

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Simple and effective models to predict the compressive and tensile strength of HPFRC as the steel fiber content and type changes / Savino, Vincenzo; Lanzoni, L.; Tarantino, A. M.; Viviani, M.. - In: COMPOSITES. PART B, ENGINEERING. - ISSN 1359-8368. - 137:(2018), pp. 153-162.
[10.1016/j.compositesb.2017.11.003]

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

03/05/2026 21:30

(Article begins on next page)

Simple and effective models to predict the compressive and tensile strength of HPFRC as the steel fiber content and type changes

V. Savino^a, L. Lanzoni^{b,c}, A.M. Tarantino^c, M. Viviani^a

^a*HEIG-VD - Haute Ecole d'Ingénierie et de Gestion du Canton de Vaud, Route de Cheseaux 1, CH-1401 Yverdon*

^b*DESD - Dipartimento di Economia, Scienze e Diritto, University of San Marino, Salita alla Rocca 44, Republic of San Marino, 47890 San Marino*

^c*DIEF-Department of Engineering "Enzo Ferrari", University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, 41125 Modena, Italy*

Abstract

HPFRC/UHPC are today widely applied as repair and reinforcement materials for structures. One of the drawback of commercial HPFRC/UHPC is that the matrix mix-design changes as the proportion and type of compounds are adapted to match the market availability and to contain the costs. Any modification of the matrix mix-design affects the mechanical properties of the hardened concrete and therefore the data obtained testing the previous version of the concrete are useless. Each time the matrix changes, to unveil the relation between the matrix strengths (such as the compressive strength), the fiber volume and hardened concrete strengths (such as tensile strength), a number of new characterization tests have to be performed. Furthermore the price and performances of these materials are directly related to the fiber volume. Prediction models that link the characteristics of the fibers (shape and aspect ratio) and the matrix strengths to the performances of the hardened concrete (such as tensile, splitting and compressive strength) are of great practical interest. This paper present a simple and effective model to account for the effect of hooked or straight fibers in HPFRC as the volume of fibers changes from 0 to 5%. The model generalizes and extend the range of application of the few existing models and was successfully applied on literature data as well as on a new testing campaign. The possibility of using

Email address: `luca.lanzoni@unimo.it` (L. Lanzoni)

bending tests to predict the tensile strength is explored and the limits of applications of the model are presented.

Keywords: Metal-matrix composites; Mechanical properties; Numerical analysis; Mechanical testing

1. Introduction

Use of fibers to enhance the mechanical behavior of brittle materials is not a new concept. Since ancient times straw was used to reinforce sunbaked bricks, as well as horsehairs were used in masonry mortar and plaster to enhance certain mechanical properties. In 1898 the invention of the Hatschek process promoted the commercial use of asbestos fibers in a cement matrix. Between 1960 and 1970 new fiber types were introduced and substituted the asbestos fibers. In the 1960s some researchers investigated the use of steel fibers reinforcement and demonstrated the advantages of this new technology [32, 33]. With the advent of High- and Ultra high- Performance Fiber Reinforced Concrete (HPFRC/UHPFRC) the steel fibers become popular [14, 36, 35, 8, 46, 47, 52, 48, 49]. Concretes are characterized by a low tensile strength and ductility that can be improved by adding steel fibers into the matrix. Steel fibers currently used in cementitious composite materials have much evolved in the past years, thanks to a number of researches [31, 25, 19, 44, 30, 16, 39, 28, 34, 5], focusing both on the use of innovative steels and on new shapes of the fibers¹. These new fibers further improved many engineering properties of HPFRC/UHPFRC [18, 15, 1, 26, 2] e.g. tensile strength, compressive strength, elastic modulus, crack resistance and toughness². Also splitting and crushing resistance of concrete can be enhanced by using steel fibers[7]³.

¹FRC based on synthetic fibers has been investigated, as an example, in [20, 27], whereas a recent study about the retrofitting of concrete beams strengthened by a polymer-based mortar has been addressed in [21]. The mechanical performances steel cords and a geopolymeric matrix as strengthening system has been discussed in [3].

²Fracture can be modelled, in a nonlinear framework, following [42], whereas recent contributes about damage mechanics can be found in [22, 23, 24]. Bifurcation and stability in the context of homogeneous finite deformations can be found in [43].

³An interesting study about the fibre/matrix interface in a concrete reinforced with steel fibers can be found in [38]. Experimental studies about the beneficial aspects of steel fibers in enhancing ductility of RC columns [13] and the punching shear behavior of slabs

22 Steel fibers are usually classified by: 1) *Geometry*: several shapes are
23 proposed by the producers e.g. straight, hooked, undulated, flat-end etc.
24 2) *Equivalent diameter*: for fibers that are not circular in cross-section, the
25 equivalent diameter is the diameter of the circle having the same area of the
26 (average) cross-section of the actual fiber. The greater the equivalent diam-
27 eter, the greater the flexural strength. 3) *Aspect ratio*: is a measure of the
28 slenderness of the fiber defined as the ratio between the length of the fiber
29 and the equivalent diameter (l/d_e). 4) *Volume fraction*: is the concentration
30 of fibers within a given unit volume of composite material (V_f). 5) *Strength*:
31 is the stress capacity that fiber reaches before failure. 6) *Toughness*: is con-
32 sidered as the ability of the fiber to adsorb strain energy before failure.

33 The performances of a HPFRC are influenced by all the above-mentioned
34 parameters and strength of the concrete matrix. Researchers have shown
35 that the aggregates and the steel fibers have been largely influencing both
36 the compressive and the tensile strength of concrete (e.g. [45, 29, 41]). Lit-
37 erature contains a wide number of test campaigns on the mechanical prop-
38 erties of SFRC, HPFRC and UHPFRC but few systematic studies on the
39 effect of the fiber volume and shape on the direct tensile strength were per-
40 formed. Furthermore the preferred method to study the effect of fibers on
41 an HPFRC/UHPFRC are splitting or bending tests that are further cor-
42 related with the ductility and other engineering properties. While many
43 models are available for a specific dataset, few researchers attempted to con-
44 struct a model that predict either the tensile, splitting or bending strength
45 by taking into account the volume fraction of the fibers, the shape of the
46 fibers and the matrix characteristics ([45, 29, 41] et al.). When qualifying
47 a new HPFRC/UHPFRC for a particular field/use, the norms impose large
48 test campaign to define the ductility and the tensile strength of the concrete
49 ([50, 55, 56, 57]). If to this compulsory testing campaign have to be added a
50 series of test to understand which volume and shape of fiber has to be used
51 to meet the minimum designers requirement, then the cost of qualification
52 might deter the use of these high technology concretes.

53 2. Materials and methods

54 For this study twenty-five combinations of steel fibers with varying shape,
55 geometry (l/d_e) and dosage (V_f) were used. The toughness behavior was

[4] have been investigated also.

56 taken into account. Straight steel fibers with two different l/d_e ratios of 65,
 57 75 and hooked-end steel fibers with three different l/d_e ratios of 45, 65 and
 58 85 were used. For each fiber five different V_f were taken in account: 1.5%,
 59 2%, 3%, 4% and 5%. The tensile strength of fibers ranges between 1000 and
 1250 MPa. Further details are illustrated in Table 1 and Fig.1.

Code (n.)	Cube (n.)	Dog-bone (n.)	V_f (%)	l (mm)	d_e (mm)	Weigth (kg)	Strength (MPa)
Control	9	9	—	—	—	—	—
SF65	15	15	1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5	20	0.3	1.10×10^{-5}	1200
SF75	15	15	1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5	13	0.175	2.45×10^{-6}	1250
HF45	15	15	1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5	35	0.75	1.12×10^{-4}	1200
HF65	15	15	1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5	35	0.55	6.54×10^{-5}	1200
HF85	15	15	1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5	30	0.35	2.27×10^{-5}	1000

Table 1: Sampling parameters

60




Figure 1: Types of steel fibers: a) SF65, b) SF75, c) HF45, d) HF65, and e) HF85

61 The exact composition of the commercial HPFRC was unknown since the
 62 producer does not provide the mix design (which is simply labeled “premix”
 63 ’ and the nature of the compounds. Premixes of HPFRCs usually contain
 64 cement, sands (< 2 mm) and pozzolans. To fabricate one cubic meter of
 65 HPFRC the producer prescribe the insertion in a mixer of 2226 kg of pre-
 66 mix, 239.5 kg of water and 22.3 liters of a blend of admixtures (of unknown
 67 type, supplied with the premix). According to the producer this blend of
 68 admixtures increases the strength, enhance the durability, provide a high
 69 workability and compensate a part of the shrinkage [6]. Although fiber ad-
 70 diction did not modify remarkably the yield stress of the fresh mixture, they

71 increased the viscosity⁴. A good distribution of the fibers in the HPFRC was
72 obtained by using a high intensity rotating pan mixer. During the casting
73 process the lab temperature and relative humidity were 22 °C and 65%, re-
74 spectively. Once in the molds, concrete composite mixtures were compacted
75 by using a vibrating table. After 24 hours the samples were demolded and
76 cured in standard conditions until testing.

77 The experimental program includes twenty-five series of specimens, each
78 one is composed by three cubes and three dog-bones samples of HPFRC
79 reinforced with a fixed V_f of steel fibers characterized by a given l/d_e ratio
80 and shape. For example, the specimens of the first series contain 1.5% of
81 straight steel fibers with a l/d_e ratio of 65. Each series is labeled according
82 to the shape, l/d_e ratio and V_f . SF and HF stand for Straight Fiber and
83 Hooked Fiber; 65, 75, 85, etc. represents the l/d_e ratio; 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5 stand
84 for the V_f . Moreover, a series of plain concrete samples (nine cubes and nine
85 dog-bones) was used as control (Table 1).

86 Compressive strength on $100 \times 100 \times 100$ mm cubes and direct tensile strength
87 on dog-bone specimens with 40×30 mm cross-section (Fig.2) were performed
88 according to the relevant standards [53, 54, 55, 50, 57, 51]. The mean strength
89 values, calculated in each series, depends on a population of three samples.

90 Before testing, the evaluation of both weight and density of cubes and
91 dog-bones allowed to determine whether the shape and dimension of the
92 molds influenced the fiber distribution and quantity in the matrix.

93 A compression machine Perrier type 138 – 5000 kN was used to test the com-
94 pressive strength of the cubes. The loading ratio was set to 0.6 MPa/sec. A
95 W+B type LVF – 200 kN machine was used to test the direct tensile strength
96 on dog-bone specimens. The tests were carried out by controlling the ax-
97 ial elongation rate of the specimen that was monitored by a high precision
98 extensometer. The deformation rate was set at 0.05 ± 0.01 mm/min. The
99 average real time load (stress)-elongation diagram was recorded for all tensile
100 test. The end sides of the dog-bone sample are larger than the central part,
101 to allow the clamps of the machine to hold the specimen during test and to
102 ensure that failure occurs in the zone monitored by the deformation sensors
103 (Fig.3).

⁴The mechanical interaction in time between the hosting structure and the cementitious reinforcement is addressed in [9, 10, 11].




Figure 2: Sample dimensions: a) cube sample, b) dog-bone sample



Figure 3: Zone monitored (in red) on the sample in the direct tensile test

104 **3. Results and discussions**

105 *3.1. Fiber distribution and orientation*

106 During the sample preparation it was observed that the workability of
 107 concrete decreased as the V_f increased. For the series HF65.5 and HF85.5
 108 the fibers clustered together forming some fiber balls in the mixer. Thus
 109 a good distribution of fibers was not obtained and the performances of the
 110 HPFRC were compromised. Therefore the results of these series were not
 111 taken into account in this study. Workability of the fresh concrete was also
 112 influenced by the shape and l/d_e ratios of fibers. In fact SF75.5, SF65.5 and
 113 HF45.5 series did not show fiber cluster formations even if the V_f was at 5%.

114 Not surprisingly, steel fibers raised the specific weight of all tested concrete.
115 It is interesting to note that the average density of dog-bone series (D) is
116 slightly lower than cube series (C) as can be observed in Fig.4. The 4%
117 lower specific weight is probably due to the effect of the complex geometry
118 of the dog-bone molds (wall effect) and the filling capacity of a viscous ma-
119 terial such as HPFRC. The orientation effects and the variability of specific
120 weight are well-known issues, such that some standards propose to cut the
121 dog-bones specimens from large slabs of the appropriate thickness ([50] et
122 al.). An isotropic and homogenous distribution of fiber is also generally as-
123 sumed in SFRCs. Nevertheless, the mixing, placing and vibration procedures
124 could affect isotropy. Vibration is not strictly necessary when large quanti-
125 ties of HPFRC are cast, but it is advised to increase the regularity of small
126 specimens. Several researchers [12, 40] have investigated the effect of the
127 compaction by vibration on the orientation and segregation of fibers. Most
128 of these investigations showed that external vibration might produce planes
129 of preferentially oriented fibers and likely their segregation. Furthermore the
130 torque forces exerted on the fresh concrete when is flowed are generated by
131 shear stresses and the wall effect, two phenomena that tend to promote pref-
132 erential orientations. However, in certain cases, the preferential orientation
133 of the fibers is more interesting than isotropic distribution. If the fibers can
134 be oriented perpendicularly to the crack planes, the toughness of the struc-
135 ture will be increased thanks to the higher number of fibers bridging the
136 cracks [37]. The specific weight of each tested type of fibers (SF65, SF75,
137 HF45, HF65 and HF85) is presented in Table 1. Specimens of the HF45 and
138 HF65 series presented a slight fiber segregation. This could be explained
139 considering that even if the shape of these two fibers is similar to the others
140 they are heavier causing the segregation process.
141 The casting direction on the dog bone specimen tend to promote fibers ori-
142 entation along the main axis due to shear stresses (arising also by the friction
143 of the concrete with the bottom of the mold) and the wall effect. This phe-
144 nomenon is virtually unavoidable since the standard dog bones have a cross
145 section of 30×40 mm and the average length of the studied fibers is of about
146 30 mm. Consequently is not likely to find a randomly oriented fiber, espe-
147 cially oriented perpendicularly to the main axis. After testing, all samples
148 were cut in the neighbor the failure section and the orientation was evident
149 (Fig.5). The cubes mold were filled vertically and the fibers were aligned
150 mainly in horizontal planes, perpendicular to the pouring direction.




Figure 4: Comparison of the sample's density




Figure 5: Distribution and orientation of steel fibers in a HPFRC dog-bone sample

151 3.2. Compressive strength

152 The compressive strength (f_c) values of HPFRC series are given in Ta-
 153 ble 2. Average compressive strength on cube specimens of the control series
 154 ($f_{c,matrix}$) was of 76 MPa. Comparing the values obtained for the control
 155 series with the concretes with fibers is evident a positive effect on the com-
 156 pressive strength, even if this increase is minimal for low l/d_e (about 2%)
 157 and maximum for high l/d_e ratios (about 36%), as seen in Fig.6. As V_f aug-
 158 ments, f_c increases for all concrete series even if, at 5%, this positive effect
 159 ceased, probably due to the uneven dispersion of the fibers observed during
 160 casting operations. The small increase of f_c in concretes with hooked fibers

161 is probably connected with a certain degree of segregation of these heavier
 162 fibers. HF45 series shows the lowest strength increase while the HF85 series
 163 shows the greatest one. As explained in the paragraph 3.1 data for series
 164 HF65_5 and HF85_5 are not available due to impossibility of obtaining an
 165 homogenous mortar. Finally 4% of V_f seems to be the optimal rate of fibers
 with respect to the compressive strength and the mixing procedures.

Sample	f_c			f_t			f_{rt}			SED	
	Aver. (MPa)	COV (%)	Rel. (%)	Aver. (MPa)	COV (%)	Rel. (%)	Aver. (MPa)	COV (%)	Rel. (%)	Aver. (Mpa)	COV (%)
Matrix	75.85	9.63	100.00	3.30	9.58	100.00	—	—	—	—	—
SF65_1.5	82.17	8.57	108.33	3.73	11.27	113.03	2.41	8.70	73.03	6.99	4.48
SF65_2	83.11	5.84	109.57	5.67	12.48	171.82	2.86	24.20	86.67	7.75	27.75
SF65_3	87.53	2.48	115.40	7.08	7.51	214.55	2.26	23.94	68.48	7.37	14.68
SF65_4	100.26	1.57	132.18	7.27	14.36	220.30	1.92	30.84	58.18	7.38	13.10
SF65_5	101.35	0.81	133.62	5.07	22.41	153.64	1.85	16.43	56.06	6.31	12.07
SF75_1.5	88.56	1.26	116.76	4.17	9.90	126.36	1.13	13.16	34.24	3.78	4.63
SF75_2	89.50	2.46	118.00	4.28	20.45	129.70	0.67	35.49	20.30	3.80	34.51
SF75_3	94.44	0.19	124.51	5.10	5.43	154.55	1.47	23.13	44.55	5.86	17.30
SF75_4	97.65	2.66	128.74	6.24	5.68	189.09	0.90	23.91	27.27	4.06	4.71
SF75_5	97.74	3.05	128.86	5.91	15.61	179.09	0.83	26.99	25.15	5.36	23.56
HF45_1.5	77.47	1.07	102.14	3.41	5.03	103.33	1.65	23.06	50.00	3.07	24.36
HF45_2	78.79	5.01	103.88	3.63	1.21	110.00	1.52	6.21	46.06	4.49	10.17
HF45_3	81.25	2.19	107.12	3.77	14.36	114.24	1.42	38.26	43.03	5.49	29.09
HF45_4	83.06	2.48	109.51	4.23	29.11	128.18	1.33	22.09	40.30	5.76	6.69
HF45_5	83.70	2.96	110.35	6.87	11.73	208.18	1.80	10.27	54.55	8.41	5.66
HF65_1.5	77.52	5.67	102.20	3.74	12.75	113.33	1.21	19.36	36.67	4.39	24.95
HF65_2	81.43	2.96	107.36	3.88	11.37	117.58	1.39	32.29	42.12	6.09	13.71
HF65_3	84.66	7.07	111.62	3.89	5.00	117.88	2.36	18.81	71.52	9.69	9.00
HF65_4	89.06	7.73	117.42	5.94	8.69	180.00	2.48	1.41	75.15	9.97	6.87
HF85_1.5	81.03	6.41	106.83	4.03	5.44	122.12	1.75	30.67	53.03	6.48	12.94
HF85_2	86.15	3.07	113.58	5.43	4.47	164.55	2.75	10.05	83.33	6.79	4.21
HF85_3	96.65	0.47	127.42	5.69	12.26	172.42	2.90	12.85	87.88	7.47	11.65
HF85_4	103.52	1.44	136.48	7.34	6.34	222.42	4.17	38.88	126.36	9.05	14.20

Table 2: Results

166

167 3.3. Direct tensile strength

168 From direct tensile tests of each series of HPFRC three different parame-
 169 ters were measured or derived: tensile strength (f_t), residual tensile strength
 170 (f_{rt}) and Strain Energy Density (SED), see Fig.7. f_t is defined as the ten-
 171 sile tension value at the time of the first crack formation in the axial strain
 172 range of 0.01 and 0.25%. f_{rt} is the tension value corresponding to the 2% of
 173 axial strain; SED represents the area of the experimental stress-strain under
 174 graph. Each series is composed by three samples. The f_t value of the control




Figure 6: Effect of l/d_e ratio and V_f on f_c

175 series was 3.3 MPa. For series SF65, SF75, HF45, HF65, HF85 the maximum
 176 and minimum f_t values were 7.27, 6.24, 6.87, 5.94, 7.34 and 3.73, 4.17, 3.41,
 177 3.74, 4.03 MPa, respectively. The relative strengths are presented in Fig.8
 178 and show an increase of tensile strength in the range 3 – 122% compared
 179 to the value of the control series ($f_{t,matrix}$). For all hooked fiber series, f_t
 180 increased with increasing l/d_e ratio. For SF65, SF75, HF85 series, f_t also
 181 increases with increasing V_f . In 5% series, there is a clear reduction of the
 182 peak tensile strength. Fibers were too close to develop an efficient bridging
 183 effect in the cracking section. HF45, HF65 series did not show a sensible in-
 184 crease of strength from 1.5% to 3% of V_f . Even though in these series some
 185 segregation occurred, for higher V_f (4 % and 5%), the distribution of the
 186 fibers in the mixture remains good and good values for the tensile strength
 187 are obtained.

188 A softening behavior after cracking and a not negligible residual strength un-
 189 til the 2% of the axial strain have been observed. Residual tensile strength
 190 (f_{rt}) values of each HPFRC series are drawn in Table 2. For series SF65,
 191 SF75, HF45, HF65, HF85 the maximum and minimum f_{rt} values were 2.86,
 192 1.47, 1.8, 2.48, 4.17 and 1.85, 0.67, 1.33, 1.21, 1.75 MPa, respectively. The
 193 ratio between f_{rt} value of each series and $f_{t,matrix}$ ranges from 0.2 to 1.26.
 194 As shown in Fig.9, the combination SF65_2 shows the greatest f_{rt} value of
 195 all SF series, a value that drops as V_f increases. This phenomenon is also




Figure 7: Parameters of the behavior of the HPFRC under direct tensile stress

196 observed for series SF75 whose tensile strength peak is for 3% of V_f . In the
 197 series HF45, f_{rt} decreased slightly augmenting V_f . More interesting is the
 198 behavior in HF65 and HF85 series in which f_{rt} increased with increasing V_f .
 199 In fact, thanks to both hooked-end shape and high l/d_e ratio these fibers
 200 enhance the crack bridging process improving the post-cracking behavior.
 201 The fiber bridging the cracks avoids the brittle failure of the specimens under
 202 tensile stresses and improves the toughness. Fig.10 highlights the influence
 203 of the V_f and l/d_e ratio on the toughness via the SED on each series. In
 204 SF65 series a V_f of 2% confers more ductility to the HPFRC than any other
 205 volume fraction. From that percentage onwards a slight reduction of ductility
 206 occurred while the amount of fibers increased. SF75 series showed no
 207 obvious improvement of ductility by varying the V_f . Instead hooked fiber
 208 (HF) series clearly denoted that toughness improved with the increasing of
 209 both l/d_e ratios and V_f .




Figure 8: Effect of l/d_e ratio and V_f on f_t




Figure 9: Effect of l/d_e ratio and V_f on f_{rt}

210 Before first cracking occurs all the HPFRC series tend to show a similar be-
 211 havior and the tensile strength is not significantly influenced by the shape
 212 of the tested fibers (see Fig.8). After the matrix crack initiation, the stress
 213 is absorbed by the fibers bridging the cracks. On the straight fibers the de-
 214 formation of the fibers will finally causes the fibers debonding and pull out.




Figure 10: Effect of l/d_e ratio and V_f on SED

215 Instead, hooked fibers, are retained at the (hooked) ends that plasticize and
 216 further crack the surrounding matrix before the fiber is finally pulled out. In
 217 fact, the residual tensile strength is clearly higher for HPFRCs with hooked
 218 fibers. From a point of view of toughness steel fibers are well-adapted to dis-
 219 sipate strain energy. Even if hooked fibers offer the benefit described above
 220 the energy-absorbing capability of fibers depend also on the cross-section of
 221 fibers which encounter the crack plane and their elastic properties of the fiber.
 222 Hooked fibers are more efficient in increasing the residual tensile strength.
 223 Straight fibers conferred to the HPFRC a higher ductility for low dosages.
 224 This effect is probably due to the smaller cross section and higher number of
 225 straight fibers bridging the cracks. SF series showed higher values of ductility
 226 with V_f of 1.5 and 2%, whereas HF series overtook such as values with V_f of
 227 3, 4 and 5%.

228 4. Regression models of compressive and tensile strength

229 4.1. Predictive models in literature

The mechanical behavior of the HPFRC is affected by the engineer prop-
 erties of the reinforcing fibers, the concrete matrix and their interactions.
 For practical purposes is important to know how the tensile and compressive
 strength of a HPFRC vary when l/d_e ratio, V_f and the shape of the fibers

are changed. Such models, constructed on a specific matrix, permits to know what type and quantity of fibers have to be used in order to meet the designers' requirements.

Many predictive models have been developed. Ramadoss et al.[29] have developed a linear model to predict the compressive and tensile strength by studying the behavior of three mortars reinforced by an increasing volume of a single type of steel fibers. This model is therefore valid if the fiber features are not changed:

$$f_{cf}(\text{MPa}) = f_c + 0.0184 \times RI \quad (1)$$

$$f_{spf}(\text{MPa}) = f_{sp,matrix} + 0.006 \times RI \quad R^2 = 0.94 \quad (2)$$

230 where $RI = w_f \times l/d_e$, $w_f = \text{Density of fiber} / \text{Density of SFRC} \times V_f$.

Yazıcı et al.[45] developed a multi-linear relationship between the strength (tensile and compressive) of a HPC concrete, l/d_e ratio and V_f . In this case one matrix was investigated with three types of steel (hooked) fibers:

$$f_{cf}(\text{MPa}) = 50.4869 + 0.0434 \times l/d_e + 1.9667 \times V_f(\%) \quad R^2 = 0.10 \quad (3)$$

$$f_{spf}(\text{MPa}) = 2.2121 + 0.0077 \times l/d_e + 1.4233 \times V_f(\%) \quad R^2 = 0.72 \quad (4)$$

Sumathi et al.[41] used a second order polynomial equation to regress the relationships between the strength of the composite concrete and V_f while l/d_e ratio is kept constant. Also in this investigation the fibers were hooked:

$$f_{cf}(\text{MPa}) = 41.45 + 9.984 \times V_f - 3.837 \times V_f^2 \quad R^2 = 0.91 \quad (5)$$

$$f_{spf}(\text{MPa}) = 3.925 + 1.448 \times V_f - 0.042 \times V_f^2 \quad R^2 = 0.99 \quad (6)$$

231 where f_c , f_{cf} , $f_{sp,matrix}$, f_{spf} and RI are the mean compressive strength of
 232 the matrix mortar, mean compressive strength of the fibrous concrete, mean
 233 splitting tensile strength of the matrix mortar, mean splitting tensile strength
 234 of the fibrous concrete and reinforcing index, respectively.

235 4.2. Validation of a general model

The above presented models (equations 1-6) were used on the testing campaign described in the section 2. Furthermore they were applied also to data obtained with straight fibers. Finally a new and more general model was developed and compared with the existing literature.

The new model aims to predict f_c , f_t and f_{rt} of a HPC reinforced by steel

fibers with different shapes, l/d_e ratios and V_f ranging from 0 to 5%. Experimental relationships between fiber parameters (such as l/d_e ratio and V_f) and f_c , f_t and f_{rt} have been established by using a multiple linear regression analysis with a confidence of 95% (equations 7,8,9), as seen in [45]. This model showed the highest R^2 values of all models taken into consideration. If for straight fibers a new model proved to be useful, the behavior of the concrete reinforced by hooked fiber is well predicted by using a linear model according to [29] (equations 10,11,12).

$$f_{cSF}(\text{MPa}) = 75.0663 + 0.0499 \times l/d_e + 4.4344 \times V_f(\%) \quad R^2 = 0.83 \quad (7)$$

$$f_{tSF}(\text{MPa}) = 3.5333 + 0.0029 \times l/d_e + 0.5452 \times V_f(\%) \quad R^2 = 0.34 \quad (8)$$

$$f_{rtSF}(\text{MPa}) = 10.8829 - 0.1260 \times l/d_e - 0.1396 \times V_f(\%) \quad R^2 = 0.81 \quad (9)$$

$$f_{CHF}(\text{MPa}) = f_{c,matrix} + 0.0185 \times RI \quad R^2 = 0.76 \quad (10)$$

$$f_{tHF}(\text{MPa}) = f_{t,matrix} + 0.003 \times RI \quad R^2 = 0.68 \quad (11)$$

$$f_{rtHF}(\text{MPa}) = 0.4992 + 0.0028 \times RI \quad R^2 = 0.68 \quad (12)$$

236 In Figs.11, 12, 13 the models of the author and the test results are plotted.
 237 It can be immediately observed the different behavior between the HPC
 238 reinforced with straight fibers and that reinforced with with hooked fibers.
 239 Both compressive and tensile strength values of the concrete reinforced by
 240 straight fibers are higher for low to medium fibers dosages (up to 2%). For
 241 higher dosages (from 3%) the hooked fibers show the best performances,
 242 especially in term of residual tensile strength (Fig.13). This is also the reason
 243 why many researchers have concentrated their effort on hooked fibers.

244 Fig.14, 15 and 16 show the relationships between calculated and predicted
 245 strength values (see equations 7-12) for all types of fibers. The coefficients
 246 of correlation (R^2) between calculated and predicted values are 0.87, 0.47,
 247 0.85, 0.83, 0.77, and 0.77 for f_{cSF} , f_{tSF} , f_{rtSF} , f_{CHF} , f_{tHF} and f_{rtHF} , respec-
 248 tively. The high R^2 values confirm that the shape, l/d_e ratio and V_f play
 249 an important role in improving the compressive and direct tensile strength
 250 of HPFRCs. Furthermore, the strength is proved to be deeply influenced by
 251 the fiber parameters. It is interesting to note that f_{rt} of a concrete reinforced
 252 by straight fiber does not increase when l/d_e ratio and V_f fraction increase.
 253 Instead, for concrete reinforced with hooked fibers, f_{rt} improves with increas-
 254 ing l/d_e ratio and V_f (see Fig.13).

255 In Fig.17a the new models used for HPCs reinforced by steel hooked fibers
 256 (according to equations 10, 11, 12) are plotted and compared with the litera-
 257 ture (see 4.1). Most of the models found in literature have been developed by




Figure 11: Models of compressive strength

258 studying a limited range of l/d_e ratios and V_f and therefore it was necessary
 259 to extend them beyond of the experimental evidences. The dotted lines in
 260 the Fig.17 and Fig.18 are the range of values obtained extending the predic-
 261 tion of the equations 1-6, permitting a comparison with the author's models
 262 and tests (in this research the V_f up to 5% was used). It can be observed
 263 that all compressive strength models have a similar slope and confirms that
 264 the strength of the HPFRC increases when l/d_e ratio and V_f increase. [29]
 265 have taken into account the strength of the matrix as variable of the model
 266 i.e. for a $V_f = 0$; $f_c = f_{c,matrix}$. The compressive strength of the matrix of
 267 the SFRCs investigated in [45] and [41] is not a variable but corresponding
 268 approximately to the y-intercept parameter of the model carried out from
 269 the equation 3 (~ 50 MPa) and equation 5 (~ 40 MPa), respectively. Sub-
 270 stituting the y-intercept value of the equation 3 with the matrix strength
 271 value investigated by the author it observes that also the model in [45] well




Figure 12: Models of tensile strength

272 agrees with both the model of the author and [29] (Fig.17b). This assumption
 273 highlights that the matrix performances strongly condition the magnitude of
 274 the effect of the fibers on the strength of the HPFRC and that similar fibers
 275 lead to similar prediction on the strength gain for similar volumes of fibers.
 276 The value of the compressive strength of the matrix investigated in this work
 277 is also substituted in the model of [41] as the y-intercept parameter in the
 278 equation 5. In this case, as shown in Fig.17b, the compressive strength of
 279 the SFRC increases until the fiber dosage does not exceed 1.5 %; for higher
 280 dosages, the compressive strength decreases. The poor prediction in the
 281 model of [41] is likely due to the low strength of the matrix investigated that
 282 was not a HPC. Concluding, the model of the author has a good agreement
 283 with the models of [45] and [29] for the compressive strength of a HPFRC,
 284 if the strength of the matrix is taken into account in the model as variable
 285 and if the compressive strength of the matrix is higher than 50 MPa. These




Figure 13: Models of residual tensile strength

286 models proved to be valid up to V_f of 5% and for l/d_e ratios ranging from
 287 45 to 85 (see Fig.17b). In Fig. 17, A,R,Y and S stand respectively for: the
 288 model of the author, [29], [45] and [41].

Tensile strength can be measured either by indirect methods such as the splitting test (ST) or by direct test (DT) methods. The models found in literature to predict the tensile strength have been developed mainly by ST (equations 2, 4, 6), due to the fact that fluctuations of the experimental results are lower and the R^2 values are higher than the results obtained by DT methods. In this work a DT method was used to construct a prediction model. The new prediction model shows good R^2 values (see equations 7-12). The relation between tensile strength tested by ST and DT has been studied by many authors [17], and the CEB-FIP 90 model defines a relationship between the DT and ST tensile strength as follows:

$$f_{ct,dt} = 0.9 \times f_{ct,st} \quad (13)$$




Figure 14: a) Correlation between calculated and predicted $f_{c,SF}$ values, b) Correlation between calculated and predicted $f_{c,HF}$ values




Figure 15: a) Correlation between calculated and predicted $f_{t,SF}$ values, b) Correlation between calculated and predicted $f_{t,HF}$ values

289 where $f_{ct,dt}$ is the mean direct tensile strength and $f_{ct,st}$ is the mean splitting
 290 tensile strength. Equation 13 was applied in the equations 2, 4, 6 and
 291 results are compared with the model of the author described in the equation
 292 11. $f_{t,matrix}$ of the HPC investigated by [29] is a variable of the model (see
 293 equation 2). In the models of [45] (see equation 4), and [41] (see equation 6),




Figure 16: a) Correlation between calculated and predicted $f_{rt,SF}$ values, b) Correlation between calculated and predicted $f_{rt,HF}$ values




Figure 17: a) Models of compressive strength, b) Models whose y-intercept value corresponds to the $f_{c,matrix}$ of the HPC investigated by the author

294 $f_{t,matrix}$ values are fix values (4.06 and 4.00 MPa, respectively). Therefore
 295 these two models were modified by using the $f_{t,matrix}$ ($V_f = 0$) of the HPC
 296 investigated by the author (3.3 MPa) in equation 4 and equation 6. The
 297 comparison between the corrected models is showed in Fig.18a. The diver-
 298 gence between results increases as l/d_e ratio and V_f increase.
 299 To further improve the models another formulation to convert the ST strength
 300 in DT strength was used. From equation 2 and 11 it can be observed that
 301 the slope-intercept of equation 2 is two times the one of equation 11. There-




Figure 18: a) Models of tensile strength by using equation 13; b) Models of tensile strength by using equation 14

fore, assuming that the ratio value between $f_{sp,matrix}$ ($V_f = 0$) and $f_{t,matrix}$ ($V_f = 0$) is two, it might be written:

$$f_{ct,dt} = 0.5 \times f_{ct,st} \quad (14)$$

Fig.18 b shows the comparison between the models by using equation 14 for $f_{ct,dt}$ and the author's value for $f_{t,matrix}$ ($V_f = 0$). After these two corrections the four models yield very close results. Furthermore the model of the author extends the prediction of strength of a HPFRC up to V_f of 5% and for l/d_e ratios ranging from 45 to 85.

5. Conclusions

An investigation on the influence of steel fiber properties on the compressive strength, tensile strength and toughness of a commercial HPFRC was conducted. As expected the analysis of the results demonstrate that the mechanical behavior of the tested HPFRC is influenced by the shape of fibers, aspect ratio and volume fraction. A new linear mathematical relationships could be established and applied to the new and the literature dataset. The following conclusions are drawn.

A series of linear relationships between the fibers parameters such as l/d_e ratio and V_f and the strength (compressive and tensile) of a HPFRC could be established. These relationships, established both for hooked and straight

320 fibers, extend the range of application of the models found in literature to
321 determine the optimal dosage, shape and aspect ratio of fibers that will allow
322 to meet the designers requirements in term of compressive, direct tensile and
323 residual tensile strength of a given HPFRC.

324 The work confirms that a limited number of tests might be appropriate for
325 quality control purposes, thus reducing the overall cost of the HPFRCs.

326 One of the main variable of all the models is the matrix strength; for ordi-
327 nary concrete (compressive strength lower than 50 MPa) these relationships
328 cannot be used.

329 The specific weight of the investigated HPFRC increases with using steel
330 fibers until the soil of 300 kg/m^3 is reached.

331 The compressive and tensile strength increase between 2–36% and 3–122%,
332 respectively when fibers are added to the plain HPC. This result shows the
333 efficiency of both straight and especially hooked steel fibers.

334 The use of steel fibers influences considerably the toughness of the concrete,
335 when the dosage increases both in high (85) and low (45) aspect ratio cases
336 a limited improvement in ductility and workability as well occurred.

337 The mixing, casting and vibration procedures influence the distribution, ori-
338 entation and segregation of fibers. As a consequence, some cases of segrega-
339 tion and fiber cluster (balls) formation were observed. For these mixes a loss
340 of both strength and toughness at the hardened state occurred.

341 Finally, this investigation permitted to establish that, if the matrix charac-
342 teristics of a commercial product are not changed or the changes are limited,
343 than a limited testing campaign might be sufficient to predict the behavior
344 of the new material.

345 Acknowledgments

346 Authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by HEIG-
347 VD. Financial support from the Italian Ministry of Education, University and
348 Research (MIUR) in the framework of the Project PRIN “COAN 5.50.16.01”
349 - code 2015JW9NJT - is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] Ahlborn, T.M., Puese, E.J., Misson, D.L. *Ultra-High Performance Con-
crete for Michigan Bridges*. Material Performance-Phase I,MDOT RC-
1525CSD-2008-11, pp. 190

- [2] Bastien-Masse, M., Brühwiler E. *Concrete bridge deck slabs strengthened with UHPFRC*. IABSE Conference Rotterdam Assessment, Upgrading and Refurbishment of infrastructures, 2013, 99, pp. 236 – 237
- [3] Bencardino, F., Condello, A., Ashour, A.F. *Single-lap shear bond tests on Steel Reinforced Geopolymeric Matrix-concrete joints*. Composites Part B, 110, 2017, 62–71.
- [4] Caratelli, A., Imperatore, S., Meda, A., Rinaldi, Z. *Punching shear behavior of lightweightfiber reinforced concrete slabs*. Composites Part B, 99, 2016, 257–265.
- [5] Won-Chang Choi, Seok-Joon J., Hyun-Do Y. *Bond and cracking behavior of lap-spliced reinforcing bars embedded in hybrid fiber reinforced strain-hardening cementitious composite (SHCC)*. Composites Part B, 108, 2017, 35–44
- [6] Collepardi M. *Chemical admixtures today* Proceedings of Second International Symposium on Concrete Tecnology for Sustainable February - Development with Emphasis on Infrastructure, Hyderabad, India, 27 February 3 March (2005) pp. 527 – 541.
- [7] Conforti, A., Tiberti, G., Plizzari, G.A. *Splitting and crushing failure in FRC elements subjected to a high concentrated load*. Composites Part B, 105, 2016, 82–92.
- [8] Daniel, J. I., Shah, S. P. *Thin-Section Fiber Reinforced Concrete and Ferrocement*. SP-124, American Concrete Institute, Detroit, 1990, 441 pp.
- [9] Dezi L, Tarantino AM. *Time dependent analysis of concrete structures with variable structural system*. ACI Materials Journal, 88(3), 1991, 320-324.
- [10] Dezi L, Menditto G, Tarantino AM. *Homogeneous structures subjected to successive structural system changes - ASCE Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 116(8), 1990, 1723-1732.
- [11] Dezi L, Menditto G, Tarantino AM. *Viscoelastic heterogeneous structures with variable structural system - ASCE Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 119(2), 1993, pp. 238-250

- [12] Edgington, J., Hannant, D.J. *Steel fibre reinforced concrete. The effect on fibre orientation of compaction by vibration.* Materials and Structures 5 (25) (1972) pp. 41 – 44.
- [13] Germano, F., Tiberti G., Plizzari, G. *Experimental behavior of SFRC columns under uniaxial and biaxial cyclic loads.* Composites Part B, 85 (2016) 76–92
- [14] Gesoglu, M., Gneyisi, E., Muhyaddin, G.F., Asaad, D.S. *Strain hardening ultra-high performance fiber reinforced cementitious composites: Effect of fiber type and concentration.* Composites Part B 103, 2016, 74–83
- [15] Hannawi, K., Bian, H., Prince-Agbodjan, W., Raghavan, B. *Effect of different types of fibers on the microstructure and the mechanical behavior of Ultra-High Performance Fiber-Reinforced Concretes.* Composites Part B 86, 2016, 215–220
- [16] Jiang L, Niu, D.T., Bai, M. *Experiment Study on the Frost Resistance of Steel Fiber Reinforced Concrete.* Advanced Materials Research, 2010, 243, pp. 150 – 151
- [17] Kang S., Park J., Ryu G., Koh G., Kim S. *Comparison of Tensile Strengths with Different Test Methods in Ultra High Strength Steel-Fiber Reinforced Concrete (UHS-SFRC).* Trans Tech Publications, 417 – 418, 2010, pp. 649 – 652
- [18] Lackey, T., Desgagne, G., Benmokrane, B., El-Salakawy, E., El-Ragaby, A. *Construction and monitoring of four innovative concrete bridge decks using non-corrosive FRP composite bars.* Annual Conference of the Transportation Association of Canada, 2004, pp. 1 – 20
- [19] Lane, R., Craig, B., Babcock, W. *Materials for Blast and Penetration Resistance.* Materials Ease The AMPTIAC Quarterly, Vol. 6, No. 4, 2002.
- [20] Lanzoni L, Nobili A, Tarantino AM. *Performance evaluation of a polypropylene-based draw-wired fibre for concrete structures.* Construct. Build. Mater. 28 (2012) 798-806.
- [21] Lanzoni L, Soragni M, Tarantino AM, Viviani M. *Concrete beams stiffened by polymer-based mortar layers: Experimental investigation and modeling.* Construction and Building Materials 105 (2016) 321-335.

- [22] Lanzoni L, Tarantino AM. *Damaged hyperelastic membranes*. Int. J. NonLinear Mech. 60 (2014) 9–22.
- [23] Lanzoni L, Tarantino AM. *Equilibrium configurations and stability of a damaged body under uniaxial tractions*. ZAMP Zeitsc. Angew. Math. Phys. 66(1) (2015) 171–190.
- [24] Lanzoni L, Tarantino AM. *A simple nonlinear model to simulate the localized necking and neck propagation*. Int. J. NonLinear Mech. 84 (2016) 94-104.
- [25] Moser, R.D. *High-Strength Stainless Steels for Corrosion Mitigation in Prestressed Concrete: Development and Evaluation*. Ph.D. Dissertation, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, 2011
- [26] Nazar, S., Ismaiel, M.A., Ahmed, M. *Possibility to Improve Strength and Structural Stability of Bridge Deck Slabs by Using Ultra High Performance Fiber Reinforced Concrete*. IOSR J Mechanical and Civil Engineering, 2014;11, pp. 79 – 87
- [27] Nobili A, Lanzoni L, Tarantino AM. *Experimental investigation and monitoring of a polypropylene-based fiber reinforced concrete road pavement*. Construct. Build. Mater. 47 (2013) 888-895.
- [28] Popa, M., Corbu, O., Kiss, Z., Zagon, R. *Achieving Mixtures of Ultra-High Performance Concrete*. ConstructII 2013; 1, pp. 40 – 46
- [29] Ramadoss P., Nagamani K. *Tensile strength and durability characteristics of high-performance fiber reinforced concrete* . The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 33, 2B, 2008, pp.307 – 319
- [30] Ramli M, Dawood, E. *High-Strength Flowable Mortar Reinforced by Steel Fiber*. Slovak Journal of Civil Engineering 2011, 19(3), pp. 10 – 16
- [31] Rivera-Soto, P., Moser, R.D., McClelland, Z.B., Williams, B.A., Williams, S.L. *Thermal Processing and Alloys Selection to Modify Steel Fiber Performance in Ultra-High Performance Concrete*. First International Interactive Symposium on UHPC, 2016
- [32] Romualdi, J. P., Batson, G.B. *Mechanics of Crack Arrest in Concrete*. Journal of the Engineering Mechanics Division., ASCE, Vol. 89, No. EM3, June 1963, pp. 147-168.

- [33] Romualdi, J. P., Mandel, J. A. *Tensile strength of concrete affected by uniformly distributed closely spaced short lengths of wire reinforcement*. American Concrete Institute, K. Proc., 61(6), 1964, pp. 657 – 671
- [34] Sanal, I., Ozyurt, N., Hosseini, A. *Characterization of hardened state behavior of self compacting fiber reinforced cementitious composites (SC-FRCC's) with different beam sizes and fiber types*. Composites Part B, 105, (2016) 30–45.
- [35] Shah, S. P., Batson, G. B. *Fiber Reinforced Concrete Properties and Applications*. SP-105, American Concrete Institute, Detroit, 1987, 597 pp.
- [36] Shah, S. P., Skarendahl, A. *Steel Fiber Concrete*. Elsevier Applied Science Publishers, Ltd., 1986, 520 pp.
- [37] Shah, Surendra, P., Brandt, A.M., Ouyang, C., Baggot, R., Eibl, J., Glinicki, M.A., Krenchel, H., Lambrechts, A., Li, V.C., Mobasher, B., and Taerwe, L. *High Performance fibre reinforced cement composites* . High Performance Fiber Reinforced Cement Composites 2. Chapter 6 2 1996.
- [38] Simoes, T., Octavio, C., Valena, J., Costa, H., Dias-da-Costa, D., Jlio, E. *Influence of concrete strength and steel fibre geometry on the fibre/matrix interface*. Composites Part B 122 (2017) 156–164
- [39] Slater, E., Moni, M., Alam, M.S. *Predicting the shear strength of steel fiber reinforced concrete beams*. Construction and Building Materials, 2012, 26, pp. 423 – 436
- [40] Stroeven, P. *Morphometry of fibre reinforced cementitious materials, Part II: Inhomogeneity, segregation and anisometry of partially oriented fibre structures*. Materials and Structures 12 (1979) pp. 9 – 20.
- [41] Sumathi A., Saravana Raja Mohan K. *Study on the Strength and Durability Characteristics of High Strength Concrete with Steel Fibers* . International Journal of ChemTech Research, 8, 1, 2015, pp. 241 – 248
- [42] Tarantino AM. *Nonlinear fracture mechanics for an elastic Bell material*. Quart. J. of Mech. and Appl. Math. 50(3), 1997, 435-456.
- [43] Tarantino AM. *Homogeneous equilibrium configurations of a hyperelastic compressible cube under equitriaxial dead-load tractions* - Journal of Elasticity, 92, 2008, pp. 227-254.

- [44] Tjahjanto, D.D., Suiker, A.S.J., Turteltaub, S., Rivera Diaz del Castillo, P.E.J., van der Zwaag, S. *Micromechanical predictions of TRIP steel behavior as a function of microstructural parameters*. Computational Materials Science, Vol. 41, 2007, pp. 107 – 116
- [45] Yazıcı Ş, İnan G, Tabak V. *Effect of aspect ratio and volume fraction of steel fiber on the mechanical properties of SFRC*. Construction Building Material 2007;21:12503. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2006.05.025
- [46] Yoo, D.Y., Banthia, N., Yoon, Y.S. *Predicting service deflection of ultra-high-performance fiber reinforced concrete beams reinforced with GFRP bars*. Composites Part B, 99 (2016) 381–397
- [47] ACI Committee 544 *Revision of State-of-the-Art Report (ACI 544 TR-73) on Fiber Reinforced Concrete*. American Concrete Institute JOURNAL, Proceedings, Nov. 1973, Vol. 70, No. 11, pp. 727 – 744.
- [48] ACI Committee 544 *State-of-the-Art Report (ACI 544 1R-82(86)) on Fiber Reinforced Concrete*. American Concrete Institute JOURNAL, 1982, Detroit, MI, 22 pp.
- [49] ACI Committee 506 *State-of-the-Art Report (ACI 506 1R-84(89)) on Fiber Reinforced Shot-crete*. American Concrete Institute JOURNAL, Detroit, MI, 13 pp.
- [50] CNR-DT 204 *Guidelines for design, construction and production control of fiber reinforced concrete structures*. National Research Council of Italy, 2006
- [51] PrSIA 2052 *Béton fibré ultra-performant (BFUP)-Matériaux, dimensionnement et exécution* 2015-05
- [52] RILEM Technical Committee 19-FRC *Fibre Concrete Materials*. Materials and Structures, Test Tes., Vol. 10, No. 56, 1977, pp. 103 – 120.
- [53] UNI EN 206-1 *Concrete Part 1: Specification, performance, production and conformity*. 2006
- [54] UNI EN 12390-3 *Testing hardened concrete Compressive strength of test specimens*. 2003

- [55] UNI 11039-1 *Steel fibre reinforced concrete Definitions, classification and designation.* 2003
- [56] UNI 11039-2 *Steel fibre reinforced concrete Test method for determination of first crack strength and ductility indexes* 2003
- [57] UNI 11188 *Steel fibres reinforced concrete structural elements Design, execution and control.* 2007

List of Figures

1	Types of steel fibers: a) SF65, b) SF75, c) HF45, d) HF65, and e) HF85	4
2	Sample dimensions: a) cube sample, b) dog-bone sample	6
3	Zone monitored (in red) on the sample in the direct tensile test	6
4	Comparison of the sample's density	8
5	Distribution and orientation of steel fibers in a HPFRC dog-bone sample	8
6	Effect of l/d_e ratio and V_f on f_c	10
7	Parameters of the behavior of the HPFRC under direct tensile stress	11
8	Effect of l/d_e ratio and V_f on f_t	12
9	Effect of l/d_e ratio and V_f on f_{rt}	12
10	Effect of l/d_e ratio and V_f on SED	13
11	Models of compressive strength	16
12	Models of tensile strength	17
13	Models of residual tensile strength	18
14	a) Correlation between calculated and predicted $f_{c,SF}$ values, b) Correlation between calculated and predicted $f_{c,HF}$ values	19
15	a) Correlation between calculated and predicted $f_{t,SF}$ values, b) Correlation between calculated and predicted $f_{t,HF}$ values	19
16	a) Correlation between calculated and predicted $f_{rt,SF}$ values, b) Correlation between calculated and predicted $f_{rt,HF}$ values	20
17	a) Models of compressive strength, b) Models whose y-intercept value corresponds to the $f_{c,matrix}$ of the HPFRC investigated by the author	20
18	a) Models of tensile strength by using equation 13; b) Models of tensile strength by using equation 14	21