

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Durability assessment of impregnated Glass Fabric Reinforced Cementitious Matrix (GFRCM) composites in the alkaline and saline environments / Nobili, Andrea. - In: CONSTRUCTION AND BUILDING MATERIALS. - ISSN 0950-0618. - STAMPA. - 105:(2016), pp. 465-471. [10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.12.173]

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

19/12/2025 03:01

Durability assessment of impregnated Glass Fabric Reinforced Cementitious Matrix (GFRCM) composites in the alkaline and saline environments

A. Nobili^{a,*}

^a*Dipartimento di Ingegneria Enzo Ferrari, via Vignolese 905, 41125 Modena, Italy*

Abstract

In this paper, the effect of the alkaline and of the saline environments on prismatic specimens of impregnated alkali-resistant Glass Fabric Reinforced Cementitious Matrix (GFRCM) coupons is investigated. Two types of mortar are considered as representative of a mid-high performance or fine-texture matrix. Coupons are manufactured, cured for 28 days and then submerged in the alkaline or saline solution at constant temperature in a climatic chamber for 1000 hours (aging). Specimens in the control group are retained in the laboratory environment. Mechanical performance of the aged coupons is assessed through tensile testing. A Digital Image Correlation (DIC) system is used to measure the actual specimen deformation. Ultimate strength and elongation, uncracked and cracked matrix elastic moduli, turning point location and failure mechanisms are determined and compared with the control group's through a variance analysis. Statistical support is found for an important reduction in the ultimate strength and elongation, owing to mortar degradation. This result is confirmed by a similar analysis carried out on the single components (mortars and glass fabric) of the composite. Mortar degradation affects failure through favoring a less desirable fabric slip mechanism, as opposed to fabric rupture.

Keywords: Durability, Fabric reinforced Cementitious Material, Alkaline and Saline environment, Impregnated Glass Fiber

*Corresponding author

Email address: andrea.nobili@unimore.it (A. Nobili)

1. Introduction

Fabric Reinforced Cementitious Matrix (FRCM) represents a promising new technology for structural rehabilitation, repair and reinforcing. It differs from the more established Fiber Reinforced Polymer (FRP) matrix composite system in that the matrix is cementitious and therefore highly brittle, as opposed to the ductile polymeric matrix. Although a brittle matrix has a generally negative bearing on the overall mechanical performance, it lends a number of attractive features, such as greater material compatibility with the existing structures, with special regard to cultural heritage (here, for the best practice, the matrix should be tailored on the application), water vapor permeability and fire resistance capability. Furthermore, cementitious matrix relies on a consolidated support from existing building codes and draws from a vast body of experience in production, design and acceptance [15, 11]. FRCM also distinguishes itself from Fiber Reinforced Concrete (FRC) for fibre reinforcing is spatially arranged in a textile and not randomly dispersed in the matrix [13, 9, 14]. In this respect, FRCM resembles Textile Reinforced Concrete (TRC), the main difference being the adoption of mineral mortar instead of concrete for the matrix. The adoption of FRCM confining on cylindrical concrete specimen under compression at high temperature is considered in [19] where a comparison is drawn with carbon FRP systems. It is shown that, conversely to FRCM systems, a small temperature change plays a major role in the performance degradation of FRP. Durability analysis of FRP systems are largely available in the literature in terms of externally bonded material [5], concrete column confining [18] rods [12] and many more [16]. The same abundance cannot be advocated for FRCM composite systems. In [4, 3], durability of FRCM coupons is investigated through tensile testing under a wide array of environmental exposure conditions and a surprising, yet statistically irrelevant, positive effect is found for different mechanical parameters. Besides, [4] provides a nice general introduction to FRCM composite systems.

30 In this durability analysis, the effect of the alkaline and of the saline en-
 31 vironment on the mechanical performance of four types of resin impregnated
 32 Glass Fabric Reinforced Cementitious Matrix (GFRCM) laminates is investi-
 33 gated. The performance degradation of the single constituent materials is also
 34 investigated to better identify the vulnerable elements in the composite. Two
 35 types of commercially available mortar, coded B and M, and one alkali-resistant
 36 glass fabric (ar-GF) are considered. The fabric is impregnated with a tixotropic
 37 polymeric resin, which promotes adhesion with the matrix. Exposure environ-
 38 ments and the aging procedure follow¹ the recommendations in [2]. Three types
 39 of mechanical tests are employed, namely

- 40 • traction of thin prismatic composite specimens (named coupons) with
- 41 wedge type clamps;
- 42 • traction of the ar-glass fabric;
- 43 • three-point bending test of the mortars.

44 In the first group of tests, the composite is fitted with carbon fabric tabs glued
 45 to the coupon ends through epoxy resin to prevent brittle failure under the
 46 pressure exerted by the clamps (cfr. [7]). It is observed that the nature of
 47 the restraining device has an important bearing on the measured values of the
 48 mechanical properties (cfr. the discussion in [6] for TRC), which can be safely
 49 compared only under homogeneous testing conditions. However, given that in
 50 this study spotlight is set on a comparative assessment, results should take on a
 51 broad validity. The second and third group of tests are aimed at characterizing
 52 the ar-glass fabric and the mortars, respectively. All materials are commer-
 53 cially available and have been employed in the reinforcing of actual engineering
 54 structures, including cultural heritage sites.

¹As in the current interpretation of the forthcoming Italian regulation.

Characteristic	Unit	M	B
Mean compression strength after 28 days	[MPa]	5.5	6.5
Mean flexural strength after 28 days	[MPa]	2	3
Support adhesion strength after 28 days	[MPa]	0.5	1
Water content	[-]	20%	23%
Aggregate maximum size	[mm]	0.5	0.7
Longitudinal elastic modulus	[GPa]	7	11
Water vapor permeability μ	[-]	10	12

Table 1: Mortars M and B properties

Characteristic	Unit	Value
Specific weight per unit fabric area	[g/cm ²]	220
Fabric square mesh size	[mm]	12
Glass fibre cross-sectional area per unit length	[mm ² /cm]	0.4
Ultimate strength in the principal directions (impregnated)	[MPa]	800
Young modulus in the principal directions (impregnated)	[GPa]	41

Table 2: Mechanical properties of the impregnated glass fibre fabric

55 2. Materials and method

56 All specimens considered in this analysis are manufactured using two types of
57 commercially available mortars, named mortar B and M, whose main properties
58 are presented in Tab.1. They are here taken as representative of a mid-high
59 performance (mortar B) or fine-texture (mortar M) matrix. B mortar is rich in
60 hydrated lime and pozzolan while mortar M is constituted by air-hardening lime,
61 pozzolan and marble sand. They both contain glass micro-fibres and binder may
62 be regarded as only partially hydraulic.

63 Reinforcing is provided by an alkali-resistant glass fibre fabric (ar-GF) with
64 a square mesh (bi-axial reinforcing). Fabric is impregnated by a polymeric resin
65 and its properties are listed in Tab.2.

66 2.1. Traction test characterization

67 Prismatic coupons (Fig.1) of resin impregnated 1-ply GFRCM are obtained
68 in a four-stage procedure:

- 69 1. a first 3 mm thick mortar layer is laid onto the bottom part of a two-piece
70 polyethylene formwork;




Figure 1: Composite coupon geometry




Figure 2: Fabric reinforcement laying out

- 71 2. the resin impregnated glass fabric reinforcement is laid on top of the mor-
72 tar layer (Fig.2), care is taken to prevent air bubbles being trapped under
73 the fabric;
- 74 3. the bottom part of the polyethylene formwork is surmounted by the top
75 frame, which provides room for the top mortar layer;
- 76 4. a second and final 3 mm thick mortar layer is laid in between the arms of
77 the surmounting formwork;
- 78 5. after curing and exposition to the aggressive environment, coupons are
79 fitted at the end surfaces with carbon fiber fabric tabs to prevent fragile
80 rupture due to the wedge grip contact force. Tabs are glued to the coupons
81 with epoxy resin.

82 Coupon geometry is according to Tab.3.

83 At all stages, the polyethylene formwork was lubricated to ease safe stripping.
84 Stripping could be safely performed through disassembling the formwork. This
85 procedure avoids cutting from a larger sheet, which may significantly damage
86 the brittle matrix and alter the stress condition. Besides, it affords greater

b	L	h'	h
50	400	3	6

Table 3: Coupon dimensions [mm]




Figure 3: Bending test setup (dimensions are in [mm], cf.[1])

accuracy over the fabric placing (Fig.2). On the overall, 30 composite 1-ply GFRM coupons have been fabricated for tensile testing, in batches of 5 for the alkaline, saline and control groups.

Coupons have been cured for 28-days in the laboratory environment and then either submerged for 1000 hours (≈ 42 days) in the alkaline or saline solution at a constant temperature of $23 \pm 1^\circ \text{C}$ in the climatic chamber for the alkaline or saline group, respectively, or retained in the laboratory environment for the control group ($20 \pm 1^\circ \text{C}$). The alkaline environment is a sodium bicarbonate solution with a PH level of 10. The saline environment is a 3.5% sodium chloride solution, which is the world's ocean seawater average salinity.

2.2. Three-point bending test characterization

Three-point bending tests are carried out according to [1] on homogeneous mortar specimens. Specimens are prismatic with a 40 mm side square cross-section and they are 160 mm long (Fig.3). Specimens are cast in a high precision machined stainless steel form and cured in the laboratory environment for 28 days. Forms were lubricated to ease specimen stripping. Once stripped, specimens are divided in the reference, saline and alkaline groups, each comprising of 5 elements, which are maintained in the relevant environment for 1000 hours, together with the corresponding coupons, in the same solution in the climatic chamber.




Figure 4: DIC measured coupon elongation curves (solid) vs. theoretical (dashed)




Figure 5: Mean DIC measured coupon elongation (dashed), linear interpolation (solid) vs. theoretical (fine dashed)

3. Traction test results

Traction was performed on an Instron 5567 machine equipped with a 30 kN load cell and pneumatic wedge grips. Traction occurred at a constant elongation rate of 0.5 mm/min. A stereoscopic 3 Mpixel Dantec Dynamics Q-400 Digital Imaging Correlation (DIC) system could efficiently measure the 3D displacement field over one specimen surface during testing at a maximum sampling rate of 15 Hz. Knowledge of the displacement field on the specimen surface in the neighborhood of the wedge grips allowed subtracting from the overall displacement measured by the traction machine the rigid body contribution due to the wedge grip elongation. Fig.4 shows the elongation measured by the DIC system for different specimens and the theoretical curve reproduced by the traction machine. It is clear that a big difference may exist, which has a deep influence on the evaluation of the elastic moduli. The stress vs. traction diagram hereinafter presented are thus deprived of the grip elongation contribution. Fig.5




Figure 6: Mean tensile strength curve (dashed) and one standard deviation band (dotted) for the saline group, mortar B, vs. control (solid)




Figure 7: Mean tensile strength curve (dashed) and one standard deviation band (dotted) for the alkaline group, mortar B, vs. control (solid)

121 shows the mean DIC elongation curve (with the one standard deviation band)
 122 and its linear interpolant compared to the theoretical curve: as expected, a
 123 growing difference is found between the two.

124 3.1. Traction strength curves

125 Fig.6 shows the mean tensile strength curve for the saline and the control
 126 groups, for mortar B. As customary, the tensile strength is obtained through
 127 dividing the traction load by the specimen *fabric* cross-sectional area A_f (cfr.
 128 Tab.2). Displacement is net of the wedge grip rigid body elongation. The mean
 129 strength μ is supplemented by the one standard deviation band curves $\mu \pm \sigma$,
 130 where σ is the sample estimated standard deviation [10]. As well-known, for
 131 a normally distributed population, the percentage of values that lie within a
 132 band around the mean μ with a width of one standard deviation σ is 68.27%.
 133 Likewise, Fig.7 shows the coupon traction test mean strength curve for the
 134 alkaline and the control groups with the relative standard deviations.




Figure 8: Mean tensile strength curve (dashed) and one standard deviation band (dotted) for the saline group, mortar M, vs. control (solid)




Figure 9: Mean tensile strength curve (dashed) and one standard deviation band (dotted) for the alkaline group, mortar M, vs. control (solid)

135 Figs.8 and 9 plot the mean strength and the one standard deviation band
 136 for the saline and the alkaline groups, respectively, as compared to the control
 137 group, for the mortar type M. Tab.4 gathers the mean ultimate tensile strength
 138 f_{fu} and elongation ϵ_{fu} , with the corresponding absolute and relative standard
 139 deviation. Such values are illustrated in the bar charts of Figs.10 and 11, re-
 140 spectively for the ultimate tensile strength and ultimate elongation. It appears
 141 that exposition to the aggressive environment negatively affects the ultimate
 142 mechanical performance as well as the amplitude of the population standard
 143 deviation. This negative effect on the tensile strength is far more pronounced
 144 for the saline environment and the M mortar. The statistical significance of such
 145 degradation is discussed in Sec.5. The same outcome is seen for the ultimate
 146 elongation although its determination is generally less accurate. The standard
 147 deviation band for the ultimate elongation in the alkaline group and mortar M
 148 is remarkably narrow.

Mortar	Group	Mean f_{fu} [MPa]	Std dev		Mean ϵ_{fu} [-]	Std dev	
			abs [MPa]	rel [-]		abs [-]	rel [-]
M	ctr	782	58	7.4%	1.60%	0.30%	18.75%
	salt	697	175	25.1%	0.95%	0.32%	33.68%
	alk	701	110	15.7%	0.81%	0.04%	4.94%
B	ctr	873	87	10.0%	1.63%	0.29%	17.79%
	salt	746	89	11.9%	1.19%	0.48%	40.34%
	alk	778	112	14.4%	1.43%	0.49%	34.27%

Table 4: Ultimate tensile strength f_{fu} and ultimate tensile elongation ϵ_{fu} with the absolute and relative standard deviation




Figure 10: Mean ultimate strength and one standard deviation band





Figure 11: Mean ultimate elongation and one standard deviation band



(a) Fabric failure



(b) Fabric slip

149 3.2. Failure mechanism

150 Failure occurs according to two principal collapse mechanisms for the glass
 151 fabric reinforcement: either rupture or slip in the matrix, respectively Fig.12a
 152 and 12b. The failure mechanism is clearly detected by the DIC system, for in the
 153 fabric rupture scenario the crack pattern begins with diffuse micro-cracks and it
 154 slowly localizes in a final macro-crack (Fig.13). Conversely, the slip mechanism
 155 takes place in a single macro-crack which develops at the separation line between
 156 the parting fragments. The occurrence of one or the other failure mechanism
 157 largely depends on the ratio between the fabric to matrix adhesion strength
 158 and the fabric ultimate load. Indeed, fabric failure is almost ubiquitous for the
 159 control group, owing to the improved adhesion given by the impregnated fabric,
 160 while slippage appears more frequent where the mechanical performance loss
 161 due to aging is larger.

162 3.3. Uncracked and cracked matrix longitudinal elastic moduli

163 The mean strength curves, corrected to take into account the wedge grip rigid
 164 body elongation, may be used to determine the longitudinal elastic modulus for
 165 the uncracked, E_1 , and the cracked, E_2 , matrix. Both moduli are really secant
 166 as they are obtained according to the formula [3]

$$E = \frac{f_2 - f_1}{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1}. \quad (1)$$

167 The location of points 2 and 1 differs whether E_1 or E_2 is sought as given in
 168 Tab.5.




Figure 13: DIC displacement [mm] color map for a fabric failure mechanism




Figure 14: Uncracked and cracked matrix elastic moduli E_1 and E_2

Modulus	Point 2	Point 1
E_1	10%	0
E_2	90%	60%

Table 5: Reference points for the evaluation of moduli E_1 and E_2 as a fraction of the ultimate strength f_{fu}

Mortar	Group	E_1^* [GPa]	E_1 [GPa]	E_2 [GPa]	ϵ_{Tp} [μ strain]	f_{Tp} [MPa]
B	ctr	13	2055	35	132	270
	salt	15	2224	36	124	276
	alk	14	2174	38	111	241
M	ctr	6	960	40	142	137
	salt	12	1886	57	131	247
	alk	12	1902	46	131	249

Table 6: Moduli E_1^* , E_1 and E_2 and turning point (Tp) location

169 The uncracked and cracked matrix moduli describe the mechanical stiffness
170 before and after the cracking of the brittle matrix: in the former regime the
171 mortar stiffness dominates, owing to its great cross-sectional area A , while in
172 the latter the fabric stiffness takes up the leading role. Accordingly, the modulus
173 E_1^* is introduced

$$E_1^* = E_1 \frac{A_f}{A},$$

174 where A_f and A are the fabric and the specimen cross-sectional area, respec-
175 tively. As expected, the elastic modulus E_1^* resembles the matrix elastic mod-
176 ulus, as reported in Tab.1. Different behaviors are possible in the transition
177 between the two regimes, which takes place in the neighborhood of the turning
178 point Tp . The latter is simply defined as the intersection of the lines passing
179 through the points 1 and 2 for the uncracked and cracked regimes. Tab.6 gath-
180 ers the numerical values for the moduli and the turning point (Tp) location
181 (Fig.14).

182 4. Single component results

183 To trace the degradation effect on the single component materials, traction
184 tests have been carried out on the glass fabric (impregnated and dry) and bend-
185 ing tests on the mortar matrix for the alkaline and the saline environment groups
186 as well as the control group.

187 4.1. Mortar degradation

188 Bending tests were carried out on mortar prismatic specimens (40 by 40 mm
189 cross-section, 160 mm length) with the same Instron machine in a three-point




Figure 15: Three-point bending test for mortar B




Figure 16: Three-point bending test for mortar M

190 bending setup (Fig.3) at a displacement rate of 1 mm/min. Specimens were
 191 gathered in the control, saline and alkaline groups, 5 specimens apiece. Figs.15
 192 and 16 show the force/displacement curve for mortar B and M, respectively. It
 193 is clearly seen that aging has a strong detrimental effect both on the ultimate
 194 strength and on the standard deviation amplitude. The bar chart of Fig.17
 195 better focus attention on the ultimate load. The usual brittle failure mechanism
 196 at mid-span is found.




Figure 17: Ultimate load in three-point bonding tests




Figure 18: Traction tests for the glass fabric (dry)




Figure 19: Glass fabric ultimate load

197 4.2. Glass fabric degradation

198 Similarly, traction tests were carried out on the glass fiber fabric, again in
 199 the control, alkaline and saline groups, 5 specimens per group. Fig.18 shows
 200 the mean and the one standard deviation band for each group, at the usual
 201 elongation rate of 0.5 mm/min. Ultimate values are gathered in the bar chart
 202 of Fig.19. Clearly, there is little statistical difference in the performance of the
 203 different groups. Results support the well know fact that ar-glass fabric is a
 204 durable reinforcing element in the cementitious matrix. It is perhaps worth
 205 mentioning that the standard deviation of the control group is significantly
 206 greater than that of the aged specimens, which appear unexpectedly low. While,
 207 in this instance, the outcome is most likely due to statistical reasons (too small
 208 a population), it is worth pointing out that tensile testing of fabric is usually
 209 carried out on impregnated, as opposed to dry, fabric, on the grounds that
 210 it gives much more consistent results. Besides, ar-glass fabric still exhibits
 211 a complex pattern of performance decay in the alkaline environment of the

Null hypothesis	F	P
Ultimate traction strength for mortar B \in s.p.	1.586	25.2%
Ultimate traction strength for mortar M \in s.p.	0.4540	64.8%
Ultimate traction strength for glass fabric (dry) \in s.p.	0.5927	58.2%
Ultimate bending force for mortar B \in s.p.	17.47	0.1%
Ultimate bending force for mortar M \in s.p.	26.73	0.0%

Table 7: ANOVA test results: F – Fisher-Snedecor ratio, P – Probability confidence, s.p. – same population. The null hypothesis is rejected whenever $F > 1$

212 cementitious matrix, which is highly sensitive to the pH level [17]. Although such
213 pattern is discernible in our experiments, it has here no statistical appreciation.

214 5. Analysis of Variance

215 The statistical significance of the experimental data can be assessed with a
216 one-way ANalysis of Variance (ANOVA) scheme. For a thorough discussion of
217 the ANOVA test (test of significance), see the classic [10, §8.3], while an easy-
218 to-use online resource may be found in [8]. Tab.7 shows the F ratio (Fisher-
219 Snedecor ratio) and the probability confidence P that a given null hypothesis be
220 true. The null hypothesis is that a given set of data, which contains results from
221 the control, saline and alkaline groups, really belongs to the same population,
222 which means that no statistical difference is found between the groups (e.g. the
223 *variance between* is small compared to the *variance within* the groups). In loose
224 terms, P expresses the confidence level that a deviation from the mean is really
225 due to a statistical effect, i.e. it is due to unfortunate sampling within the *same*
226 population. In particular, a low P value is a strong indication of a genuine
227 population difference.

228 6. Discussion

229 The results of the ANOVA test give very strong support for a performance
230 degradation of the ultimate bending force for mortars B and M and high sup-
231 port for ultimate tensile strength degradation for coupons with mortar B matrix.
232 Conversely, weak support is given to ultimate tensile strength degradation for
233 coupons with mortar M matrix and for the glass fabric. In general, the small

performance degradation of the fabric reinforcement accounts for the coupon ultimate strength degradation being smaller than the matrix's. As already pointed out, a higher number of specimens in the groups (bigger population size) is required to statistically accept or reject the null hypothesis for the M mortar and the glass fabric.

7. Conclusions

In this paper, the experimental results for tensile characterization of aged impregnated alkali resistant glass Fabric Reinforced Cementitious Matrix (FRCM) composite coupons are presented. Focus is set on the relative performance decay due to the aggressive environments and to which components, within the composite, such decay is mostly due. A Digital Image Correlation (DIC) system is adopted to deprive the theoretical elongation ramp from the wedge grip elongation, thus obtaining the net rate of coupon deformation. Two types of mortar are employed, named B and M, representative of a high-performance and fine-texture matrix, respectively. Coupons are divided into three groups: for the saline and alkaline groups they are submerged in the relevant solution for 1000 hours at controlled temperature in a climatic chamber, the third group being the control (laboratory environment). To track down the effect of the aggressive environments on the single constituent materials, dry glass fabric and prismatic bars of mortar are also exposed to the same solutions as the coupons. Results are presented in terms of tensile strength curves, ultimate tensile strength and ultimate elongation, cracked and uncracked elastic moduli, turning point location, bending force curves, ultimate bending load. As expected, the uncracked matrix modulus resembles the matrix modulus and the cracked matrix modulus the glass fabric longitudinal elastic modulus. A general performance loss is met, which is particularly clear for the mortar bending tests. Conversely, little performance decay is found for the alkali resistant glass fabric. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) is carried out to determine the statistical significance of the results. It provides very strong support for a performance degradation of the ultimate bending force for mortars B and M and high support for ultimate tensile

264 strength degradation for coupons with mortar B. Most interestingly, such per-
265 formance decay sharply affects the failure mechanism. Indeed, failure is always
266 ascribed to fabric rupture in the control group, while it drifts towards fabric
267 slippage and delamination in the aged specimens.

268 **Acknowledgments**

269 This study was conducted in collaboration with Ardea Progetti e Sistemi
270 Srl, Bologna, Italy. Financial support from the Fondazione Cassa di Risparmio
271 di Modena, Pratica Sime nr.2013.0662, is gratefully acknowledged. The author
272 is also grateful to Chiara Talami and Stefano Menozzi, who have patiently at-
273 tended at the correct deployment of the aging process in the climatic chamber.

274 [1] UNI EN 1015-11, determinazione della resistenza a flessione e a compres-
275 sione della malta indurita. Technical report, UNI, 2007.

276 [2] ICC AC434. Acceptance criteria for masonry and concrete strengthen-
277 ing using fiber-reinforced cementitious matrix (FRCM) composite systems.
278 *ICC-Evaluation Service, Whittier, CA*, 2013.

279 [3] D. Arboleda. *Fabric Reinforced Cementitious Matrix (FRCM) Compos-*
280 *ites for Infrastructure Strengthening and Rehabilitation: Characterization*
281 *Methods*. PhD thesis, University of Miami, 2014. Open Access Dissertation.
282 Paper 1282.

283 [4] D. Arboleda, S. Babaeidarabad, C. DL Hays, and A. Nanni. Durability
284 of fabric reinforced cementitious matrix (FRCM) composites. CICE 2014,
285 2014. Vancouver, 20-22 August 2014.

286 [5] J.R. Cromwell, K.A. Harries, and B.M. Shahrooz. Environmental dura-
287 bility of externally bonded FRP materials intended for repair of concrete
288 structures. *Construction and Building Materials*, 25(5):2528–2539, 2011.

289 [6] J. Hartig, F. Jesse, K. Schicktanz, and U. Häußler-Combe. Influence of ex-
290 perimental setups on the apparent uniaxial tensile load-bearing capacity of

- 291 textile reinforced concrete specimens. *Materials and structures*, 45(3):433–
292 446, 2012.
- 293 [7] J. Hegger, N. Will, O. Bruckermann, and S. Voss. Load-bearing behaviour
294 and simulation of textile reinforced concrete. *Materials and structures*,
295 39(8):765–776, 2006.
- 296 [8] T.W. Kirkman. Statistics to use. <http://www.physics.csbsju.edu/stats/anova.html>,
297 1996.
- 298 [9] L. Lanzoni, A. Nobili, and A.M. Tarantino. Performance evaluation of a
299 polypropylene-based draw-wired fibre for concrete structures. *Construction*
300 *and Building Materials*, 28(1):798–806, 2012.
- 301 [10] J. Mandel. *The statistical analysis of experimental data*. Courier Corpora-
302 tion, 2012.
- 303 [11] P. K. Mehta and P. JM Monteiro. *Concrete: microstructure, properties,*
304 *and materials*, volume 3. McGraw-Hill New York, 2006.
- 305 [12] F. Micelli and A. Nanni. Durability of FRP rods for concrete structures.
306 *Construction and Building materials*, 18(7):491–503, 2004.
- 307 [13] B. Mobasher. *Mechanics of fiber and textile reinforced cement composites*.
308 CRC press, 2011.
- 309 [14] A. Nobili, L. Lanzoni, and A.M. Tarantino. Experimental investigation
310 and monitoring of a polypropylene-based fiber reinforced concrete road
311 pavement. *Construction and Building Materials*, 47:888–895, 2013.
- 312 [15] A. Nobili and A. M. Tarantino. Unilateral contact problem for aging vis-
313 coelastic beams. *Journal of engineering mechanics*, 131(12):1229–1238,
314 2005.
- 315 [16] D. Oehlers and R. Seracino. *Design of FRP and steel plated RC structures:*
316 *retrofitting beams and slabs for strength, stiffness and ductility*. Elsevier,
317 2004.

- 318 [17] J. Orlowsky, M. Raupach, H. Cuyper, and J. Wastiels. Durability mod-
319 elling of glass fibre reinforcement in cementitious environment. *Materials*
320 *and structures*, 38(2):155–162, 2005.
- 321 [18] N. Saenz and C. P. Pantelides. Short and medium term durability evalua-
322 tion of FRP-confined circular concrete. *Journal of composites for construc-*
323 *tion*, 10(3):244–253, 2006.
- 324 [19] T. Trapko. The effect of high temperature on the performance of CFRP
325 and FRCM confined concrete elements. *Composites Part B: Engineering*,
326 54:138–145, 2013.