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A B S T R A C T

Holocene deposits exhibit distinct, predictable and chronologically constrained facies patterns that are quite useful as appropriate modern analogs for interpreting the ancient record. In this study, we examined the sedimentary response of the Po Plain coastal system to short term (millennial scale) relative fluctuations of sea level through high resolution sequence stratigraphic analysis of the Holocene succession.

Meters thick parasequences form the building blocks of stratigraphic architecture. Above the Younger Dryas paleosol, a prominent stratigraphic marker that demarcates the transgressive surface, Early Holocene parasequences (1B) record alternating periods of rapid flooding and gradual shoaling, and are stacked in a retrogradational pattern that mostly reflects stepped, post glacial eustatic rise. Conversely, Middle to Late Holocene parasequences (3–8) record a complex pattern of coastal progradation and delta upbuilding that took place following sea level stabilization at highstand, starting at about 7 cal ky BP. The prominent transgressive surface at the base of parasequence 1 correlates with the period of rapid, global sea level rise at the onset of the Holocene (MWP 1B), whereas flooding surfaces associated with parasequences 2 and 3 apparently reflect minor Early Holocene eustatic jumps reported in the literature. Changes in shoreline trajectory, parasequence architecture and lithofacies distribution during the following eustatic highstand had, instead, an overwhelming autogenic component, mostly driven by river avulsions, delta lobe switching, local subsidence and sediment compaction. We document a ~1000 year delayed response of the coastal depositional system to marine incursion, farther inland from the maximum landward position of the shoreline. A dramatic reduction in sediment flux due to fluvial avulsion resulted in marine inundation in back barrier position, whereas coastal progradation was simultaneously taking place basinswards.

We demonstrate that the landward equivalents of marine flooding surfaces (parasequence boundaries) may be defined by brackish and freshwater fossil assemblages, and traced for tens of kilometers into the non marine realm. This makes millennial scale parasequences, whether auto or allogenic in origin, much more powerful than systems tracts for mapping detailed extents and volumes of sediment bodies.

The Holocene parasequences of the Po coastal plain, with strong age control and a detailed understanding of sea level variation, may provide insight into the driving mechanisms and predictability of successions characterized by similar depositional styles, but with poor age constraint, resulting in more robust interpretations of the ancient record.

1. Introduction

Holocene deposits beneath modern coastal plains can serve as valuable archives for deciphering the role of relative changes of sea level on facies architecture (Boyd et al., 1992; Blum and Tornqvist, 2000; Cattaneo and Steel, 2003; Blum et al., 2013). Advantages
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for this relatively short time interval record are that: (i) sea level and climatic histories are well established; (ii) a nearly continuous and tectonically undisturbed sedimentary succession is commonly available; (iii) high resolution facies interpretation is coupled to very precise chronologic control (associated error of $^{14}$C ages in the range of 20–300 years); (iv) fossil assemblages are comparable to modern bioassemblages, and therefore can be used for refined facies interpretation.

Holocene successions exhibit distinct and predictable facies patterns, and their stratigraphy has been used historically for the interpretation of transgressive regressive (T–R) trends from older deltaic and coastal depositional systems (Curry and Moore, 1964; Oomkens, 1970; Frazier, 1974; Demarest and Kraft, 1987; Suter et al., 1987; Stanley and Warne, 1994). The synchronous initiation of Holocene marine deltas by deceleration of sea level rise, 8500 to 6500 years ago, is one of the few well documented examples of worldwide coastal system response to changing sea level conditions (Stanley and Warne, 1994; Amorosi and Milli, 2001; Hori and Saito, 2007; Hijma and Cohen, 2011). In terms of sequence stratigraphy, the Holocene (T–R) sedimentary wedges reflect a well constrained balance between accommodation and sediment supply (see the ‘A/S ratio’, Muto and Steel, 1997), and are interpreted to represent the transgressive systems tract (TST) and the overlying highstand systems tract (HST) of the classic sequence stratigraphic model (Posamentier and Vail, 1988; Van Wagoner et al., 1988), or the retrogradational (R) and lower aggradational progradational degradational (APD) systems tracts of the revised ExxonMobil depositional sequence model (Neal and Abreu, 2009; Abreu et al., 2010).

There is an extensive literature detailing the depositional response of Holocene coastal systems to relative fluctuations of sea level developed on millennial to sub millennial time scales (Lowrie and Hamiter, 1995; Somoza et al., 1998; Saito et al., 1998; Morton et al., 1999; Hori et al., 2002; Tanabe et al., 2003, 2006; Leorri and Cearreta, 2004; Leorri et al., 2006; Hori and Saito, 2007; Anderson and Rodriguez, 2008; Amorosi et al., 2009, 2013a; Poulter et al., 2009; Tornqvist and Hjíma, 2012; Milli et al., 2016). However in most cases, stratigraphic correlations are made with relatively poor chronologic control, and the internal configuration of millennial scale sediment packages has been predominantly conceptualized and significantly oversimplified rather than documented. As a result, only limited information can be inferred about the factors (allogenic versus autogenic) that might have controlled facies architecture. An exception is the recent work by Tanabe et al. (2015), who delineated the Holocene stratigraphy of the Tokyo lowland with great detail. Using >400 radiocarbon data as a guide to stratigraphic correlation of facies associations and stacking patterns, they traced a set of isochrons that were used to reconstruct depositional history. This technique, however, is hardly suited for the ancient record, where chronologic and spatial resolution is insufficient to allow identification of coeval rock packages over such short intervals of time.

In this study, we assess the Holocene depositional history of the Po coastal plain south of the Po River (Fig. 1), based on the identification of physically traceable stratigraphic surfaces from 12
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Fig. 1. Location and tectonic setting of the study area (buried thrust systems modified from Burrato et al., 2003), with indication of the two cross-sections (AA’ and BB’) of Fig. 3. Position of 12 EM reference cores is also shown.
sediment cores, 132 radiometric dates, 740 paleontologic analyses, and 2350 borehole logs. We identified parasequences (and related bounding surfaces) as key features for stratigraphic correlation, tracing their boundaries several tens of kilometers along dip and strike. The aim of this paper is to examine parasequence development from a chronologically well constrained succession, where alloogenic and autogenic signals can be deciphered and quantified. Our specific objective is to develop a conceptual framework of millennial scale stratigraphic response to relative changes of sea level that can provide insight into the interpretation and prediction of sediment/rock packages with similar stratigraphic architecture, but for which accumulation rates and the role of all possible causative mechanisms are poorly established or unknown. A similar approach had been undertaken by Amorosi et al. (2005) and Stefani and Vincenzi (2005), but with remarkably lower facies and chronologic resolution.

Since an abundance of stratigraphic data is available only for the southern part of the Po Basin (south of Po River in Fig. 1), we selected the least deformed portion of the basin as the study area, close to the modern Po Delta (Fig. 1), in order to emphasize the role of eustatic change on stratigraphy.

2. Geologic setting

The Po Plain–Adriatic Sea system is part of the Alpine–Apennine and Dinarides–Hellenides foreland, an elongated basin largely filled by the Po River catchment. The external thrust front of the Apennines is buried beneath the modern alluvial plain, south of Po River, where continuous thrusting and subside dence led to the evolution of a wedge top basin, fragmented by a set of NE verging blind thrusts and folds (Boccaletti et al., 2011 – Fig. 1). The Po Basin hosts a >7 km thick sedimentary succession, Pliocene through Quaternary in age, with an upward decreasing level of tectonic deformation (Pieri and Groppi, 1981). In the Po coastal area, facies changes within the Middle Pleistocene Holocene succession follow a repetitive transgressive regressive pattern (50–100 m thick), with alternating nearshore and alluvial deposits that accumulated during interglacial and glacial periods, respectively (eccentricity driven – 100 ky depositional cycles in Amorosi et al., 2004, 2008). In the southern Po Plain, Last Interglacial (Marine Isotope Substage 5e) deposits have been identified at depths of 100–125 m below ground surface (Amorosi et al., 2004).

As recognized by previous studies (Rizzini, 1974; Bondesan et al., 1995; Amorosi et al., 1999, 2003; 2005, 2016; Stefani and Vincenzi, 2005; Bruno et al., 2016), the Holocene succession of the Po coastal plain is a ~30 m thick, transgressive regressive coastal wedge (TST and HST) that overlies Late Pleistocene (lowstand systems tract) alluvial deposits. The transgressive surface (Posamentier and Vail, 1988) marks the onset of a retrogradational stacking pattern of facies (Neal and Abreu, 2009). On vertical profiles, this surface is invariably marked by the abrupt shift from fluvial channel or well drained floodplain facies to poorly drained floodplain or coastal swamp deposits (Amorosi et al., 2003; Campo et al., 2017). The transgressive surface is commonly associated in core with the top of a weakly developed paleosol that formed during the Younger Dryas cold reversal, close to the Pleistocene Holocene boundary (Amorosi et al., 2016).

3. Methods and the ‘parasequence’ concept

Two stratigraphic panels, each about 50 km long, were oriented roughly parallel to the modern Po River and perpendicular to the present shoreline (Fig. 1). The two transects represent a linked freshwater fluvial/brackish/nearshore/shallow marine system. For each panel, we delineated the external geometry and internal architecture of parasequences.

Twelve continuous cores, 20–40 m in length, were drilled as part of a collaborative research project supported by ExxonMobil Upstream Research Company (Fig. 1). Core recovery was >95%. Facies analysis relied on texture, composition, physical sedimentary structures and accessory components. About 250 samples were collected for mollusk analysis, and about 490 for the analysis of the meiofauna (benthic foraminifera and ostracods). One hundred thirty two samples (wood fragments, peats, and mollusk shells) were dated using accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS), predominately at KICAM laboratory (Daejeon City, Korea) (Table 1 in Supplementary Material). Radiocarbon dates were calibrated with Oxcal 4.2 (Ramsey and Lee, 2013), using the IntCal13 calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2013).

For stratigraphic correlation, we used the original definition of parasequence (Van Wagoner et al., 1988, 1990), with special emphasis on the objective observation of its bounding surfaces (flooding surfaces and their equivalents), irrespective of the allogenic or autogenic processes that may have contributed to its formation. In particular, we extend the parasequence definition into the paralic realm, to encompass surfaces across which there is evidence of abrupt increase in salinity (i.e., sharp change from brackish to marine environments, or from freshwater to brackish environments), which implies substantial facies dislocation. In the highstand shallow marine realm, parasequence boundaries correspond to clinoform boundaries. The majority of parasequences display clear shallowing upward trends. However, in this high subsidence, high sediment supply rate setting, the basal intervals of some parasequences (mostly in the TST), appear to record some deepening upward (Arnott, 1995; Zechcin and Catuneanu, 2013).

4. Sedimentary facies

The depositional facies that form the Holocene succession of the Po coastal plain have been illustrated at length in several papers (Amorosi et al., 1999, 2003; 2005, 2008), and will not be reiterated here. For detailed facies description, the reader is referred to these previous works. Twenty two facies associations were grouped into five broad categories, corresponding to transgressive (barrier lagoon estuary) or highstand (delta/strandplain) depositional systems (Fig. 2). Individual facies associations were differentiated on the basis of sedimentological and fossil features, reflecting changes in depth, salinity, degree of confinement, substrate, and oxygen or food availability (Scarponi and Kowalewski, 2004; Rossi and Vaiani, 2008; Amorosi et al., 2014b; Scarponi et al., 2014; Wittmer et al., 2014; Mazzini et al., 2017). Comparison with spatial distribution patterns of the modern meiofauna and mollusks allowed a robust and detailed environmental interpretation of fossil assemblages. The diagnostic lithologic, sedimentological and palaeontological features for facies identification are summarized in Fig. 2. Each group is briefly described from updip to downdip locations.

4.1. Alluvial Plain deposits

Alluvial plain deposits include three major facies associations (Fig. 2). The fluvial channel fill facies consists of >2 m thick cross stratified medium to coarse sand bodies, with erosional lower boundaries and general fining upward (FU) of grain size. Channel fill related facies include crevasse and levee deposits, i.e. thin sand bodies and sand silt alternations containing roots, respectively. Well drained floodplain deposits are made up of variegated silt and clay, with abundant pedogenic features (Inceptisols). Pocket penetration (PP) tests record values generally >2 kg/cm². The meiofauna is commonly absent. Occasionally, poorly
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depositional System</th>
<th>Facies Association</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Sedimentary structures</th>
<th>Meiofauna</th>
<th>Mollusks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial plain</td>
<td><strong>Fluvial channel</strong></td>
<td>coarse to medium sand, FU trend, high-angle cross-lamination, 1-2 m thick</td>
<td>commonly barren, local fragments of freshwater ostracods (Candonina and Ilyocypris genera) and poorly-preserved marine foraminifers</td>
<td>mostly barren, local fragments/specimens of Piddidium spp. and Valvata spp. along with opercula of Bithynia cf. tentaculata (Linne, 1786)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Crevasse/leeve</strong></td>
<td>alternating sand and silt, parallel lamination, climbing ripples, 0.1-0.5 m thick</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floodplain</td>
<td><strong>Bay-head delta</strong></td>
<td>medium to fine sand, high-angle cross-lamination, plant debris, 2-5 m thick</td>
<td>commonly barren, at places fragments of freshwater ostracods (Candonina and Ilyocypris genera)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerasotomidae glaucum Poiret, 1789, Hydrobiidae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Distritubary channel</strong></td>
<td>medium to fine sand, FU trend, high-angle cross-lamination, 2-8 m thick</td>
<td>poorly preserved Cyprideis torosa (Jones, 1850) and freshwater ostracods (e.g., Ilyocypris spp.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Crevasse/leeve</strong></td>
<td>alternating silty sand, silt and clay, parallel lamination, climbing ripples, 0.5-2 m thick</td>
<td>commonly barren, local fragments of freshwater to low-brackish ostracods (Candonina and Pseudocellunkia genera)</td>
<td>mostly barren, local fragments/specimens of Piddidium spp. and Valvata spp. along with opercula of Bithynia cf. tentaculata (Linne, 1786)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Poorly drained floodplain</strong></td>
<td>organic-matter-rich clay, roots, plant remains, 1-5 m thick</td>
<td>commonly barren, locally few freshwater to low brackish ostracods (Candonina spp., Pseudocellunkia spp.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Anius leucostoma (Millet, 1813), Succinella oblonga (Draparnaud, 1801), Valvata macrostoma (M., 1868)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Swamp</strong></td>
<td>soft clay, plant debris, wood fragments, peat, parallel lamination, 1-20 m thick</td>
<td>freshwater to low brackish ostracods: Pseudocellunkia allisoni (Bradly, 1868), Candonina noctea (Sars, 1867)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bithynia tentaculata (Linne, 1786), Succinella putris (Draparnaud, 1801), Valvata macrostoma (M., 1868)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner estuary (TST) and Upper delta plain (HST)</td>
<td><strong>Salt marsh</strong></td>
<td>organic-matter-rich clay, bioturbation, 1-2 m thick</td>
<td>dominant Trochammina inflata (Montagu, 1803) with euryhaline Ammonia tepida (Cushman, 1926). No ostracods</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerastoderma glaucum (P., 1789), Lopires orbicularus (Millet, 1813), Ecrobna ventrosa (Montagu, 1803)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Mud flat</strong></td>
<td>organic-matter-rich clay, bioturbation, 1-2 m thick</td>
<td>euryhaline, high-confinement foraminifers (A. tepida, Haynesina germanica-Ehrenberg, 1840). Ostracods absent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Central lagoon/bay</strong></td>
<td>bioturbated clay, 1.3-1 m thick</td>
<td>dominant A. tepida and C. torosa. Few secondary species [e.g., H. germanica and Loxoconcha elliptica-Brady, 1868]</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerastoderma glaucum (P., 1789), Lopires orbicularus (Millet, 1813), Lentinidium mediterraneum (O.C.S., 1830)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Outer lagoon/bay</strong></td>
<td>bioturbated clay-sand alternation, 1-8 m thick</td>
<td>mixed euryhaline (A. tepida and C. torosa) and brackish-marine species [e.g., Leptocythere and Milolites species]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer estuary (TST) and Lower delta plain (HST)</td>
<td><strong>Washover</strong></td>
<td>medium to fine sand, high-angle cross-lamination, 1-5 m thick</td>
<td>poorly-preserved euryhaline (mainly C. torosa) and shallow-marine taxa (e.g., Ammonia and Elphidium spp.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>mixed mollusk assemblage of nearshore and brackish taxa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Transgressive sand sheet</strong></td>
<td>fine sand to silty sand, 0.3-2 m thick</td>
<td>few commonly abraded A. beccari (Linne, 1758), Elphidium crispum (Linne, 1758), Pontocythere turbida (Mueller, 1894)</td>
<td>fossil-rich interval, with characteristic taphonomic signature and upward increase in marine species</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Upper shoreface/foreshore</strong></td>
<td>medium to coarse sand, high-angle cross-lamination, parallel lamination, 1-5 m thick</td>
<td>Ostracods preserved specimens of Ammonia beccari and Pontocythere turbida</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chamelea gallina (Linne, 1758), Acteon tenuis Linne, 1758, Anadis insenscortata (Dautzenberg, 1891)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Lower shoreface</strong></td>
<td>fine to very fine sand, wave ripples, 1-5 m thick</td>
<td>infralittoral fauna, dominated by sand-lover epiphytic species [e.g., Carcinocythereis and Aribassina, 1850, E. crispum]</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerastoderma glaucum (P., 1789), Lopires orbicularus (Millet, 1813), Lentinidium mediterraneum (O.C.S., 1830), Donax semistriatus (P., 1795)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Mouth bar</strong></td>
<td>medium to fine sand, high-angle cross-lamination, plant debris, 3-10 m thick</td>
<td>commonly absent, especially in mouth bar deposits. Few specimens of Ammonia tepida and A. parvifurcata (D’Orbigny, 1839) and Palmoconcha turbida (Muller, 1912)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerastoderma glaucum (P., 1795), Palmoconcha turbida (Muller, 1912), Lembusella perius (Linne, 1767), Corbula gibba (Olivi, 1791)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Delta front transition</strong></td>
<td>fine sand-clay alternation, plant debris, 1-3 m thick</td>
<td>several open-marine, epiphytic taxa (Milolites, Textularia, Preryocythereis, Cythereis neapolliana-Kollmann, 1960)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trinacria ovata (Pennant, 1777), Bittium submammillatum (de Raveau &amp; Pondi, 1854)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Offshore transition</strong></td>
<td>fine sand-clay alternation, 0.5-2 m thick</td>
<td>infralittoral, epiphytic species as Milolids, Elphidium species and Semicytherura incongruens (Muller, 1984)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chamelea gallina (Linne, 1758), Antalis insenscortata (Dautzenberg, 1891)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Offshore</strong></td>
<td>bioturbated clay, 0.5-2 m thick</td>
<td>almost all marine, epiphytic taxa as Milolids, Textularia, Preryocythereis, Cythereis neapolliana-Kollmann, 1960</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Proximal prodelta</strong></td>
<td>organic-matter-rich silty clay, 1.5 m thick</td>
<td>dominant A. tepida-A. parvifurcata and Palmoconcha turbida, Cribrophiophidium granosum and Asumpta granosum perticata</td>
<td>mostly barren of macrofossils, locally mollusk fragments or juvenile specimens [e.g., Corbula gibba]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Distal prodelta</strong></td>
<td>organic-matter-rich clay, 3-8 m thick</td>
<td>dominant Nonionella turigida (Williamson, 1858), with Valvulina bradyana (Forssacini, 1900) and Buliminina marginata (D’Orbigny, 1826). Rare Palmoconcha turbida</td>
<td></td>
<td>Corbula gibba (P., 1777), Turritella communis (Risso, 1826)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Summary lithologic, sedimentological and fossil (meiofauna/mollusks) characteristics of the 22 facies associations examined in this work, and their grouping into five depositional systems. Colors are the same as in Fig. 3. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
preserved specimens of marine foraminifers accompanied by fragments of freshwater ostracods occur within sandy deposits. Mollusks are generally scattered, especially in well drained floodplain facies, which are common only in areas where well sorted and/or opercula of gastropods (e.g., *Bithynia*). At places, especially in channel related facies, an oligotopic mollusk association dominated by the small bivalve *Psudium* and/or the gastropod *Bythinia* can be found. Hydrobiids are also common in deposits from freshwater vegetated areas or channel abandonment inlets.

4.2. Inner Estuary/Upper Delta Plain deposits

This depositional system includes a variety of freshwater/ hypohaline facies associations formed as part of inner estuary (TST) to upper delta plain (HST) environments (Fig. 2). Distributary channel fill sand bodies (and related crevasse/levee facies) are typically finer grained and more isolated than fluvial channels. These bodies are transitional to poorly drained floodplain silts and clays, which can be differentiated from their well drained counterpart by their homogeneous gray color, rare Inceptisols, locally high proportion of organic matter, and remarkably low (1.2–1.8 kg/cm²) PP values. Swamp deposits are generally recognized by the dark gray to black color, abundant peat layers, very low (<1 kg/cm²) PP values and high proportion of organic matter, wood fragments, and associated histosols. At channel mouths, sands are amalgamated to form bank head deltas.

Within mud dominated deposits, a scarce (poorly drained floodplain) to abundant (swamp) freshwater low brackish ostracod fauna is observed (Fig. 2). Mollusks are sparse, but diagnostic taxa are present (key species in Fig. 2). Sand bodies are commonly barren, with the exception of bay head delta sands, which show a mixture of poorly preserved, brackish species (e.g., the ostracod *Cypriideis torosa*, and the thin shelled bivalve *Cerastoderma*) and freshwater species (*Ilyocypris* spp., and hydriobiids; Fig. 2).

4.3. Outer Estuary/Lower Delta Plain deposits

Freshwater/hypohaline deposits transform seawards to a wide range of facies typical of brackish environments behind a barrier complex, as part of outer estuary (TST) or lower delta plain (HST) depositional systems (Fig. 2). The typical facies consists of a homogeneous succession of gray clays and silt (central lagoon/bay). Sand intercalations increase in frequency and thickness toward the outer lagoon/bay, whereas the clay size content increases landwards, in mud flat and salt marsh facies.

This depositional system is dominated by a brackish fauna able to tolerate changes in salinity and organic matter content. In subtidal deposits, the oligotopic meiofauna is dominated by euryhaline species (*C. torosa* and *A. tepida*) in central lagoon/bay clays, whereas a more diversified, mixed euryhaline and brackish marine meiofauna characterizes outer lagoon/bay deposits (Fig. 2). Intermittently exposed intertidal facies are barren in ostracods. The meiofauna is composed exclusively of agglutinated (salt marsh) and/or hyaline, high confinement (mud flat) foraminifers (Fig. 2). In general, mollusks comprise low diversity assemblages: the more confined (e.g., salt marsh) brackish deposits are characterized by abundant *Abra segmentum*, hydriobiids, and/or thin shelled *Cerastoderma*, whereas in less confined (outer lagoon/bay) deposits, *Loripes arboiculatus*, thick shelled *Cerastoderma* and *Lentidium mediterraneum* also are relatively abundant.

4.4. Transgressive Barrier Island/Strandplain/Delta Front deposits

This deposit is made up predominantly of well sorted, fine to medium sandy facies that accumulated in nearshore environments in response to back stepping transgressive barrier shorelines (TST) or prograding deltas and shorelines (HST Fig. 2). Transgressive deposits include lower shoreface very fine sands, sand silt alter nations (marking the offshore transition), washover sands (in back barrier position), and the transgressive sand sheet (a thin, shell rich stratigraphic interval with strong evidence of reworking by coastal processes during shoreface retreat). Highstand nearshore deposits include characteristic upward coarsening and shallowing packages of littoral (shoreface foreshore backshore) or delta front (mound bar) sands.

The mollusk fossil content allows refined characterization of distinct facies associations. Foreshore to upper shoreface deposits show low equitability associations: the key taxon is the genus *Donax*, which includes fast burrowing species that prefer intertidal and upper shoreface settings. In river influenced (mouth bar) settings, oligotopic but extremely abundant assemblages of *Lentidium mediterraneum* occur: this small bivalve, with >10³ specimens retrieved per sample, is the most abundant species of the Holocene succession (Kowalewski et al., 1993). Lower shoreface facies are characterized by more diverse molluscan associations (selected key species are reported in Fig. 2). Transgressive sand sheets and other lithosomes made up of reworked sediments (e.g., washover deposits) are also distinctive, as they contain high diversity associations (see Scarponi and Kowalewski, 2007), due to the mixture of nearshore species, with up section increase in marine taxa.

A relatively highly diverse assemblage, including species that prefer vegetated sandy bottoms, typifies the meiofauna in lower shoreface facies, whereas few large sized abraded shells (*Ammonia beccarii* and *Elphidium crispum*; Fig. 2) are commonly found in the transgressive sand sheet. No foraminifers or ostracods are preserved in upper shoreface foreshore and deltaic (mouth bar) sands, likely due to high energy, harsh conditions. In contrast, a mixed assemblage of shallow marine and brackish euryhaline species characterizes washover deposits (Fig. 2).

4.5. Offshore/Prodelta deposits

This is the most seaward portion of the Po Plain system, relatively deeper and muddier. Transgressive shallow marine deposits (TST) consist of gray, bioturbated offshore clays and silts, whereas their hightand (HST) counterpart, prodelta muds that developed away from delta front sands, are finely laminated, with commonly abundant plant and other organic matter. Occasional thin bedded intercalations of very fine to fine sand, with sharp base and FU trend, represent storm or flood layers.

Offshore clay sized material reflects the deepest water depths attained during the entire Holocene, shown by the meiofauna assemblage that has the highest species diversity and relative abundance of open marine species (i.e., *Textularia* spp., *Cytheridea neapolitana*; Fig. 2). An infralittoral, less diversified assemblage characterizes offshore transition deposits. Key mollusk species of deep (offshore) settings include *Nucula* (also widespread in lower shoreface settings), *Bittium submammillatum* and *Timoceca ovata*. A significantly different assemblage characterizes prodelta muds (Bremen, 1975; Jorissen, 1988; Scarponi and Angeletti, 2008; Fig. 2). This facies association is dominated by opportunistic foraminifers, ostracods and mollusk species (*i.e.*, *Ammonia tepida A. paracentrica, Nonionella turriga, Palmoconcha turbida, Corbula gibba and Turritella communis*) able to tolerate stressed marine conditions, including variable salinity values and high nutrient flux and turbidity. Particular environmental conditions are recorded by the *Nonionella turriga* assemblage, which documents ample food availability and a limited oxygen deficiency at the sea bottom (Van der Zwaan and Jorissen, 1991), indicative of a distal prodelta or mud.
belt setting (Fig. 2). A diagnostic trait of transgressive (sediment starved) shallow marine deposits is the widespread presence of mollusk shells with parasitic and predation scars. Conversely, highstand deposits have the highest prevalence of spionid traces (Huntley and Scarponi, 2012, 2015).

5. Parasequence architecture

We identified eight parasequences of Holocene age (#s 1–8 in Fig. 3) and traced them along dip and strike across contiguous depositional systems. The lower three parasequences (#s 1–3) occur in a retrogradational set (Fig. 3), which defines the TST (Posamentier and Vail, 1988; R systems tract of Neal and Abreu, 2009), whereas the overlying five parasequences (#s 4–8) are aggradationally to progradationally stacked, and represent the HST (lower part of the APD systems tract of Neal and Abreu, 2009).

Parasequence 1 (11.5–9.2 cal ky BP) in the study area consists of a continuous sheet of poorly drained floodplain deposits (Fig. 3A) and swamp facies (Fig. 3B). These organic matter rich deposits are confidently correlated down dip with a partly preserved, transgressive barrier system (slightly younger than 10.5 cal ky BP), identified in the Adriatic at 42 m water depth (Trincardi et al., 1994; Cattaneo and Steel, 2003; Correggiari et al., 2005; Storms et al., 2008). Parasequence 2 (9.2–7.7 cal ky BP) is characterized along dip by a genetically linked set of freshwater, brackish, nearshore and shallow marine facies, with a clear retrogradational trend. Thin (0.5–1.5 m), isolated transgressive barrier sand bodies occur in an overall backstepping pattern, and are correlated landwards to distinct bay head delta systems (Fig. 3B). Parasequence 3 (7.7–7.0 cal ky BP) documents the maximum landward migration of the shoreline, marked by a thicker (2–4 m), relatively well preserved, transgressive barrier complex (Fig. 3A). Updip, a large bay head delta system separates these coastal sands from brackish and freshwater facies (Fig. 3A).

A significant level of diachronity, along both depositional strike and dip (see also Amorosi et al., 2005; Tanabe et al., 2015), is associated with the maximum flooding surface (MFS), interpreted to be at the base of parasequence 4 (7.0–5.2 cal ky BP): progradational and retrogradational stacking patterns are observed simultaneously in distinct parts of parasequence 4, as documented by the seaward shift of the shoreline, as opposed to a 15 km landward expansion of the brackish zone in back barrier position (Fig. 3A). The coastal system in parasequence 5 (5.2–2.8 cal ky BP lower HST) is dominantly aggradational.

Parasequences 6 to 8 (<2.8 cal ky BP) exhibit recurring stratal architectural patterns that, as a whole, record rapid progradation of the coastal system (upper HST). Parasequence boundaries are locally diachronous (see parasequence 6/7 boundary in Figs. 3 and

---

**Fig. 3.** Correlation panels (A: section AA’; B: section BB’ in Fig. 1) illustrating stratigraphic setting through the Holocene succession of the Po coastal plain. Eight Holocene parasequences are numbered in red. Reference cores (EM) are indicated in red (for location, see Fig. 1). The labels of the cores shown in Fig. 4 (section A) appear inside a black box. LGM: Last Glacial Maximum, YD: Younger Dryas. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
Stacking patterns may locally appear as degradational, because of the transect chosen relative to transport direction (e.g. para-
sequence 6 in Fig. 3). Delta front (foreset) and prodelta (bottomset)
deposits are the most abundant facies, and each parasequence
represents a phase of delta or shoreface progradation separated by
short lived, mud prone transgressive incursions (clinoforms of
Hampson et al., 2008). In a 2 D view (Fig. 3), clinoforms are mainly
seen in near downdip sections (parasequences 6 and 7).
Conversely, nearly horizontal bedding planes indicate sediment
delivery perpendicular to the stratigraphic panel (see parasequence
8 in Fig. 3A). Farther inland, delta plain (swamp) deposits on the
delta topset exhibit distinctive aggradational stacking patterns
(Fig. 3).
Fossil data on meiofauna and mollusks contributed significantly
to the identification and lateral tracing of parasequence
boundaries and, more in general, of flooding surfaces (Fig. 4),
especially where their distinction on a lithological basis is difficult.
In freshwater to brackish deposits, parasequence boundaries are
marked by changes in salinity or in the degree of confinement (Fig.
4a). In coastal to shallow marine deposits, flooding surfaces are
localized at sharp lithofacies changes associated with abrupt
deepening, from coastal/delta front sands to offshore/prodeltas
(Fig. 4b). The increase in water depth associated with
flooding surfaces and parasequence boundaries can be estimated
using quantitative bathymetric models based on mollusk assem-
blages (Wittmer et al., 2014 Fig. 4b). As an example, in the
downdip sector of the southern transect (core 205 S9 in Fig. 3A),
the paleodepth offset across the flooding surface at 10 m core
depth (Fig. 4b) was estimated to be around 4.7 m ± 2.8 m (see
Scarponi and Angeletti, 2008).

---

**Fig. 4.** Identification of flooding surfaces (red lines) across different depositional systems on the basis of fossil analysis. Flooding surfaces are traced where abrupt changes in the meiofauna (a) or mollusk (b) assemblage composition and/or species diversity indicate the establishment of deeper, more open (less confined sensu Debenay and Guillou, 2002) or higher salinity conditions, in response to the landward shift of the shoreline. Note that mollusk analysis offers a quantitative estimate of paleodepth offset at flooding surfaces. For key fossil species interpretation, see Fig. 2. For stratigraphic context, see Fig. 3A. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
6. Paleoenvironmental evolution

Based on the relative proportion of facies associations, we reconstructed the dominant environments of deposition for each parasequence. This led to a comprehensive and detailed portrayal of paleoenvironmental evolution through the Holocene (Fig. 5).

During the YD, the shoreline was tens of km seawards from its present position, and the northern Adriatic was almost entirely subaerially exposed (Maselli et al., 2011). At that time, the Po River was a laterally migrating braided river system that flowed in NW SE direction across the study area (Fig. 5a). Lateral to the trunk river, a poorly mature paleosol (YD in Fig. 3) developed above very low elevation terraces (Amorosi et al., 2014a, 2016) (Fig. 5a). Three consecutive flooding surfaces (lower boundaries of parasequences 1–3) across estuarine, nearshore, and shallow marine deposits (Fig. 3) indicate the progressive drowning of the Po coastal plain. We interpret the spreading of freshwater wetlands over wide portions of the study area (Fig. 5b – parasequence 1) as a response of the Po coastal system to relative sea level rise. During this phase of ‘initial flooding’, the groundwater table was raised and promoted the vast accumulation and preservation of organic matter rich facies, which blanketed diachronously the transgressive surface.

---

Fig. 5. Paleogeographic overview of the studied succession between the Younger Dryas and the Present, showing facies belts extent and their evolution. The modern shoreline and traces of cross-sections in Fig. 3 are present in each map for reference. YD: Younger Dryas, P: parasequence.
(cf Bohacs and Suter, 1997) as a function of the pre-existing topography (Rossi et al., 2011). The coastal plain was rapidly transformed into an inner estuary, which transitioned down to the Adriatic barrier island system (Trincardi et al., 1994; Correggiari et al., 2005; Amorosi et al., 2016).

Parasequence 2 records the rapid backstepping of the wave dominated estuary, and reveals its tripartite subdivision into (i) shoreline parallel barriers, (ii) a back barrier brackish zone, and (iii) a wide inner estuarine sector that hosted freshwater to low brackish sub environments, with associated bay head deltas at the fluvial mouths (Fig. 5c). Several closely spaced flooding surfaces, with small lateral extent, highlight the stepped trajectory of the transgressive shoreline on centennial time scales (dashed lines in Fig. 3A).

The maximum landward migration of the shoreline is dated to 7.7–7.0 cal ky BP (Fig. 5d – parasequence 3). At that time, the barrier became fixed (as revealed by the thicker transgressive sand body relative to parasequence 2), the estuary widened and the short lived YD terraces were flooded. Sediment trapping in the estuary was associated with sediment starvation on the shelf, as implied by several parasequences merging distally into an interval of condensed sedimentation, only a few dm thick (Fig. 3). Renewed bay head progradation took place at peak transgression, with partial filling of the lagoon (Fig. 5d).

Several coarsening upward and shallowing upward cycles of prodelta/delta front sediments (parasequences 4–8) indicate that after 7.0 cal ky BP, coastal progradation took place in the modern southern Po Plain (Fig. 3). The earlier deltaic system (7.0–5.2 cal ky BP parasequence 4) developed through delta lobe switching processes in a river dominated shoreline, probably under a general mechanism of compensational stacking (see Hampson, 2016). The locus of delta initiation was located in the area between the two stratigraphic panels, where a lobate delta accumulated in relatively shallow waters (Fig. 5e). While the Po River was building out its first delta system, a wide brackish zone developed behind the line of maximum landward migration of the shoreline (Figs. 5e and 3A). It can be plausibly argued that marine incursion took place to the south in response to dramatically reduced fluvial input at that location, following the abandonment of the formerly active bay head delta system (cf Rodriguez et al., 2010). When the Po River branch that flowed through Ferrara (Fig. 5d) became inactive, the brackish zone extended considerably in the southern part of the study area, probably enhanced by subsidence due to sediment compaction. This region likely experienced increasing tidal influence, especially away from areas of high fluvial input (Tanabe et al., 2015; Longhizano et al., 2016). A possible tidal influence is sug gested by the development of a widespread mud flat (see core EM S5 in Fig. 4a), with highly sinuous (tidal?) channels detected on the basis of satellite imagery (Sgavetti and Ferrari, 1988).

The spatially and temporally restricted advance of the early Po Delta system is attributed to river avulsion (~5 cal ky BP) that formed a new branch of the Po River in the north, close to the Venice region (Saline Cona branch of Piovan et al., 2012), whereas other distributary channels moved to the south (Fig. 5f). A brackish environment developed in the area delimited by sections AA' and BB' (Fig. 5f). Modest to negligible volumes of sand were delivered during this interval of time to the adjacent shoreline, which remained temporarily starved of terrigenous sedimentation (parasequence 5 in Fig. 3).

The paleoenvironmental evolution between 2.8 and 0.8 cal ky BP (parasequences 6–7) matches well with geomorphic features described at length by Ciabatti (1967), Sgavetti and Ferrari (1988), Bondesan et al. (1995), Correggiari et al. (2005), and Stefani and Vincenzi (2005), who documented the widespread development
of arcuate deltas with straight coastline morphology, in response to substantial sediment reworking by waves. Multiple episodes of river avulsion during progradation resulted in deposition of a nearshore sand body that is continuous along depositional strike (Hampson and Howell, 2005). A dominant sediment supply from the Adige River in the north, with sediment redistribution to the south by the longshore drift, is reinforced by the change in proveance, recorded in beach sands of parasequence 6, towards an Alpine composition (Fig. 5g–Marchesini et al., 2000). Active sediment delivery by the Po River was re-established diachronously in the study area, between around 2 cal ky BP, with the growth of the Po di Spina and Po di Volano delta lobes (Parasequence 7 Fig. 5h). Finally, parasequence 8 marks the historical (1152 AD) Po River avulsion in Ficarolo, NW of Ferrara, which shifted the Po River to wards its present, northern position (Fig. 5i). During this period, the delta plain was intermittently exposed and flooded, as documented by the development of parasequences locally bounded by laterally continuous peat layers (Fig. 3A).

7. Sediment accumulation rates

With the thickness of sedimentary units and the age of accumulation available on a regional scale, we estimated sediment accumulation rates in the study area, and their change through space and time. Landwards of the shoreline (behind the limit of maximum shoreline ingression), relatively high accumulation rates (5–6 mm/yr) are apparent for the transgressive parasequence set 1–3 (estimates from core EM S5 – Fig. 3A). Accumulation rates decrease in the lower HST (2.5–3 mm/yr for parasequences 4–5), and attain minimal values (1.0–1.5 mm/yr) in the upper HST (parasequences 6–8). Basinward of the shoreline, the system records an opposite tendency: although aggradation rates are high in back barrier position (>4 mm/yr for transgressive parasequence 1, from core EM S13 – Fig. 3B), strong condensation is recorded around the MFS (<0.2 mm/yr), and high sedimentation rates (up to ~70 mm/yr) are observed in the upper HST (Scarpini et al., 2013; Bruno et al., 2016).

The high rates of sediment accumulation recorded by the back barrier deposits (lower TST) are interpreted to reflect a generation of accommodation in the coastal plain, as a result of relative sea level rise. Progressively decreasing sedimentation rates followed sea level stabilization, suggesting that the coastal system was rapidly prograding basinwards. The coastal plain was transitioning from a sediment storage region to one of sediment bypass, which accounts for the condensed character of the upper TST/lower HST in seaward position.

High sediment storage in the coastal plain during transgression also implies a low potential to deliver sand to the deepwater slope and basin floor (Uroz and Steel, 2008). This trend has been recently documented by quantitative assessments of sediment budgets in the Po Plain Adriatic system (Amorosi et al., 2016), where sediment delivery to the deepest parts of the basin at sea level lowstand times has been estimated to be 20–25 times larger than during the following eustatic rise.

8. Influence of sea-level change on Holocene parasequence architecture

Linking the Holocene stratigraphic architecture of the Po coastal plain to local and global curves of post glacial sea level change available in literature reveals the possible influence of changing sea level on the generation of millennial scale parasequences.

During the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), sea level stood ~120–130 m lower than today (Fairbanks, 1989; Bard et al., 1996; Yokoyama et al., 2000). The post LGM global sea level rise was punctuated by high frequency oscillations, with short phases of sea level deceleration. A comprehensive, post LGM sea level curve has been reported by Liu et al. (2004) from the Western Pacific area. Consistent with previous reconstructions from coral reef cores (Fairbanks, 1989; Bard et al., 1990, 1996; Chappell and Polach, 1991), this curve shows a typical stepwise trend, characterized by four short lived phases of rapid eustatic rise, corresponding to large inputs of freshwater (meltwater pulses — MWP and mwp1) triggered by the melting of large continental ice sheets, separated by longer periods of slow transgression or stillstand (Fig. 6).

Although sea level started to rise around 19 cal ky BP, the first phase of rapid eustatic rise (MWP 1A) took place around 14 cal ky BP (Fairbanks, 1989; Bard et al., 1990, 1996; Blanchon and Shaw, 1995). Sea level rise slowed down during the YD, as recorded at several far field sites (Bard et al., 1996, 2010), before accelerating again at the beginning of the Holocene (MWP 1B at 11.6–11.3 cal ky BP; Fairbanks, 1989 — see Fig. 6). An acceleration in sea level rise is recorded during the same interval of time also in the Mediterranean (Lambeck et al., 2011; Vacchi et al., 2016 — Fig. 6). In the Po–Adriatic system, this phase triggered the inundation of the Younger Dryas alluvial plain, recorded by parasequence 1.

Two additional, rapid phases of eustatic rise, though of lower magnitude, have been identified within the Holocene period (Liu et al., 2004), between 9.5 and 9.2 cal ky BP (mwp 1c and 8.0—7.5 cal ky BP (mwp 1d – Fig. 6). Taking into account eustatic, isotatic and tectonic effects, Lambeck et al. (2011) reconstructed a distinctive acceleration in sea level rise for the Italian coasts between 10.0 and 8.7 cal ky BP (Fig. 6). Data from the Po coastal plain preserve evidence for two phases of generalized flooding, starting at ~9.2 cal ky BP (parasequence 2) and 7.7 cal ky BP (parasequence 3), respectively (see Figs. 3 and 6), which support the hypothesis of an allogenic control on Early Holocene sedimentation.

The possible supra regional influence of sea level change on the initiation of parasequences 2 and 3 is also corroborated by radio carbon data from several coastal systems worldwide. For instance, the transition from freshwater to brackish environments (parasequence 2), marking the phase in which the increase in accommodation due to sea level rise abruptly exceeded the peat production rate (Bohacs and Suter, 1997), has been dated to 9.2 cal ky BP from the Song Hong River (Hori et al., 2004), whereas it slightly post dates 9.3 cal ky BP in the Rhone delta (Amorosi et al., 2013a), 9.4 cal ky BP in the Pearl River (Zong et al., 2009), and 9.6 cal ky BP in the Tuscan coastal plain (Amorosi et al., 2013b). In their detailed study on the Tokyo lowland, Tanabe et al. (2015) report the alluvial/tidal flat transition from core GS KBH 1 to have occurred at 9.5 cal ky BP.

The age distribution between 8.0 and 7.5 cal ky BP of the maximum flooding surfaces identified in four Italian coastal plains facing both the Tyrrenian Sea and the Adriatic Sea (Amorosi et al., 2012, 2013b; 2016; Breda et al., 2016), are in agreement with the age of parasequence 3 (Fig. 6). The complete melting of the former ice caps, around 8.0–7.5 cal ky BP (Pirazzoli, 2005), drove maximum marine ingression and sea level around its present position (mwp 1d – Fig. 6). Nearly stable sea level conditions were conducive to worldwide delta initiation (Stanley and Warne, 1994). Given the flattening of the eustatic curve after 7 cal ky BP (Fig. 6), we can rule out global sea level as the dominant controlling factor of highstand parasequence development.

Although we do not expect highstand parasequences to be realistically recognizable on a basin scale, we demonstrate here that they can be traced individually over areas >300 km² wide, transcending depositional system boundaries. As argued by Catuneanu and Zechin (2013), the autogenic shifting of deltas is likely to impact depositional processes not only in the deltaic
depositional system, but also significantly modifying sediment supply to the adjacent open shorelines. This implies that autogenic driven parasequences might be identifiable across large expanses of the coastal system, losing their readily recognizable character only when moving to different segments of the source to sink system, such as the alluvial realm (farther inland) or the shelf (basinwards).

9. Conclusions

The Holocene depositional history of the Po coastal plain was reconstructed through stratigraphic correlation of eight parasequences developed on millennial timescale. Individual parasequences were physically traced confidently across the entire study area through a stratigraphic framework, additionally constrained chronologically by 137Cs—carbon dating. Changes in water depth, salinity and confinement levels were estimated from biofacies analysis, using meiofauna and mollusks, which allowed us to trace parasequence boundaries for tens of km landwards of the coeval shorelines.

We assessed architectural styles and the impact of short term sea level fluctuations on systems tract configuration. Back stepping parasequences (1–3) display a consistent and predictable pattern of transgressive deposits that records the transformation of the coastal plain into a wave dominated estuary. The rapid landward migration of the transgressive barrier complex and related facies belt is punctuated by a set of higher frequency (centennial scale) flooding surfaces. Conversely, hingest parasequences (4–8) exhibit a complex aggradational to progradational stacking pattern of deltaic and coastal deposits. Sedimentation rates reveal strong sediment volume partitioning, with considerable sediment storage in the coastal plain during transgression, followed by sediment by-pass and coastal progradation during sea level highstand.

The detailed chronostratigraphic framework enabled us to discriminate between allogenic and autogenic processes that may have driven the observed changes in parasequence development and shoreline trajectory. Eustasy appears to be a dominant control on stratigraphic architecture of Early Holocene parasequences, as documented by the striking correlation between the ages of our flooding surfaces 1–3 and global/Mediterranean sea level fluctuations. Conversely, parasequence development in Middle to Late Holocene deposits appears to have been dominantly controlled by autogenic processes (channel avulsion and delta lobe switching) during the generalized phase of sea level stabilization.

This study enhances our knowledge about depositional controls and the stratigraphic response of coastal systems to short term (millennial scale) sea level fluctuations, providing fundamental insights into modelling and prediction of parasequence architecture from the rock record. Reconstructing the dominant environments of deposition over millennial time scales (i.e., individual parasequences) is likely to provide a much more robust and detailed mapping of the extent and thickness of sediment bodies than using systems tracts. In the study area, where sea level control on parasequence stacking patterns and shoreline trajectory is documented rather than inferred, we see that parasequences developed on similar spatial and temporal scales, irrespective of their allogenic or autogenic controlling mechanisms. Parasequences of allogenic origin can be traced basinwide. Parasequences governed by autogenic mechanisms can be traced over areas at least 300 km² wide, across distinct depositional systems. Only at the basin scale, their bounding surfaces are likely to become poorly predictable. These observations suggest that similar patterns in ancient strata could be used to infer the relative influence of allogenic and autogenic controls.
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