This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

TOWARDS A CALIBRATED LARGER FORAMINIFERA BIOSTRATIGRAPHIC ZONATION: CELEBRATING 18 YEARS OF THE APPLICATION OF SHALLOW BENTHIC ZONES / Papazzoni, Cesare Andrea; Ćosović, Vlasta; Briguglio, Antonino; Drobne, Katica. - In: PALAIOS. - ISSN 0883-1351. - STAMPA. - 32:1(2017), pp. 1-5. [10.2110/palo.2016.043]

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

15/01/2025 06:46

1	TOWARDS A CALIBRATED LARGER FORAMINIFERA BIOSTRATIGRAPHIC
2	ZONATION: CELEBRATING 18 YEARS OF THE APPLICATION OF SHALLOW
3	BENTHIC ZONES
4	
5	CESARE ANDREA PAPAZZONI ^{1*} , VLASTA ĆOSOVIĆ ² , and ANTONINO
6	BRIGUGLIO ³
7	
8	¹ University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Department of Chemical and Geological Sciences,
9	Via Campi 103, 41125 Modena, Italy, papazzoni@unimore.it
10	² Department of Geology, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Horvatovac 102a, Zagreb,
11	Croatia, vcosovic@geol.pmf.hr
12	³ Universiti Brunei Darussalam, Faculty of Science, Jalan Tungku, BE1410, Brunei
13	Darussalam, antonino.briguglio@ubd.edu.bn
14	*Corresponding author.
15	RRH: TOWARDS CALIBRATED SHALLOW BENTHIC ZONES
16	LLH: PAPAZZONI ET AL.
17	Keywords: Biostratigraphy, paleoenvironment, Cenozoic, Paleogene, paleobiogeography
18	
19	ABSTRACT
20	The Shallow Benthic Zonation is one of the most important achievements of biostratigraphy in the last
21	twenty years. Here we summarize the state of the art in the field of Larger Benthic Foraminifera (LBF)
22	and sketch the main lines of research that are improving the precision and usefulness of this scale. The
23	goal of updating the zonation requires a wealth of data coming not only from biostratigraphic
24	investigations but also from paleoenvironmental analyses, biological knowledge, rigorous taxonomic

26	contributions to this broad research program.
27	
28	This introductory note is dedicated to the memory of Lukas Hottinger (1933–2011).
29	
30	INTRODUCTION
31	Larger benthic foraminifera (LBF), a taxonomically heterogeneous group of
32	unicellular organisms, are characterized by their complex internal structures, endosymbiosis,
33	and large size. They have inhabited warm, shallow and oligotrophic tropical and subtropical
34	seas (Langer and Hottinger, 2000) since the late Paleozoic. Over this period, they achieved
35	great abundance and geographical distribution several times: fusulinids on Permian-
36	Carboniferous shelves; orbitolinids, alveolinids and orbitoids in Cretaceous platform systems;
37	and a variety of groups (alveolinids, nummulitids, complex miliolids, complex rotaliids,
38	orthophragmines, myogypsinids, and lepidocyclinids) in Cenozoic shallow seas. The last are
39	the focus of this note.
40	
41	PALEOECOLOGY AND BIOSTRATIGRAPHY OF LBF
42	LBF have been used since the 19 th century both for paleoenvironmental
43	reconstructions and for biostratigraphy. Their paleoenvironmental significance has been much
44	improved over the last three decades because understanding of their functional morphology
45	and ecological requirements has significantly increased, mainly through the study of living
46	representatives (e.g., Hottinger, 1983, 1997, 2006; Hallock, 1985; Lee and Hallock, 1987;
47	Hohenegger et al., 1999; Yordanova and Hohenegger, 2007). Nevertheless, further
48	investigations to elucidate the relationship among physical, chemical and biological factors
49	influencing the distribution and population dynamics of the different groups of LBF are still
50	needed.

determination, and understanding of paleobiogeography. The papers collected for this special issue are

25

Regarding biostratigraphy, LBF biozones have been of great importance for dating 51 shallow water carbonate deposits ever since they were first introduced. Even in recent years, 52 with the increasing importance of alternative stratigraphic methods, these biozones have 53 maintained their central role because in shallow water settings, geochemical signals are 54 usually affected by diagenetic bias, magnetostratigraphy often cannot be applied, and 55 planktonic index fossils are either scarce or absent. 56

Since the 1960s many studies have been carried out on the thick Mesozoic and 57 Cenozoic shallow-marine sequences in the Tethyan realm (Hottinger, 1960; Drobne, 1977; 58 Schaub, 1981; Less, 1987; Caus et al., 1996). As Pignatti (1998) underlined, shallow marine 59 60 sedimentation is strongly influenced by eustatic cycles, therefore intrinsically discontinuous. 61 The superposition of discrete intervals of rock with distinctive LBF assemblages has been observed and tested in several localities, allowing construction of a Cenozoic biozonal scheme 62 which has undergone no substantial changes over more than 50 years. 63

The calibration between LBF zones and plankton/nannoplankton zones is of prime 64 importance in order to evaluate the timing of ecosystem perturbations and revolutions. 65 Generally speaking, benthic foraminifera are closely controlled by environmental conditions 66 and characterized by a relatively slow evolutionary rate, strong facies dependence, and 67 68 provincialism. These limitations also apply to LBF, but the evolutionary rates are in this case much higher than for smaller foraminifera, allowing a time resolution to be achieved that is no 69 worse than plankton and nannoplankton biozones. If we look at the Paleogene, according to 70 71 Vandenberghe et al. (2012) there are 24 LBF biozones over about 43 Ma, with a mean duration of 1.79 Ma/biozone; for comparison, in the same time interval, there are 30 72 planktonic foraminiferal zones, with a mean duration of 1.43 Ma/biozone, and 24 (NP) or 19 73 (CP) nannoplankton zones, with mean durations of 1.79 and 2.26 Ma/biozone, respectively. 74

The Paleogene witnessed the evolution of the LBF from the small and simple K/Pg 75 76 survivors up to large and internally complex forms, which became really abundant from the Ypresian onwards, thereby giving the shallow marine facies of that time a special character 77 which is recognizable throughout the (Neo)Tethys. Paleogene shallow-marine limestones are 78 in fact regularly constituted of huge amounts of LBF tests over a vast area spanning the 79 Caribbean, the Mediterranean, the Near to Far East, and the eastern side of Africa. 80 81 82 THE SHALLOW BENTHIC ZONATION The taxonomic and stratigraphic revision of the most diverse groups of Paleogene 83 LBF (in particular nummulitids, alveolinids, orthophragmines) in the 1970s-1980s eventually 84 resulted in the Tethyan Shallow Benthic (SB) zonation (Cahuzac and Poignant, 1997; Serra-85 Kiel et al., 1998). This zonation scheme correlates shallow-water and pelagic sequences for 86 87 the Paleocene-Eocene Tethys and was mainly based on the extensive work on alveolinids, nummulitids and orthophragmines by Hottinger (1960), Drobne (1977), Schaub (1981), and 88 Less (1987). Hottinger and Drobne (1980) added to these groups some taxonomically 89 90 heterogeneous imperforate foraminifera which flourished in the shallowest facies of the 91 Tethyan realm. As previously mentioned, it is well known that the characteristic assemblages defining 92 the SB biozones are discontinuous, because sedimentation in shallow-marine environments 93

often coincides with transgressive phases separated from under- and over-laying deposits by
relatively long-lasted hiatuses. The SB biozones are in principle Oppel zones (Pignatti, 1998),
whose recognition is made possible by the contemporary presence of several key taxa, not
necessarily all of them. They are also inherently discontinuous, with boundaries subject to the
stratigrapher's judgement (Hedberg, 1976), therefore conceptually different from the
plankton/nannoplankton zones which are instead usually defined by the

100 appearance/disappearance of a few index taxa.

A different approach was adopted by Less (1987), who defined the orthophragmine 101 species/subspecies biometrically and built a continuous biozonation scale, with numbered 102 Orthophragmine Zones (OZ) where zonal boundaries are also defined biometrically. 103 The SB zones were applied to a quite large area, more or less coincident with the modern 104 Mediterranean, often referred to as Tethyan bioprovince. Sometimes the same scheme has 105 been used outside of this area, in the Near East and the Indian Ocean regions, but this 106 extension has never been tested properly. 107 Since the 1970s the correlation of the LBF zones with the nannoplankton/plankton 108 scales and successively with magnetostratigraphy has produced an an integrated scheme that 109

will eventually allow the LBF zones to be placed within the standard chronostratigraphic scale(e.g., Gradstein et al., 2012).

- 112
- 113

UPDATING THE SB ZONES

During the eighteen years since the appearance of the SB zonation, a wealth of data on the morphology, biostratigraphy, and paleogeography of Paleogene LBF became available,

116 leading to significant updates (Fig. 1):

Increasing the precision in determining boundaries and achieving further subdivision of the
 previous standard zones as results of biometric studies on different nummulitid genera such as
 Heterostegina (Less et al., 2008) and *Spiroclypeus* (Less and Ozcan, 2008) or through a
 multidisciplinary study of a section (Less et al., 2011; Zakrevskaya et al., 2011; Ozcan et al.,
 2009, 2014, 2015).

122 2) Increasing knowledge of the characteristic foraminiferal assemblages in standard biozones,

123 due to new studies on composition, ecology, and age attribution of regional faunas spanning

124 from the Pyrenean Basin, to the Adriatic-Apulian area, Greece, Eastern Africa, Turkey,

125 Oman, Pakistan, and Tibet (Benedetti et al., 2010, 2011; Cotton and Pearson, 2011, 2012;

Zhang et al., 2013; Accordi et al., 2014; Cotton et al., 2014, 2015; Drobne et al., 2014;
Kahsnitz et al., 2016).

3) New attempts at correlating the SB zones with isotope and magnetic stratigraphy and with
the standard plankton zones (Rodriguez-Pintó, 2012, 2013; Gebhardt et al., 2013; Egger et al.,
2013; Molina et al., 2016).

4) New studies of foraminiferal assemblages from the Peritethys (Crimea, Northern Caucasus
to Mangyschlak, Northern Peri-Aralian areas) and from the Caribbean region (Zakrevskaya,
2011; Molina et al., 2016).

5) New detailed studies of the systematics and inner structures of particular LBF groups, such
as rotaliids, larger miliolids, and ophtalmidids (Hottinger, 2009, 2014; Benedetti and
Briguglio, 2012; Benedetti, 2015; Briguglio et al., 2011, 2013, 2016).

These recent developments in systematics, isotopic geochemistry, and structural 137 analysis of the complex tests of LBF of the Paleogene in combination with progress in 138 biostratigraphy of shallow marine sediments, Cenozoic paleogeography, and paleoclimate, 139 suggest that it was an opportune time to present the SB zonation in a way that everyone may 140 easily get updated information about the species of this particular group of microfossils. In 141 order to obtain full appreciation of recent progress, an international informal group of 142 143 micropaleontologists (Workgroup On Larger Foraminifera, WOLF, acronym thanks to Andrea Benedetti, Antonino Briguglio, and Massimo di Carlo) working on Paleogene LBF 144 proposed to integrate all these data into a series of atlases. Traditionally, atlases are 145 146 considered the most useful tool for field geologists, regional stratigraphers, and paleontologists. After nine meetings of the WOLF (Ankara 2009, Miskolc 2010, 147 148 Buzet/Zagreb 2011, Vienna and Lipica 2012, Modena 2013, Gànt 2014, Graz 2015, and Leiden 2016), guidelines for the atlases, including a time-line, have been defined. The 149 updated taxonomy, paleoecology and biostratigraphy of the different Paleogene LBF 150

(including over 1150 recorded species) will be presented. It is planned to overcome
discrepancies in quantity and quality of data between the Central Tethys area (for which
monographs have existed since the late 19th century, and more recently from Turkey and the
Northern Peritethys) and the Near East Tethyan, Far East Tethyan and Caribbean
bioprovinces. This plan includes a revision of the main museum collections of LBF, and
expansion of the WOLF to involve micropaleontologists from these regions.

- 157
- 158

THE SPECIAL ISSUE

The subjects of the session "Towards a calibrated Larger Foraminifera 159 Biostratigraphic Zonation: newest results from Neotethys and beyond," held at the Strati 2015 160 Congress in Graz, reflect the broad nature of current studies on LBF. Among the specific 161 topics presented are: 1) biostratigraphy of LBF from different bioprovinces, from the 162 163 Caribbean, through the western (Pyrenean), central (Italy, Austria), and southern Tethys (Tunisia), moving to the Indo-Pacific realm (Pakistan); 2) correlation with other biozonations 164 and paleoenvironmental reconstructions over a wide time span, from the late Paleocene up to 165 the Chattian; 3) evolution of selected lineages of LBF (*Heterostegina*, reticulate *Nummulites*); 166 4) description of the first findings of some LBF in Peritethyan areas; 5) Sr stratigraphy of the 167 Oligocene – Miocene LBF; 6) application of X-ray microtomography (microCT) in studying 168 the complexity of the inner architecture of LBF tests; and 7) the most updated biometric 169 methods for investigating the characters useful for taxonomy and biostratigraphy of the LBF. 170 171 This special issue collects some of the results presented in Graz and is intended as an overview of the most recent developments in research about the Cenozoic LBF, as a step on 172 the path to producing an Atlas of Paleogene LBF. We would like to dedicate this introduction 173 to the memory of the late Prof. Lukas Hottinger, who expressed the aim to participate to this 174 project; every one of us benefited from his vast knowledge of the LBF and researchers will do 175 so well into future through his fundamental contributions to the field. 176

177

178

REFERENCES

179	ACCORDI, G., CARBONE, F., DI CARLO, M., AND PIGNATTI, J., 2014, Microfacies analysis of
180	deep-water breccia clasts: A tool for interpreting shallow- vs. deep-ramp Paleogene
181	sedimentation in Cephalonia and Zakynthos (Ionian Islands, Greece): Facies, v. 60, p. 445-
182	466.
183	BENEDETTI, A., 2015, The new family Ornatorotaliidae (Rotaliacea, Foraminiferida):
184	Micropaleontology, v. 61, p. 231-236.
185	BENEDETTI, A., AND BRIGUGLIO, A., 2012, Risananeiza crassaparies n. sp. from the Late
186	Chattian of Porto Badisco (southern Apulia): Bollettino della Società Paleontologica
187	Italiana, v. 51: 167-176.
188	BENEDETTI, A., DI CARLO, M., AND PIGNATTI, J., 2010, Embryo size variation in larger
189	foraminiferal lineages: Stratigraphy versus paleoecology in Nephrolepidina praemarginata
190	(R. Douvillé, 1908) from the Majella Mt. (Central Appennines): Journal of Mediterranean
191	Earth Sciences, v. 2, p. 19-29.
192	BENEDETTI, A., DI CARLO, M., AND PIGNATTI, J., 2011, New late Ypresian (Cuisian) rotaliids
193	(foraminiferida) from central and southern Italy and their biostratigraphic potential:
194	Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 20, p. 701-719.
195	BRIGUGLIO, A., HOHENEGGER, J., AND LESS, G., 2013, Paleobiological applications of three-
196	dimensional biometry on larger benthic foraminifera; a new route of discoveries: Journal of
197	Foraminiferal Research, v. 43, p. 67-82.
198	BRIGUGLIO, A., KINOSHITA, S., WOLFGRING, E., AND HOHENEGGER, J., 2016, Morphological
199	variations in Cycloclypeus carpenteri: multiple embryos and multiple equatorial layers:

200 Palaeontologia Electronica, v. 19.1.4A, p. 1-22.

- 201 BRIGUGLIO, A., METSCHER, B., AND HOHENEGGER, J., 2011, Growth Rate Biometric
- 202 Quantification by X-ray Microtomography on Larger Benthic Foraminifera: Three-
- 203 dimensional Measurements push Nummulitids into the Fourth Dimension: Turkish Journal
- 204 of Earth Science, v. 20, p. 683-699.
- 205 CAHUZAC, B., AND POIGNANT, A., 1997, Essai de biozonation de l'Oligo-Miocène dans les
- 206 bassins européens à l'aide des grands foraminifères néritiques: Bulletin de la Société
- 207 Géologique de France, v. 168, p. 155–169.
- 208 CAUS, E., BERNAUS, J.M., AND GOMEZ-GARRIDO, A., 1996, Biostratigraphic utility of species
- of the genus *Orbitoides*: Journal of Foraminiferal Research, v. 26, p. 124–136.
- 210 COTTON, L.J., AND PEARSON, P.N., 2011, Extinction of larger benthic foraminifera at the
- Eocene/Oligocene boundary: Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, v. 311,
 p. 281-296.
- COTTON, L.J., AND PEARSON, P.N., 2012, Larger benthic foraminifera from the middle Eocene
 to oligocene of Tanzania: Austrian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 105, p. 189-199.
- 215 COTTON, L.J., PEARSON, P.N., AND RENEMA, W., 2014, Stable isotope stratigraphy and larger
- 216 benthic foraminiferal extinctions in the Melinau Limestone, Sarawak: Journal of Asian
- Earth Sciences, v. 79, p. 65-71.
- 218 COTTON, L.J., PEARSON, P.N., AND RENEMA, W., 2015, A new Eocene lineage of reticulate
- 219 Nummulites (Foraminifera) from Kilwa district, Tanzania; a place for Nummulites
- ptukhiani?: Journal of Systematic Palaeontology. DOI:10.1080/14772019.2015.1079562
- 221 DROBNE, K., 1977, Alvéolines paléogènes de la Slovénie et de l'Istrie: Schweizerische
- Paläontologische Abhandlungen, v. 99, p. 1–175.
- 223 DROBNE, K., JEŽ, J., ĆOSOVIĆ, V., OGORELEC, B., STENNI, B., ZAKREVSKAYA, E., AND
- HOTTINGER, L., 2014, Identification of the Palaeocene–Eocene Boundary Based on Larger
- 225 Foraminifers in Deposits of the Palaeogene Adriatic Carbonate Platform, Southwestern

- 226 Slovenia, in ROCHA, R., PAIS, J., KULLBERG, JC., FINNEY, S., eds, Strati 2013, First
- 227 International congress on Stratigraphy, At the Cutting Edge of Stratigraphy, Springer
- International Publishing, Stuttgart, p. 89-93.
- 229 EGGER, H., BRIGUGLIO, A., RÖGL, F., AND DARGA, R., 2013, The basal Lutetian Transgression
- on the Tethyan shelf of the European craton (Adelholzen formation, Eastern Alps,
- 231 Germany): Newsletters on Stratigraphy, v. 46, p. 287-301. DOI: 10.1127/0078-
- 232 0421/2013/0035
- 233 GEBHARDT, H., ĆORIĆ, S., DARGA, R., BRIGUGLIO, A., SCHENK, B., WERNER, W., ANDERSEN,
- N., AND SAMES, B., 2013, Middle to Late Eocene paleoenvironmental changes in a marine
- transgressive sequence from the northern Tethyan margin (Adelholzen, Germany):
- Austrian Journal of Earth Science, v. 106, p. 45-72.
- GRADSTEIN, F.M., OGG, J.G., SMITH, A.G., AND OGG, G.M., 2012, The Geologic Time Scale
 2012: Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1144 p.
- 239 HALLOCK, P., 1985, Why are larger Foraminifera large?: Paleobiology, v. 11, p. 195–208.
- 240 HEDBERG, H.D., 1976, International Stratigraphic Guide A guide to stratigraphic
- classification, terminology, and procedure: John Wiley and Sons, New York, 200 p.
- 242 HOHENEGGER, J., YORDANOVA, E., NAKANO, Y., AND TATZREITER, E., 1999, Habitats of larger
- foraminifera on the upper reef slope of Sesoko Island, Okinawa, Japan: Marine
- 244 Micropaleontology, v. 36, p. 109–168.
- 245 HOTTINGER, L., 1960, Recherches sur les Alvéolines du Paléocène et de l'Éocène:
- 246 Schweizerische Paläontologische Abhandlungen, v. 75/76, p. 1–243.
- 247 HOTTINGER, L., 1983, Processes determining the distribution of larger foraminifera in space
- and time: Utrecht Micropaleontological Bulletins, v. 30, p. 239-253.

HOTTINGER, L., 1997, Shallow benthic foraminiferal assemblages as signals for depth of their
 deposition and their limitations: Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France, v. 168, p.

491–505.

- 252 HOTTINGER, L., 2006, Illustrated glossary of terms used in foraminiferal research: Carnets de
- 253 Géologie / Notebooks on Geology, Memoir, v. 2006/02, p. 1–126.
- 254 HOTTINGER, L., 2009, The Paleocene and earliest Eocene foraminiferal family
- 255 Miscellaneidae: neither nummulites nor rotaliids: Carnets de Géologie / Notebooks on
- 256 Geology, Article, v. 2009/06, p. 1–41.
- 257 HOTTINGER, L., 2014, Paleogene larger rotaliid foraminifera from the western and central
- 258 Neotethys. Springer International Publishing Switzerland, 196 pp.
- 259 HOTTINGER, L., AND DROBNE, K., 1980, Early Tertiary imperforate conical foraminifera.
- 260 Razprave IV razr. SAZU, 22, p. 187-276.
- 261 KAHSNITZ, M., ZHANG, Q., AND WILLEMS, H., 2016, Stratigraphic distribution of the Larger
- Benthic Foraminifera *Lockartia* in South Tibet (China): Journal of Foraminiferal Research,
 v. 46, p. 34-47.
- LANGER, M., AND HOTTINGER, L., 2000, Biogeography of selected "larger" foraminifera:
 Micropaleontology, v. 46, suppl. 1, p. 105-126.
- 266 LEE, J.J., AND HALLOCK, P., 1987, Algal Symbiosis as the Driving Force in the Evolution of
- Larger Foraminifera: Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, v. 503, 330-347.
- LESS, G., 1987, Paleontology and Stratigraphy of the European Orthophragminae: Geologica
- Hungarica series Paleontologica, v. 51, p. 1-373.
- 270 LESS, G., AND ÖZCAN, E., 2008, The late Eocene evolution of nummulitid foraminifer
- 271 *Spiroclypeus* in the Western Tethys: Acta Palaeontologica Polonica, v. 53, p. 303-316.
- 272 LESS, G., AND ÖZCAN, E., 2012, Bartonian-Priabonian larger benthic foraminiferal events in
- the Western Tethys: Austrian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 105, p. 129-140.

- 274 LESS, G., ÖZCAN, E., PAPAZZONI, C.A., AND STOCKAR, R., 2008, The middle to late Eocene
- 275 evolution of nummulitid foraminifer *Heterostegina* in the Western Tethys: Acta

Palaeontologica Polonica, v. 53, p. 317-350.

- 277 LESS, G., ÖZCAN, E., AND OKAY, A.I., 2011, Stratigraphy and Larger Foraminifera of the
- 278 Middle Eocene to Lower Oligocene Shallow-Marine Units in the Northern and Eastern
- 279 Parts of the Thrace Basin, NW Turkey: Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 20, p. 793-

280 845.

- 281 MOLINA, E., TORRES-SILVA, A.I., CORIC, S., AND BRIGUGLIO, A., 2016, Integrated
- biostratigraphy across the Eocene/Oligocene boundary at Noroña, Cuba, and the question
- of the orthophragminids extinction: Newsletters on stratigraphy, v. 49, p. 27-40.
- 284 ÖZCAN, E., ABBASI, I.A., DROBNE, K., GOVINDAN, A., JOVANE, L., AND BOUKHALFA, K., 2015,
- Early Eocene orthophragminids and alveolinids from the Jafnayn Formation, N Oman:
- 286 Significance of *Nemkovella stockari* Less & Özcan, 2007 in Tethys: Geodinamica Acta, v.
- 287 28, p. 160-184. DOI:10.1080/09853111.2015.1107437
- 288 ÖZCAN, E., LESS, G., BÁLDI-BEKE, M., KOLLÁNYI, K., AND ACAR, F., 2009, Oligo-Miocene
- for a miniferal record (Miogypsinidae, Lepidocyclinidae and Nummulitidae) from the
- 290 Western Taurides (SW Turkey): Biometry and implications for the regional geology:
- Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, v. 34, p. 740-760.
- 292 ÖZCAN, E., SCHEIBNER, C., AND BOUKHALFA, K., 2014, Orthophragminids (foraminifera)
- across the Paleocene-Eocene transition from North Africa: Taxonomy, biostratigraphy, and
- 294 paleobiogeographic implications: Journal of Foraminiferal Research, v. 44, p. 203-229.
- 295 PIGNATTI, J., 1998, The philosophy of larger foraminiferal biozonation a discussion: Dela
- 296 Opera SAZU razr 4, v. 34, p. 15-20.
- 297 RODRÍGUEZ-PINTÓ, A., PUEYO, E.L., SERRA-KIEL, J., SAMSÓ, J.M., BARNOLAS, A., AND
- 298 POCOVÍ, A., 2012, Lutetian magnetostratigraphic calibration of larger foraminifera

- 299 zonation (SBZ) in the Southern Pyrenees: The Isuela section: Palaeogeography,
- 300 Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, v. 333-334, p. 107-120.
- 301 RODRÍGUEZ-PINTÓ, A., PUEYO, E.L., SERRA-KIEL, J., BARNOLAS, A., SAMSÓ, J.M., AND
- 302 POCOVÍ, A., 2013, The Upper Ypresian and Lutetian in San Pelegrín section (Southwestern
- 303 Pyrenean Basin): Magnetostratigraphy and larger foraminifera correlation:
- ³⁰⁴ Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 370, p. 13-29.
- 305 SCHAUB, H., 1981, Nummulites et Assilines de la Téthys paléogène. Taxinomie, phylogenèse
- et biostratigraphie: Schweizerische Paläontologische Abhandlungen, v. 104-106, p. 1-236.
- 307 SERRA-KIEL, J., HOTTINGER, L., CAUS, E., DROBNE, K., FERRÀNDEZ, C., JAUHRI, A.K., LESS,
- 308 G., PAVLOVEC, R., PIGNATTI, J., SAMSÓ, J.M., SCHAUB, H., SIREL, E., STROUGO, A.,
- 309 TAMBAREAU, Y., TOSQUELLA, J., AND ZAKREVSKAYA, E., 1998, Larger foraminiferal
- 310 biostratigraphy of the Tethyan Paleocene and Eocene: Bulletin de la Société Géologique de
- 311 France, v. 169, p. 281–299.
- 312 VANDENBERGHE, N., HILGEN, F.J., AND SPEIJER, R.P., 2012, The Paleogene Period, in
- Gradstein, F.M., Ogg, J.G., Smith, A.G., Ogg, G.M., eds., The Geologic Time Scale 2012,
- Elsevier, Amsterdam, p. 855–921.
- 315 YORDANOVA, E., AND HOHENEGGER, J., 2007, Studies on settling, traction and entrainment of
- 316 larger benthic foraminiferal tests: implications for accumulation in shallow marine
- 317 sediments: Sedimentology, v. 54, p. 1273–1306.
- 318 ZAKREVSKAYA, E.Y., 2011. New larger foraminifers from the Eocene of the Crimea (Ukraine)
- and Mangyshlak (Western Kazakhstan): Paleontological Journal, v. 45, p. 483-493.
- 320 ZAKREVSKAYA, E., BENIAMOVSKY, V., LESS, G., AND BÁLDI-BEKE, M., 2011, Integrated
- 321 biostratigraphy of Eocene deposits in the Gubs section (northern Caucasus) with special
- 322 attention to the Ypresian/Lutetian boundary and to the Peritethyan-Tethyan correlation:
- Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 20, p. 753-792.

- 324 ZHANG, Q., WILLEMS, H., AND DING, L., 2013, Evolution of the Paleocene-Early Eocene
- 325 larger benthic foraminifera in the Tethyan Himalaya of Tibet, China: International Journal
- 326 of Earth Sciences, v. 102, p. 1427-1445.

- 327 **FIGURE 1** Stratigraphic zonation of the Paleocene and Eocene (after Vandenberghe et al.,
- 328 2012, modified). Numbers on boundaries of updated SBZ and OZ zonations (right-most
- 329 columns) indicate: 1: magnetostratigraphic boundaries as proposed by Rodriguez-Pintó et al.
- 330 (2012); 2: magnetostratigraphic boundaries as proposed by Rodriguez-Pintó et al. (2013); 3:
- boundaries as proposed by Serra-Kiel et al. (1998); 4: boundaries as proposed by Özcan et al.
- 332 (2014) by correlations with NP and P zones; 5: zones of uncertain boundaries as proposed by
- 333 Rodriguez-Pintó et al. (2012); 6: Orthophragmine Zone (OZ) boundaries as proposed by Less
- and Özcan (2012).