This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

Current epidemiology and antimicrobial resistance data for bacterial bloodstream infections in patients with hematologic malignancies: An Italian multicentre prospective survey / Trecarichi, E. M.; Pagano, L.; Candoni, A.; Pastore, D.; Cattaneo, C.; Fanci, R.; Nosari, A.; Caira, M.; Spadea, A.; Busca, A.; Vianelli, N.; Tumbarello, M.. - In: CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGY AND INFECTION. - ISSN 1198-743X. - 21:4(2015), pp. 337-343. [10.1016/j.cmi.2014.11.022]

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

25/09/2024 20:15

Accepted Manuscript

Current epidemiology and antimicrobial resistance data for bacterial bloodstream infections in patients with haematological malignancies: an Italian multicentre prospective survey

Dr. Enrico Maria Trecarichi , Livio Pagano , Anna Candoni , Domenico Pastore , Chiara Cattaneo , Rosa Fanci , Annamaria Nosari , Morena Caira , Antonio Spadea , Alessandro Busca , Nicola Vianelli , Mario Tumbarello

PII: S1198-743X(14)00108-6

DOI: 10.1016/j.cmi.2014.11.022

Reference: CMI 107

To appear in: Clinical Microbiology and Infection

Received Date: 30 June 2014

Revised Date: 16 October 2014

Accepted Date: 20 November 2014

Please cite this article as: Trecarichi EM, Pagano L, Candoni A, Pastore D, Cattaneo C, Fanci R, Nosari A, Caira M, Spadea A, Busca A, Vianelli N, Tumbarello M, for the HEMABIS registry – SEIFEM group, Italy, Current epidemiology and antimicrobial resistance data for bacterial bloodstream infections in patients with haematological malignancies: an Italian multicentre prospective survey, *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* (2015), doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2014.11.022.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Current epidemiology and antimicrobial resistance data for bacterial bloodstream 2 infections in patients with haematological malignancies: an Italian multicentre prospective survey 3

4

Enrico Maria Trecarichi¹, Livio Pagano², Anna Candoni³, Domenico Pastore⁴, Chiara 5 Cattaneo⁵, Rosa Fanci⁶, Annamaria Nosari,⁷ Morena Caira², Antonio Spadea⁸, Alessandro Busca⁹, 6 Nicola Vianelli¹⁰, and Mario Tumbarello¹, for the HEMABIS registry – SEIFEM group, Italy. 7

8

¹Istituto di Clinica delle Malattie Infettive, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Roma, Italy; 9 ²Istituto di Ematologia, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Roma, Italy; ³Clinica di Ematologia, 10 Università di Udine, Italy; ⁴Ematologia con Trapianto Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria 11 Policlinico, Bari, Italy; ⁵U. O. Ematologia, Spedali Civili, Brescia, Italy; ⁶Unità Operativa di 12 Ematologia, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Careggi, Firenze, Italy; ⁷Divisione di Ematologia e 13 Centro Trapianti Midollo, Ospedale Niguarda Ca' Granda, Milano, Italy; ⁸Ematologia, Istituto 14 Regina Elena, Roma, Italy; ⁹Divisione di Ematologia, Ospedale le Molinette, Torino, Italy; ¹⁰Istituto 15 di Ematologia ed Oncologia Clinica "Lorenzo e Ariosto Serágnoli", Ospedale; S.Orsola-Malpighi, 16 Università di Bologna, Italy. 17

18

Running title: Epidemiology and mortality of BBSIs in HMs patients. 19

20

Key words: Bacterial bloodstream infections; haematological cancer; antimicrobial resistance; 21 multidrug resistance; epidemiology; mortality. 22

23

Correspondence: Dr. Enrico Maria Trecarichi, Istituto Malattie Infettive, Università Cattolica 24 del Sacro Cuore, Largo A. Gemelli 8, 00168 Roma, Italy. FAX +39-06-3054519, tel. +39-06-25 30155374; e-mail: enricomaria.trecarichi@rm.unicatt.it 26

		5	

2

Authors E. M. Trecarichi and L. Pagano contributed equally to this article.

1 Summary

Bacterial bloodstream infections (BBSIs) represent the most common severe infectious
complications in patients with haematological malignancies (HMs). The extensive emergence of
antimicrobial resistance among bacteria causing BBSI has been recently reported in HM patients.
A prospective cohort study was conducted in 9 haematology wards at tertiary care centres or
at university hospitals located throughout Italy from January 2009 to December 2012. All of the
cases of BBSI occurring in adult patients suffering from HMs were included.

8 A total of 668 bacterial isolates were recovered in 575 BBSI episodes. Overall, the susceptibility rates of Gram-negative bacteria were 59.1% to ceftazidime, 20.1% to ciprofloxacin, 9 10 79.1% to meropenem, 85.2% to amikacin, 69.2% to gentamicin, and 69.8% to piperacillin/tazobactam. Resistance to third generation cephalosporins was found in 98/265 (36.9%) 11 of Enterobacteriaceae isolates. Among Klebsiella pneumoniae strains, 15/43 (34.9%) were resistant 12 13 to carbapenems. Out of 66 Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates, 46 (69.7%) were multidrug-resistant. Overall, the susceptibility rates of Gram-positive bacteria were 97.4% to vancomycin and 94.2% to 14 15 teicoplanin. Among the monomicrobial cases of BBSI, the 21-day mortality rate was significantly higher for those caused by Gram-negative bacteria compared to those caused by Gram-positives 16 (47/278, 16.9% vs. 12/212, 5.6%; P<0.001). Among Gram-negatives, the mortality rate was 17 significantly higher for BBSI caused by K. pneumoniae, P. aeruginosa, and Acinetobacter 18 baumannii. 19

20 Our results confirm the recently reported shift of prevalence from Gram-positive to Gram-21 negative bacteria as causative agents of BBSIs among patients suffering from HMs, and highlight a 22 worrisome increasing frequency in antimicrobial resistance among Gram-negatives.

Introduction

1

Patients suffering from haematological malignancies (HMs) are at a high risk of infectious
complications, and bacterial bloodstream infections (BBSIs) represent the most severe among these.
The reported prevalence of BBSIs among HM patients ranges from 11% to 38%, and the crude
mortality rate reaches up to 40% [1-5]. In a recent Italian survey, the incidence of microbiologically
documented bacterial infections among patients with newly diagnosed HMs was 9.4%, and BBSIs
represented 85.1% of these cases [6].

8 Gram-positive bacteria have been reported as the most frequent and significantly increasing cause of BBSIs in cancer patients in the last three decades, with frequencies reaching 76% in 2000 9 [2]. However, in recent years, a trend reversal in the epidemiology of BBSIs among patients with 10 HMs has been demonstrated, and Gram-negative bacteria have been reported as the prevalent cause 11 of BBSIs in some studies [4,7]. In addition, the extensive emergence of antimicrobial resistance 12 13 among bacteria, especially Gram-negatives (e.g., cephalosporin- and/or carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae and multidrug-resistant [MDR] P. aeruginosa), causing BBSIs in cancer 14 15 patients has been highlighted [3,7-9].

The aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical and epidemiological characteristics and mortality rates of BBSIs that occurred in a large cohort of patients suffering from HMs, with particular emphasis on the antimicrobial resistance profiles of bacterial isolates.

1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

- The present prospective study was conducted in 9 haematology wards at tertiary care centres
 or university hospitals located throughout Italy from January 2009 to December 2012.
- Antibacterial prophylaxis was administered to patients among all participating centres
 according to Gruppo Italiano Malattie Ematologiche dell'Adulto (GIMEMA) criteria [10].

All episodes of BBSIs that occurred in hospitalised patients aged >18 years suffering from haematological malignancies were included. The data that were collected from the hospital charts and the laboratory database included patient demographics, disease and disease stage at time of BBSI, the type of HSCT (autologous or allogeneic), and the outcome of infection; for each bacterial isolate, the antimicrobial susceptibility was determined and analysed. All of the information was entered into the case report forms and then recorded in a specific database. Recurrent infections were excluded, and only the first episode per patient was included in our registry.

13 The ethics committee at each participating site approved the use of the Haematological 14 Malignancies Associated Bloodstream Infections Surveillance (He.M.A.B.I.S.) registry, and 15 informed consent was obtained from each patient.

16

17 **Definitions**

18 The following terms were defined prior to data analysis:

BBSI was defined as an infection that was manifested by (1) the presence in at least 1 blood culture that sustained bacterial growth other than skin contaminants (i.e., diphtheroids, *Bacillus* spp., *Propionibacterium* spp., coagulase-negative *Staphylococci* [CoNS], and *Micrococci*) or (2) the presence in at least 2 consecutive blood cultures that sustained growth of skin contaminants.

BBSI was defined as central venous catheter (CVC)-related according to the Centers for
Disease Control and Prevention criteria [11].

1	Neutropenia was defined as an absolute neutrophil count (ANC) <500 neutrophils/µL at the
2	onset of BBSI; neutropenia was considered prolonged if the duration was ≥ 10 days.
3	Bacterial isolates were considered hospital-acquired if the index culture had been collected
4	>48 hours after admission and the signs and symptoms of infection had been absent at admission. If
5	the cultures had been collected \leq 48 hours after the admission date, the isolate was classified as
6	healthcare-associated or community-acquired [12].
7	If the infecting pathogen demonstrated resistance (as determined by in vitro susceptibility
8	testing) to the administered antimicrobial(s), the initial treatment was classified as <i>inadequate</i> .
9	
10	Statistical analysis. Continuous variables were compared by Student's t-test for normally
11	distributed variables and the Mann-Whitney U test for non-normally distributed variables.
12	Categorical variables were evaluated using the χ^2 or two-tailed Fisher's exact test. The odds ratios
13	(ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated to evaluate the strength of any
14	association that emerged. Values are expressed as the means \pm standard deviation (SD) (continuous
15	variables), or as percentages of the group from which they were derived (categorical variables).
16	Two-tailed tests were used to determine statistical significance; a P value of < 0.05 was considered
17	significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the Intercooled Stata program, version 11,
18	for Windows (Stata Corporation, College Station, Texas, USA).
19	
	7

RESULTS

2 A total of 575 episodes of bacterial BBSI were included in our registry during the study 3 period.

4

1

5 **Patient characteristics**

The majority (529/575, 92%) of patients were neutropenic. The epidemiological and clinical characteristics of the patients with BBSIs, divided according to neutropenic status, are presented in Table 1.

9

10 Aetiologic agents of BBSIs

Because 83/575 (14.4%) episodes of BBSI were polymicrobial, a total of 668 bacteria were 11 isolated. Table 2 shows the results of causative bacteria according to the neutropenic status of the 12 13 patients. Overall, Gram-negative organisms were recovered in 52.8% (353/668) of the BBSI cases and Gram-positives were recovered in 46.6% (311/668) of cases. Among the Gram-negatives, 14 Escherichia coli represented the most frequent species (187/353, 52.9%), followed by P. 15 aeruginosa (66/353, 18.7%), Klebsiella pneumoniae (43/353, 12.2%), and Enterobacter cloacae 16 (26/353, 7.7%). Among the Gram-positives, CoNS were the most common species (166/311, 17 53.4%), followed by Enterococcus spp. (67/311, 21.5%), Viridans Group Streptococci (VGS) 18 (36/311, 11.5%), and S. aureus (18/311, 5.8%). BBSI caused by Gram-negative bacteria was 19 significantly more frequent in patients with neutropenia, compared to non-neutropenic patients 20 (P=0.006); conversely, the latter patients were more likely suffering from BBSI caused by Gram-21 positives (P=0.004). 22

23

24 Antimicrobial resistance profiles of Gram-negative organisms

The antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of all Gram-negatives and of the most frequently isolated bacterial species (i.e., *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *E. cloacae*) are reported

in Table 3. Overall, the susceptibility rates of Gram-negative bacteria were 59.1% to ceftazidime,
20.1% to ciprofloxacin, 79.1% to meropenem, 85.2% to amikacin, 69.2% to gentamicin, and 69.8%
to piperacillin/tazobactam. Resistance to third generation cephalosporins was found in 98/265
(36.9%) of *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates. Among the *K. pneumoniae* strains, 15/43 (34.9%) were
resistant to carbapenems. Out of 66 *P. aeruginosa* isolates, 46 (69.7%) were MDR, as previously
defined [13].

The susceptibility to colistin was tested in 110/353 Gram-negative isolates, and only two
(1.8%; 2 *Pseudomonas putida* isolates) of these were resistant. The susceptibility to tigecycline was
tested in 160/285 Gram-negative isolates other than *Pseudomonas* spp., and nine (3.6%; 4 *K. pneumoniae*, 4 *E. cloacae*, and 1 *A. baumannii* isolates) of these were resistant.

11

12

Antimicrobial resistance profiles of Gram-positive organisms

The antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of all Gram-positives and of the most frequently 13 isolated bacterial species (i.e., CoNS, S. aureus, Enterococcus spp., and VGS) are reported in Table 14 4. The susceptibility rates to oxacillin were 15.7% and 63.6% for CoNS and S. aureus, respectively. 15 Overall, 40.3% of the *Enterococcus* spp. isolates were susceptible to ampicillin; the susceptibility 16 rates to ampicillin were 88.9% for E. faecalis and 5.4% for E. faecium isolates; 89.2% and 97.3% of 17 E. faecium (and 100% of E. faecalis) isolates were susceptible to vancomycin and teicoplanin, 18 respectively. Among the VGS isolates, 63.9% were susceptible to penicillin, whereas all of these 19 were susceptible to glycopeptides. Overall, the susceptibility rates of Gram-positive bacteria were 20 97.4% to vancomycin and 94.2% to teicoplanin. 21

22

23

21-day mortality rates of causative bacterial isolates from BBSI episodes

Overall, the 21-day mortality rate in patients with BBSIs was 13.2% (76/575); it was higher for patients with polymicrobial BBSIs (16/83, 19.3%) compared to those with monomicrobial BBSIs (60/492, 12.2%; P=0.07), with prolonged neutropenia (56/361, 15.5%) compared to those

with neutropenia with a duration of <10 days (20/214, 9.3%; P=0.03), and for those patients who 1 2 had received an inappropriate initial antimicrobial therapy (32/142, 22.5%) versus those who had received an appropriate empirical antibiotic treatment (44/433, 10.1%; P<0.001). In Table 5, the 3 mortality rates for patients with monomicrobial BBSIs are reported according to the most frequent 4 bacterial species. Overall, the 21-day mortality rate was significantly higher for patients with BBSI 5 caused by Gram-negative bacteria compared to those with BBSI caused by Gram-positives (47/278, 6 16.9% vs. 12/212, 5.6%; P<0.001). Among Gram-negatives, the mortality rate was significantly 7 higher for BBSI that was caused by K. pneumoniae (P=0.006), P. aeruginosa (P<0.001), and 8 Acinetobacter baumannii (P=0.004). There were no differences in the mortality rate among BBSIs 9 caused by Gram-positive bacterial species, except for BBSI that was caused by Viridans Group 10 Streptococci (VGS) and CoNS, which were associated with survival (P=0.05 and <0.001, 11 respectively). Among the more frequent antibiotic resistant Gram-negative bacterial species causing 12 13 monomicrobial BBSI, the mortality rate was significantly higher for patients with BBSI that was caused by third generation cephalosporin-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* compared to third generation 14 15 cephalosporin-susceptible Enterobacteriaceae (22/84, 26.2% vs. 6/124, 4.6%; P<0.001), for those with BBSI that was caused by carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae compared to carbapenem-16 susceptible K. pneumoniae (6/13, 46.1% vs. 3/20, 15%; P=0.04), and for those with BBSI that was 17 caused by MDR P. aeruginosa compared to non-MDR P. aeruginosa (14/19, 42.4% vs. 2/16, 12.5%; 18

1 DISCUSSION

In this large multicenter Italian cohort study, we examined the clinical characteristics and the outcome of BBSI episodes in patients suffering from HMs, as well as the spectrum of susceptibility patterns of bacterial isolates.

We found that Gram-negative bacteria were the most frequent microorganisms that were 5 isolated (52.8%) and these data are consistent with the recently reported shift of prevalence from 6 Gram-positive to Gram-negative bacteria among severe bacterial infections in patients with cancer 7 [4,7]. In addition, Mikulska et al., who recently compared a questionnaire survey that was 8 conducted in 2011 on the aetiology and resistance in BBSI episodes that occurred in adult cancer 9 patients in 39 centres (in 18 countries) to data that was collected from a literature review of BBSI 10 episodes in adult cancer patients from papers that were published between 2005 and 2011, 11 demonstrated that the survey showed a recent reduction in the Gram-positive to Gram-negative ratio 12 13 (55%:45% vs. 60%:40%) [14]. Notably, the median rate of bacterial species causing BBSI that was reported in this ECIL-4 questionnaire survey was very similar to the bacterial species distribution in 14 15 our cohort, in that E. coli was the most frequent species (27.9% in our cohort vs. 30% in the ECIL-4 questionnaire survey), followed by CONS (24.8% vs. 24%), and Enterococci (10.1% vs. 8%), and 16 excepting the prevalence of *P. aeruginosa* BBSI which was twice as high in our cohort (9.9% vs. 17 18 5%) [14].

Regarding antimicrobial susceptibility among Gram-positive bacteria, we found that the rates 19 were similar or higher compared to what was reported by Mikulska et al. among adults in the 20 literature review; in particular, the susceptibility to methicillin was similar for CoNS (15.7% vs. 21 20%) but somewhat higher for S. aureus (63.6% vs. 44%), whereas >92% of Staphylococci and 22 Enterococci were susceptible to glycopeptides in our cohort. In addition, we observed a lower 23 prevalence of vancomycin resistance among E. faecium isolates compared to previous reports 24 (10.8% vs. 23%) [14]. Similarly, the resistance to teicoplanin among the CoNS isolates was 25 significantly lower compared to what was previously reported [15]. 26

In contrast, we have found a worrisome trend toward a decrease in the susceptibility rates to 1 the main antibiotic drugs among Gram-negative bacteria compared to what has been reported in 2 more recent epidemiologic studies, which have been recently reviewed [7]. In particular, only 3 20.1% of the Gram-negative bacteria isolates from our patients were susceptible to 4 fluoroquinolones; the susceptibility rates to fluoroquinolones were significantly lower compared to 5 those that were previously reported for E. coli (9.6% vs. 47.2%), K. pneumoniae (30.2% vs. 61.1%), 6 E. cloacae (50% vs. 95.7%), and P. aeruginosa (19.7% vs. 51.6%). In addition, we observed rates 7 of susceptibility of 61.5% and 44.2% to meropenem and piperacillin/tazobactam, respectively, 8 among K. pneumoniae isolates, which are considerably lower than what was reported in previous 9 studies (mean of 98.5% and 71.8%, respectively) [7]. We also found a significant decrease in the 10 susceptibility rate to almost all of the most common antibiotics among P. aeruginosa isolates 11 compared with previous reports: 28.8% vs. 50.1% to meropenem, 57.6% vs. 78.3% to 12 13 piperacillin/tazobactam, 45.4% vs. 62.3% to ceftazidime, and 22.7% vs. 78.3% to gentamicin; the susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* isolates in our cohort to amikacin (65.8%) was similar to what was 14 15 previously reported (61.8%) [7]. Approximately 70% of the bloodstream P. aeruginosa isolates were designated MDR in our cohort, and this rate was similar to what was reported by Cattaneo et 16 al. (71.1%) [16], though more than twice as high as what was reported in a preliminary analysis that 17 was conducted by this group on a smaller population size (33%) [17]. However, it has to be taken 18 into account that our data are representative of a single country, and the Italian situation might not 19 be representative for all of Europe. 20

Finally, we observed within our cohort a cumulative mortality rate of 13.2%, which is in line with previous reports on BBSI episodes in adult patients with HMs [8,9,18]. However, among patients with monomicrobial BBSI, the mortality rate was significantly higher for those with BBSIs that were caused by Gram-negative bacteria compared to those that were caused by Gram-positives. Although this result was expected and in line with previous large studies [19], some of the more recent reports evaluating the outcome in bacteremic patients with HMs according to the Gram stain

of causative agents had not found significant differences [8,20-22]; this could be related to the larger size of our cohort compared to previous studies, or to the prevalence of Gram-negative bacteria causing BBSI and the high rate of antimicrobial resistance among these bacteria which has been associated with mortality in previous studies of patients with BBSIs and HMs [20,23]. Confirming this latter hypothesis, among the Gram-negative bacterial species, the mortality rates were significantly higher for *P. aeruginosa, K. pneumoniae*, and *A. baumannii*, which are more frequently characterised by their patterns of multidrug resistance.

8 In conclusion, our data confirm the recently reported shift in the prevalence from Grampositive to Gram-negative bacteria as causative agents of BBSIs among patients suffering from 9 HMs and highlight a worrisome increasing frequency in the rate of antimicrobial resistance among 10 Gram-negatives to all antibiotic classes that are recommended for empirical treatments in this 11 setting. Furthering our understanding of the local distribution of pathogens and their susceptibility 12 13 patterns and of patients' risk factors for resistant bacteria and for a complicated clinical course, as well as the judicious use of antibiotics and control measures to prevent the development and spread 14 15 of antibiotic-resistant Gram-negative bacteria, are necessary steps that could improve the efficacy of therapeutic treatment protocols (according to recent recommendations in the European Conference 16 on Infections in Leukaemia guidelines [24] for oncohaematologic patients). 17

1 Transparency Declaration

2 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

3

4

Authorship/Contribution

5 Anna Candoni, Domenico Pastore, Chiara Cattaneo, Rosa Fanci, Annamaria Nosari, Morena 6 Caira, Antonio Spadea, Alessandro Busca, and Nicola Vianelli were involved in data collection. 7 Enrico Maria Trecarichi, Livio Pagano, and Mario Tumbarello designed and implemented the 8 surveillance study and its evaluation. Enrico Maria Trecarichi and Mario Tumbarello performed the 9 statistical analysis. Preparation of the first draft: Enrico Maria Trecarichi and Livio Pagano. All of 10 the authors have read and approved the manuscript.

11

1	REFERENCES
---	------------

2	1.	Wisplinghoff H, Cornely OA, Moser S, et al. Outcomes of nosocomial bloodstream
3		infections in adult neutropenic patients: a prospective cohort and matched case-control
4		study. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2003; 24:905-911.
5		
6	2.	Wisplinghoff H, Seifert H, Wenzel RP, Edmond MB. Current trends in the epidemiology
7		of nosocomial bloodstream infections in patients with hematological malignancies and solid
8		neoplasms in hospitals in the United States. Clin Infect Dis 2003; 36: 1103-1110.
9		
10	3.	Tumbarello M, Trecarichi EM, Caira M, et al.; He.M.A.B.I.S. (Hematological
11		Malignancies Associated Bacterial Infections Surveillance) Italy. Derivation and validation
12		of a scoring system to identify patients with bacteremia and hematological malignancies at
13		higher risk for mortality. PLoS One 2012; 7: e51612
14		
15	4.	Montassier E, Batard E, Gastinne T, Potel G, de La Cochetière MF. Recent changes in
16		bacteremia in patients with cancer: a systematic review of epidemiology and antibiotic
17		resistance. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2013; 32: 841-850
18		
19	5.	Trecarichi EM, Tumbarello M, Spanu T, et al. Incidence and clinical impact of extended-
20		spectrum-beta-lactamase (ESBL) production and fluoroquinolone resistance in bloodstream
21		infections caused by Escherichia coli in patients with hematological malignancies. J Infect
22		2009; 58:299-307.
23		
24	6.	Pagano L, Caira M, Rossi G, et al.; Hema e-Chart Group, Italy. A prospective survey of
25		febrile events in hematological malignancies. Ann Hematol 2012; 91:767-774.
26		

	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
1	7. Trecarichi EM, Tumbarello M. Antimicrobial-resistant Gram-negative bacteria in febrile
2	neutropenic patients with cancer: current epidemiology and clinical impact. Curr Opin Infect
3	Dis 2014; 27: 200-210.
4	
5	8. Cattaneo C, Casari S, Bracchi F, et al. Recent increase in enterococci, viridans
6	streptococci, Pseudomonas spp. and multiresistant strains among haematological patients,
7	with a negative impact on outcome. Results of a 3-year surveillance study at a single
8 9	institution. Scand J Infect Dis 2010; 42: 324-332.
10	9. Gudiol C, Bodro M, Simonetti A, <i>et al.</i> Changing aetiology, clinical features,
11	antimicrobial resistance, and outcomes of bloodstream infection in neutropenic cancer
12	patients. Clin Microbiol Infect 2013; 19: 474-479.
13	
14	10. Bucaneve G, Micozzi A, Menichetti F, et al.; Gruppo Italiano Malattie Ematologiche
15	dell'Adulto (GIMEMA) Infection Program. Levofloxacin to prevent bacterial infection in
16	patients with cancer and neutropenia. N Engl J Med 2005; 353:977-987.
17	
18	11. O'Grady NP, Alexander M, Dellinger EP, et al Guidelines for the prevention of
19	intravascular catheter-related infections. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
20	MMWR Recomm Rep 2002; 51:1-29.
21	
22	12. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Healthcare-associated infections.
23	Available at
24	http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/activities/surveillance/HAI/Documents/2008_HAI_%20special_cha
25	pter.pdf; accessed 30 May 2014.
26	

	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
1	13. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, et al Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-
2	resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard
3	definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect 2012; 18:268-281.
4	
5	14. Mikulska M, Viscoli C, Orasch C, et al.; Fourth European Conference on Infections in
6	Leukemia Group (ECIL-4), a joint venture of EBMT, EORTC, ICHS, ELN and
7	ESGICH/ESCMID. Aetiology and resistance in bacteraemias among adult and paediatric
8	haematology and cancer patients. J Infect 2014; 68: 321-331.
7	
10	15. Pagano L, Tacconelli E, Tumbarello M, et al. Teicoplanin-resistant coagulase-negative
11	staphylococcal bacteraemia in patients with haematological malignancies: a problem of
12	increasing importance. J Antimicrob Chemother 1997; 40: 738-740.
13	
14	16. Cattaneo C, Antoniazzi F, Casari S, et al. P. aeruginosa bloodstream infections among
15	hematological patients: an old or new question? Ann Hematol 2012; 91: 1299-1304.
16	
17	17. Trecarichi EM, Tumbarello M, Caira M, et al. Multidrug resistant Pseudomonas
18	aeruginosa bloodstream infection in adult patients with hematologic malignancies.
19	Haematologica 2011; 96: e1-3
20	
21	18. Chen CY, Tsay W, Tang JL, et al. Epidemiology of bloodstream infections in patients
22	with haematological malignancies with and without neutropenia. Epidemiol Infect 2010;
23	138: 1044-1051.
24	19. Elting LS, Rubenstein EB, Rolston KV, Bodey GP. Outcomes of bacteremia in patients
25	with cancer and neutropenia: observations from two decades of epidemiological and clinical
26	trials. Clin Infect Dis 1997; 25:247-259.

20. Samonis G, Vardakas KZ, Maraki S, et al. A prospective study of characteristics and
outcomes of bacteremia in patients with solid organ or hematologic malignancies. Support
Care Cancer 2013; 21: 2521-2526.
21. Poon LM, Jin J, Chee YL, et al. Risk factors for adverse outcomes and multidrug-resistant
Gram-negative bacteraemia in haematology patients with febrile neutropenia in a
Singaporean university hospital. Singapore Med J 2012; 53: 720-725.
22. Kjellander C, Björkholm M, Cherif H, Kalin M, Giske CG. Hematological: Low all-cause
mortality and low occurrence of antimicrobial resistance in hematological patients with
bacteremia receiving no antibacterial prophylaxis: a single-center study. Eur J Haematol
2012; 88: 422-430.
23. Tumbarello M, Spanu T, Caira M, et al. Factors associated with mortality in bacteremic
patients with hematologic malignancies. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2009; 64: 320-326.
24. Averbuch D, Orasch C, Cordonnier C, et al.; ECIL4, a joint venture of EBMT, EORTC,
ICHS, ESGICH/ESCMID and ELN. European guidelines for empirical antibacterial therapy
for febrile neutropenic patients in the era of growing resistance: summary of the 2011 4th
European Conference on Infections in Leukemia. Haematologica 2013; 98:1826-1835.

Variables	Neutropenic (n = 529)	Non neutropenic (n = 46)	P values
Demographic information			
Male sex	305 (57.7)	32 (69.6)	0.11
Age (year [mean ± SD])	52 ± 14.88	51 ± 15.66	0.85
Characteristics of BBSI			
Polymicrobial	77 (14.6)	6 (13.1)	0.77
Monomicrobial due to Gram-negatives	263 (49.7)	15 (32.6)	0.02
Monomicrobial due to Gram-positives	187 (35.3)	25 (54.3)	0.01
Hospital acquired	448 (84.7)	29 (63.0)	< 0.001
Healthcare-associated	29 (5.5)	9 (19.6)	< 0.001
Community-acquired	52 (9.8)	8 (17.4)	0.10
Hematological malignancy			
Acute myeloid leukemia	336 (63.5)	16 (34.8)	< 0.001
Chronic myeloid leukemia	1 (0.2)	0	0.77
Acute lymphatic leukemia	54 (10.2)	9 (19.6)	0.05
Chronic lymphoid leukemia	3 (0.6)	0	0.61
Non Hodgkin's lymphoma	88 (16.6)	10 (21.7)	0.38
Hodgkin's lymphoma	11 (2.1)	2 (4.3)	0.32
Multiple Myeloma	30 (5.7)	7 (15.2)	0.01
Other	7 (1.3)	2 (4.3)	0.11
Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation	180 (34.0)	12 (26.1)	0.27
Autologous	83 (15.7)	2 (4.3)	0.04
Allogeneic-Matched	68 (12.8)	4 (8.7)	0.41

Table 1. Clinical and epidemiological characteristics of cohort patients according to neutropenic status.

Allogeneic-Mismatched	33 (6.2)	7 (15.2)	0.02
Antibiotic prophylaxis	439 (83.0)	27 (58.7)	< 0.001
Co-trimoxazole	78 (14.7)	8 (17.4)	0.63
Fluoroquinolones	408 (77.1)	19 (41.3)	< 0.001
Antifungal prophylaxis	377 (71.3)	21 (45.6)	<0.001
21-day mortality	72 (13.6)	4 (8.7)	0.34

Values are n (%) unless otherwise noted.

Table 2. Causal pathogens responsible for bacterial bloodstream infections in patients with

hematological malignancies according to neutropenic status.

Microorganisms	Total (n = 668)	Neutropenic $(n = 616)$	Non neutropenic (n = 52)	P values
Gram-negative, total	353 (52.8)	335 (54.4)	18 (34.6)	0.006
Escherichia coli	187 (27.9)	181 (29.4)	6 (11.5)	0.006
Klebsiella Pneumoniae	43 (6.4)	39 (6.3)	4 (7.7)	0.70
Enterobacter cloacae	26 (3.4)	24 (3.9)	2 (3.8)	0.98
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	66 (9.9)	63 (10.2)	3 (5.8)	0.30
Acinetobacter baumannii	3 (0.4)	3 (0.5)	0	0.61
Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	9 (1.3)	8 (1.3)	1 (1.9)	0.71
Gram-positive, total	311 (46.6)	277 (44.9)	34 (65.4)	0.004
Coagulase-negative Staphylococci	166 (24.8)	148 (24.0)	18 (34.6)	0.09
Staphylococcus aureus	11 (1.6)	7 (1.1)	4 (7.7)	< 0.001
Viridans group Streptococci	36 (5.4)	35 (5.7)	1 (1.9)	0.25
Streptococcus pneumoniae	2 (0.3)	0	2 (3.8)	< 0.001
Enterococcus spp.	67 (10.1)	63 (10.2)	4 (7.7)	0.56
Enterococcus faecalis	27 (4.1)	24 (3.9)	3 (5.8)	0.51
Enterococcus faecium	37 (5.5)	36 (5.8)	1 (1.9)	0.23
Anaerobes	4 (0.6)	4 (0.6)	0	0.56

Table 3. Antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of all Gram-negatives and of the most frequently isolated bacterial species.

Microorganisms	Total	No. Susceptible (%)					
		Ceftazidime	Ciprofloxacin	Meropenem	Amikacin	Gentamicin	Piperacillin/ tazobactam
Gram-negative, total ^a	344	203 (59.1)	69 (20.1)	272 (79.1)	293 (85.2)	238 (69.2)	240 (69.8)
Escherichia coli	187	131 (70.0)	18 (9.6)	184 (98.4)	183 (97.9)	155 (82.9)	156 (83.4)
Klebsiella pneumoniae	43	18 (41.9)	13 (30.2)	28 (65.1)	25 (58.1)	29 (67.4)	19 (44.2)
Enterobacter cloacae	26	12 (46.1)	13 (50.0)	24 (92.3)	23 (88.5)	23 (88.5)	12 (46.1)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	66	30 (45.4)	13 (19.7)	19 (28.8)	43 (65.1)	15 (22.7)	38 (57.6)

^a The total of Gram-negative bacteria was 344; *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* isolates (9) were excluded.

A CER

Table 4. Antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of all Gram-positives and of the most frequently isolated bacterial species.

Microorganisms	Total	No. Susceptible (%) Microorganisms						
		Oxacillin	Ampicillin	Penicillin	Vancomycin	Teicoplanin	Linezolid	Daptomycin
Gram-positive, total ^a	311	-	-	- , C	303 (97.4)	293 (94.2)	-	-
Coagulase-negative Staphylococci	166	26 (15.7)	-		164 (98.8)	150 (90.4)	155/156 (99.3) ^b	97/98 (98.9) ^c
Staphylococcus aureus	11	7 (63.6)	-	5	11 (100)	11 (100)	11 (100)	11 (100)
Viridans group Streptococci ^a	36	-	-	23 (63.9)	36 (100)	36 (100)	36 (100)	36 (100)
Enterococcus spp.	67	-	27 (40.3)	<u> </u>	62 (92.5)	66 (98.5)	67 (100)	NA
Enterococcus faecalis	27	-	24 (88.9)	-	27 (100)	27 (100)	27 (100)	NA
Enterococcus faecium	37	-	2 (5.4)	-	33 (89.2)	36 (97.3)	37 (100)	NA

NA, not available.

^a The susceptibility rate of Viridans group Streptococci isolates to ceftriaxone was 94.4% (34/36).

^bLinezolid was tested on a total of 156 coagulase-negative *Staphylococci* isolates and 155 (99.3%) were susceptible.

^c Daptomycin was tested on a total of 98 coagulase-negative *Staphylococci* isolates and 97 (98.9%) were susceptible.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT Table 5. Stratification of 492 patients with monomicrobial bacterial bloodstream infections by most

frequent bacterial species recovered, according to 21-day mortality.

Microorganisms	Non survivors (n = 60)	Survivors $(n = 432)$	ODDS (CI)	P values
Gram-negative, total	47 (78.3)	231 (53.5)	3.14 (1.61-6.51)	< 0.001
Escherichia coli	16 (26.7)	142 (32.9)	0.74 (0.38-1.40)	0.33
Klebsiella Pneumoniae	9 (15.0)	24 (5.6)	3 (1.16-7.12)	0.006
Enterobacter cloacae	3 (5.0)	16 (3.7)	1.37 (0.25-4.99)	0.62
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	16 (26.7)	33 (7.6)	4.40 (2.08-8.97)	< 0.001
Acinetobacter baumannii	2 (3.3)	1 (0.2)	14.86 (0.75-878.63)	0.004
Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	1 (1.7)	5 (1.2)	1.45 (0.03-13.25)	0.74
Gram-positive, total	12 (20.0)	200 (46.3)	0.29 (0.14-0.57)	< 0.001
Coagulase-negative Staphylococci	4 (6.7)	117 (27.1)	0.19 (0.05-0.54)	< 0.001
Staphylococcus aureus	0	8 (1.8)	-	0.29
Viridans group Streptococci	0	27 (6.2)	-	0.05
Streptococcus pneumoniae	1 (1.7)	1 (0.2)	7.30 (0.09-574.67)	0.10
Enterococcus spp.	4 (6.7)	27 (6.2)	1.07 (0.26-3.24)	0.90
Enterococcus faecalis	0	11 (2.5)	-	0.21
Enterococcus faecium	4 (6.7)	15 (3.5)	1.98 (0.46-6.52)	0.23
Anaerobes	1 (1.7)	1 (0.2)	7.30 (0.09-574.67)	0.10

Table 6. Stratification of 83 patients with polymicrobial bacterial bloodstream infections, according to

21-day mortality.

	Non survivors (n = 16)	Survivors (n = 67)	ODDS (CI)	P values
Only Gram-negative organisms	3 (18.7)	13 (19.4)	0.95 (0.15-4.27)	0.95
Only Gram-positive organisms	2 (12.5)	23 (34.3)	0.27 (0.02-1.37)	0.08
Both Gram positive and Gram negative organisms	11 (68.7)	31 (46.3)	2.55 (0.71-10.33)	0.10