1987; Kånneby & Kirk, 2017; 985 Garraffoni et al., 2019). Diversity of freshwater 986 Gastrotricha in different geographic areas is not as 987 well known as that of marine species, and available data are quite heterogeneous. Most research has been 988 989 carried out in Europe and the Americas. Data on 990 geographic distribution are usually limited to the sampling sites, especially in older literature, and 991 992 occasionally include some ecological data (see Balsamo et al., 2014 for previous references). This 993 insufficient knowledge is a direct consequence of 994 technical problems that are common to all soft-bodied 995 meiofaunal animals and concern their collecting and 996 handling, but also to the particular focus of most 997 studies on the epibenthic and periphytic species from 998 standing water bodies. Moreover, the taxonomy-999 especially of the order Chaetonotida-is still unsta-1000 ble because of the intraspecific variability of many 1001 species, the scarcity of diagnostic data in old descrip-1002 tions, and the increasing evidence of the existence of 1003 cryptic species in widespread nominal species (Kie-1004 neke et al., 2012; Kånneby et al., 2012, 2013). In 1005 Europe, the continent studied most thoroughly, about 1006 250 species have been identified and some countries 1007 have been the object of regional 'faunas' (Balsamo, 1008 1983; Balsamo & Tongiorgi, 1995; Balsamo et al., 1009 2014 for global references). Of course the effect of 1010 sampling effort should be considered in advancing 1011 possible scenarios of the global diversity and distri-1012 bution of the phylum, also because large areas in most 1013 other parts of the world have not been explored yet 1014 (Balsamo et al., 2008, 2014; Fontaneto et al., 2012). 1015 Three out of four species of freshwater Macrodasyida 1016 (fam. Redudasyidae) are reported from the Americas 1017 (Fig. 6), while the fourth species (Marinellina flagel-1018 lata Ruttner-Kolisko, 1955, incertae sedis) is known 1019 from Austria (Ruttner-Kolisko, 1955; Schmid-Araya 1020 & Schmid, 1995). As for Chaetonotida, three of the 1021 five freshwater families, Dasydytidae, Neogosseidae, 1022 and especially Chaetonotidae, appear to be cosmopoli-1023 tan, and most genera and species have been recorded 1024 in at least two continents, especially in tropical areas 1025 (Figs. 7, 8). Representatives of the rare family 1026 Dichaeturidae have occasionally been found in a few 1027 European localities and a single Japanese site. Each of 1028

Table 5 A comparative summary of modes of reproduction of freshwater gastrotrichs (M, Macrodasyida; C, Chaetonotida) and microturbellarians

	Gastrotrichs	Microturbellarians
Asexual reproduction	Thelytokous parthenogenesis in M and C	Paratomy (Catenulida, Microstomum)
Sexual condition	Female only	Hermaphroditic only
Sexual reproduction	Suspected postparthenogenic sexual phase in C	Cross- and self-fertilization
Resting stages	Resting eggs	Resting eggs/cocoons

	2	Spr	ing	eı
--	---	-----	-----	----

,	Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32	
	Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET	
,	MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🛃 СР	🗹 disk	



Fig. 6 A freshwater genus of Macrodasyida, *Redudasys.* **a** Lateral view of the head showing the diagnostic single adhesive tube (SEM); **b** detail of the caudal body end with four adhesive tubes (DIC microscopy)

1029 the two species of the family Proichthydiidae has only 1030 been recorded once in their respective type localities 1031 in South America and Asia (see Balsamo et al., 2014 1032 for detailed references). About half of the freshwater 1033 genera have an intercontinental distribution; about 1/3 1034 of the European species and 1/3-1/2 of the South 1035 American species appear to be cosmopolitan. Tropical 1036 areas generally have a high diversity of genera and 1037 species. Brazil in particular has many endemic genera, 1038 some of which are only known from a single site in 1039 Amazonia (e.g., Undula in the chaetonotid subfamily 1040 Undulinae). There are also numerous other records of 1041 species from only one country and often from only one 1042 site, but knowledge on gastrotrich diversity in sur-1043 rounding countries and regions is not sufficient to

🖉 Springer



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	🗆 LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🖌 СР	🗹 disk

define these species as endemic (Balsamo et al., 2014: 1044 Garraffoni & Balsamo, 2017). 1045

An update of the situation reported in Balsamo et al. 1046 (2008) highlights the increase in the number of new 1047 freshwater species of gastrotrichs recently described, 1048 mainly from the Palearctic, but also from the 1049 Neotropic and Nearctic (Balsamo et a. 2019; Todaro 1050 et al., 2019) (Table 6a; Fig. 9a). This increase is not 1051 only related to an increased sampling effort, but also to 1052 investigations in environments not yet explored such 1053 as Arctic waters and artificial water bodies (green-1054 houses) (Kolicka et al., 2018; Kolicka, 2019 and 1055 references therein). 1056

Global species numbers in the different groups of 1057 freshwater microturbellarians amount to the following 1058 numbers: Catenulida (95 species), Macrostomorpha 1059 (118 species), Prorhynchida (31 species), Proseriata 1060 (12 species), Rhabdocoela (739 species), Prolecitho-1061 phora (20 species), and Bothrioplanida (2 species) 1062 (Table 6b). The majority of freshwater species belong 1063 to three groups within the rhabdocoel clade Limnoty-1064 phloplanida: Temnocephalida (160 species), Dalyel-1065 liidae (174 species), and Typhloplanidae (271 1066 species). Knowledge on the diversity and distribution 1067 of freshwater microturbellarians in different parts of 1068 the world is relatively scarce and, as for most other 1069 freshwater meiofauna, reflects the historical efforts 1070 and geographical work area of taxonomists rather than 1071 actual microturbellarian diversity and distribution. 1072 Table 6b and Fig. 9b summarize species numbers for 1073 microturbellarians in each biogeographic zone. These 1074 numbers are the most current update since the census 1075 of freshwater turbellarians in Schockaert et al. (2008). 1076 Increased species numbers and distribution records for 1077 the Palearctic can largely be attributed to increased 1078 taxon sampling of catenulids (Larsson & Willems, 1079 2010), macrostomids (Rogozin, 2012), rhabdocoels 1080 (Rogozin, 2011, 2017; Van Steenkiste et al., 2011b; 1081 Korgina, 2014; Timoshkin et al., 2014; Houben et al., 1082 2015), and proseriates (Timoshkin et al., 2010), and to 1083 the recognition of cryptic species within Microstomum 1084 (Atherton & Jondelius, 2018). Species numbers and 1085 records in the Nearctic have increased slightly due to 1086 recent surveys of rhabdocoels in Canada and the USA 1087 (Van Steenkiste et al., 2011a; Houben et al., 2014). 1088 The largest increase in species numbers and records 1089 can be found in the Neotropical, Oriental, and 1090 Australian regions thanks to recent studies describing 1091 and recording several dozens of rhabdocoels and 1092



Fig. 7 Representatives of freshwater genera of Chaetonotida: a, b Chaetonotus; c, d Heterolepidoderma; e Lepidochaetus (DIC microscopy)

Author Proof

D Springer

-

,	Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32	
	Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET	
	MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🛃 СР	🗹 disk	



Fig. 8 A semiplanktonic genus of Chaetonotida, *Neogossea*. a ventral view; b detail of cuticular scales and spines (SEM)

1093 macrostomids in South America (e.g., Adami et al., 1094 2012; Martínez-Aquino et al., 2014; Braccini et al., 2016), Southern China (Sun et al., 2015; Lin et al., 1095 2017), and India and Australia (e.g., Van Steenkiste 1096 1097 et al., 2012). Only a marginal increase or status quo in 1098 species records are shown for the Afrotropical, Pacific, 1099 and Antarctic regions, where almost no or very few studies on microturbellarians have been conducted in 1100 1101 the past decades. While global species numbers have increased with over 16% in the last 12 years, biodi-1102 1103 versity surveys of microturbellarians in some of the 1104 world's largest and most diverse freshwater systems, such as the Pantanal or the basins of the Amazon, 1105 1106 Congo, and Ganges-Brahmaputra rivers, are still very 1107 limited or non-existent. Several freshwater habitats, 1108 such as limnoterrestrial habitats are seldom sampled 1109 and could contain a hidden reservoir of microturbellarian diversity (Van Steenkiste et al., 2010; Houben 1110 1111 et al., 2015). Phreatic aquifers or peat swamp forests 1112 remain unexplored altogether. As such, our freshwater 1113 microturbellarian census clearly shows a large poten-1114 tial for species discovery in freshwater habitats around 1115 the world. Even in the most intensely sampled

Springer



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🗹 DISK

biogeographical regions, including the Palearctic,1116Nearctic, and Neotropics, vast areas and many habitats1117are still to be surveyed.1118

As a result of the paucity of data on species 1119 diversity in many regions, biogeographic patterns for 1120 freshwater microturbellarians are hard to infer. While 1121 the majority of species have so far only been recorded 1122 from one biogeographic region ("endemic species" in 1123 Table 6b), some nominal species of catenulids (e.g., 1124 Stenostomum leucops (Duges, 1828)), macrostomids 1125 (e.g., Microstomum lineare (Müller, 1773)), and 1126 rhabdocoels (e.g., Gyratrix hermaphroditus) seem to 1127 have cosmopolitan distributions. Others are wide-1128 spread, but confined to one or two biogeographic 1129 regions. For instance, several nominal species of 1130 dalyelliids (e.g., Microdalyellia armigera (Schmidt, 1131 1861), Gievsztoria cuspidata (Schmidt, 1861), Cas-1132 trella truncata (Abildgaard, 1789)) have a Holarctic 1133 distribution. One hypothesis is that the widespread 1134 distribution of micro-organisms could be the result of 1135 long-distance dispersal by long-term resistant dormant 1136 stages and the ability to colonize and reproduce 1137 quickly (Fontaneto, 2019). In addition, some of these 1138 widespread nominal species could be complexes of 1139 closely related species, the so-called (pseudo)cryptic 1140 species. This has been demonstrated in both marine 1141 (Scarpa et al., 2016; Van Steenkiste et al., 2018) and 1142 freshwater (Atherton & Jondelius, 2018) microturbel-1143 larians, where several nominal species are now 1144 considered complexes of different species. 1145

On a superspecific level, distribution patterns of 1146 freshwater microturbellarians are even harder to 1147 untangle. Most genera have representatives in different biogeographical regions. Some genera or species 1149 groups seem confined to certain biogeographical areas 1150 and their distribution could possibly be explained by a 1151 combination of geological events and dispersal. 1152

Perspectives

The majority of studies on rouphozoans are mostly 1154 conducted by researchers in Europe and the Americas. 1155 Programs for taxonomic capacity building in devel-1156 oping countries could benefit biodiversity surveys of 1157 freshwater meiofauna in the vastly undersampled but 1158 biodiverse freshwater ecosystems of Africa, Southeast 1159 Asia, and the Americas. These regions might be of 1160 crucial importance for a more realistic biodiversity 1161

Table 6 Current species numbers for a. Gastrotricha and b. microturbellaria in different biogeographical regions of the world, including numbers of endemic species per region and

species numbers from the 2008 census of Balsamo et al. (2008) and Schockaert et al. (2008) for comparison

	1									
		PA	NA	NT	AT	OL	AU	PAC	ANT	World
(a) Gastrotricha										
Chaetonotida										
Chaetonotidae	Current census	222	71	78	7	25	8	0	0	296
	2008 census	194	60	76	7	25	8	0	0	281
	increase	28	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	15
Dasydytidae	Current census	21	9	11	0	2	0	0	0	33
	2008 census	21	9	10	0	2	0	0	0	33
	increase	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dichaeturidae	Current census	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	2008 census	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	increase	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Neogosseidae	Current census	4	2	4	4	1	0	0	0	9
	2008 census	4	2	4	3	1	0	0	0	8
	increase	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Proichthydidae	Current census	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	2008 census	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	increase	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Macrodasyida	Current census	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
	2008 census	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	increase	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total	Current census	253	83	96	11	28	8	0	0	348
	2008 census	224	71	92	10	28	8	0	0	320
	increase	29	12	4	1	0	0	0	0	28
	Endemic species	84	24	49	3	6	3	0	0	
(b) Microturbellaria										
Catenulida	Current census	48	36	49	11	2	1	0	0	95
	2008 census	36	36	45	10	1	1	0	0	90
	increase	12	0	4	1	1	0	0	0	5
Macrostomorpha	Current census	63	30	10	14	15	1	0	0	118
	2008 census	43	26	3	14	2	1	0	0	84
	increase	20	4	7	0	13	0	0	0	34
Prorhynchida	Current census	21	6	5	3	1	3	0	1	31
	2008 census	20	4	4	3	0	3	0	1	31
	increase	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Rhabdocoela	Current census	437	97	103	36	38	110	1	1	739
	2008 census	431	86	59	34	9	70	0	1	646
	increase	6	11	44	3	29	40	1	0	<i>93</i>
Proseriata	Current census	7	1	4	0	0	0	0	1	12
	2008 census	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	10
	increase	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Bothrioplanida	Current census	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	2
	2008 census	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
	increase	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1



•	Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32	
	Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET	
	MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🖌 СР	🖌 disk	

D Springer

		PA	NA	NT	AT	OL	AU	PAC	ANT	World
Prolecithopora	Current census	14	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	20
	2008 census	12	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	12
	increase	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	8
Total	Current census	591	173	173	66	58	116	1	3	1017
	2008 census	548	155	114	62	13	76	0	3	874
	increase	43	18	59	4	45	40	1	0	143
	Endemic species	506	95	119	41	41	112	0	3	

PA Palearctic, NA Nearctic, NT Neotropical, AT Afrotropical, OL Oriental, AU Australian, PAC Pacific, ANT Antarctic

Table 6 continued

1162 estimation of microturbellarian and gastrotrich species diversity, but are at risk because of rapid habitat 1163 1164 destruction and climate change. Wide-ranging European research programs on the freshwater animal 1165 1166 biodiversity have been carried out in the past years 1167 (2000-2008) leading to the compilation of European 1168 and global databases of the known biodiversity at the 1169 time (Fauna.Europaea Project, see de Jong, 2014; 1170 FADA Freshwater Animal Diversity Assessment 1171 Project, see Balsamo et al., 2008). Increased species 1172 discovery should be a concerted effort with expanding 1173 and updating databases that consolidate existing and 1174 new taxonomic and biogeographic data. An important 1175 first step would be the development of regularly 1176 updated identification keys for freshwater roupho-1177 zoans. This could be part of a broader effort on 1178 freshwater meiofauna analogous to current efforts for 1179 marine meiofauna (Schmidt-Rhaesa, 2020). To accel-1180 erate biodiversity surveys of rouphozoans, protocols 1181 for animal collection, vouchering, DNA extraction, 1182 DNA barcode marker selection, amplification, and 1183 sequencing should be adjusted to the upcoming and 1184 promising third-generation sequencing techniques 1185 (e.g., Nanopore).

1186 A large impediment for future research on taxon-1187 omy, biogeography, and phylogeny of Rouphozoa 1188 (and all other Metazoa for that matter) is the imple-1189 mentation of the Nagoya Protocol (NP). Since October 1190 2014, NP regulates all access to, and benefit sharing of, 1191 genetic resources worldwide. The protocol was designed to ensure fair use of countries' genetic 1192 1193 resources, including the use of traditional knowledge. 1194 However, as logical and fair such legislation might seem, many concerns have been uttered (Deplazes-1195 Zemp et al., 2018, and references therein). Whereas 1196 the NP and resulting legislation is needed to counter 1197 biopiracy and ensure that countries are not robbed of 1198 their economically valuable biological and genetic 1199 resources, it has devastating side effects on (descrip-1200 tive) fundamental research. Without any doubt, the NP 1201 will significantly slow down taxonomic and other 1202 biodiversity studies just in an era in which such 1203 projects are much needed. For instance, in our daily 1204 work on microturbellarians, specimens are exchanged 1205 between researchers on a very regular base, in several 1206 cases involving colleagues from developing countries 1207 with whom we try to build up a structural collabora-1208 tion. Because of the regulations of the NP, such 1209 exchange of material, indispensable for fruitful joint 1210 scientific activities, is hampered. The administrative 1211 workload will discourage international collaboration 1212 between researchers and will cause (and is already 1213 causing) a bias towards research in countries that did 1214 not ratify the NP. Moreover, for many biologists and 1215 institutes, it is not entirely clear (yet) what procedures 1216 should be followed in practice. We can only hope that 1217 the regulations of the NP will be revised in the future 1218 to ensure that at least the much-needed fundamental, 1219 non-profit research can continue smoothly. 1220

Dispersal abilities of freshwater gastrotrichs and 1221 microturbellarians and the relationship between dispersal and distribution have not been specifically 1223 investigated so far. The small size of gastrotrichs and 1224 microturbellarians and the absence of planktonic 1225 stages limit active dispersal of live individuals to 1226 short distances. Wind, running water, and more mobile 1227

Deringer



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🖌 СР	🖌 DISK



Fig. 9 Current species numbers for a. Gastrotricha and b. microturbellarians in different biogeographical regions of the world (black numbers and circles), including numbers of endemic species per region (light gray numbers and circles)

animal vectors have all been proposed as passive long-distance dispersal vectors for long-term desiccation-resistant eggs or cocoons of rouphozoans (Gerlach,

and numbers of species shared between regions (dark gray numbers and lines). *PA* Palearctic, *NA* Nearctic, *NT* Neotropical, *AT* Afrotropical, *OL* Oriental, *AU* Australian, *PAC* Pacific, *ANT* Antarctic

1977; H	Iagerman	&	Rie	ger, 1	980;	Young,	2001;	1231
Vanscho	enwinkel	et	al.,	2008,	2009	; Viana	et al.,	1232
2016).	Human-m	nedi	iated	l disp	persal	(aquac	ulture,	1233

E.

,	Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
	Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
	MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🗹 disk

2 Springer

1234 ballast waters, etc.) of gastrotrichs, microturbellarians, 1235 and many other aquatic micro-invertebrates is likely, 1236 but has not yet been the subject of specific studies 1237 (Artois et al., 2011). Future studies focusing on the 1238 spatial connectivity and gene flow of freshwater 1239 gastrotrich and microturbellarian populations are 1240 highly needed to support these assumptions. Cerca 1241 et al. (2018) have stressed the importance of including ecological and life-history traits, evolutionary history 1242 1243 and cryptic speciation, metapopulation dynamics, as 1244 well as considering vicariant events and (ancient) 1245 dispersal routes on different geographic and temporal 1246 scales to explain current-day distribution of marine 1247 meiofauna. These are all important considerations to 1248 also elucidate recent distribution patterns of freshwater gastrotrichs and microturbellarians. 1249

1250 The task of untangling hidden diversity, spatial 1251 connectivity, and trophic networks in Rouphozoa will 1252 certainly fall to molecular methods. Metagenetic, 1253 genomic, and transcriptomic data-when combined 1254 and integrated with morphological and ecological 1255 data-can also provide new insights into additional 1256 properties and patterns such as niche differentiation, 1257 differential gene expression, genome duplication or 1258 reduction, character evolution, reproduction modes 1259 and traits related to sexual selection, origins of 1260 symbiotic interactions, co-evolution and host speci-1261 ficity, nutritional strategies, and life cycle modifica-1262 tions. The current lack of such integrated studies impedes our understanding on evolutionary processes 1263 1264 within rouphozoans. Many closely related species of 1265 freshwater gastrotrichs and microturbellarians occur 1266 in sympatry suggesting some kind of ecological 1267 differentiation. However, non-ecological speciation 1268 in allopatry at some point in the past has been proposed 1269 for present-day sympatric organisms (Czekanski-Moir 1270 & Rundell, 2019). Species flocks of rhabdocoels in 1271 Lake Baikal are the product of spectacular speciation 1272 events, but the mechanisms behind these radiations are 1273 not known. Revealing these underlying processes 1274 remains challenging and will require holistic multi-1275 evidence approaches employing new techniques in 1276 high-resolution microscopy and high-throughput 1277 sequencing.

Finally, there is still a valuable role for functional
morphology, specifically, studies with a biomechanical approach. From the original analysis of the role of
connective tissue in soft-bodied worms (Clark &
Cowey, 1958), and subsequent refinements applied to

1305

1317

1318

1319

1320

1321

1322

1323

1324

1325

1326

1327

1328

1329

1330

1331

1332 1333

soft-tissue extensible structures more generally (Kier, 1283 2010), biomechanical studies in Rouphozoa are rare: 1284 proboscis function in Cheliplana (Uyeno & Kier, 1285 2010) and in Schizorhynchia more generally (Smith 1286 et al., 2015), and dynamics of duo-gland adhesion in 1287 marine microturbellaria (Wunderer et al., 2019). 1288 Accordingly, additional studies directed at a better 1289 understanding of rouphozoan biomechanics would 1290 provide a much richer context for the evolutionary and 1291 1292 ecological work proposed above.

1293 Acknowledgements Julian P.S. Smith III was supported by 1294 grant P20GM103499 (SC INBRE) from the National Institute of 1295 General Medical Sciences, National Institutes of Health; Niels 1296 Van Steenkiste and Brian Leander were supported by grants 1297 from the National Science and Engineering Research Council of 1298 Canada (2019-03986) and the Hakai Institute; Maria Balsamo 1299 and Loretta Guidi were supported by Scientific Research grants 1300 from the Italian Ministry of University (MIUR, 2019). The 1301 authors are grateful to Dr. Rick Hochberg for the free and open 1302 sharing of his ideas concerning feeding guilds in gastrotrichs, 1303 and to Dr. Seth Tyler for pointing us to historical literature on 1304 microturbellaria as parasites and hosts.

References

- Adami, M., C. Damborenea & J. R. Ronderos, 2012. A new limnic species of *Macrostomum* (Platyhelminthes: Macrostomida) from Argentina and its muscle arrangement labeled with phalloidin. Zoologischer Anzeiger 251: 1309 197–205.
- Amaral-Zettler, L. A., E. A. McCliment, H. W. Ducklow & S.
 M. Huse, 2009. A method for studying protistan diversity using massively parallel sequencing of V9 hypervariable regions of small–subunit ribosomal RNA genes. PLoS ONE 4: e6372.
 An der Lan, H., 1939. Zur rhabdocoelen Turbellarienfauna des
- An der Lan, H., 1939. Zur rhabdocoelen Turbellarienfauna des Ochridasees (Balkan). Sitzungsberichten der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien: Mathematisch Naturwissenschaftliche Klasse, Abteilung I 148: 195–254.
- An der Lan, H., 1962. Zur Turbellarien-Fauna der Donau. Archiv für Hydrobiologie. Supplement 27: 3–27.
- An der Lan, H., 1967. Zur Turbellarien-Fauna des hyporheischen Interstitials. Archiv für Protistenkunde 33: 63–72.
- Arroyo, A. S., D. López-Escardó, C. De Vargas & I. Ruiz-Trillo, 2016. Hidden diversity of Acoelomorpha revealed through metabarcoding. Biology letters 12: 20160674.
- Artois, T., D. Fontaneto, W. D. Hummon, S. J. McInnes, M. A. Todaro, M. V. Sørensen & A. Zullini, 2011. Ubiquity of microscopic animals? Evidence from the morphological approach in species identification. In Fontaneto, D. (ed), Biogeography of Microscopic Organisms: Is Everything Small Everywhere? The Systematics Association Press: 244–283.
- Artois, T., W. Willems, E. De Roeck, M. Jocqué & L. Brendonck, 2004. Freshwater Rhabdocoela (Platyhelminthes) 1335

Springer



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🖌 disk

1381

from ephemeral rock pools from Botswana, with the description of four new species and one new genus. Zoological Science 21: 1063-1072.

- Atherton, S., 2015. Cryptic speciation and the evolution of asexuality in marine gastrotricha. Doctoral dissertation. University of Massachusetts, Lowell.
- Atherton, S. & U. Jondelius, 2018. Wide distributions and cryptic diversity within a *Microstomum* (Platyhelminthes) species complex. Zoologica Scripta 47: 486-498.
- Atherton, S. & U. Jondelius, 2019. A taxonomic review and revisions of Microstomidae (Platyhelminthes: Macrostomorpha). PLoS ONE 14: e0212073.
- Ax, P., 2008. Plathelminthes aus Brackgewässern der Nordhalbkugel. Franz Steiner Verlag, Stuttgart.
- Balsamo, M., 1982. Three new gastrotrichs from a Tuscan-Emilian Apennine lake. Bollettino di Zoologia 49: 287-295.
- Balsamo, M., 1983. Gastrotrichi (Gastrotricha). Guide per il riconoscimento delle specie animali delle acque interne italiane. Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Roma, AQ/1/ 199, 20: 1-92.
- Balsamo, M., 1990. Gastrotrichs from Lakes Bolsena, Chiusi and Montepulciano (Central Italy), with the description of four new species. Bollettino di Zoologia 57: 165-178.
- 1360 Balsamo, M., 1992. Hermaphroditism and parthenogenesis in 1361 lower Bilateria: Gnathostomulida and Gastrotricha. In 1362 Dallai, R. (ed.), Sex: Origin and Evolution. Mucchi, 1363 Modena: 309-327.
 - Balsamo, M. & E. Fregni, 1995. Gastrotrichs from interstitial fresh water, with a description of four new species. Hydrobiologia 302: 163-175.
 - Balsamo, M. & M. A. Todaro, 1995. Gastrotrichi del Trentino: le Viotte del Monte Bondone. Studi Trentini di Scienze Naturali. Acta Biologica 70: 9-22.
 - Balsamo, M. & P. Tongiorgi, 1995. Gastrotricha. In Minelli, A., S. Ruffo & S. La Posta (eds), Checklist Delle Specie Della Fauna Italiana. Calderini, Bologna: 1-11.
 - Balsamo, M. & M. A. Todaro, 1988. Life history traits of two chaetonotids (Gastrotricha) under different experimental conditions. Invertebrate Reproduction and Development 14: 161–176.
- Balsamo, M. & M. A. Todaro, 2002. Gastrotricha. In Rundle, S. D., A. L. Robertson & J. M. Schmid-Araya (eds), Freshwater Meiofauna: Biology and Ecology. Backhuys Pub-1380 lishers, Leiden: 45-61.
- Balsamo, M., J. L. Hondt d', J. Kisielewski & L. Pierboni, 2008. 1382 Global diversity of gastrotrichs (Gastrotricha) in fresh 1383 waters. Hydrobiologia 595: 85-91.
- 1384 Balsamo, M., J. L. Hondt d', L. Pierboni & P. Grilli, 2009. 1385 Taxonomical and nomenclatural notes on freshwater Gas-1386 trotricha. Zootaxa 2158: 1-19.
- Balsamo, M., P. Grilli, L. Guidi & J. L. d'Hondt, 2014. Gas-1387 1388 trotricha: Biology, Ecology and Systematics. Families 1389 Dasydytidae, Dichaeturidae, Neogosseidae, Proichthydi-1390 idae. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden: 1-187.
- 1391 Balsamo, M., J. L. Hondt, J. Kisielewski, M. A. Todaro, P. 1392 Tongiorgi, L. Guidi, et al., 2015. Fauna Europaea: Gas-1393 trotricha. Biodiversity Data Journal 3: e5800.
- 1394 Balsamo, M., J. L. Hondt d' & P. Grilli, 2019. Phylum Gas-1395 trotricha. In Rogers, D. C. & J. H. Thorp (eds), Thorp and

Covich's Freshwater Invertebrates. Academic Press, London: 149-218.

1396

1397

1402

1403

1404

1405

1406

1407

1408

1409

1410

1411

1412

1413

1414

1415

1416

1417

1418

1419

1420

1421

1422

1423

1424

1425

1426

1427

1428

1429

1430

1431

1432

1433

1434

1435

1436

1437

1438

1439

1440

1441

1442

1443

1444

1445

1446

1447

1448

1449

- Banchetti, R. & N. Ricci, 1998. The behavior of Heterolepi-1398 1399 doderma sp. (Gastrotricha). Zoologica Scripta 15: 1400 131-137. Barrois, T., 1896. Recherches sur la faune des eaux douces des 1401
- Açores. Mémoires de la Société des Sciences Agricoles et Arts. Société des Sciences Agricoles et Arts, Lille: 1-172.
- Baumann, F., 1910. Beiträge zur Biologie der Stockhornseen. Revue Suisse de Zoologie 18: 647-675.
- Bennett, L. W., 1975. Partial trophic analysis of a freshwater Gastrotrich. Bulletin of the Association of Southeastern Biologists 22: 41-42.
- Bennett, L. W., 1979. Experimental analysis of the trophic ecology of Lepidodermella squammata (Gastrotricha: Chaetonotida) in mixed culture. Transactions of the American Microscopical Society 98: 254-260.
- Bilio, M., 1967. Nahrungsbeziehungen der Turbellarien in Küstensalzwiesen. Helgoländer Wissenschaftliche Meeresuntersuchungen 15: 602.
- Bleidorn, C., 2019, Recent progress in reconstructing lophotrochozoan (spiralian) phylogeny. Organisms Diversity and Evolution 19: 557-566.
- Bovee, E. C. & D. L. Cordell, 1971. Feeding on gastrotrichs by the heliozoon Actinophrys sol. Transactions of the American Microscopical Society 90: 365-369.
- Braccini, J. A. L. & A. M. Leal-Zanchet, 2013. Turbellarian assemblages in freshwater lagoons in southern Brazil. Invertebrate Biology 132: 305-314.
- Braccini, J. A. L., S. V. Amaral & A. M. Leal-Zanchet, 2016. Microturbellarians (Platyhelminthes and Acoelomorpha) in Brazil: invisible organisms? Brazilian Journal of Biology 76: 476-494.
- Brunson, R. B., 1949. The life history and ecology of two North American gastrotrichs. Transactions of the American Microscopical Society 68: 1-20.
- Bulut, H. & S. Saler, 2017. Presence of an epibiont Epistylis sp. (Protozoa, Cilophora) on some zooplankton. Fresenius Environmental Bulletin 26: 6334–6339.
- Buonanno, F., 2009. Antipredator behavior of the freshwater microturbellarian Stenostomum sphagnetorum against the predatory ciliate Dileptus margaritifer. Zoological Science 26: 443-447.
- Buonanno, F., 2011. The changes in the predatory behavior of the microturbellarian Stenostomum sphagnetorum on two species of toxic-secreting ciliates of the genus Spirostomum. Biologia 66: 648-653.
- Cannon, L. R. G., 1986. Turbellaria of the World. A Guide to Families and Genera. Queensland Museum, Brisbane: 1 - 131.
- Cerca, J., G. Purschke & T. H. Struck, 2018. Marine connectivity dynamics: clarifying cosmopolitan distributions of marine interstitial invertebrates and the meiofauna paradox. Marine Biology 165: 123.
- 1450 Chariton, A. A., S. Stephenson, M. J. Morgan, A. D. L. Steven, M. J. Colloff, L. N. Court & C. M. Hardy, 2015. 1451 1452 Metabarcoding of benthic eukaryote communities predicts 1453 the ecological condition of estuaries. Environmental Pol-1454 lution 203: 165–174.



•	Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
	Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
	MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🖌 DISK

1516

1517

1518

1519

1520

1521

1522

1523

1524

1525

1526

1527

1528

1529

1530

1531

1532

1533

1534

1535

1536

1537

1538

1539

1540

1541

1542

1543

1544

1545

1546

1547

1548

1549

1550

1551

1552

1553

1554

1555

1556

1557

1558

1559

1560

1561

1563

1564

1565

1566

1567

1568

1569

1570

1571

1572

1573

- 1455 Clark, R. T. & J. B. Cowey, 1958. Factors controlling the change 1456 of shape of certain nemertean and turbellarian worms. 1457 Journal of Experimental Biology 35: 731-748.
- 1458 Cox, N. & J. O. Young, 1974. Some observations on two populations of Dalyellia viridis (G. Shaw) (Turbellaria: Neorhabdocoela) living in temporary habitats in England. Hydrobiologia 44: 161-176.
 - Creer, S., V. G. Fonseca, D. L. Porazinska, R. M. Giblin-Davis, W. Sung, D. M. Power, et al., 2010. Ultrasequencing of the meiofaunal biosphere: practice, pitfalls and promises. Molecular Ecology 19: 4-20.
 - Czekanski-Moir, J. E. & R. J. Rundell, 2019. The ecology of nonecological speciation and nonadaptive radiations. Trends in ecology and evolution 34: 400-415.
 - d' Hondt, J. L., 1967. Documents sur les Gastrotriches dulcicoles des eaux françaises. Annales Limnologiques 3: 381-397.
 - Danielopol, D. L., R. Rouch, P. Pospisil, P. Torreiter & F. Mößlacher, 1997. Ecotonal animal assemblages: their interest for groundwater studies. In Gilbert, J., J. Mathieu & F. Fournier (eds), Groundwater/Surface water ecotones. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge: 11-20.
 - de Jong, Y., et al., 2014. Fauna Europaea all European animal species on the web. Biodiversity Data Journal 2: e4034. https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.2.e4034.
 - De Roeck, E. R. M., T. Artois & L. Brendonck, 2005. Consumptive and non-consumptive effects of turbellarian (Mesostoma sp.) predation on anostracans. Hydrobiologia 542: 103-111.
- 1484 Delp, A. M., 2002. Flatworm predation on juvenile freshwater 1485 mussels. Doctoral dissertation. Southwest Missouri State 1486 University.
- 1487 Deplazes-Zemp, A., S. Abiven, P. Schaber, M. Schaepman, G. 1488 Schaepman-Strub, B. Schmid, K. K. Shimizu & F. Alter-1489 matt, 2018. The Nagoya Protocol could backfire on the 1490 Global South. Nature Ecology and Evolution 2: 917-919.
- 1491 Dirks, U., H. R. Gruber-Vodicka, N. Leisch, W. Sterrer & J. 1492 A. Ott, 2011. A new species of symbiotic flatworms, 1493 Paracatenula galateia sp. nov. (Platyhelminthes: 1494 Catenulida: Retronectidae) from Belize (Central America). 1495 Marine Biology Research 7: 769–777.
- 1496 Dirks, U., H. R. Gruber-Vodicka, N. Leisch, S. Bulgheresi, B. 1497 Egger, P. Ladurner & J. A. Ott, 2012. Bacterial symbiosis 1498 maintenance in the asexually reproducing and regenerating 1499 flatworm Paracatenula galateia. PLoS ONE 7: e34709.
- 1500 Dumont, H. J., A. C. Rietzler & B. P. Han, 2014. A review of 1501 typhloplanid flatworm ecology, with emphasis on pelagic 1502 species. Inland Waters 4: 257-270.
- 1503 Egger, B., F. Lapraz, B. Tomiczek, S. Müller, C. Dessimoz, J. 1504 Girstmair, N. Škunca, K. A. Rawlinson, C. B. Cameron, E. 1505 Beli, M. A. Todaro, M. Gammoudi, C. Noreña & M. 1506 J. Telford, 2015. A transcriptomic-phylogenomic analysis 1507 of the evolutionary relationships of flatworms. Current 1508 Biology 25: 1347–1353.
- 1509 Eitam, A., C. Noreña & L. Blaustein, 2004. Microturbellarian 1510 species richness and community similarity among tempo-1511 rary pools: relationships with habitat properties. Biodi-1512 versity and Conservation 13: 2107–2117.
- 1513 F.A.D.A. Freshwater Animal Diversity Assessment. http://fada. 1514 biodiversity.be/

- Fegley, S. R., J. P. S Smith III., D. Johnson, A. Schirmer, J. Jones-Boggs, A. Edmonds & J. Bursey, 2020. (Submitted ~ 4/10). Nourished, exposed beaches exhibit altered sediment structure and meiofaunal communities. Diversity X: x-xx
- Fontaneto, D., 2019. Long-distance passive dispersal in microscopic aquatic animals. Movement Ecology 7: 1-10.
- Fontaneto, D., A. M. Barbosa, H. Segers & M. Pautasso, 2012. The 'rotiferologist' effect and other global correlates of species richness in monogonont rotifers. Ecography 35: 174-182.
- Gadea, K., 1988. Nuevos datas on Chaetonotus zelinkai. Miscellanea Zoologica (Barcelona) 12: 357-360.
- Garraffoni, A. R. S. & T. Q. Araújo, 2010. Chave de identificação de Gastrotricha de águas continentais e marinhas do Brasil. Papéis Avulsos Zoologicos (São Paulo) 50: 535-552.
- Garraffoni, A. R. & M. Balsamo, 2017. Is the ubiquitous distribution real for marine gastrotrichs? Detection of areas of endemism using Parsimony Analysis of Endemicity (PAE). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 130: 198-211.
- Garraffoni, A. R. S., T. Q. Araújo, A. P. Lourenço, L. Guidi & M. Balsamo, 2017. A new genus and new species of freshwater Chaetonotidae (Gastrotricha: Chaetonotida) from Brazil with phylogenetic position inferred from nuclear and mitochondrial DNA sequences. Systematics and Biodiversity 15: 49-62.
- Garraffoni, A. R. S., T. Q. Araújo, A. P. Lourenço, L. Guidi & M. Balsamo, 2019. Integrative taxonomy of a new Redudasys species (Gastrotricha: Macrodasyida) sheds light on the invasion of fresh water habitats by macrodasyids. Scientific Reports 9: 2067.
- Gastrotricha World Portal: http://www.gastrotricha.unimore.it. Accessed 24 Jan 2020
- Gerlach, S., 1977. Means of meiofauna dispersal. Mikrofauna Meeresbodens 61: 89-103.
- Giere, O., 2009. Meiobenthology. The Microscopic Fauna in Aquatic Sediments. Springer, Berlin: 1-527.
- Gieysztor, M. & J. Wiszniewski, 1947. Sur un Turbellarié vivant sur les branchies de Gammarus ischnus G. O. Sars (Rhabdocoela, Dalyelliidae). Annales Musei Zoologici Polonici 14: 1-5.
- Giribet, G. & G. D. Edgecombe, 2019. Perspectives in animal phylogeny and evolution: a decade later. In Fusco, G. (ed.), Perspectives on Evolutionary and Developmental Biology. Padova University Press, Padova: 167-178.
- Gray, J. S. & R. M. Johnson, 1970. The bacteria of a sandy beach 1562 as an ecological factor affecting the interstitial gastrotrich Turbanella hyalina Schultze. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 4: 119-133.
- Guerne, J. De, 1888. Campagnes scientifiques du Yacht monégasque l'Hirondelle, troisième année, Vol. 26. Gauthier-Villars, Paris: 72–78.
- Hagerman, G. M. & R. M. Rieger, 1980. Dispersal of benthic meiofauna by wave and current action in Bogue Sound, North Carolina, USA. Marine Ecology 2: 245-270.
- Harzing, A.W., 2007. Publish or Perish, available from https:// harzing.com/resources/publish-or-perish.
- 1574 Heitkamp, U., 1982. Untersuchungen zur Biologie, Ökologie 1575 und Systematik limnischer Turbellarien periodischer und



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	🗆 LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🖌 СР	🗹 disk

1472

1473

1474

1475

1476

1477

1478

1479

1480

1481

1482

- perennierender Kleingewässer Südniedersachsens. Archiv für Hydrobiologie Supplement 64: 65–188. Heitkamp, U., 1988. Life-cycles of microturbellarians of pools and their strategies of adaptation to their habitats. In Ax, P.,
 - U. Ehlers & B. Sopott-Ehlers (eds), Free-Living and Symbiotic Plathelminthes, Vol. 36. Fortschritte der Zoologie, Neue Folge: 449–456.
- Hochberg, R. & M. K. Litvaitis, 2000. Phylogeny of Gastrotricha: a morphology-based framework of gastrotrich relationships. Biological Bulletin 198: 299–305.
- Hondt, J. L. & J. L. d'Hondt, 1971. Gastrotricha. Oceanography Marine Biology Annual Reviews 9: 141–192.
- Houben, A. M., N. Van Steenkiste & T. J. Artois, 2014. Revision of *Phaenocora* Ehrenberg, 1836 (Rhabditophora, Typhloplanidae, Phaenocorinae) with the description of two new species. Zootaxa 3889: 301–354.
- Houben, A. M., P. Schwank, W. Proesmans, W. Bert & T. J. Artois, 2015. Notes on some enigmatic taxa of limnoterrestrial rhabdocoels, with the description of two new species. Zootaxa 4040: 83–92.
- Hugerth, L. W., E. E. Muller, Y. O. Hu, L. A. Lebrun, H. Roume, D. Lundin, et al., 2014. Systematic design of 18S rRNA gene primers for determining eukaryotic diversity in microbial consortia. PLoS ONE 9: e95567.
- Hummon, W. D., 1981. Extraction by sieving: a biased procedure in studies of stream meiobenthos. Transactions of the American Microscopical Society 100: 278–284.
- Hummon, M. R., 1986. Reproduction and sexual development in a freshwater gastrotrich. 4. Life history traits and the possibility of sexual reproduction. Transactions of the American Microscopical Society 105: 97–109.
- Hummon, W. D., 1987. Meiobenthos of the Mississippi headwaters. In Bertolani, R. (ed.), Biology of Tardigrades.
 Selected Symposia and Monographs U.Z.I. Mucchi,
 Modena: 125–140.
- Hummon, M. R. & W. D. Hummon, 1992. Gastrotricha. In Adiyodi, K. G. & A. G. Adiyodi (eds), Reproductive Biology of Invertebrates, Vol. V., Sexual Differentiation and Behaviour Oxford & IBH, New Delhi: 137–146.
- Hummon, W. D. & M. A. Todaro, 2010. Analytic taxonomy and notes on marine, brackish-water and estuarine Gastrotricha. Zootaxa 2392: 1–32.
- Janssen, T., D. B. Vizoso, G. Schulte, D. T. J. Littlewood, A.
 Waeschenbach & L. Schärer, 2015. The first multi-gene phylogeny of the Macrostomorpha sheds light on the evolution of sexual and asexual reproduction in basal Platyhelminthes. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 92: 82–107.
- Jennings, J. B., 1971. Parasitism and commensalism in the Turbellaria. Advances in Parasitology 9: 1–32.
- Jennings, J. B., 1974a. Symbioses in the Turbellaria and their
 implications in studies on the evolution of parasitism. In
 Vernberg, W. B. (ed.), Symbiosis in the Sea. University of
 South Carolina Press, Columbia, SC: 127–160.
- Jennings, J. B., 1974b. Digestive physiology of the Turbellaria.
 In Riser, N. W. & M. P. Morse (eds), Biology of the Turbellaria. McGraw-Hill, New York: 173–197.
- Jennings, J. B., 1977. Nutritional and respiratory pathways to parasitism exemplified in the Turbellaria. International Journal for Parasitology 27: 679–691.

- Kånneby, T., 2016. Phylum Gastrotricha. In Thorp, J. & D.
 C. Roger (eds), Ecology and General Biology. Thorp and Covich's Freshwater Invertebrates. Academic Press, Amsterdam: 115–130.
 Kånneby, T. & I. J. Kirk. 2017. A new species of *Redudasys* 1640
- Kånneby, T. & J. J. Kirk, 2017. A new species of *Redudasys* (Gastrotricha: Macrodasyida: Redudasyidae) from the United States. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 130: 128–139.

1642

1643

1644

1645

1646

1647

1648

1649

1650

1651

1652

1653

1654

1655

1656

1657

1658

1659

1660

1661

1662

1663

1664

1665

1666

1667

1668

1669

1670

1671

1672

1673

1674

1675

1676

1677

1678

1679

1680

1681

1685

1686

1687

1688

1689

1690

- Kånneby, T., M. A. Todaro & U. Jondelius, 2012. A phylogenetic approach to species delimitation in freshwater Gastrotricha from Sweden. Hydrobiologia 683: 185–202.
- Kånneby, T., M. A. Todaro & U. Jondelius, 2013. Phylogeny of Chaetonotidae and other Paucitubulatina (Gastrotricha: Chaetonotida) and the colonization of aquatic ecosystems. Zoologica Scripta 42: 88–105.
- Kennedy, S. R., S. Prost, I. Overcast, A. J. Rominger, R. G. Gillespie & H. Krehenwinkel, 2020. High-throughput sequencing for community analysis: the promise of DNA barcoding to uncover diversity, relatedness, abundances and interactions in spider communities. Development Genes and Evolution. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00427-020-00652-x.
- Kieneke, A. & A. Schmidt-Rhaesa, 2015. Gastrotricha. In Schmidt-Rhaesa, A. (ed.), Handbook of Zoology. De Gruyter, Berlin: 1–126.
- Kieneke, A., O. Riemann & W. H. Ahlrichs, 2008. Novel implications for the basal internal relationships of Gastrotricha revealed by an analysis of morphological characters. Zoologica Scripta 37: 429–460.
- Kieneke, A., P. M. Arbizu & D. Fontaneto, 2012. Spatially structured populations with a low level of cryptic diversity in European marine Gastrotricha. Molecular Ecology 21: 1239–1254.
- Kier, W. M., 2010. The functional morphology of the tentacle musculature of *Nautilus pompilius*. In Saunders, W. B. & N. H. Landman (eds), *Nautilus*. Topics in Geobiology. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Kisielewska, G., M. Kolicka & K. Zawierucha, 2015. Prey or parasite? The first observations of live Euglenida in the intestine of Gastrotricha. European Journal of Protistology 51: 138–141.
- Kisielewski, J., 1974. Nowe dla fauny Polski gatunki Brzuchorzeskow (Gastrotricha). Slodkowodnych. Badania Fizjograficzne nad Polska Zachodnia 27: 103–111.
- Kisielewski, J., 1981. Gastrotricha from raised and transitional peat bogs in Poland. Monografie Fauny Polska 11: 1–142.
- Kisielewski, J., 1986. Freshwater Gastrotricha of Poland. VII. Gastrotricha of extremely eutrophicated water bodies. Fragmenta Faunistica 30: 267–295.
- Kisielewski, J., 1987. Two new interesting genera of Gastrotricha (Macrodasyida and Chaetonotida) from the Brazilian freshwater psammon. Hydrobiologia 153: 23–30.
- Kisielewski, J., 1990. Origin and phylogenetic significance of freshwater psammic Gastrotricha. Stygologia 5: 87–92.
- Kisielewski, J., 1991. Inland-water Gastrotricha from Brazil. Annales Zoologici 43: 1–168.
- Kisielewski, J., 1999. A preliminary study of the inland-water Gastrotricha of Israel. Israel Journal of Zoology 45: 135–157. 1693



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🖌 СЬ	🗹 disk

1762

1763

1764

1765

1766

1767

1768

1769

1770

1771

1772

1778

1779

1780

1781

1782

1783

1784

1785

1786

1787

1788

1789

1790

- 1695 1696 1697 1698 1699 1700 1701 1702 1703 1704 1705 1706
- Proof 1707 17081709 1710 Auth 17111712 1713 1714
 - 1715 1716 1717
 - 1718 1719 1720
 - 1721 1722
 - 1723
 - 1724 1725
 - 1726
 - 1727 1728
 - 1729
 - 1730 1731
 - 1732 1733
 - 1734 1735
 - 1736 1737

1738 1739

- 1747

- 1740 1741 1742 1743 1744 1745
- 1746

Larsson, K. & U. Jondelius, 2008. Phylogeny of Catenulida and support for Platyhelminthes. Organisms Diversity and Evolution 8: 378-387.

Kisielewski, J. & G. Kisielewska, 1986. Freshwater Gastrotricha

Kolasa, J., 1979. Ecological and faunistical characteristics of

Kolasa, J., 1983. Formation of the turbellarian fauna in an Italian

Kolasa, J., 2000. The biology and ecology of lotic micro-

Kolasa, J., 2002. Microturbellaria. In Rundle, S., A. L. Robert-

Kolasa, J., D. Strayer & E. Bannon-O'Donnell, 1987. Micro-

Kolicka, M., 2019. Gastrotricha - not only in sediments: new

Kolicka, M., M. Dabert, J. Dabert, T. Kånneby & J. Kisielewski,

Kolicka, M., P. Gadawski & M. Dabert, 2017. A new species of

Kolicka, M., L. Kotwicki & M. Dabert, 2018. Diversity of

Korgina, E. M., 2014. A new species of the turbellarian worms,

Kraus, D. W. & J. M. Colacino, 1984. The oxygen consumption

Krehenwinkel, H., A. Pomerantz, J. B. Henderson, S.

turbellarians. Freshwater Biology 44: 5-14.

Benthological Society 6: 125-132.

Journal of Taxonomy 511: 1-100.

atics 30(4): 398-419.

Zoologici 68(4): 609-739.

Physiology 79: 691-693.

GigaScience 8(5): giz006.

354: 1-30.

610–614.

Mountains. Fragmenta Faunistica 30: 158-295.

ologica 21: 435-459.

297-354.

of Poland. I. Gastrotricha from the Tatra and Karkonosze

Turbellaria in the eutrophic Lake Zbechy. Acta Hydrobi-

submontane stream. Acta Zoologica Cracoviensia 26:

son & J. M. Schmid-Araya (eds), Freshwater Meiofauna:

Biology and Ecology. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden: 1-14.

turbellarians from interstitial waters, streams, and springs

in Southeastern New York. Journal of the North American

epiphytic species of Chaetonotida from the Jubilee

Greenhouse of the Botanical Garden in Kraków. European

2016. Bifidochaetus, a new Arctic genus of freshwater

Chaetonotida (Gastrotricha) from Spitsbergen revealed by

an integrative taxonomic approach. Invertebrate System-

freshwater Chaetonotidae (Gastrotricha, Chaetonotida)

from Obodska Cave (Montenegro) based on morphological

and molecular characters. European Journal of Taxonomy

Gastrotricha on Spitsbergen (Svalbard Archipelago, Arc-

tic) with a description of seven new species. Annales

Phaenocora gagarini Korgina sp. n. (Turbellaria,

Typhloplanidae), from the upper Volga river basin (Yar-

oslavl province, Russia). Zoologicheskii Zhurnal 93:

rates of three gastrotrichs. Comparative Biochemical

R. Kennedy, J. Y. Lim, V. Swamy, et al., 2019. Nanopore

sequencing of long ribosomal DNA amplicons enables

portable and simple biodiversity assessments with high

phylogenetic resolution across broad taxonomic scale.

1748 Larsson, K. & W. Willems, 2010. Report on freshwater 1749 Catenulida (Platyhelminthes) from Sweden with the 1750 description of four new species. Zootaxa 2396: 1-18.

1751 Larsson, K., A. Ahmadzadeh & U. Jondelius, 2008. DNA tax-1752 onomy of Swedish Catenulida (Platyhelminthes) and a 1753 phylogenetic framework for catenulid classification. 1754 Organisms, Diversity, and Evolution 8: 399-412.

- 1755 Laumer, C. E., G. Giribet & M. Curini-Galletti, 2014. Prosog-1756 ynopora riseri, gen. et sp. nov., a phylogenetically prob-1757 lithophoran (Platyhelminthes: lematic proseriate 1758 Rhabditophora) with inverted genital pores from the New 1759 England coast. Invertebrate Systematics 28: 309-325. 1760
- Laumer, C. E., N. Bekkouche, A. Kerbl, F. Goetz, R. C. Neves, M. V. Sørensen, R. M. Kristensen, A. Hejnol, C. W. Dunn, G. Giribet & K. Worsaae, 2015a. Spiralian phylogeny informs the evolution of microscopic lineages. Current Biology 25: 2000-2006.

Laumer, C. E., A. Hejnol & G. Giribet, 2015b. Nuclear genomic signals of the 'microturbellarian' roots of platyhelminth evolutionary innovation. eLife 4: e05503.

- Leasi, F., J. L. Sevigny, E. M. Laflamme, T. Artois, M. Curini-Galletti, A. de Jesus Navarrete & K. M. Jörger, 2018. Biodiversity estimates and ecological interpretations of meiofaunal communities are biased by the taxonomic approach. Communications Biology 1: 1-12.
- 1773 Leray, M. & N. Knowlton, 2015. DNA barcoding and 1774 metabarcoding of standardized samples reveal patterns of marine benthic diversity. Proceedings of the National 1775 1776 Academy of Sciences 112: 2076-2081. 1777
- Lin, Y. T., W. T. Feng, F. Xin, L. Zhang, Y. Zhang & A. T. Wang, 2017. Two new species and the molecular phylogeny of eight species of *Macrostomum* (Platyhelminthes: Macrostomorpha) from southern China. Zootaxa 4337: 423-435.
- Lindgren E. W., 1972. Systematics and Ecology of North Carolina Marine Sandy-beach Harpacticoida (Copepoda: Crustacea). PhD Dissertation, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.
- Mack-Fira, V., 1974. The Turbellarian fauna of the Romanian littoral waters of the Black Sea and its annexes. In Riser, N. W. & M. P. Morse (eds), Biology of the Turbellaria. McGraw-Hill, New York: 248-290.
- Maestri, S., E. Cosentino, M. Paterno, H. Freitag, J. M. Garces, L. Marcolungo, et al., 2019. A rapid and accurate MinIONbased workflow for tracking species biodiversity in the field. Genes 10: 468.
- Maghsoud, H., A. Weiss, J. P. S. Smith, M. K. Litvaitis & S. R. Fegley, 2014. Diagnostic PCR can be used to illuminate meiofaunal diets and trophic relationships. Invertebrate Biology 133: 121–127.
- Majdi, N., J. M. Schmid-Araya & W. Traunspurger, 2019. Examining the diet of meiofauna: a critical review of methodologies. Hydrobiologia. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10750-019-04150-8.
- Manylov, O. G., 1999. First finding of a microsporidian parasite in the gastrotrich, Turbanella lutheri (Gastrotricha: Macrodasyida). Protistology 1: 17-19.
- Martens, P. M. & E. R. Schockaert, 1986. The importance of turbellarians in the marine meiobenthos: a review. Hydrobiologia 132: 295-303.
- Martínez, A., E. M. Eckert, T. Artoi, G. Careddu, M. Casu, M. Curini-Galletti, V. Gazale, S. Gobert, V. I. Ivanenko, U. 1810 Jondelius, M. Marzano, G. Pesole, A. Zanello, M. A. To-1811 daro & D. Fontaneto, 2020. Human access impacts biodi-1812 versity of microscopic animals in sandy beaches. 1813 Communications Biology 3: 175.
- 1814 Martínez-Aquino, A., F. Brusa, C. Damborenea & D. Gibson, 1815 2014. Checklist of freshwater sym-biotic temnocephalans



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🖌 DISK

- 1803 1804 1805
- 1806
- 1807
- 1808 1809

1816

- (Platyhelminthes, Rhabditophora, Temnocephalida) from the Neo-tropics. Zoosystematics and Evolution 90: 147–162.
- Menn, I. & W. Armonies, 1999. Predatory *Promesostoma* species (Plathelminthes, Rhabdocoela) in the Wadden Sea. Journal of Sea Research 41: 309–320.
- Minowa, A. K. & A. R. Garraffoni, 2017. A new species of *Haltidytes* Remane, 1936 (Gastrotricha: Chaetonotida: Dasydytidae) from an urban lagoon in Brazil with a phylogenetic reconstruction of the genus based on morphological data. Zoologischer Anzeiger 269: 100–109.
- Mitsi, K., A. S. Arroyo & I. Ruiz-Trillo, 2019. A global metabarcoding analysis expands molecular diversity of Platyhelminthes and reveals novel early-branching clades. Biology Letters 15: 20190182.
- Munn, E. A. & P. D. Munn, 2010. Feeding and digestion. In Lee, D. L. (ed.), The Biology of Nematodes. CRC Press, London: 211–232.
- Nesteruk, T., 1986. Freshwater Gastrotricha of Poland. IV. Gastrotricha from fish ponds in the vicinity of Siedlce. Fragmenta Faunistica 30: 215–233.
- 1837 Nesteruk, T., 1987. Assessing the efficiency of three methods of
 1838 extracting fresh water Gastrotricha from bottom silt. Acta
 1839 Hydrobiologica 29: 219–226.
- 1840 Nesteruk, T., 1991. Vertical distribution of Gastrotricha in organic bottom sediment of inland water bodies. Acta Biologica 33: 253–264.
- 1843 Nesteruk, T., 1993. A comparison of values of freshwater gastrotricha densities determined by various methods. Acta Hydrobiologica Krakow 4: 321–328.
- 1846 Nesteruk, T., 1996a. Density and biomass of gastrotricha in sediments of different types of standing water. Hydrobiologia 24: 205–208.
- 1849 Nesteruk, T., 1996b. Species composition and dominance
 1850 structure of gastrotrich (Gastrotricha) assemblages in water
 1851 bodies of different trophic status. Hydrobiologia 339:
 1852 141–148.
- 1853 Nesteruk, T., 1998. Changes in density and species composition 1854 of Gastrotricha in stored samples. Acta Hydrobiologica 40: 39–42.
- 1856 Nesteruk, T., 2000. Epiphytic Gastrotricha species composition and dominance. Acta Hydrobiologica 42: 53–57.
- 1858 Nesteruk, T., 2004a. Benthic and epiphytic fauna of Gastrotricha in littoral of mesotrophic lake in Leczna-Wlodawa Lakeland, Poland. Fragmenta Faunistica 47: 1–6.
- 1861 Nesteruk, T., 2004b. Lake zonality influence on species diversity formation of Gastrotricha. Acta Agrophysica 4: 441–447.
- 1864 Nesteruk, T., 2005. Ecotone zone as a form of protection and enrichment of biological diversity. Teka Komisji Ochrony i Kształtowania Środowiska Przyrodniczego 2: 108–114.
- 1867 Nesteruk, T., 2006. Gastrotricha against the background of chosen groups of zoobenthos in farm ponds. Teka Komisji
 1869 Ochrony i Kształtowania Środowiska Przyrodniczego 3: 141–146.
- 1871 Nesteruk, T., 2007a. A study on ecology of freshwater Gastrotricha. Rozprawy Naukowe Akademii Wychowania
 1873 Fizycznego we Wrocławiu. 92. Widawnictwo Akademii
 1874 Podlaskiej Siedlce: 1–119.

 Nesteruk, T., 2007b. Diversity and abundance of Gastrotricha in the psammon of mesotrophic lake. Polish Journal of Ecology 55: 833–839.
 Nesteruk, T., 2007c. Recolonization of two dried peat-hags by

1879

1880

1901

1902

1903

1904

1905

1906

1907

1908

1909

1910

1911

1912

1913

1914

1915

1916

1917

1918

1919

1920

1921

1922

1923

1924 1925

1926

1927

1928

1929

1930

1931

1932

1933

1934

1935

- Nesteruk, T., 2007c. Recolonization of two dried peat-hags by gastrotrich fauna. Teka Komisji Ochrony i Kształtowania Środowiska Przyrodniczego 4: 192–197.
- Nesteruk, T., 2008. Assessment of the diversity and density of
gastrotrich fauna (Gastrotricha) in bottom sediments of
running and standing waters. Teka Komisji Ochrony i
Kształtowania Środowiska Przyrodniczego 5: 136–143.1881
1882
1883
1884
- Nesteruk, T., 2009. Gastrotrich fauna of Elodeids and bottom
sediments in a eutrophic lake. Teka Komisji Ochrony i
Kształtowania Środowiska Przyrodniczego 6: 206–215.1885
1886
- Nesteruk, T., 2011. Comparison of gastrotrich fauna on elodeids and in bottom sediments of lakes of different trophic status (the region Polesie Lubelskie, Eastern Poland).
 Oceanological and Hydrobiological Studies 40: 13–21.
 Nesteruk, T., 2016a. Quantitative assessment of epiphytic and
- Nesteruk, T., 2016a. Quantitative assessment of epiphytic and
benthic meioinvertebrate fauna in various types of standing
water. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies 25:
1661–1668.1892
1893
1894
- Nesteruk, T., 2016b. Species composition and density of Gastrotricha occurring on two species of macrophytes in a mesotrophic lake. Teka Komisji Ochrony I Kształtowania Środowiska Przyrodniczego 13: 33–40.
 Nesteruk, T., 2017. Seasonal changes in the diversity and 1900
- Nesteruk, T., 2017. Seasonal changes in the diversity and abundance of epiphytic Gastrotricha. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 130: 212–222.
- Noreña, C., C. Damborenea & F. Brusa, 2015. Phylum Platyhelminthes. In Thorp, J. & D. C. Rogers (eds), Ecology and General Biology. Thorp and Covich's Freshwater Invertebrates. Academic Press, Cambridge: 181–203.
- Nuttycombe, J. W. & A. J. Waters, 1935. Feeding habits and pharyngeal structure in *Stenostomum*. The Biological Bulletin 69: 439–446.
- Packard, C. E., 1936. Observations on the Gastrotricha indigenous to New Hampshire. Transactions of the American Microscopical Society 55: 422–427.
- Palmer, M. A., 1990. Temporal and spatial dynamics of meiofauna within the hyporheic zone of Goose Creek, Virginia. Journal of North American Benthological Society 9: 17–25.
- Palmer, M. A., A. P. Covich, B. J. Finlay, J. Gibert, K. D. Hyde, R. K. Johnson, T. Kairesalo, S. Lake, C. R. Lovell, R. J. Naiman, C. Ricci, F. Sabater & D. Strayer, 1997. Biodiversity and ecosystem processes in freshwater sediments. Ambio 26: 571–577.
- Paps, J. & M. Riutort, 2012. Molecular phylogeny of the phylum Gastrotricha: new data bring together molecules and morphology. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 63: 208–212.
- Reise, K., 1979. Moderate predation on meiofauna by the macrobenthos of the Wadden Sea. Helgoländer Wissenschaftliche Meeresuntersuchungen 32: 453–465.
- Reise, K., 1988. Plathelminth diversity in littoral sediments around the island of Sylt in the North Sea. In Ax, P. & B. Sopott-Ehlers (eds), Free-Living and Symbiotic Plathelminthes. Fischer, Stuttgart: 469–480.
- Remane, A., 1925. Organisation und systematische Stellung der aberranten Gastrotrichen. Verhandlungen der Deutschen Zoologischen Gesellshaft 30: 121–128.

🖄 Springer



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🖌 СР	🖌 disk

- 1944 1945 1946 1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952
- Remane, A., 1936. Gastrotricha. In Bronns, H. G. (ed.), Klassen und Ordnungen des Tierreichs. Akademie Verlags, Leipzig: 1-142.
- Renaud-Mornant, J., 1986. Gastrotricha. In Botosaneanu, L. (ed.), Stygofauna Mundi. A Faunistic, Distributional, and Ecological Synthesis of the World Fauna Inhabiting Subterranean Waters (Including the Marine Interstitial). Brill E.J., Leiden: 84–109.
 - Ricci, C. & M. Balsamo, 2000. The biology and ecology of lotic rotifers and gastrotrichs. Freshwater Biology 44: 15-28.
 - Rieger, R. M., S. Tyler, J. P. S. Smith III & G. E. Rieger, 1991. Platyhelminthes: Turbellaria. In Harrison, F. (ed.), Microscopic Anatomy of Invertebrates, Vol. 3., Platyhelminthes and Nemertinea Wiley-Liss, New York: 7-140.
 - Robertson, A. L., S. D. Rundle & J. M. Schmid-Araya, 2000. An introduction to a special issue on lotic meiofauna. Freshwater Biology 44(1): 1-3.
 - Rocha, O., T. Matsumura-Tundisi, J. Galizia Tundisi & C. Padovesi Fonseca, 1990. Predation on and by pelagic Turbellaria in some lakes in Brazil. Hydrobiologia 198: 91-101.
 - Rogozin, A. G., 2011. On the use of correspondence analysis in systematics of the family Stenostomidae (Catenulida, Turbellaria). Zoologichesky Zhurnal 90: 746–755.
 - Rogozin, A. G., 2012. New and rare species of Turbellaria Archoophora (Catenulida and Macrostomida) for the Russian fauna. Zoologicheskiy Zhurnal 91: 643-647.
 - Rogozin, A. G., 2017. New and rare Typhloplanoid species (Turbellaria, Neorhabdocoela) for fauna of the Urals and Russia. Biology Bulletin 44: 643-647.
- 1966 Rubbmark, O. R., D. Sint, S. Cupic & M. Traugott, 2019. When 1967 to use NGS or diagnostic PCR in diet analysis. Molecular 1968 Ecology Resources 19: 388-399.
- 1969 Ruppert, E. E., 1982. Comparative ultrastructure of the gas-1970 trotrich pharynx and the evolution of myoepithelial fore-1971 guts in Aschelminthes. Zoomorphology 99: 181-220.
- 1972 Ruppert, E. E., 1991. Gastrotricha. In Harrison, F. W. & E. 1973 E. Ruppert (eds), Microscopic Anatomy of Invertebrates. 1974 Aschelminthes. Wiley-Liss, New York: 44-109.
- 1975 Ruppert, K. M., R. J. Kline & M. S. Rahman, 2019. Past, present, 1976 and future perspectives of environmental DNA (eDNA) 1977 metabarcoding: A systematic review in methods, moni-1978 toring, and applications of global eDNA. Global Ecology 1979 and Conservation 17: e00547.
- 1980 Ruttner-Kolisko, A., 1955. Rheomorpha neiswestnovae und 1981 Marinellina flagellata, zwei Phylogenetisch interessante 1982 Würmtypen aus dem Süsswasserpsammon. Österreichis-1983 che Zoologische Zeitschrift 6: 55-69.
- 1984 Rzeznik-Orignac, J., D. Kalenitchenko, J. Mariette, J. Y. Bo-1985 diou, N. Le Bris & E. Derelle, 2017. Comparison of 1986 meiofaunal diversity by combined morphological and 1987 molecular approaches in a shallow Mediterranean sedi-1988 ment. Marine Biology 164: 40.
- 1989 Sayre, R. M. & W. P. Wergin, 1994. Adenoplea nanus n. sp. 1990 (Turbellaria: Neorhabdocoela) introduced in Maryland, 1991 U.S.A. and predatory on soil nematodes. Transactions of 1992 the American Microscopical Society 113: 263-275.
- 1993 Scarpa, F., P. Cossu, T. Lai, D. Sanna, M. Curini-Galletti & M. 1994 Casu, 2016. Meiofaunal cryptic species challenge species 1995 delimitation: the case of the Monocelis lineata

1996 (Platyhelminthes: Proseriata) species complex. Contribu-1997 tions to Zoology 85: 123-145.

- 1998 Schärer, L., T. J. Littlewood, A. Waeschenbach, W. Yoshida & 1999 D. B. Vizoso, 2011. Mating behavior and the evolution of 2000 sperm design. Proceedings of the National Academy of 2001 Sciences of the United States of America 108: 1490-1495.
- Schenk, J. & D. Fontaneto, 2019. Biodiversity analyses in freshwater meiofauna through DNA sequence data. Hydrobiologia. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-019-04067-2.
- Schmid-Araya, J. M., 1997. Temporal and spatial dynamics of meiofaunal assemblages in the hyporheic interstitial of a gravel stream. In Gibert, J., J. Mathieu & F. Fournier (eds), Groundwater Surface Water Ecotones: Biological and Hydrological Interactions. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge: 29-36.
- Schmid-Araya, J. M. & P. E. Schmid, 1995. The invertebrate species of a gravel stream. Jahresbericht Biologische. Station Lunz 15: 11-21.
- Schmidt-Rhaesa, A., 2020. Guide to the Identification of Marine Meiofauna. Verlag Dr. Freiderich Pfeil, München.
- Schockaert, E. R., 1996. Turbellarians. In Hall, G. (ed.), Methods for the Examination of Organismal Diversity in Soils and Sediments. CAB International, Wallingford: 211-225.
- Schockaert, E. R., M. Hooge, R. Sluys, S. Schilling, S. Tyler & T. Artois, 2008. Global diversity of free living flatworms (Platyhelminthes, "Turbellaria") in freshwater. Hydrobiologia 595: 41-48.
- Schwank, P., 1981a. Turbellaria, Oligochaeta and Archiannelida from Breitenbach and other highland streams in Eastern Hesse - I. Local geographical distribution and the occurrence of the species in the various streams in relation to substrate. Archiv für Hydrobiologie Supplement 62: 1-85.
- Schwank, P., 1981b. Turbellaria, Oligochaeta and Archiannelida from Breitenbach and other highland streams in Eastern Hesse - II. The systematics and autecology of species. Archiv für Hydrobiologie Supplement 62: 86-147.
- Schwank, P., 1982a. Turbellaria, Oligochaeta and Archiannelida from Breitenbach and other highland streams in Eastern Hesse - III. The Taxocoenoses of Turbellaria and Oligochaeta in running waters - a synecological classification. Archiv für Hydrobiologie Supplement 62: 191-253.
- Schwank, P., 1982b. Turbellaria, Oligochaeta and Archiannelida from Breitenbach and other highland streams in Eastern Hesse - IV. Fundamental principles of the distribution of Turbellaria and Oligochaeta in running waters. Archiv für Hydrobiologie Supplement 62: 254–290.
- Smith III, J. P. S., M. K. Litvaitis, S. Gobert, T. Uyeno & T. Artois, 2015. Evolution and functional morphology of the proboscis in Kalyptorhynchia (Platyhelminthes). Integrative and Comparative Biology 55: 205-216.
- Smith III, J. P. S., N. Van Steenkiste & T. Artois, 2020. Platyhelminthes. In Schmidt-Rhaesa, A. (ed.), Guide to the Identification of Marine Meiofauna. Verlag Dr. Freiderich Pfeil, München: 54-103.
- Straarup, B. J., 1970. On the ecology of turbellarians in a sheltered brackish shallow-water bay. Ophelia 7: 185–216.
- 2054 Strayer, D., 1985. The benthic micrometazoans of Mirror Lake, 2055 New Hampshire. Archiv für Hydrobiologie Supplement 2056 72: 287-426.

2002

2003

2004

2005

2006

2007

2008

2009

2010

2011

2012

2013

2014

2015

2016

2017

2018

2019

2020

2021

2022

2023

2024

2025

2026

2027

2028

2029

2030

2031

2032

2033

2034

2035

2036

2037

2038

2039

2040

2041

2042

2043

2044

2045

2046

2047

2048

2049

2050

2051

2052

2053



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🛃 СР	🗹 disk

Press, New York: 181-194.

Evolution 31: 1833-1849.

Biology Bulletin 37: 861-875.

120 - 134.

43: 519-530.

412-425.

ONE 7: e31740.

biologia 6: 53-136.

Amsterdam: 273-295.

Academic Press, New York: 163–172.

Strayer, D. L. & W. D. Hummon, 1991. Gastrotricha. In Thorp,

Strayer, D. L., W. D. Hummon & R. Hochberg, 2010. Gas-

Struck, T. H., A. R. Wey-Fabrizius, A. Golombek, L. Hering, A.

Sun, T., L. Zhang, A. T. Wang & Y. Zhang, 2015. Three new

Tessens, B., T. Janssen & T. Artois, 2014. Molecular phylogeny

Timoshkin, O. A., A. G. Lukhnev & E. P. Zaytseva, 2010. First

Timoshkin, O. A., O. V. Popova, A. G. Luknev & E. P. Zayt-

Todaro, M. A., M. Dal Zotto, U. Jondelius, R. Hochberg, W.

Todaro, M. A., M. Dal Zotto & F. Leasi, 2015. An integrated

J. H. & A. P. Covich (eds), Ecology and Classification of

North American Freshwater Invertebrates. Academic

trotricha. In Thorp, J. H. & A. P. Covich (eds), Ecology and

Classification of North American Freshwater Invertebrates.

Weigert, C. Bleidorn & P. Kück, 2014. Platyzoan para-

phyly based on phylogenomic data supports a noncoelo-

mate ancestry of Spiralia. Molecular Biology and

species of freshwater Macrostomum (Platyhelminthes,

Macrostomida) from southern China. Zootaxa 4012:

of Kalyptorhynchia (Rhabdocoela, Platyhelminthes)

inferred from ribosomal sequence data. Zoologica Scripta

data on the endemic fauna of turbellaria proseriata

(Platyhelminthes, Otomesostomidae) from Lake Baikal.

seva, 2014. Fauna and distribution of microturbellarians in

the splash zone of Lake Baikal, with a description of two

new species of the genus Opisthocystis (Platyhelminthes,

Turbellaria, Kalyptorhynchia). Zoologicheskii Zhurnal 93:

D. Hummon, T. Kånneby & C. E. Rocha, 2012. Gas-

trotricha: a marine sister for a freshwater puzzle. PLoS

morphological and molecular approach to the description

and systematisation of a novel genus and species of

Macrodasyida (Gastrotricha). PLoS ONE 10: e0130278.

G. Coto-Delgado, N. Goebel-Otárola, J. D. Barquero, et al.,

2019. An introduction to the study of Gastrotricha, with a

taxonomic key to families and genera of the group.

ecologia di 170 popolamenti zooplanctonici di Laghi Ital-

iani di Alta Quota. Memorie dell'Istituto Italiano di Idro-

J. García, 2009. Predation potential of three flatworm

species (Platyhelminthes: Turbellaria) on mosquitoes

R. & G. A. Lamberti (eds), Methods in Stream Ecology,

Vol. 1., Ecosystem Structure Elsevier, Academic Press,

Todaro, M. A., J. A. Sibaja-Cordero, O. A. Segura-Bermúdez,

Tonolli, V. & L. Tonolli, 1951. Osservazioni sulla biologia ed

Tranchida, M. C., A. Maciá, F. Brusa, M. V. Micieli & J.

(Diptera: Culicidae). Biological Control 49: 270-276.

Traunspurger, W. & N. N. Majdi, 2017. Meiofauna. In Hauer, F.

Tyler, S. & G. E. Rieger, 1980. Adhesive organs of the Gas-

Diversity. https://doi.org/10.3390/d1107011.

2057

- 2090 2091
- 2092
- 2093
- 2094 2095
- 2096 2097

2098

2099 2100

2101 2102

2103 2104

- 2105
- 2106

2107 2108

2109

2110 2111

2112 2113

2114

trotricha. Zoomorphologie 95: 1-15. 2115 Tyler, S., S. Schilling, M. Hooge & L.F. Bush (comp.), 2116 2006–2016. Turbellarian taxonomic database. Version 2117 http://turbellaria.umaine.edu

Uyeno, T. A. & W. M. Kier, 2010. Morphology of the muscle articulation joint between the hooks of a flatworm (Kalyptorhynchia, Cheliplana sp.). The Biological Bulletin 218: 169-180.

2118

2119

2120

2121

2122

2123

2124

2125

2126

2127

2128

2129

2130

2131

2132

2133

2134

2135

2136

2137

2138

2139

2140

2141

2142

2143

2144

2145

2146

2147

2148

2149

2150

2151

2152

2153

2154

2155

2156

2157

2158

2159

2160

2161

2162

2163

2164

2165

2166

2167

2168

2169

2170

2171

2172

2173

2174

2175

- Van Steenkiste, N., P. Davison & T. Artois, 2010. Bryoplana xerophila n. g. n. sp., a new limnoterrestrial microturbellarian (Platyhelminthes, Typhloplanidae, Protoplanellinae) from epilithic mosses, with notes on its ecology. Zoological Science 27: 285-291.
- Van Steenkiste, N., S. Gobert, P. Davison, J. Kolasa & T. Artois, 2011a. Freshwater Dalyelliidae from the Nearctic (Platyhelminthes, Rhabdocoela): new taxa and records from Ontario, Canada and Michigan and Alabama, USA. Zootaxa 3091: 1-32.
- Van Steenkiste, N., B. Tessens, K. Krznaric & T. Artois, 2011b. Dalytyphloplanida (Platyhelminthes: Rhabdocoela) from Andalusia, Spain, with the description of four new species. Zootaxa 2791: 1-29.
- Van Steenkiste, N., B. Tessens, W. Willems, E. Van Mulken & T. Artois, 2012. The "Falcatae", a new Gondwanan species group of Gieysztoria (Platyhelminthes: Dalyelliidae), with the description of five new species. Zoologischer Anzeiger 251: 344-356.
- Van Steenkiste, N., B. Tessens, W. Willems, T. Backeljau, U. Jondelius & T. Artois, 2013. A comprehensive molecular phylogeny of Dalytyphloplanida (Platyhelminthes: Rhabdocoela) reveals multiple escapes from the marine environment and origins of symbiotic relationships. PLoS ONE 8: e59917.
- Van Steenkiste, N. W. L., E. R. Herbert & B. S. Leander, 2018. Species diversity in the marine microturbellarian Astrotorhynchus bifidus sensu lato (Platyhelminthes: Rhabdocoela) from the Northeast Pacific Ocean. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 120: 259-273.
- Van Steenkiste, N. W. L., I. Stephenson, M. Herranz, F. Husnik, P. J. Keeling & B. S. Leander, 2019. A new case of kleptoplasty in animals: marine flatworms steal functional plastids from diatoms. Science Advances 5(7): eaaw4337.
- Vandel, A., 1964. Biospeleology. The Biology of Cavernicolous Animals. Pergamon Press, Oxford: 1-326.
- Vanhove, M. P. M., B. Tessens, C. Schoelinck, U. Jondelius, D. T. J. Littlewood, T. Artois & T. Huyse, 2013. Problematic barcoding in flatworms: a case-study on monogeneans and rhabdocoels (Platyhelminthes). ZooKeys 365: 355-379.
- Vanschoenwinkel, B., A. Waterkeyn, T. Vandecaetsbeek, O. Pineau, P. Grillas & L. Brendonck, 2008. Dispersal of freshwater invertebrates by large terrestrial mammals: a case study with wild boar (Sus scrofa) in Mediterranean wetlands. Freshwater Biology 53: 2264-2273.
- Vanschoenwinkel, B., S. Gielen, M. Seaman & L. Brendonck, 2009. Wind mediated dispersal of freshwater invertebrates in a rock pool metacommunity: differences in dispersal capacities and modes. Hydrobiologia 635: 363-372.
- Viana, D. S., L. Santamaría & J. Figuerola, 2016. Migratory birds as global dispersal vectors. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 31: 763-775.
- von Graff, L., 1903. Die Turbellarien als Parasiten und Wirte. Leuschner & Lubensy's Universitats-Buchhandlung, Graz.
- 2176 Watzin, M. C., 1983. The effects of meiofauna on settling 2177 macrofauna: meiofauna may structure macrofaunal com-2178 munities. Oecologia 59: 163-166.



•	Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
	Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
	MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🖌 СР	🖌 DISK

2200

2201

2202

2203

2204

2205

2206

2207

2208

2209

2198

- 2179 Watzin, M. C., 1985. Interactions among temorary and permanent meiofauna: observations on the feeding and behavior of selected taxa. The Biological Bulletin 169: 397–416.
 - Watzin, M. C., 1986. Larval settlement into marine soft-sediment systems: interactions with the meiofauna. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 98: 65–113.
 - Willems, W. R., A. Wallberg, U. Jondelius, D. T. J. Littlewood, T. Backeljau, E. R. Schockaert & T. J. Artois, 2006. Filling a gap in the phylogeny of flatworms: relationships within the Rhabdocoela (Platyhelminthes), inferred from 18S ribosomal DNA sequences. Zoologica Scripta 35: 1–17.
 - WoRMS (2020a). Gastrotricha.. Accessed at: http:// marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=2078 on 2020-03.28
 - WoRMS (2020b). Platyhelminthes. Accessed athttp://www. marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=793 on 2020-03-21.
 - Wunderer, J., B. Lengerer, R. Pjeta, P. Bertemes, L. Kremser, H.
 - Lindner, T. Ederth, M. W. Hess, D. Stock, W. Salvenmoser & P. Ladurner, 2019. A mechanism for temporary

bioadhesion. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 116: 4297–4306.

- Young, J. O., 1973. The occurrence of microturbellaria in some British lakes of diverse chemical content. Archiv für Hydrobiologie 72: 202–224.
- Young, J. O., 1977. An ecological study of *Phaenocora* unipunctata (Oersted) (Turbellaria Rhabdocoela): population dynamics. Acta Zoologica Fennica 154: 105–118.
- Young, J. O., 2001. Keys to the freshwater microturbellarians of Britain and Ireland, with notes on their ecology. Freshwater Biological Association, Ambleside, Cumbria: 1–142.
- Zébazé Togouet, S. H., T. Njine, N. Kemka, M. Nola, S. Foto
Menbohan, W. Koste, C. Boutin & R. Hochberg, 2007.
Spatio-temporal changes in the abundance of the popula-
tions of the gastrotrich community in a shallow lake of
tropical Africa. Limnologica 37: 311–322.2210
2211
2212
2213
2214

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Journal : Medium 10750	Dispatch : 13-5-2020	Pages : 32
Article No. : 4287	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code : HYDR-D-19-00556R3	🗹 СР	🗹 disk

Journal : **10750** Article : **4287**

Author Query Form

Please ensure you fill out your response to the queries raised below and return this form along with your corrections

Dear Author

During the process of typesetting your article, the following queries have arisen. Please check your typeset proof carefully against the queries listed below and mark the necessary changes either directly on the proof/online grid or in the 'Author's response' area provided below

Query	Details Required	Author's Response
AQ1	Please check whether the term 'diatomivory' is spelled correctly and amend if necessary.	
AQ2	Please check and confirm the layout of Table 1.	
AQ3	Please check and confirm all level section headings.	